U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

+ + + + +

PIPELINE AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS SAFETY ADMINISTRATION

+ + + + +

GAS PIPELINE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

+ + + + +

MONDAY MARCH 25, 2024

+ + + + +

The Advisory Committee met at the Westin Hotel, 1800 Richmond Highway, Arlington, Virginia, at 8:30 a.m. EDT, David Danner, Chair, presiding.

PRESENT

- DAVID DANNER, Chair, Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission
- SAMUEL T. ARIARATNAM, Arizona State University DIANE BURMAN, New York State Public Service Commission
- PETER A. CHACE, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
- J. ANDREW DRAKE, Enbridge Gas Transmission and Midstream
- WILLIAM (CHAD) GILBERT, United Association International
- SARA ROLLET GOSMAN, University of Arkansas Law School
- SARA W. LONGAN, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers ERIN MURPHY, Environmental Defense Fund ARVIND P. RAVIKUMAR, The University of Texas at Austin

STEVE SQUIBB, City Utilities of Springfield, Missouri

TERRY L. TURPIN, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

BRIAN R. WEISKER, Duke Energy Natural Gas Business Unit

CHAD J. ZAMARIN, The Williams Companies, Inc.

STAFF PRESENT

ALAN MAYBERRY, Associate Administrator
CLAYTON BODELL, General Engineer
LAUREN CLEGG, Deputy Assistant Chief Counsel
JOHN GALE, Director
ROBERT JAGGER, Senior Transportation Specialist
MARK JOHNSON, Senior Economist
JOSEPH KLESIN, Project Manager
SAYLER PALABRICA, Transportation Specialist
ROBERT ROSS, Assistant Chief Counsel
CAMERON SATTERTHWAITE, Supervisory
Transportation

Specialist

RODRICK SEELEY, National Safety Coordinator ANNA SETZER, Transportation Specialist ERMIAS WELDEMICAEL, Director

PUBLIC COMMENTERS
BILL CARAM, Pipeline Safety Trust
ANN JAWORSKI, Earthjustice
MAURY JOHNSON, Public
BEN KOCHMAN, INGAA
KEVIN LANG, Southwest Gas Corporation
RICHARD LONN, Southern Company Gas
DARON MOORE, Air Liquide
ANNETTE SAXMAN, National Grid
ERIC TAYLOR, BHE
CHRIS WILLIAMS, Cheniere Energy
RON WILLS, Air Products

MIKE ZANDAROSKI, CenterPoint Energy

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2 (8:30 a.m.)

MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Can everybody please take your seats? Thank you.

MR. MAYBERRY: Good morning. this is Well, thank you for very good. attending this meeting of the Gas Pipeline Advisory Committee meeting. Thank you for traveling to Washington, D.C., area. wonderful time to be here. If you haven't seen already, the cherry blossoms are out in full force. So hopefully you'll get some time to be out there to see the cherry blossoms.

My name is Alan Mayberry, and I'm the Associate Administrator for Pipeline Safety at PHMSA. And pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, I'm the designated federal official for GPAC will and serve as the presiding official for this meeting. Our chairperson for this meeting will be the Honorable David Danner, who is the chair for the Washington Utilities and Transportation

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Commission. Today I bring best wishes from

Tristan Brown, our Deputy Administrator. He

will not be attending up front today, but he

does send his best wishes for a productive

meeting this week.

through brief I'11 а safety qo If we have a fire alarm, the exits are located to my right, the door to my right. of these doors, you see, they're clearly marked Exit. You can turn left and go down some stairs at a door that goes at the end of that corridor. If you're in the back of the room, you can exit and turn right. And then there's the left exit to as down an you go corridor. And that goes to the downstairs and to the outside. You can also go out to these doors to my left, go down the stairs. Perhaps, there you came up from the lobby. Or you can go to the right. There's an exit that goes downstairs and outside as well. And in any event, once you do reach outside, the muster point for the hotel is the parking lot across

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

the street to my left, the front of the hotel
in the parking lot across the street. So
that's our safety minute.

Before we get started, I'll go over a few housekeeping items to help ensure the Ιf meeting runs smoothly. you're not speaking, please presenting or mute your microphone to minimize disruptions. Ιf necessary, take a moment now to check that you are muted. We ask that you hold any comments until we open the floor for discussion. members of the public, when you are identify yourself acknowledged, please limit your comments to two minutes or less. Ιf necessary, the chairperson may ask you to cut your comments short to keep the agenda moving.

You can submit written comments under the Advisory Committee, Docket number PHMSA, that's P-H-M-S-A-2024-0005. And comments should be submitted by April 29th, 2024. The transcript of the meeting will be available to the public in the meeting docket

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

in the PHMSA meeting page two or three weeks after the meeting. In addition, today, PHMSA is providing a Zoom link on the meeting webpage for the public to listen to the meeting. Please note that attendees who participate via Zoom do not have the opportunity to provide comments during the meeting.

And then lastly, in order to decorum maintain order in and schedule the throughout the meeting, ask that both we Committee members and members of the public adhere to these basic rules. These are pretty basic: Please do not delay or disrupt whether conversing meeting, by separately during proceedings by causing other or distractions. Do not interrupt speakers or presenters. Please follow the instructions of the chairperson and the presiding officer. And please note that anyone who disrupts meeting will be asked to leave the meeting room. That concludes our housekeeping items.

I will now hand the meeting over to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Chairman Danner. Chairman Danner? Thank you.

MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you very much, Alan.

Hi, everyone. Good morning. name is Dave Danner. I'm the Chair of the Utilities Washington and Transportation Commission, and I will serve as chairperson of this meeting. And I hereby call this meeting Gas Pipeline Advisory Committee order. This meeting is being recorded, and transcript will be produced for the record. Alan said, the transcripts and presentations will be available in the meeting page of the PHMSA website, and the docket number for this meeting is PHMSA-2024-0005.

Before get started, few we а reminders to members, presenters, and public. Remember to introduce yourself each time you speak so that your comments properly recorded in the transcript for the meeting. And additionally, members should set their tent cards on their sides to alert us

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	that they wish to make a comment. And now
2	we'll take an opportunity to conduct a roll
3	call.
4	So, Cameron Satterthwaite, would you
5	take the role?
6	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Okay. This is
7	Cameron Satterthwaite. When I say your name,
8	just say, here, and we'll go right through.
9	Diane Burman?
10	MS. BURMAN: Here.
11	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Peter Chace?
12	MR. CHACE: Here.
13	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: David Danner?
14	MR. DANNER: Here.
15	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Sara Longan?
16	MS. LONGAN: Here.
17	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Terry Turpin?
18	MR. TURPIN: Here.
19	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Brian Weisker?
20	MR. WEISKER: Here.
21	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Andrew Drake?
22	MR. DRAKE: Here.

1	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Alex Dewar?
2	Steve Squibb?
3	MR. SQUIBB: Here.
4	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Chad Zamarin?
5	MR. ZAMARIN: Here.
6	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Chad Gilbert?
7	MR. GILBERT: Here.
8	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Arvind
9	Ravikumar?
10	MR. RAVIKUMAR: Here.
11	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Erin Murphy?
12	MS. MURPHY: Here.
13	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Sara Gosman?
14	MS. GOSMAN: Here.
15	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Sam Ariaratnam?
16	MR. ARIARATNAM: Here.
17	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: All right. We
18	have a quorum. Thank you.
19	MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you
20	very much.
21	I'll now turn it back to Alan.
22	MR. MAYBERRY: Thank you, Dave.

	As you know, planning these meetings
2	takes an immense amount of coordination. And
3	I'm happy to recognize the amazing PHMSA A-team
4	in our Standards and Rulemaking division that
5	is responsible for putting this meeting
6	together today: Mr. Massoud Tahamtani, John
7	Gale, Cameron Satterthwaite, Amal Deria, Janice
8	Morgan, Michelle Tillman, Maria Alvarez
9	Carroll, who's out at our front desk here as
10	well as Janice, Jenny Donohue, Robert Jagger,
11	Sayler Palabrica, Anna Setzer, Briana Wilson,
12	and Tewabe Asebe. So thank you very much PHMSA
13	team for your hard work.
14	And with that, I will turn it back
15	to you, Dave.
16	MR. DANNER: Let's see. Could you
17	turn it?
18	All right. So where we left off
19	several Fridays ago, we were working still on
20	the leak detection and repair, NPRM.
21	I think what I would like to do now
22	is turn it over to John, and let's just see if

we can pick up where we left off.

MR. GALE: Thank you, Chairman Danner.

Good morning, members. Good morning, public. My name is John Gale. I'm director of Standards and Rulemaking at the Office of Pipeline Safety. And welcome to Groundhog Day. Yeah. So what I'm going to do is give you some introductory remarks, set the stage where we're at, and then we'll get into our first item there under gas gathering.

So, Anna, if you could just go ahead and move forward two slides, I believe it is, maybe three. One more. Okay. Great. Thank you.

Just again, just kind of set the stage. On May 18th of 2023, PHMSA published in the Federal Register a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking to reduce methane emissions from new and existing gas pipelines. This rulemaking responds to congressional mandates in the PIPES Act of 2020, plays a critical role in the U.S.

Emissions Reduction Action Plan Methane eliminating 0.5 to 1 million cubic metric tons of methane emissions annually, obliging regulated gas operators οf all Part 192 pipelines to develop and implement advanced leak detection programs for detecting, grading, and repair on prescribed schedules of all leaks greater than or equal to 5 ppm. And just to be clear, that's just a summary of the proposal, knowing that we've already addressed some of those issues in the committee. Ιt enhances leak reporting requirements for gas distribution, gas gathering, gas transmission, underground natural gas storage facilities, and LNG facilities.

Of course, as we all know, a GPAC meeting was held November 27th, 2023, to December 1st, 2023. And at that meeting, this Committee completed its work on several issues related to operation, maintenance, and venting, leak surveys and patrol frequencies, advanced leak detection program elements and performance

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

standard, and leak grading and repair. The purpose of this meeting is for the committee to complete its work on the LDAR NPRM, and following completion of its work on the LDAR NPRM, we'll ask the committee to take up the Class Location NPRM as time permits.

So real quick, this is just again, the work you guys have completed and what work needs to still be done. As I just mentioned, we've already completed the work, and we had a vote and a vote passed on operations maintenance and venting, leak surveys advanced patrols, leak detection program elements and performance standards, and leak grading repair. As you all remember on Friday, we discussed gathering for quite a bit of time, but we ended up deferring the vote.

We still need to discuss reporting requirements that NPRM LNG are in the and we've which hydrogen, grouped together, compliance, deadlines, and operator qualification, kind of, and miscellaneous

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

issues at the end. And of course, then, at the very end, we always have the committee vote on the report itself. So what we've done is to try to help, you know, move us forward a little bit to set us on a time schedule because we were hopeful that last time we were going to get through it all; obviously, that we needed more time. But we believe, you know, if we can move forward and complete our work on gathering by Monday, that's going to really set the stage to complete the rest of the week, right? the idea here, and again, you know, this can be flexible. Who knows? Maybe we finish gathering early. I can be optimistic, you know, and we can get into reporting even today.

But the general idea is to have gas gathering on Monday, Tuesday would be reporting LNG and hydrogen, and then on Wednesday, complete our work on compliance deadlines, et cetera, committee report, et cetera, and then Thursday morning, take up class location. And again, as we're saying, you know, if we finish

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

early on Wednesday on LDAR, it doesn't mean we're not going to jump into class location. We will do that, you know, but we just want to try to set the stage so that we can finish the work, finish both of these projects by the end of the week. So hopefully that's an acceptable plan.

Moving on. We do have a couple slides that Mark Johnson real quick is going to cover for us on some RIA information that he would like to share.

With that being said, Chairman, I would like to turn it over to Mark.

Mark?

Hello. MR. JOHNSON: My name is Mark Johnson. I'm an economist with PHMSA. As most of you are probably aware, EPA finalized of recently new social cost greenhouse gas figures. And in addition, OMB provided new guidance on how agencies should conduct regulatory analysis, including recommending the use of a 2 percent discount

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

rate for rules with climate change components.

This slide and the next one present estimated cost and benefits as assessed in the Preliminary Regulatory Impact Analysis that accompanied the NPRM. The only changes are we have used a 2 percent discount rate and used the EPA's new figures for the social cost of methane. And as you can see, if you compare these figures to the PRIA that we published, the new discount rate changed things on the cost side very minimally. I think it's only about \$5 million difference in the total cost to the rule. And I won't go into too much detail on these figures, and we can just move on to the next slide.

And this presents benefits using the new EPA figures for the social cost of methane and evaluated a 2 percent discount everything else was Again, the same as we evaluated the rule in the published PRIA, and there's a little bit more substantial change There are about \$300 million or higher. here.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

So the benefits did increase modestly given in the context of the rule.

Next slide. Oh, I guess that's it.

So anyway, the basic upshot is that, you know,
we would've drawn the same conclusions as we
did at the NPRM stage, that the rule has
positive net benefits given this new guidance
and these new figures on the social cost of
greenhouse gases. Thank you.

MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you. John?

MR. GALE: Thank you, Chairman.

So, members, the next topic we're going to get into is really a continuation, is gathering. We're not going to go through the whole slide deck. I'm sure you're quite pleased with that, but we are just going to give a few introductory slides to kind of set the stage again for gathering, continue the dialogue on gathering. We have what we believe were the vote discussion slides at the time the meeting concluded on that Friday, and I believe

some of the members have some slides they also want to share. So we'll put those up as well.

And with that being said, I'm going to turn it over to Sayler, who will continue our dialogue on gathering. Sayler?

MR. PALABRICA: Thank you, John.

So just to recap the proposed rule as it applies to gas gathering. So at the previous meeting, the GPAC completed the briefing and summarized the NPRM in public comments on the gas gathering rule. Like John said, we're not going to be repeating that, but we've provided some additional information on gathering infrastructure, both estimated from the Preliminary RIA, as well as annual reports received since the publication of the NPRM.

And again, just to recap the proposal, Type A, B, C, and offshore regulated gas gathering lines would've been subject to the proposed leak survey, patrol leak grading, repair, and ALDP requirements applicable to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

transmission lines. And then the leakage survey and repair requirements would apply to all Type C gathering lines. And currently, they're under the Paragraph F exception of 1929. Additionally, we propose to require procedure manuals for Type B and C regulated gas gathering lines and propose to require Type A, Type B, and Type C regulated onshore gathering lines to participate in the National Pipeline Mapping System.

The next slide is just a summary of regulatory classifications for gathering the I know that one of the members is going to go into this as well, so I'll stay brief. So offshore gathering lines are subject to basically transmission line requirements, and that applies to any offshore gathering. then Type A and Type B are gathering lines in 2, 3, and 4 locations, with Type operating at relatively high pressure and Type B operating at relatively low pressure simplifying high pressure. But that means MAOP

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

producing a hoop stress of 20 percent or more of SMYS or non-metallic with an MAOP greater than 125 PSI. Or for Type C and the stress level is unknown, MAOP is greater than 125 PSI or operating pressure. And then Type C, which is the new classification from the Safety of Gas Gathering line final rule, are those high-pressure lines with a diameter greater than 8.625 inches. And then finally, Type R is all other gathering lines, and those are not classified as regulated onshore gathering lines for the purposes of Part 192.

So for the number of operators in the NPRM, the PRIA estimated 378 impacted the assumption that operators on Type operators also operate Type A and B gathering lines. And we also performed a sensitivity analysis estimating а large number of Type C gathering lines additional based comments that we received on the original Safety of Gas Transmission and Gas Gathering Lines NPRM. So since the preparation of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

PRIA, we've received the first round of annual from Type C operators. And reports identified 525 operators reporting Type A, B, C regulated onshore gathering lines. And of those, 325 operated Type C miles. Of Type C operators, 205 also operated other regulated gathering. And 142 operators of Type C lines representing 78 percent of Type C mileage also operated onshore transmission. Among the Type C operators, basically, a significant amount of mileage is operated by a relatively number of operators. So you can see here, we found that 84 percent of mileage was operated by operators with over 250 miles of Type C, 71 percent by operators with over 500 miles, and 62 percent of mileage operated by operators with over 1,000 miles.

So this next slide compares the mileage from the 2020 used for the PRIA with the most recent annual report. And basically, it's relatively similar to what we estimated for the Type C mileage in the PRIA. So this

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

next slide just shows the total Type C mileage by operator for those operators with 500 miles or more.

So moving on. So this sort Okav. of identifies why the agency chose to include the Type C and gathering generally within the proposed rule. So as you can see from the mileage and leak information from the first round of annual reports, Type Α, В, С gathering miles have a higher leak rate per mile than onshore transmission. And noteworthy for the Type C gathering, we identified 427 leaks in the 2022 annual report. However, one thing to keep in mind there is that majority of Type C mileage is not required to perform leakage surveys or repair hazardous And the baseline of mileage could be lower if you exclude operators that are currently not subject to repair requirements.

And then there's the overarching caveat described in the NPRM, that all of these reports reflect leaks repaired. And as we're

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

aware, there's no federal requirement to repair of these leaks. Additionally, all the compliance deadline for Type C gathering lines, gathering lines those less than 12.75 inches, was extended until May 17th of 2024. So we likely don't have the complete picture of leak repairs for those Type C lines that are on the extended compliance deadline.

The other issue on gathering that we identified pretty early on in the development of the proposed rule in addition to the higher frequency of leaks is the higher average emissions associated with pipeline leaks from So for the EPA emissions gathering systems. factors for the 2021-year published for the draft 2023 Greenhouse Gas Inventory, transmission pipeline leaks have an emissions factor of about 11 kilograms per mile compared to gathering and boosting pipeline leaks at 255 kilograms per mile, based on information submitted under the Greenhouse Gas Reporting Additionally, the proposed rule and Program.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

the PRIA described recent aerial surveillance studies suggesting gas gathering emissions could be even higher than estimated in the greenhouse gas inventory emissions factor, although those were in specific geographical areas.

So the next slide in a pretty small font, so I apologize for this, is regarding the authority to regulate gas gathering lines. We addressed this in the initial briefing. So I'll keep it relatively brief. But the Pipeline Safety Act gives PHMSA clear authority to regulate offshore gathering in Type C gathering lines and to address the potential safety in environmental hazards from those lines.

Section 114 Additionally, the mandate codified in 49 U.S.C. 60108 is generally applicable to persons owning operating a gas pipeline facility, includes operators of regulated gas gathering lines. Additionally, while Congress

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

specifically required PHMSA to address to apply LDAR regulation to certain types of pipelines, PHMSA has the authority to apply the regulations to additional types of pipelines 60102. And Congress did not per 49 U.S.C. explicitly exclude any type of pipeline from Similarly, while 49 U.S.C. the statutory text. 60132 did not explicitly mandate PHMSA to apply NPMS regulations to gathering line, PHMSA can propose to require operators of offshore and Part 192 regulated onshore gathering lines to submit geospatial location data pursuant to the agency's broad safety and environmental Finally, submission authority. PHMSA that the NPMS requirement in the proposed rule would not apply to Type R gathering lines.

Okay. So this is what we teed up at the end of the last meeting. We requested committee recommendations on the requirements of the proposed rule for Type C gathering lines, procedure manual requirements for Type B and C gathering lines, and the adoption of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 GPAC recommended patrol frequencies for Type B 2 and C regulated onshore gathering lines and 3 finally, the applicability of NPMS requirements to regulated onshore gas gathering lines. 4 5 MR. GALE: Thank you, Sayler. this point, 6 Chairman, at we're 7 actually ready for the committee discussion. 8 We're not recommending a public comment. 9 had that last time, is our thought that there's 10 not a need for that. What we're seeing on the 11 screen right now were the two vote options that 12 were being discussed for the committee to look 13 And that being said, sir, I'm just going 14 to turn it over to you to have your committee discussion. 15 16 All right. Thank you. MR. DANNER: Before we begin the discussion, let 17 me ask if any members have any questions for 18 19 Sayler about what was presented this morning. 20 Erin Murphy? 21 MS. MURPHY: Yeah. Thanks so much.

That was a really helpful presentation.

wondered if you could clarify, when you presented the slide with the leak rates for the different types of gas gathering pipelines and you mentioned the distinction that only about 20,000 miles of Type C are already subject to leak survey standards, though, in fact, that may not be even an enforcement yet.

Was the leak rate that was presented or the leaks per mile rate that was presented calculated for all 90,000 miles or just for that 20,000-mile subset?

MR. PALABRICA: Yeah. So the leak rate in the table is based on the 90,000. notes, we've included an the estimate approximately 21 if it's based on the 20,000 miles subject to leakage surveys that estimated in the NPRM. But that has a lot of we've discussed. caveats, as But just for illustration, we've included that in the notes.

MS. MURPHY: Okay. Thank you. So if you're assuming operators were only leak surveying what they would be required to start

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 leak surveying after May 17th of this year, it would be a leak rate of 21 per 1,000 miles? 2 3 MR. PALABRICA: Correct. MS. MURPHY: Thanks. 4 All right. Any other 5 MR. DANNER: questions for PHMSA before we begin 6 the 7 discussion? 8 All right. So thank you for putting 9 this slide up. This is where we left it on December 10 11 We had one option. PHMSA should seek additional regarding 12 information several 13 bullets there. And the second option is that 14 the committee endorses the NPRM regarding the 15 applicability of leak survey and repair 16 standards to Type C and that PHMSA consider 17 information to establish appropriate compliance 18 timelines for these standards and recommends 19 that PHMSA evaluate leak survey and 20 standards for Type R gathering lines. 21 Let me see if there's anybody who

would like to begin the discussion. We have

two options before us. Are there any other options that anyone would like to put on the table?

Chad Zamarin?

MR. ZAMARIN: Thank you.

Chad Zamarin, Williams. I did provide some slides that I thought might be helpful to walk through as a little bit of background.

If we could bring those up.

MR. GALE: Yeah. One second.

MR. ZAMARIN: Thanks. So I know we spent a lot of time last time we were all together, and I was hoping to just provide some background slides to try to provide some context and perspective on why, you know, we feel the requirements that we're proposing make the most sense. And so you know, this is a map. I've got some Williams examples here. I've got another operator's example as well. So I'm going to go through these really quickly.

this is a But. map of transmission system. This is our Transco pipeline. Most people are familiar with this Transmission lines, generally long pipeline. crossing interstates, you can span long distances, pretty easy to use technologies like surveillance. we aerial And we do; fly pipeline routes. They're very linear in well-suited for nature. And SO surveillance and survey.

I want to show an example. You can the red box up there in Northeastern see Pennsylvania. We're going to zoom in there and just show. So if you haven't seen, you can kind of see the difference between transmission and gathering. So this is kind of the tail end of the transmission system that you saw on the previous map. And if you advance one more slide, you'll see gatherings start to show up here on the slide. And you can see the very different nature of the gathering system. this is just the Williams gathering system in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

this area.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I can tell you that there are also literally, you know, hundreds of miles additional gathering that crisscross this area, other operators that gather in this area. the gathering pipelines are complex networks. They connect to production sites. Typically, smaller diameter lines connect to the production sites. They come into larger diameter trunk lines, and those then connect to processing facilities, or in the case Northeastern Pennsylvania, this is dry gathering. Ιt can be brought in general directly into the transmission system. And so you can see kind of the spider web nature and the topography of the gathering system is very different than in a long, linear transmission it challenging from system, so it makes an aerial surveillance perspective.

And just to put into perspective the scale of what we're looking at here, I think if you advance one more slide, this is an overlay

of the State of Rhode Island. It's a small state, but it's an entire state. So just to give you an idea of just a single gathering system, you know, spanning this area. And again, this is, you know, just the Williams system, this area. And there are literally spider web networks throughout the entire United States where we gather gas.

Go to the next slide. Sayler talked about the definitions. I did want to introduce a concept that we've been looking at since the last meeting and trying to figure out a way to phase in the advanced leak detection and repair for gathering. If you look at the regulations, these are the breakdowns that you saw Sayler's slides, a little bit of kind of abbreviated definition.

But there is a subset of Type C that is subject to various code requirements. We've basically taken one step into the Type C gathering lines for various requirements within the code. And it focuses on in Type C, those

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

lines that are greater than 16-inch in diameter or lines that are greater than or equal to 8inch nominal pipe size with a PIR exception basically is, if there's а structure within the potential impact radius of pipeline, then it's also included. And so you basically get larger diameter, larger volume pipelines and/or pipelines that are close to population with that. So Ι iust want to introduce that concept.

And if you go to the next slide, I'll kind of show you the breakdown of these different areas. This is a different gathering system; again, a Williams system. This is in kind of the Wyoming. You're seeing southwestern quarter of the state of Wyoming. You can see a transmission line that's running That transmission line runs through this area. all the way to Canada and the west coast. again, long linear line transmission line.

If you go to the next slide, you'll see gatherings start to show up. This is our

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Type A and B gathering in Wyoming. Not very much. You can see very small amount near the transmission system.

If you start bringing in Type C on the next slide. Thank you.

So this is that subset of larger diameter, larger volume Type C pipelines. If you advance another slide, now you start to see the entire kind of gathering system, the Type C that is not larger diameter close to population and the Type R. I thought I actually had another slide that showed the additional Type C come in. I might have these out of order.

Yep, I did.

Go ahead to the next slide. Well, maybe not.

But anyhow, again, just to give some perspective, and these are only the Williams systems in these areas, you can see the real spider web nature and why it's more challenging from a survey and patrol perspective. Again, a small state, but this is the outline of the

state of Delaware on both of these gathering systems. So, you know, we're managing operations across a footprint that's, you know, the size of an entire state; just one operator, one system.

Okay. Next slide.

And then another operator's example.

And I appreciate everybody. Bear with me.

This is the last example. This is in New

Mexico, San Juan Basin. If you see, there's

very little transmission in the area of this

operator. There are transmission lines that

run across this area.

If you advance the slide, you'll see on the next slide, there's your Type A and B gathering, the high-pressure large diameter gathering pipelines. If you advance to the next slide, that's your Type C. So this is the Type C, the subset that I mentioned that requires that has been kind of that first step into Type C for various parts of the regulations. If you advance another slide,

you'll see the rest of Type C show up. And this is, again, why we think it makes a lot of sense to phase the approach to gathering because you start getting much more complex networks and much more challenging. And with the blue that showed up there, you've picked up the largest diameter, the largest volume and then any pipeline that's in proximity to an inhabited structure.

If you go to the next slide, you'll see why Type R is that much more challenging.

Type R is very small diameter, generally. And again, this is just one operator. There are other operators that crisscross across this particular basin.

Next slide. And then this is also just another way of showing what Sayler had showed. I did want to introduce the concept, and I appreciate that PHMSA did as well. You can see here that there are a relatively small number of large operators that operate gathering. But one of the challenges of the

gathering space, unlike the transmission industry, we're generally more concentrated in the transmission industry, but there are very small gatherers that make up a relatively small amount of the total mileage and volume. But you can see over 500 operators. You can see that, you know, a targeted approach would certainly capture the majority of pipelines if you focus on larger operators.

And then I think I have one more slide. And so we did try to do some work between the last meeting and today to try to make sense of what I just presented and work with different stakeholders.

So we are proposing, I think it was what we had talked about in Option 1 of the slides, that you had put up, Chairman Danner, that we phase the approach to gathering for advanced leak detection requirements and repair. And we start by following the precedent that you see in that third bullet, aligns with extending regulatory requirements

1	to Type C. Those are the sections that I think
2	are the primary sections that apply to that
3	subset of Type C. And those are those
4	pipelines greater than 16 inch in diameter or 8
5	inch to 16 inch if the segment contains a
6	building intended for human occupancy or
7	another identified site within the PIR
8	classification unit. And then we would also
9	propose that we discuss a potential exclusion
10	for smaller operators. And that's all I've
11	got. Thank you.
12	MR. DANNER: Okay. And just to be
13	clear, your exclusion for small operators,
14	you're talking about Type C here, right?
15	MR. ZAMARIN: Yes.
16	MR. DANNER: Okay. All right.
17	Any questions for Chad Zamarin on
18	what he has put up?
19	Yes, Arvind?
20	MR. RAVIKUMAR: Just a point of
21	clarification on the last figure you showed.
22	Most gathering lines with a very small number

1	of operators, is that just Type C or all
2	gathering lines?
3	MR. ZAMARIN: Sorry. That data, and
4	I think Sayler's was as well, that's just Type
5	A, B, and C based on the annual report data.
6	So if you go back one slide. Oh,
7	sorry. Those are your slides. That's okay.
8	But it was just Type A, B, and C.
9	MR. RAVIKUMAR: Okay. So it doesn't
LO	include gathering lines that are not subject to
L1	reporting, right?
L2	MR. ZAMARIN: It does not. No. It
L3	was taken from the annual report data.
L4	MR. RAVIKUMAR: Thank you.
L5	MR. DANNER: No other questions or
L6	comments?
L7	Erin Murphy?
L8	MS. MURPHY: Erin Murphy, EDF.
L9	Sorry. I'm just kind of trying to absorb.
20	Can you one more time clarify the
21	distinctions between this proposal and the
22	proposal that was on the table from maybe you,

Chad, or someone from industry at the end of the last meeting?

MR. ZAMARIN: Sure. Chad Zamarin, Williams. I don't remember how the proposal got developed last meeting. I think it was an amalgamation of a lot of work that we all kind of did, and there ended up being those two options. But if I read and recall kind of Option 1, you know, there was obviously a big debate about whether or not Type C should be included at all. I think there is a view that we heard by members of the public and even some on the committee, and I know the PHMSA slide stated that the law did not explicitly state that Type C should not be included, but it was very specific that the regulation should apply to Type A and B and did not reference applying these rules to Type C. So there's a big, I think, discussion that we had about whether or not the regulation should extend to Type C. And on that first, we said that we should adopt a phased approach to including Type C gathering

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

in the regulation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

What we've tried to do with this proposal is provide some more specificity to that, what a phased approach would look like. You know, we. see how other regulatory requirements have been phased in for Type C based on the definition of larger than 16 inch and in proximity to a structure within the PIR. So that's what we were trying to do is put some more specificity around that proposal and put it in the context of understanding why. why, you know, the maps, I hope, were helpful.

You know, I think we have the goal to monitor all of our systems all of the time, but we have to deal with the fact that we don't have technology yet that allows for efficient monitoring of these complex networks across large geographies. And so that was the goal of the proposal is to fit within that first option.

MS. MURPHY: I have a follow-up.

MR. DANNER: Follow-up? Yeah.

MS. MURPHY: Thanks. Erin Murphy, EDF. Thanks for clarifying. If we could go back. Thank you.

I'm still just trying to make sure I understand the proposal that's on the table. When I hear a phased approach, I'm thinking, you know, there's a timeline and a path for when all Type C gathering would be fulfilling the survey and repair practices leak standards. And this looks to me like it's a proposal that GPAC recommended to PHMSA that only a subset of Type C gathering pipelines would be subject to leak survey and repair standards full stop. And I don't see sort of an on ramp for the remainder of the mileage.

Am I understanding that correctly?

MR. DANNER: Chad?

MR. ZAMARIN: Yeah.

Yeah. Again, this was not meant to be all encompassing as the proposal. I would go back to the prior slide. I mean, the idea that there may need to be follow-on rulemakings

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

at some point in the future, I would caution, though, that it is a challenge to, I think, set timelines around an area where technology is just now evolving. You know, I do believe that the ultimate solution for monitoring gathering systems will likely be satellite technology, but we can't today rely on satellite technology to monitor gathering systems. I mean, we've only just started that technology deployment, and it's very limited. And frankly, you saw the operator distribution for most operators would be cost prohibitive.

But again, I think what I recall from our discussion on Option 1 was to consider whether a separate rulemaking is appropriate is that we were talking about evaluating over time. If you start with this subset of Type C, PHMSA, you know, takes the learnings from that experience and understands the challenges or opportunities of extending that to additional mileage over time. That concept, I think, is what we felt makes a lot of sense. And I'm not

1 trying to suggest that this is the end, and 2 this is kind of full stop, that that wouldn't 3 something we think makes good sense. 4 Thanks. 5 All right. MR. DANNER: Sara Gosman, and then Andy Drake. 6 7 Thanks very much for MS. GOSMAN: 8 this proposal, Chad. I just wanted to make 9 sure I understand the amount of mileage that 10 you are talking about here when you're looking 11 at this subset. So what I understand is you're 12 going to take the 20,000 miles subject to leak 13 surveys out of the approximately 93,000 as of 14 the recent reporting. And then you're going to 15 also add an exception for operators under 500 16 miles. So if I'm calculating this correctly --17 MR. DANNER: I'm sorry, Sara. Could 18 you repeat those numbers? I didn't get it. 19 MS. GOSMAN: Yeah. Yeah. Yeah. 20 Well, let me put the numbers that I believe 21 I've heard, and then Chad can correct me if I'm

So I think what we're talking about

wrona.

here is about 14,200 miles out of the 93,000 miles of total Type C gathering, and how I'm getting there is by looking at the mileage that's subject to leak surveys, which is about 20,000 miles PHMSA said on the slide. And then 71 percent of Type C is over 500 miles in terms of operators. And so that gets me, again, to, if we add that on top, to about 14,200 miles. So I wanted to check my math on that with you and make sure I'm correct.

MR. DANNER: Chad.

MR. ZAMARIN: Chad Zamarin, First of all, I just suggested we Williams. small discuss whether а operator exclusion makes sense. Ι did not put а 500-mile threshold on there. But the 20,000 miles is is the correct. That subset of Type gathering that I'm proposing we focus on first. I don't know that you can exactly extrapolate the percentage to that because my guess is that larger operators likely operate the larger diameter pipe. So it might not be that you

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

can, you know, perfectly kind of extrapolate the calculation like you just did. But generally, the concept would be focus on the subset, the larger diameter pipe.

And frankly, from my perspective, I'm a large operator, so if you're focusing on that subset, I don't know if the operator exclusion makes sense or not. It was just something that I think we had recognized in the data that there is a challenge with very small operators not having the resources and this being a burden that they might not be able to bear.

MR. DANNER: All right. Andy Drake.

MR. DRAKE: Andy Drake, Enbridge. Thinking back to Erin's question, the way this strikes me is more just data right now. not sure we're at the proposal stage. think the thing that strikes me here that I is noteworthy is, one, the think gathering industry is not nearly as mature in their programmatic development or the regulatory

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

obligations as the transmission sector is. mean, that's just a fact. I mean, they just brought in to rid three here recently. there's just a subset that's getting their feet under them on programs and things. Two, the nature of gathering is not linear. So we have to understand that, that the application of tools that we've spent the last meeting mostly about have talking to be very thoughtfully deployed here because it doesn't work the same. They're not linear assets. They are reticulated assets.

So as we talk about phasing, Ι harken back to a comment that Stacy Gerard used to use: If it looks like a duck, walks like a duck, quacks like a duck, it's probably a duck. What we put up here is this looks like a duck. This looks like transmission. They're obligated to monitor. They are large. They have the ability to, you know, create a certain fingerprint and footprint. And they're physically more ready to be brought into this

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

rule because of where they are with their programmatic development and maturity. A lot of C is not in that space. They aren't obligated to do these things. Where they are with their programs on those things is not in the same place. And the technology we would have to deploy to inspect them would not be the same.

would have to switch We gears completely away from aerial patrol or aerial surveillance for probably something satellites, which I think, you know, going back to looking at the integrity rule, aqain we talked about managing certain threats and we started talking about, well, there are these coming technologies. And Ι remember quite clearly when we wanted to use this technology, we were told, no, we're not going to let you bank on managing the threat through а technology that doesn't exist. That's precedence here, too.

It's not that I think we're opposed

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

bringing in C or we're opposed satellites. I think we have to be mindful that they're new. We haven't even gotten a track record on satellites yet. And so as we think deploying to the rest of C, probably going to switch into а technology that's not very mature. And we just need to think about that. I'm not saying no. It just has to be staged to accommodate that, which is the same thing we did with the integrity rule here a few years ago.

anyway. It's not really to a proposal yet.

It's to differentiating things that look like things we've already talked about. So that subset, you know, looks like transmission. It's mature enough to be in this role, which is not exactly what was being proposed a little while ago. I think that makes sense.

Things that don't look like the duck we should think about how do we want to bring them into the rule or when would we want to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

bring or what technologies would have to happen to bring them into this place. And that's, I think, where I am anyway is just be aware of the differentiation because the different tools are going to be brought to bear, different timelines, different approaches are going to have to be brought to bear to bring those kinds of assets into this discussion. Okay.

MR. DANNER: Thank you.

Can you give me an idea of how significant the methane emissions are from the Type Cs that would be excluded here? Anybody?

Arvind, do you want to address that?

MR. RAVIKUMAR: All right. So a couple of things. There are three studies that directly measure methane emissions from gathering pipelines. I think two of them were on the slides that PHMSA put up. There was one that was published, I think, last month that 50,000 miles included about, I think, gathering pipelines. And now, most of these studies don't distinguish between the type of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

gathering pipelines because they're often the aerial surveys. But it's one of the most comprehensive measurements of methane emissions from gathering pipelines. We don't have such depth of data even for transmission pipelines.

And in all of these three studies that have been done over the past, I would say, three years measuring gathering pipeline methane emissions have emissions, been disproportionately large for gathering pipelines. And not because there's something inherent to gathering pipelines that they emit a lot of methane. I think it's because a large fraction of gathering pipelines have never been under leak detection repair programs. we know from past experience and other types of assets that the first time you do a detection repair survey, you find а of emissions, you fix them. And the second and the third and the fourth time you do it, you don't find as much emissions.

So the reason we find a very large

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

volume of methane emissions from gathering lines is because they've never been subject to LDAR regulations. Not all of them. Most of subject them have never been to LDAR If you look at the actual regulations before. numbers, depending on the basin, it can cover anywhere between 18 percent of emissions in the region to about 37 percent of emissions, and individual leaks from gathering pipelines can range from about 10 kilograms per hour to over 100 kilograms per hour. There are many small leaks that are below 10, but those are all small, and they don't contribute a lot to total emissions.

MR. DANNER: Okay. But we don't really have the information to separate out of this 93,000, the 20,000 that would be covered by this proposal versus the other 73,000.

MR. RAVIKUMAR: That is correct. However, I would say that the most recent survey that we have data from covered nearly 60 percent of gathering pipelines in several

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

basins. So it most likely included a lot of Type C miles as well. It just did not specify how much.

MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you. Erin had her card up first.

But Chad, did you want to speak to

MR. ZAMARIN: Sure.

Chad Zamarin, Williams. I was just going to say that those types of leaks and the size that Arvind is referencing, I mean, those would be from larger diameter, higher pressure, which I do believe that's the intent of what we're proposing. And we say gathering pipelines. A lot of the leaks from gathering systems, the emissions is probably a better way to state it. I mean, I've said this many times. I know we talked about it at the last meeting.

There is equipment that is designed to create emissions in gathering systems. I mean, it's going to take decades. We're

this?

1 working retrofitting, but on there 2 literally pneumatic devices that every 3 they operate, they are intentionally emitting 4 gas to atmosphere. There are flaring systems. There are venting systems. There are tanks and 5 dehydration equipment. 6 7 mean, the gathering industry, 8 again, was built for 200 years without knowledge of methane 9 being a problem and 10 potent greenhouse gas. And so I do think we 11 have to be careful with, you know, categorizing 12 the pipe that we're talking about into all of 13 those different potential sources. But from a 14 volume perspective, large leaks would be larger 15 diameter, equipment pipelines. And that's what 16 the kind of the designation is intended to 17 capture. 18 MR. DANNER: Okay. 19 Andy, did you want to speak to that? 20 I'm sorry, Erin. I keep putting you off here. 21

I

think

it's

DRAKE:

MR.

22

just

qualification. I think this is important that some of the things that Chad was just talking about: equipment, blowdowns, you know, processing plants, those are under the EPA Some of these huge sources which are site specific are plants, and the plants are under the quad O rule. And I think that's important. So those big sources, and those are big sources, we're not going to shape those here. They're shaped separately in the EPA's rule.

MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you for that. Erin Murphy?

MS. MURPHY: Thanks.

Erin Murphy, EDF. I think it's been helpful to sort of understand the contours of what Chad is putting forward. And I think I would like to take a step back and walk through some of the elements of that proposal and what we're talking about here and maybe to start with technology and what technology is available and what's expected to be used to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

gathering pipelines for survey qas The expectation from EDF's perspective, leaks. from many public stakeholders, and what's already widely in use is aerial surveys for gas gathering pipelines, which are flyovers typically by planes, I have also seen it done helicopter, those are also used transmission pipelines, they're being deployed leading operators already for gathering by pipelines. And they are widely commercially available and have been demonstrated to be able to detect and pinpoint the location of leaks on gathering pipelines.

think Ι the maps that Chad walking through, from my perspective, really demonstrate how much sense it makes to incorporate all gathering pipelines under the same leak survey and repair standard because you're seeing a geographic area where you have Type A, B, C, and even R, you know, because of the nature of the infrastructure being grouped together. So that really is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

ideally suited for that flyover technology where you can cover that swath of area and identify those leaks.

I want to talk a little bit about Yu, et al. (2022), which is one of the peerreviewed studies that was referenced by PHMSA in the proposed rule and also submitted by EDF into the rulemaking docket. That study involved aerial surveys in the Permian Basin in the United States from 2019 to 2021. They were using aircraft equipped with a sensor capable imaging and quantifying large plumes of of The aerial flights for that survey methane. campaign covered over 10,000 miles of gathering pipelines in each of the campaigns. So they were flying over the infrastructure multiple times to check and make sure if the leaks were still present or if they had been mitigated, and they identified hundreds of high emitting leaks on pipelines during those flyovers.

The researchers also used the available maps that they were able to access.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

And where there was a leak where you had a gathering line and a transmission line directly adjacent to each other and they weren't quite able to be totally sure if it was the gathering or the transmission line, they even excluded those identified leaks from the study, just to ensure that the study was really only including leaks identified on gathering pipelines. think that makes sense for the peer-reviewed research context but shows you if you're the operator, right, that's when that follow-up on the ground to pinpoint the site of the leak with a handheld or whatever technology you're using is when you would be able to pinpoint that leak.

That's not the only study. There are a couple others that are out there, and as Arvind just noted, I'm interested in the new one that came out. There's more research going on all the time here. I think satellites are also a really promising technology for methane detection, but satellites are picking up

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

massive leaks. And obviously, it's critical that we mitigate massive methane sources to address climate change. But those are not the only leaks that are important. And the aerial technologies that are commercially available and proven are really what, I think, makes the most sense for gathering pipelines and also was what GPAC supported at the last meeting when we were talking about the technology standard of 10 kilograms per hour for transmission and gathering.

I also just wanted to very briefly speak to emissions. I know Arvind spoke to it. I think, you know, we don't have a precise emissions number for the different gathering pipeline types. I would say, you know, looking at the maps Chad was sharing and seeing that information laid out really makes me think importance including gathering the of pipelines in the NPMS, the importance of making this information available to the public. researchers that we work with are not able to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

do a study that says these leaks are on this type of gathering pipeline because we don't have that information. So really great to see those maps. You know, I hope those can be submitted to the docket. And I also think that kind of speaks to the importance of information all understand access so that we can infrastructure and be starting in the same place.

I think the final point I want to It feels like a lot of this make is on timing. discussion is not so much about what makes sense in terms of where can we find leaks and I think, you know, PHMSA's proposed fix them? supporting record, the information rule in stakeholders have submitted, really demonstrate all Type C gathering pipelines accessible. We can find and fix the leaks on pipelines, those and they're already а regulated category of gathering pipeline that should be fully included in a final rule.

It really feels to me like we're

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

talking more about timing: How much time does industry need to scale up and comply with those standards? This kind of brings to mind, we've been referencing this date in May of this year, which I'm very much excited to know that that day is coming when, you know, the industry is going to be coming fully into compliance with the 2021 Gas Gathering lines rule. We saw the industry seek iudicial review of gathering PHMSA's 2021 gathering pipeline's final rule, and the industry and PHMSA were able to reach a consensus, right, not to fully litigate that And instead, the real determination was issue. industry needs another year. They needed some more time.

And so there's that agreement that's in place and the expectation that the enforcement discretion notice will end in May.

And so, you know, if we can avoid all of that and the Committee can talk about timing, but I feel like maybe that's getting us more to the compliance deadline part of the conversation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 But I just want to bring that up now since it 2 feels like a pertinent issue. 3 MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you. Robert Ross? 4 5 MR. ROSS: Robert Ross, PHMSA. Тο 6 build what Erin pointed out, this on is 7 discussed at length in the Federal Register 8 notice for the rulemaking at Page 31912. 9 PHMSA, when we were supporting the 10 actually went back and compared Texas Railroad 11 Commission data speaking to the diameter of 12 pipe that correlates roughly to what would be a 13 Type C or other Part 192 regulated pipeline and 14 determined that a lot of the pipeline mileage 15 that, you know, the EDF Permian Basin summary 16 study looked at was, in fact, Part 192 17 regulated line pipe as opposed to other 18 facilities. Thanks. 19 right. MR. DANNER: All Chad 20 Zamarin? 21 MR. ZAMARIN: Yeah. Thanks. 22 Chad Zamarin, Williams. I think

those are important data points. I do want to caution we're talking about standards, not just for the Permian Basin, not just for a subset of pipe in a study. And just to give, you know, more clarity, you cannot fly like the lines that I showed in Pennsylvania with an airplane. Because of the geography, because of topography, we actually have to fly helicopter because of the changing elevation and the inability to fly fixed-wing aircraft at fixed height across that landscape.

last And in the 10 years, we've detected one leak on that gathering system, and it would take two to three weeks of flying a helicopter constantly in order to survey that And you would burn more emissions in area. flying a helicopter around that area. don't survey all gathering systems with aerial surveillance. practical It's not in areas.

And we're talking about minimum standards that have to apply to all pipelines,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

not just a pipeline that's linear in the Permian Basin or that was the subject of a study. We're talking about every single, you know, pipeline situation is going to be impacted by the regulation. So I think we've got to keep in mind that unfortunately, it's not that simple and straightforward for all pipelines. Thank you.

MR. DANNER: Thank you. Andy Drake?

MR. DRAKE: Thank goodness for long arms. Andy Drake, Enbridge. I want to come back to something Erin said, and I think this is important. And that is, we agree the aerial patrol is an effective technology that it can pick up leaks, you know, and that it's proven. I think the thing that we're trying to see here is how can we apply it to this kind of asset? It's not the same as it is on transmission.

The linear assets are very conducive to support

When we start looking at these kinds of assets, we basically have to start switching

an aerial flight program.

over to a matrix-type flying, which means we fly back and forth and back and forth to cover all of the different kind of reticulation of that asset. And it's not very efficient, especially when we start laying lots and lots of gathering systems on top of that. We would basically be flying entire states, the whole thing. And that's where we're trying to get to a place. We have to find a more practicable solution to monitor that kind of asset, shape, and orientation.

I think what we're putting forward is the duck phenomenon. There's certain assets in the gathering that are already monitoring these assets for leaks that we think because of their limited scope, so to speak, 22,000 miles, that we would bring them in under the aerial program. But how we handle the rest of that system, especially as we start looking out, when we start saying all, to try to cover all of the gathering system, I mean, all, aerial patrol would not be practical. We would

literally have to fly matrix flights over vast parts of the United States. And that is not doable, not sustainable, or practical for that kind of scale. So we've got to figure out a different answer for that kind of asset base. I think that's what we're struggling with, to be honest.

MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you, Andy. Arvind?

MR. RAVIKUMAR: So two points. Ι mean, last time when we were discussing all of were focused on the technology this, for all standard the right reasons because technology is evolving. Things that didn't work yesterday will work tomorrow. You know, just between our last meeting and this meeting, there's been an extensive survey of gathering pipelines in Pennsylvania, bicarbonate map on an aerial platform. So we can see in real time that technologies are evolving rapidly, that new technologies can be deployed to measure methane emissions from gathering pipelines in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

complex terrain.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So why don't we continue on with the same technology standard? Like before we'll say, you know, use whatever technology is most feasible at the time of your measurement. Let's have the technology standard at 10 kilograms per hour. If an operator finds its satellites are most effective, then that's what If another operator they're going to use. finds aerial technologies are better, they'll use that. So maybe perhaps we can move on to setting the technology standard and not worrying about which specific technology operator will want to choose.

MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you. Sara Gosman?

MS. GOSMAN: Yeah. Thank you.

You know, I think this conversation is largely, again, about cost, right, and how operators are going to manage the costs of these programs. So I just want to make sure that we understand, I think, the

characteristics of the industry, and I want to that PHMSA had put some figures repeat because I think they were helpful to me in understanding these issues. So for example, right, 62 percent of mileage is operated by 18 operators with over 1,000 miles. And again, I think it's important to compare that to the 20 percent that you are talking about here for subset, right, of mileage. this So we're talking about taking operators out of this particular requirement who have large amounts of miles. And it seems to me that, you know, they should be able to bear the cost of making sure that their systems are not leaking.

You know, another thing I think is important for me is that there are operators who are operating other pipelines, such as transmission pipelines, right? And everyone agrees transmission should be subject to these advanced leak detection requirements. So, you know, if 78 percent of Type C gathering is operated by operators who also have

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

transmission, I'm looking at that number and thinking about the subset that you are talking about. And it seems to me that what we're doing is we're exempting out operators who have transmission and thus, should have the ability to really stand up a program.

If we're talking about, really, the cost of the survey themselves, then I think what we're talking about is things like survey frequency, right? Which I think is something that we could have a conversation about in this room as it relates to reducing costs. But the conversation right now that we're having is really whether somebody should be subject to this program at all. And that seems to me like a lot of operators out there should, under these statistics, be able to do this program.

MR. DANNER: Chad Zamarin?

MR. ZAMARIN: Thanks.

Chad Zamarin, Williams. Yeah. Just to be clear, I don't think it's just about cost. I think it's cost-benefit. I think

we're trying to identify the pipelines that the greatest potential for leaking volume. And with the technology at the state that it is, I mean, we've done analysis, like I mentioned. If we were to be flying with a northeastern helicopter that Pennsylvania system for 10 years and only found one leak, the emissions that we would've generated from even beyond the cost, the emissions we would generate from flying a helicopter across an area the size of the state of Rhode Island would dwarf the volume we would've that detected and mitigated by finding that leak.

And so I really do think it's costbenefit. Like, are we targeting the effort towards where we will have the greatest impact and that's why we're starting with those areas efficiently where we can most attack the largest diameter, the largest volume potential sources of emissions. I mean, the reason why have this on the transmission system there are vastly larger emission sources on

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

transmission than there are on gathering. it happens to be from operational conditions primarily. We'll talk about. t.hat. on classification. But it really isn't just cost. what's practical for the benefit we're getting. And that's why we're proposing to start, you know, with the where you have the greatest impact on а volume and a perspective. Thanks.

MR. DANNER: So what I'm hearing in this conversation so far is, if I can look at the proposal that Chad put up there, I think that there is nervousness around providing the bullet point that says, provide an exclusion for small operators. And it sounds like there is probably some room here with regard to implementation timelines for small operators. And I'm just throwing out an idea; I'm not throwing out language.

But just something along the lines of PHMSA may consider delayed timelines for other Type C gathering lines if it determines

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

that current technology for leak detection is not developed or is not cost-effective or may not result in detection of significant methane something along those lines. in leaks, So other words, there's no exemption, but we can tell PHMSA to consider delayed timelines under these certain circumstances and let it figure out what is cost-effective, let it figure out what current technology works or doesn't work. just punt it back to And them because we they're really smart.

Diane Burman?

MS. BURMAN: Yes.

I just want to kind of level set a little bit here. I heard two things that I'm just not sure that I fully agree with or understand. Well, we're really talking about timing and how much time to give. And then the second thing I heard was, well, we're really only talking about costs. I don't think that those two things are sort of the be all and end all from a siloed perspective. I think it's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

larger than that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

first have Т think that we recognize what we can agree with, which is, we agree with that there are all can We may sit differently on where that concerns. is, but we all recognize that there are legal concerns that will have to play itself out, but that we have to be cognizant of that we don't want regulatory uncertainty from some of the concerns however legitimate legal they addressed. The second is that we do recognize that within that, legal concerns, PHMSA will also have to look at whether or not a separate rulemaking is appropriate. Separate doesn't matter really what we say; that's something that they should legitimately have to look at regardless in terms of what we might be putting forward.

I do think that we all can agree that we need to ensure we have a prudent implementation that takes into account what the appropriate timelines are and takes into

what t.he account costs are, but more importantly, what of the unintended some consequences are. So if we set up a system you know, I'm not on the ground understand, you know, how many times you're to flyover, the unintended going have a consequences are, one, it may not be able to be done in certain areas; two, by doing that, we may actually have more environmental concerns for little benefit or not, depending on where you are.

what And so for me, I'm kind of hearing is that what are the ways that we can understand that this is not a static situation? Technology may progress enough that by the end of the day, all of this is for not, right? whether that's today, whether that's in a year, whether that's in 10 years, whether that's in 20 years, we just don't know. What we do need to do is to encourage the facilitation of appropriate resources to find the technological solutions and to help with the approaches to do

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

some of this.

And I think, Erin, your concern as I hear it is also, you want to make sure that we're encouraging people to move fast as appropriate rather than just moving to the lower standard. And so how do we do that in a way that is helping, but it's not a one size fits all? We do have to recognize that the larger operators may be able to handle things better than the smaller operators. And even within all that, there's a lot of subsets.

I think all of this is trying to put forward some of our best thoughts. But for every operator working with the regulator, both at the state and the federal, there's going to be needing to look at, what does this look like from a pilot perspective? How we work on something initially, we may learn why now it's important to look at it differently, to now we've gotten it right. We've figured it out, better technology, better resources, better way of handling it. And now we can move to the

second phase. So I'm not opposed to a phasedin process because I think that that's
literally how regulation and the market needs
to be to get it right as we go forward.

So I'm trying to figure out what's the common ground to get us back to, not just voting on Option 1, Option 2, Option 3, and Option 4, you know, but really more what is it that we have as a framework that's giving us the tools that's then giving PHMSA the tools to decide, based on all that you're hearing, what makes sense because it's really important that we look carefully at ensuring that we're moving And the forward. word Ι keep hearing, least, is practical. Is it practicable? sustainable? Is it setting it up for a prudent timeline with some appropriate phase-in, maybe it's phase-in in time, maybe it's phase-in in different avenues, maybe it's phase-in of the size of the operator. All of these factors have to be looked at in a more holistic way. So that's kind of where I'm coming from from

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 what I'm hearing. So I don't know if that's 2 helpful or not, but --3 MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you 4 very much. 5 All right. Andy, then Arvind, then Erin. 6 7 MR. DRAKE: Andy Drake, Enbridge. 8 appreciate Commissioner Burman's comments. Those make a lot of sense. I think what we're 9 10 trying to do is set constraints in, you know, 11 some, sort of, construct of a discussion and 12 the path to get there. And I think binary is 13 not a good path. All or none, right now and 14 are not healthy. ever, those We now, 15 didn't do that on integrity management. Ι 16 think we should be very careful about trying to 17 do that here. 18 And when we started out integrity 19 management, the conversation was about this is

And when we started out integrity management, the conversation was about this is what integrity management is. This is what we want to accomplish. And we started off how to manage it, and then we said HCAs. We didn't

20

21

say we weren't going to do the rest of the system. We want to start somewhere. We want to get going and get programs in place and then start moving with the Prieto proposition to add value as quickly as possible.

To your point, Commissioner Danner, I think that the small operators, again, it was not intended as an inclusion or an exclusion; I think it is intended as just data to how do you phase. Well, Prieto. Go after the operators and get them in here quickly. then maybe you stage a second tier for a small. Ιf And there's a precedence to that. remember right, the liquid rule so many years ago set a threshold of 500 miles. So operators bigger than 500 miles, they were in. Operators that came in that were smaller than 500 miles came in a second year, a second phase. And I think those kind of tools will be helpful to us figuring out how to address these different constraints.

I do think that the technology issue

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

is real. It is a limitation of practicability. To do matrix flying over the entire state of Texas isn't going to work. That's not going to work. Then you got to do it over Tennessee. Then we got to do it over Pennsylvania and then New York. I mean, that would just be matrix flying over the entire United States with aerial patrol. That is not practicable. We're going to have to find another technology to do that.

So what is that technology? are those thresholds? How does that work? How do we ramp that in? And I think that is very with how we looked congruent at integrity management over the last two decades is, you know, we're going to try to figure out how to do this more practically. We were starting to do cracks with hydrostatic testing, if remember, back 20 years ago. Well tough, but we did it until we got technology there to deploy inline inspection tools, which we do now for crack management.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

So I think there's some precedences we want to try to use here to break this down.

MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you.

Arvind?

MR. RAVIKUMAR: I want to make two points, and I fully agree with Chad's comment the cost-effectiveness about of methane I've run dozens of mitigation. Now, field campaigns in the country, and Ι know expensive it can very quickly when get start doing these measurements. One of the haven't things we talked about is а key of cost-effectiveness component is а survey frequency. Perhaps one way to think about it is for the larger diameter pipelines, you can do it at a more frequent survey. For smaller diameter pipelines we can do less frequent surveys, like once in three years or once in five years, like we did during our discussion for the distribution segments.

My second point is that Chad is right in that large diameter pipelines tend to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

have larger volume leaks. But that does not mean the probability that any given pipeline will leak depends on the diameter. Even smaller pipelines can leak. It's just that when they leak, they'll have smaller volumes. Thank you.

MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you very much. Erin?

MS. MURPHY: Thanks.

that might Ι think one thing be helpful for me is if we could display Option 2, I believe, from the last discussion just to sort of be clear where I'm coming from. believe this was the option that I had largely put forward at the end of the last meeting. And this is really to reiterate full inclusion of Type C gathering lines under leak survey and repair standards and to recommend that PHMSA and, you know, consider a evaluate future rulemaking for leak survey and repair standards for Type R gathering lines. And I wanted to and make that point put that up to just

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

that, know, the emphasize you publicly available information and the understanding that we have of this infrastructure is that gas gathering pipelines, including the more rural pipelines, are a significant source of methane emissions from leakage around the country, and that it needs to be a priority for PHMSA and for the public and for industry to be thinking about how to mitigate those emissions.

You know, what is that phase approach? What does that look like? Well, PHMSA spent 10 years completing a rulemaking on gas gathering pipelines. During that period of infrastructure time, know, that has you expanded as hydraulic fracturing has continued to expand across the United States. And we've also gotten a better understanding through the more recent survey campaigns of what the impact of that infrastructure is.

PHMSA, you know, spent a lot of time to create these carefully defined regulatory categories, including Type C. And so I think

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

that, you know, further sort of parsing, we could create categories into infinity, right? We could have Type C1 and 2 and 3. But that's really making this more and more complex, I think, in one hand for operators in terms of determining compliance, also for the public, in terms of understanding what standards apply to a given pipeline that might be running through their backyard or their community. So rather than breaking things up, you know, it's what PHMSA determined in the proposed rule to have leak survey and repair standards apply universally to Type C. And then the other category that the agency has already created, Type R, which I want to note that, you know, from our perspective, there's a lot of information on the table to apply leak survey and repair standards to Type R gathering lines now, but for the GPAC to recommend that PHMSA evaluate that infrastructure for a future rulemaking.

Another point I wanted to flag as

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

we're talking about sort of cost and impact is we have some more data now on incidents on gathering pipelines since that reporting has into effect. just since come And 2022, operators have reported 86 incidents on all gas gathering lines have been reported to PHMSA. Five of those incidents were on Type A or B pipelines, 43 of those incidents were on Type C pipelines, and 38 were on Type R pipelines. And total, those incidents have cost operators and communities over \$30 million in property damage, emergency services, product loss, the impacts of an incident. So compared to Type A and B gathering pipelines, we see that most of the incident costs are associated with Type C or Type R pipelines. And Type C pipelines in particular made up nearly half of all of the incident costs from 2022 to present. It was about \$14 million out of the over \$30 million of total incident costs.

There's also some information that PHMSA shared earlier on leaks. I'll just

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

briefly flag, and I talked about some of this at the last meeting. But it's been a while, so I do want to bring it up again, that the data that we've seen reported by gathering operators showed on Type R pipelines, which are subject to any leak survey or repair standards by PHMSA, operators voluntarily reported over 4,300 leaks in 2022 that were found and fixed, which is fantastic. That shows, you leadership by operators who are managing this infrastructure responsibly, identifying leaks, fixing them, and voluntarily reporting that information to the agency. And that was only 87 out of the 466 operators of Type R lines. So again, you know, leadership by some members of industry, but also shows you how many more leaks are out there on those lines that just haven't been identified or reported yet.

So thinking about, you know, that data point and then what we were talking about earlier that the leaks that have even been reported on the Type C gathering pipelines are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

likely for a smaller subset, not all 90,000 miles of lines because we don't expect that all operators are voluntarily doing leak survey and repair at this point. We don't know, right? Like, we can't say exactly what the extent of methane emissions or leaks are on Type C in work with particular, but we have to information that we have. And I think, you know, our knowledge of the extent of methane emissions, the incidents that we've occurring, particularly on the Type C and also the Type R lines at that higher rate, really indicates the importance of moving forward, supporting, I would say, the proposed rule that fully covers Type C.

There's one other point I wanted to make since it seems like we're starting to have a conversation about smaller operators. So I was taking a look, and I think if I understood correctly, earlier in the discussion, Chad was referencing smaller operators as those who have under 500 miles of Type A, B, and C combined.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

So I just was taking a look at that from the information that's been reported. And one thought that comes to mind and concern that comes to mind for me when we think about, like, breaking off smaller operators, is some of the operators who have reported under 500 miles include Kinder Morgan Texas Pipeline Company, Shell, Targa Northern Delaware, LLC, Gathering. South Texas CCMG You see some companies that I am not, you know, perfectly knowledgeable about the pipeline industry, but you see Fortune 500 companies, you see large operators.

So I guess one thing that I think is really important is if we're talking about, you know, a really small operator that might need more time to stand up a leak survey and repair program, to me that needs to be, what is a really small operator? That needs to be really carefully defined. And also thinking about, well, any operator that already owns and manages Type A and B gas gathering pipelines,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	if they are in compliance with federal
2	standards, they should already have a leak
3	survey and repair program in place, which
4	obviously would have to be extended to their
5	Type C lines if they haven't already done that.
6	But I think standing up the program is, you
7	know, a step for operators. And so for those
8	who have already done it, it's a lot less of a
9	hurdle.
10	I know that was a lot of points.
11	Thanks, everyone.
12	MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you.
13	Alan and then Chad. And then we
14	might take a break because we're getting on
15	time.
16	Oh. Chad, go ahead.
17	MR. ZAMARIN: Okay. Chad Zamarin,
18	Williams. Thanks.
19	And Erin, thanks for that. I do
20	want to be clear. We aren't, in our proposal,
21	talking about creating new subsets or new
22	categories. We were using existing categories

that were created for this very purpose to extend requirements, regulatory requirements in a way that phases in, recognizing that there are practical limitations on what we can do for different types of pipelines for the benefits that we would be able to capture. And so I want to make that clear.

And I'll give you an example. Ι know we talk a lot about emerging technology, and it's great and it's exciting. But, you know, we're one of the few operators. actually launched satellites that we're trying to use to monitor our infrastructure. been six months, and we're still trying to calibrate the equipment in outer Ι space. we're talking about practical mean, not solutions today for pipeline systems. They're coming, and I think that they will evolve over time and hopefully become more accessible. there are just practical limitations on what we can do today. And so Ι do think it's important.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

I mean, if you're proposing Option

2, I can tell you that as an operator, I can't
support all Type C and all Type R. It is not
practical. It will just not work. And so, I
mean, I'm happy for us to vote on that proposal
if that's the plan, but I just don't think it
works.

And so we're not trying to say that want to extend this to gathering don't we systems. We're saying, like we've done in other regulatory frameworks, do it in a way where you extend to where the benefit is greatest first. PHMSA can always, you know, promulgate additional regulations as we learn from that first largest chunk of pipelines that come under the regulations, get that experience so that we're not doing everything everywhere all at once in a way that totally, you know, disrupts the whole energy value chain. Thank you.

MR. DANNER: All right.

Alan Mayberry?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1 I was going to say, MR. MAYBERRY: 2 thank you for the amazing discussion. I would 3 suggest we take about a 15-minute break. And 4 if Committee could consider the any 5 modifications to the voting language we have, 6 but back discuss come ready to any modifications and have a bit of discussion as 7 8 we come back and then try to close out on this. 9 think the public record you've 10 established is, you know, quite good for us. Ι 11 think we're ready to get your recommendation, 12 whether it's unanimous or not, and then move 13 from there. But I think we definitely hear all 14 of the sides. But you know, with that, I'll 15 turn it back to you. 16 MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you. 17 So it is about five after, and let's 18 take a 15-minute break. We'll be back at 20 19 after. 20 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 10:05 a.m. and 21

resumed at 10:44 a.m.)

1 MR. DANNER: All right. We're back 2 from our short 10-minute break. All right. 3 think people have had time to talk. We have 4 some options that we've had up on the board. Ι 5 wonder who would like to start our discussion. Okay. John is going 6 MR. MAYBERRY: to put some language up. Just bear with us. 7 All 8 right. Who has observations about the While we're waiting, 9 cherry blossoms? Yeah. 10 there's the option of ordering lunch from the 11 So if you didn't fill in your card, do 12 so and you desire that option. There's another 13 obscure option we didn't mention last time, but 14 Costco is about a 10-minute walk away. 15 you want to go to Costco and get a \$1.50 hot 16 dog and a soda, that's an option as well. 17 MR. DANNER: Yeah. Bear with us. 18 We're preparing some voting language. 19 All right. Sara Gosman, I believe 20 this is language that you were sponsoring? 21 MS. GOSMAN: Yeah. Thank you very 22 much. So this is a variation of Option 2 that

attempts to get at some of the issues that we've been talking about, but very importantly keeps Type C gathering in this rulemaking. think there are some considerations t.hat. can work with here, which includes alternative survey frequency, you know, just the general set of issues around compliance timelines. And we've had a robust discussion well here as as we have public comments, stakeholder perspectives that the agency can pull from in thinking about compliance timelines.

And then I think we continue to want to raise the issue of Type R as being part of the methane emissions problem. And so we would the agency to consider evaluating the want appropriateness of extending those requirements data and the current based on state of technology into a future rulemaking, but not in this rulemaking. again, think So I perspective is it's important to keep Type C I think we are in a place where we know in.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

that there is a general set of issues here as it relates to leaks from Type C pipelines. We know that this is a significant part of our methane emissions from our pipeline systems that we need to address.

I think that PHMSA has the tools and capacity to understand the costsome of effectiveness issues that have been raised by the industry members here on GPAC. And I think it should go back to the expert agency to work with those, as well as the very important goal here of addressing and mitigating climate I think at the end of the day, we need change. pipeline systems that don't leak, that that's part of the social license of what it means to be an operator in a world in which we are facing dramatic changes based on climate change.

MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you.

Are there comments or questions with regard to this proposal?

Andy Drake?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Andy Drake, Enbridge. MR. DRAKE: appreciate you taking the shot here. I think we're all trying to figure out how to put our arms around this. And not like this is a menu, but. think one thing that helped in integrity management discussion was a statement of intent and direction. Where do we want to go and be? And I think, you know, in this, what I sense that we agree on is that we want extend leak detection to distribution, transmission, and the gathering that's jurisdictional to PHMSA, you know, the Type C gathering, A, B, C gathering. I think that's an important guidance to PHMSA. That is our goal.

Just like we said, with integrity management, we want to deploy integrity management to all the assets, okay? That's kind of where we are here. And I think that that's an important statement, too. So then the question becomes, how do you get there? Like, okay. And we've kind of talked through,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

different degrees of maturity, there's there's different things that probably are having a bigger influence on t.he methane picture. We don't have clear data. Actually, we all tread carefully in that space because we aren't as well-informed as we would like to be, which is actually guidance to PHMSA that we need better data on some of this so we make better choices.

But based on the data that we have, we're saying big pipes, big things that look like transmission, they already have programs in place. They're already starting to do some monitoring. Those should be in now. It's the first phase anyway.

I would say that the small operator thing, I wouldn't overemphasize that too much.

I think that's more of they're coming. They just may need a little bit more time. So they would be in this requirement as well.

Where I sense the disconnect between us right now is the practicability of doing the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

technology of aerial current survey on, essence, the 70,000 miles of additional C. And I think that that is a significant issue that's differentiating between us is that there's a position that you're holding that technology is there. And I would not argue The technology is there, and it does that. work. It's just the question of practicability of doing matrix flying over the entire United States to cover all of C.

And that's where I'm struggling. We're going to have to find a technology to effectuate that that I don't think exists right now. And so how do we make space for that to happen? So that's my concern is really that we're putting all of C in there, knowing, in my opinion, that the technology we currently have available is not practicable to do that.

MR. DANNER: Is there language that identifies technology? I mean, is there language that you could insert into this that would mitigate some of your concerns or address

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 some of your concerns? 2 MR. DRAKE: You want me to respond? 3 MR. DANNER: You may. The question 4 is to you. 5 MR. DRAKE: Okay. Thank you. just don't want to violate any of our rituals 6 7 or order here. 8 Andy Drake, Enbridge. I, too, have 9 great confidence in the regulator, and I think 10 that how much sausage making we actually want 11 to get into we should be very careful about. 12 That's why I think it's important to set a 13 Our goal is to get these assets in here. qoal. 14 Here's some constraints. Here's some things 15 we're thinking about. We got to figure this 16 And I think that, you know, to put some out. 17 language in there that says to PHMSA, 18 should continue to study technologies to help 19 make it practicable to do beyond this, you 20 know? 21 And I know that's not up here, but

the mileage that Chad was proposing is I think

very confidently doable. And I think we can pass the red face test on the vote of, is this practical, reasonable, or cost-efficient? to put that in there. The next tranche is where I can't say it's practical, reasonable, and cost-efficient. So do we defer to PHMSA? Well, keep looking for technologies to figure out how to do this and then deploy that technology that requirement when becomes practical. I really don't have a big problem with that. So that's the difference that I sense between where you are and where I am right now.

MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you. Arvind, and then Chad.

MR. RAVIKUMAR: Arvind Ravikumar, University of Texas. Ι think Andy's point if that, you know, you suddenly have requirement of doing 100,000 miles tomorrow, that's going to become challenging, not just about, you know, whether the technology can do it, but whether the technology is available

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

just because of scaling up in such a short

time. But I think we cannot separate the

technology and the total mileage covered from

the survey frequency component of it.

For example, if you say that, you know, you have to survey all Type C pipelines every five years and the rule becomes effective starting in 2025 or something, we are talking about one survey of all Type pipelines by 2030. So it's not tomorrow. There's going to be seven years that you have to finish one survey of all Type C pipelines that would start with 20 percent on average of the total pipeline in 2025 or 2026. That's not a significant imposition. So there's a phasedin approach. And so we want to sort of discuss together about how the technology issues that Andy raised, which are real, can be combined with a survey frequency parameter to make it practicable over the next five, six years; not five, six months.

MR. DANNER: Thank you. Chad?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MR. ZAMARIN: Thanks.

Chad Zamarin, Williams. And I know we just took a break, but we may need to --

MR. DANNER: I liked what you said.

Yeah. MR. ZAMARIN: I do appreciate the idea. And you had asked Chairman about the language up here. We've tried really hard over the last several months to canvass the understand what's operating industry to practicable. And in annual surveys of 90,000 miles of pipelines that have not been regulated, the vast majority of which smaller diameter and not close to people, is not practicable. And so that's why we had come up with the proposal that we had that said a subset of that we do believe, as we canvassed the operators and understood the current state of technology and what could be accomplished, that that is practicable.

Is there some other frequency that might work for pipelines beyond that subset that we identified that could work for annual?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

There could be. I think we would need to,
again, do a little bit of work with some of the
operators that are here. But that's the issue
that we have with this kind of language that
says we're going to extend to all Type C. I
mean, recall, we were at no Type C was kind of
a position and everything included. We're
trying to figure out a way to work our way in
and over time extend further kind of as we can.
Thank you.
MR. DANNER: Thank you. Alan?
MR. MAYBERRY: Is there some
alternative language we could get up on the
screen? I mean, we have this option here now.
I'm just
MR. ZAMARIN: Yeah.
MR. MAYBERRY: seeing so we have
MR. ZAMARIN: Sorry. Yeah. You
know, it's really a new Option 1 and 2 perhaps.
But yeah. Chad Zamarin with Williams. Yeah.
Sorry. Our option was basically taking the

1 language on Type C that defines on Option 1 the 2 phase in approach to mean the pipe -- it was 3 put up as an Option 3, but we would propose 4 just adding that criteria to Option 1, and then 5 of the Option 1 rest language kind addresses this idea of a phased-in approach. 6 7 MR. MAYBERRY: Okay. Let me put 8 that up. 9 MR. ZAMARIN: Ι know you had an 10 Option 3 up there, but it wasn't meant to be 11 that. 12 MR. DANNER: And I guess now it's 13 Option 5. 14 MR. ZAMARIN: It's complicated. 15 Oh, wait a minute. Okay. Whew. We've got 16 five options. And in the spirit of trying to 17 something done, I would remove get 18 exception for smaller operators. I think PHMSA 19 can always look at those things, but what I'm 20 trying to focus us on is big pipe, big volumes. 21 That should be, you know, subject to

regulations. You know, to Andy's point: If it

walks like a duck, if it quacks like a duck, you know, it's a duck. So the idea that if it's greater than 16 inches in diameter or 8 to 16 inches in proximity to a building intended for occupancy.

MR. DANNER: All right. Erin Murphy?

MS. MURPHY: Thanks.

Erin Murphy, EDF. I think from my perspective, the very important starting point is that PHMSA has proposed that leak survey and standards apply to Type C gathering repair appropriate for PHMSA lines, and it's finalize that, and therefore, in my opinion, appropriate for this committee to recommend support for that. I think the place for flexibility and making sure that, you know, industry is able to satisfy leak survey and repair comes in when we talk about what does the frequency look like for smaller diameter Type C? What does the compliance deadline look like when different parts of industry are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

expected to come into compliance with this standard?

To me, excluding certain diameters entirely from leak survey and repair standards just doesn't make sense right now. We know there leaks t.hat. are Type gathering on C pipelines. that the We know measurement technologies are commercially available. Ι′m hearing some reactions to that idea that Ι haven't heard previously. But from all of the folks in the field that I've spoken with, I also was trying to look back at the public comments that were given a long time ago now. I know there were some technology providers that gave public comment on their readiness to start, you know, implementing these surveys for operators. So I think it's very clear that Type C should be subject to leak survey and standards and then think about what repair flexibilities like those look enable to compliance.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

going to pretend my own card was up. I just feel like we're backing away further because I see now we're doing implementation timelines on thought were actually squarely that Ι ones inside the rule in the earlier proposals. think earlier Ι that today, mean, you identified those said that you should covered. And then, you know, the proposal was, what do we do with the remaining? And you said, well, separate rulemaking. But I think, know, that's where the delayed you implementation can be. And that's where PHMSA can determine based on technology, based on cost-effectiveness, based on other factors, what the appropriate timelines are.

But you've taken the language out about exemptions. But now we're considering separate rulemaking. So I'm struggling with this. I kind of liked the Option 3 better with adding implementation timelines for those assets that were not covered in your original description.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 So my own feeling on Option 5 is 2 you're moving backwards, not forwards. would like us to kind of go back to number 3 3 4 and sort of, again, focus on what's in, what 5 in the future, and give PHMSA the discretion to determine what will be in the 6 7 future based on technology and based on cost-8 effectiveness. And then we can be done. 9 that's my 2 cents worth. And I'll --10 MR. ZAMARIN: Can Ι give 11 direct response to that? 12 MR. DANNER: Yes, please. 13 Chad MR. ZAMARIN: Zamarin, 14 Thank you, Chairman Danner. Williams. I quess 15 I hadn't seen the language when it was all 16 mashed together until it just got put up on the 17 But yeah, Option 3 is effectively, you 18 know, an attempt to try to simplify the 19 In fact, the two bullets there aren't concept. 20 even necessary. They were explanatory, large bullet 2 and bullet 3. 21

And I do have a question maybe for

Erin and Sara because again, I think we haven't talked about the survey frequency. But if we added Type C outside of that subset, but subject to a five-year survey frequency, would we get support from the group? Because I don't want us to be working on something that's not going to then eventually get support. But that is a concept that we have not fully vetted with the operators, but we could certainly spend a bit of time to understand if we had more time for that additional Type C mileage.

Is that something worth working on?

I think we would like to know if that'll get us full support.

MR. DANNER: So on this option, again, I think I would personally be able to support this option if you took out the bullets and provide an exclusion for small operators and put in the word, set appropriate implementation timelines for other Type gathering so that it makes clear that the ones that are not covered in your description on top

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 the ones that PHMSA should consider 2 appropriate timelines given the implementation 3 challenges, meaning technology and costs and 4 other things. And that's where I would think, 5 you know, might be the best we can do today, if we're looking for some kind of consensus. 6 But 7 anyway, again, just my thoughts. 8 And, Alan, did you want to step in? I want to hear 9 No. MR. MAYBERRY: from Erin and --10 11 MR. DANNER: Okay. 12 MR. MAYBERRY: -- then Sara and then 13 14 Erin, and then Sara. MR. DANNER: 15 MS. MURPHY: Erin Murphy, EDF. 16 it's changing. Okay. Trying to react here. Ι 17 think I was going to directly respond to Chad's 18 question about, you know, thinking about the 19 survey frequency for the remainder of Type C. And I think that that is the conversation that 20 21 makes sense to me to have is leak survey and

standards are applicable

repair

22

to

Type

gathering lines. What does that look like for different subsets of Type C? Potentially a different survey frequency for the smaller diameter lines I think is something that could make sense to discuss.

I heard you say five years. I think my first thought there is, you know, we've seen a recognition in the distribution systems that five years as a baseline is, you know, not necessarily enough to catch all of the leaks and repair them in a timely fashion, that a lot in five can happen years. And we've seen movement there to annual and to three years for the remainder of distribution systems. I'm thinking about, you know, what sort of the starting point is that PHMSA has proposed is applicable to gathering pipelines, which is the transmission pipeline frequency standards, which are twice a year or four times a year, depending on the location. So I'm kind of reacting and can give that some more thought. But I think, you know, hopefully there's room

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 for a conversation there. 2 MR. DANNER: Sara? 3 MS. GOSMAN: Yeah. Thanks for the 4 Hail Mary pass. And I think we would like to 5 have further discussion about the survey frequency, but we are open to a longer survey 6 7 frequency. I mean, I think if the conversation we're having right now is how do we get in 8 those smaller diameter lines, but allow for 9 more time in order to be able to survey them so 10 11 that really know what's going on 12 systems, Ι think that's the conversation 13 would love to have. And I think that we could 14 have that conversation about survey frequencies 15 to extend to five years. 16 MR. DANNER: All right. 17 And just, again, my own weighing in, 18 take out the last bullet, and I'm good with 19 this option. 20 So Andy, and then Chad. 21 MR. DRAKE: Andy Drake, Enbridge. 22 appreciated your point, Arvind. I think that

really helped change the paradigm and practicability of this aerial discussion, you know, on the 70,000 miles beyond what we talked about.

I agree with you, Chairman Danner, that I think taking out the exclusion on the small operators, it was never intended as an exclusion. It was, in turn, intended as a differentiation. And I think to differentiate them and give them more time to get programs set up is appropriate. They're not out. They just need some time to get ready. Fine.

And I think talking about frequency more practicability of the current creates technology to work in this space. I still think the 22,000 miles is a duck, and it should in without come an extended so to speak think if those kind frequency. But Ι tenants seem to be a pallet of things that are, you know, workable for everybody on the table, it would probably help if Chad and particular could take a break, go see where the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 rest of an industry is that's learning on a vertical curve here as well. 2 3 But. is that kind of а pallet 4 things that seems to address concerns? 5 MR. DANNER: I'm sorry. Sara, I think Andy was directing that towards you. 6 7 please. 8 MS. GOSMAN: Thank you. 9 That was my understanding as 10 well. So yes. I think that what we are 11 willing to do here is to see an extended 12 timeline for a survey frequency for smaller 13 diameter pipelines with the understanding that 14 they are in this rulemaking, that is that we 15 ultimately want them to be part of this program 16 and not have them be in a separate rulemaking. 17 I think with that understanding, you know, if 18 that's a conversation that you feel like would 19 be fruitful, I think we should take a break and 20 check in. 21 MR. DANNER: All right. Chad?

Thanks.

MR. ZAMARIN:

1	Chad Zamarin, Williams. Yeah
2	MR. DANNER: Oh, I'm sorry. Diane,
3	sorry. I didn't see your
4	MR. ZAMARIN: I yield.
5	MS. BURMAN: No. I do appreciate
6	sort of what I think is the good faith trying
7	to work through this. So I think we're needing
8	that. So I support that as well.
9	MR. DANNER: All right. Chad?
10	MR. ZAMARIN: Chad Zamarin,
11	Williams. I think it would be good if we could
12	take a break. That's what I was going to say.
13	Thanks.
14	MR. DANNER: All right.
15	So 10 minutes. We'll be back in 10
16	minutes. And I mean it.
17	(Whereupon, the above-entitled
18	matter went off the record at 11:11 a.m. and
19	resumed at 11:32 a.m.)
20	MR. DANNER: Okay, folks. We're
21	going to get going. All right. We are back.
22	We have a proposal up on the screen.

1 Who would like to comment? 2 Zamarin? 3 MR. ZAMARIN: I'm going to give it a 4 shot, although Sara is not back yet. But I 5 think the conversation that we had and concept of, like, with integrity management, 6 7 you know, we have seven-year reassessment 8 intervals for inline inspection tools, 9 recognizing that it's a lot of mileage. resources issue. So I would be comfortable 10 11 with that second bullet basically saying that 12 we will extend on an annual survey basis the 13 first subset, the large diameter, greater than 14 16 inch. And then for the remainder of Type C 15 gathering, which is an additional 70,000 miles, 16 that we have a five-year, 10-kilogram-per-hour 17 survey frequency. And that's kind of where we 18 ended. 19 So you would amend the MR. DANNER: 20 second bullet? 21 MR. ZAMARIN: Yes. 22 MR. DANNER: All right. Reaction?

MR. ZAMARIN: And then you can remove the last bullet because that covers all Type C gathering.

MR. DANNER: Erin Murphy?

MS. MURPHY: Thanks.

Erin Murphy, EDF. Just clarifying questions to make sure I understand. So you're suggesting supporting the extension of advanced leak detection and leak survey and repair standards to all Type C and then recommending some specific frequency intervals for different sub parts within Type C? And I think you said 16 inches or greater diameter would be at an annual frequency, and then the remainder of С would be at an every five-year Type frequency? Am I understanding that correctly?

MR. DANNER: Chad?

MR. ZAMARIN: Yes. Annual for the Type C described in bullet 1 and five year for all other Type C. And just to give a little bit of perspective, I mean, the conversation that we had in sidebar, we will basically, as

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	an industry, need to establish an entire,
2	frankly, industry around this process. And so
3	the timeline is important. I think, you know,
4	we didn't figure it out during the break, but
5	you will likely have programs that will require
6	entire state surveys that will happen across
7	multiple different operators, and we'll be
8	developing a means for us to address the fact
9	that you saw the original maps that we
10	crisscross pipelines. And so the idea is to
11	allow for additional time where we're not
12	currently having to do any of the patrol and
13	survey work required by the code, allow for
14	additional time and a longer interval so that
15	those can be performed in a practical manner.
16	MR. DANNER: All right. Other
17	reactions? Erin?
18	MS. MURPHY: Happy to let someone
19	else jump in, but I'll continue. That is
20	helpful to understand. I think that, you know,
21	just from my perspective, thinking about what

the proposed rule lays out as survey frequency

gathering pipelines is consistent transmission pipeline frequency, which I don't have all the charts in front of me, but is, you know, sometimes four times a year or sometimes Т think twice а year, and in circumstances, once per year on the most rural the pipelines. most remote of So thinking about that starting point in the proposed rule and then hearing your perspective your position, it feels to like, me particularly for those greater than 16-inch diameter pipelines that there's а safety I mean, there's a safety element for element. all of this is the safety and environmental component.

The annual and then the every fiveyear frequency feels really wide open to me.

And I'm wondering if there's any room for a
more sort of frequent position. I'm thinking,
and I said this earlier, you know, in the
distribution sector, there's been this shift
from every five years for the pipelines that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

are outside of business districts to three years is what PHMSA is shifting in this rulemaking or they've proposed to do. So, you know, seeing that sort of movement that the standard would federal be, that the frequent survey on any regulated pipeline is at least once every three years, that at least feels to me like one sort of threshold to consider.

MR. DANNER: All right. So Chad, and then Andy.

MR. ZAMARIN: Thanks.

Chad Zamarin, Williams. Integrity intervals reassessment management are seven And we're talking about establishing a years. baseline and then reassessments on pipe that have never been regulated. Ι mean, distribution industry has been regulated for 70 The transmission industry has years. regulated for 70 Like, there is years. absolutely no way we can go tighter than these assessment intervals and claim practicability.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

I mean, these are pipelines that have never been subject to regulations before. So I think we're being extremely aggressive, frankly, in extending.

highlight do want to benefit of what will happen here. You saw the reticulated spider web nature by requiring the flying of 90,000 miles of pipe over five-year intervals. You're going to canvass massive, you know, areas of gathering. I mean, just like with integrity management, every years we have to run tools for relatively small sections of pipe. We qet the benefit assessing way beyond those areas because we run, you know, long distances to cover those. So it requires, I think, a reasonable interval, and don't see how you can go any aggressively than this. Thank you.

MR. DANNER: And Andy Drake?

MR. DRAKE: This is Andy Drake, Enbridge. I appreciate your comments. I think we put a lot of effort in that little 10-minute

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

break there. There's a lot of very exciting conversations.

But I do want to be clear that the top bullet is what we've been talking about this whole time. We are bringing that 22,000 miles into the same exact construct as transmission, everything. The piece that's a little bit moving, if you will, and I'm trying to minimize degrees of freedom here, everything for the last, you we've been talking about know, two weeks or whatever of this meeting in total, would apply to the top bullets, to your safety, all those things. point of That's exactly the same. No movement there.

The differentiation is the part beyond C represents a very different challenge to us. And so we're taking a different approach. We're going to try to do this grid flying, but to do that is not very efficient. We need time to do that.

I think the thing that really was compelling to me is a comment that Arvind made,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

and that is, we just don't know. Go get the That's what that's designed to do. we define that there's a lot. of leaks out have great confidence in there, I PHMSA's ability to change the frequency of inspection if it's pervasive. I don't think it is, but I think we need to gather the data. And I think that that's what this is intended to do.

MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you. Erin, and then Terry.

MS. MURPHY: Thanks.

Erin Murphy, EDF. So I thought I understood, and now I think I need to clarify I'm thinking about further. Ι guess different components of the proposed rule and a leak survey and repair framework. There are the frequencies that are set for leak surveys. Then we have the repair timelines, which we haven't talked about in this context. And then there's the sort of ALDP. There's the technology standard for what's used in a leak

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

survey. So, you know, we're talking about what the survey frequency is, and that's one thing to discuss.

It is to me very important and sort baseline that the ALDP standard applicable, and all Type C are subject to some leak survey and repair standard. And so I'm just realizing the way this is broken out is, and this may just be a reformatting of text rather than а disagreement, that the ALDP standard would be applicable to all Type gathering pipelines. And then the distinction would be the survey frequency between the first group and the second group. And I think if there's agreement there, this would need to be rephrased a little bit, just to be very clear. And I know there's then, is ALDP 10 kilograms think this committee per hour? Ι recommending, yes. But if that makes sense, I just want to make sure there's agreement.

MR. DANNER: So what I'm hearing you say is a friendly amendment might be to after

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 gathering pipelines in the first bullet, put a 2 period, and then say for gathering pipelines 3 that are, and then separate it that way. 4 first that the sentence, extend LDAR 5 requirements for all Α and B gathering pipelines, period. And then, yeah, broken down 6 7 that way. 8 Is that acceptable, Chad or Andy? 9 MR. ZAMARIN: No. I think Erin is 10 trying to clarify that we're extending to all 11 B and C, but we have different survey frequencies for the subset 12 of C and the 13 remainder of C. And we're referencing the 10 14 kilograms per hour. We already had discussed 15 that in the last meeting and, I think, voted on 16 that as the appropriate threshold. 17 MR. DANNER: Okay. Yeah. 18 reading, and C into that first sentence. 19 Oh, you got it. MR. ZAMARIN: 20 MR. DANNER: Yeah. So all right. 21 Terry, and then Erin. 22 MR. TURPIN: Thanks.

Terry Turpin, FERC. And the way
this is written now is what I thought we were
all talking about, even if the wording wasn't
up there. But I would also add, I think we're
trending back to the sausage-maker-type roles
where we're starting to get into trying to
write the code on behalf of PHMSA. And so I
would just offer up, I mean, it seems like
we're 90-plus-something percent there.

And when we get down to that last one, it seems like the last point of contention seems to be around the frequency of survey.

And I would recommend that we don't try to define it. I think we yield to PHMSA. We've clearly since November had lots of discussions, you know, at this committee and all today, so far half of the day, on the various pieces that PHMSA needs to consider in determining the appropriate implementation timelines.

And, I mean, I think we might be able to get there if we just take out the number, and just say, you know, set appropriate

implementation timelines in the last two bullets and PHMSA to consider, you know, a range or something. Let's just give PHMSA the guidance and that if it looks at it in that light, then we think it was practical and implementable and et cetera, et cetera. But we're going to be here for another week if we're trying to do the numbers. Thank you.

MR. DANNER: We're going to have a vote before lunch. Erin?

MS. MURPHY: Erin Murphy, EDF. I would appreciate Terry's point, and be interested to hear, you know, others on the committee thoughts, if that's a way to get to consensus. Just wanted to weigh in on the first bullet point. And again, I believe this is a friendly amendment. But being very clear it's the ALDP standard at that the GPACrecommended 10-kilogram-per-hour. LDAR Ι think can mean different ALDP things folks. And the ALDP program is, you know, a big component of the proposed rule, so that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	clarity would be helpful.
2	MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you.
3	Chad?
4	MR. ZAMARIN: Chad Zamarin,
5	Williams. Thanks.
6	And, Terry, I appreciate your
7	comments. I think the challenge that I would
8	have is, again, canvassing to try to understand
9	practicability. If we don't have some idea of
10	at least what here at the committee level we're
11	suggesting would be an appropriate survey, then
12	I can't support extending beyond the 20,000
13	miles because there's too much uncertainty
14	there. And so I was hoping and sensing that we
15	were maybe getting somewhere on an appropriate
16	frequency for that smaller-diameter pipe. But
17	I think without that, it would be really hard
18	to vote to include all Type C gathering.
19	MR. DANNER: Thank you. Sara?
20	MS. GOSMAN: Thanks very much.
21	And I'm really excited about the
22	direction that we're going here in terms of a

I just wanted to understand a compromise. little bit more about the annual leakage survey interval because Т understood from the conversation before that in using Andy's this is a language, you know, duck, right? Which seems to me to indicate also that the duck should be part of the survey intervals that we've been applying elsewhere. So just to understand why we're there help me on the annual. I understand why we're there on five years, as to the rest.

MR. DANNER: All right. Chad?
MR. ZAMARIN: Thanks.

Chad Zamarin, Williams. I'll try to address that. But annual was an attempt to mirror what the majority of transmission will be subject to from a survey requirement, and so recognizing that we're now extending to have And there pipelines that not. is а current requirement for Type C. it is So following, kind of drafting along with existing requirements that were applied to this

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

subset of Type C. There are annual requirements that are in the current regulations. And so we're trying to cast the net wide, but we're trying to do it in a way that fits with kind of the current construct.

MR. DANNER: All right.

Is there further conversation?

All right. Erin. I'm getting very close to calling the question. So --

MS. MURPHY: I sensed that, Chair Danner.

there is closeness to consensus, and I'm kind of undecided of, you know, supporting Member Turpin's recommendation to just not try to get so prescriptive in this recommendation if it's, you know, challenging to reach consensus on the frequency piece in particular or if it's, you know, worth kind of continuing to go back and forth. I think in particular, I'm just thinking about what Chad just said about the annual survey for the first subset of Type C.

The second subset of Type C, the five-year interval, is just a lengthy interval. And I won't keep saying the same thing, but I think three years would be a better starting place, from my perspective. And I wonder if at least the committee might recommend a three-to-five-year interval and leave that to PHMSA to evaluate.

MR. DANNER: Chad Zamarin?

MR. ZAMARIN: Thanks.

Chad Zamarin, Williams. Ι like to propose that we vote on the five-year I mean, I don't think we're going to interval. get much support based on the input we were already getting on the concern with even doing this on a five-year interval. I mean, the conversation in the other room was about how we basically create a program that doesn't exist today to fly over every state within which we have gathering, do it in a way where we can cover every operator, including smaller talking operators. Ι mean, we're about

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 massive new program for leak survey. 2 And so I don't think we're going to 3 get there without having at least the five-year 4 interval. Again, like, integrity management is 5 seven-year assessment intervals, and we had a 6 10-year phase-in. If you recall when 7 originally did, integrity management, it was 8 10-year baseline, seven-year reassessment. 9 Like, we're talking about five years 10 pipelines that have never been subject 11 regulations. Those pipelines had been under 12 regulations for 50 years at the time. 13 would like to propose that we take a vote on 14 the language that's up there.

MR. DANNER: Would you consider the last bullet to be adopt at a minimum of five-year leak of survey interval?

MR. ZAMARIN: No. I mean --

MR. DANNER: Okay.

MR. ZAMARIN: -- again, no. I'm

21 sorry.

15

16

17

18

19

20

MR. DANNER: Yeah. That's all

right. I mean, I share Erin's concerns, but I also realize that this is a compromise that we may have to make to move on. Andy?

MR. DRAKE: Erin, you're first. I missed something.

MS. MURPHY: No worries. Thanks.

Erin Murphy, EDF. You know, I think integrity management is a different type of program in some ways than leak survey. So I being don't know that that on а seven-year program feels compelling to me as a reason to lengthen this interval. As you stated right now, the longest survey frequency that implementing operators their are on transmission pipelines is once a year. segments of transmission pipelines many checked more frequently than that for leaks.

I'm also thinking back, and this is just one example, and I acknowledge that there's a lot more going on out there in terms of survey activity, but the Yu, et al. (2022) study, right, was a survey campaign that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

involved aerial flyovers, covered 10,000 miles of gas gathering pipelines at least four times in a period from 2019 to 2021 using flyover surveys. So it's kind of tough to hear the idea that it's completely impossible to be surveying these lines any more frequently than every five years.

MR. DANNER: All right. Andy Drake?

MR. DRAKE: Andy Drake, Enbridge. I

think when we were deliberating over this at

break, I think Chad hit on it. The scale of

what we're talking about doing is very

significant, and the volume of flying is going

to be very significant. This is a big change

for an industry that hasn't had any of these

obligations. I think it's very unconventional

what we're talking about doing here.

We're going to be setting up a cottage industry to fly an entire state. And we're going to have to get all the members in that state to subscribe to the survey. It's not impossible. Nothing is impossible. It's

just, is it practicable? Is it doable? And I think five years is very reasonable for us to do this scale of work. Three, I don't even know if the industry can ramp up that fast to be very honest with you. I really don't, on the scale that we're talking about here.

I think five is appropriate to gather this data. And that's just where I am. I think the industry has moved significantly in this conversation to include the 70,000 miles that, you know, wasn't really considered how to be able to do that practicably. And I think this answers that practicability question.

MR. DANNER: Terry?

MR. TURPIN: Terry Turpin for FERC.

Just to help me understand a bit because I'm

lost in the conversation again, is the fiveyear meant to be the ramp-up to get the program
going, or is it forever that it's five-year
interval? Or it's five years, ramp up, and
then something more frequent?

MR. DANNER: Chad?

MR. Chad ZAMARIN: Zamarin, The proposal would be five Williams. Yeah. baseline, and then five-year vears as а reassessment intervals. If you think about what we did in integrity management, we had 10 years to do our first survey and then sevenintervals. year reassessment What talking about and what we were talking about in the other room is basically, for a company like ours, a multi-state operator, we operate in 12 different gathering basins. You will be doing surveys of entire basins, and just like we do in integrity management, you'll be basically staging the work that you do over that fiveyear period. So it's not like you're going to be, you know, sitting idle for five years, and then you do everything once. It is a way for us to effectively phase the work across large, complex systems.

And what we're talking about in the very practical kind of challenge of setting up is how do we do that in a way where we can

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Τ	allow for every operator to not be flying
2	across one another? And so we've got to set up
3	a program where we're thinking about how would
4	we practically do this? How do we set it up so
5	that we're flying one airplane across the San
6	Juan Basin and not 30 airplanes for 30
7	operators? And so we're going to try to, you
8	know, establish an industry, as Andy said, in a
9	process. And you would be doing that kind of
10	staggered intervals so that you could do that
11	in a practical way.
12	MR. DANNER: All right. Sara?
13	MS. GOSMAN: I know you want to take
14	a vote, but
15	MR. DANNER: Well, I'm just hoping
16	that the conversation is
17	MS. GOSMAN: Yeah.
18	MR. DANNER: taking progress and
19	not going around in circles.
20	MS. GOSMAN: Again, just a
21	clarifying question, and I do want to recognize
22	the movement here. You know, we have not

gotten to a full discussion, I think, of effective date and compliance timelines. I think it matters to me a lot whether that five years starts sooner or starts later. And I wonder if there's anything that you can share about that compliance deadline discussion that would help me to understand whether we're talking five years from the effective date of the rule or we're talking many more years after that based on an extended compliance.

MR. DANNER: Chad?

MR. ZAMARIN: Yeah.

Zamarin, Williams. Chad I'm think we're going to talk Ι about sure. compliance dates, but whatever the effective date of the rule is, we would, Ι think, generally file precedent of five years from the effective date of the rule.

MR. DANNER: Sara?

MS. GOSMAN: Yeah. So just to confirm, you weren't looking at an additional, say, one or two years for this sector to come

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	into compliance with the ALDP requirements,
2	which would include something like this?
3	MR. ZAMARIN: No.
4	MS. GOSMAN: Okay. Thank you.
5	MR. DANNER: Yeah. So it is the
6	five-year leakage survey interval from the
7	effective date of the rule. Okay. All right.
8	Erin?
9	MS. MURPHY: Yeah. If there's
10	consensus on that point, it might be valuable
11	to add that to the voting slide, if folks are
12	comfortable with that.
13	MR. DANNER: I would have no problem
14	with that, to amend the last bullet to say,
15	leakage survey interval from the effective date
16	of the rule.
17	All right. So you're saying it's
18	covered in the preamble? All right. Okay.
19	Erin?
20	MS. MURPHY: Thanks.
21	Erin Murphy, EDF. So for the other
22	Type C gathering lines, if the committee votes

1 adopt the certain frequency of leakage 2 survey interval from the compliance date of the 3 rule, what is the other bullet on setting appropriate implementation timelines? 4 5 Is that no longer needed? MR. DANNER: Chad? 6 7 Chad Zamarin, MR. ZAMARIN: 8 Williams. Yeah, I think that can be deleted. 9 Okay. So the first MR. DANNER: bullet under the first sub bullet. Okay. 10 Ι 11 think we have got a proposal in front of us. 12 Sara? 13 Yeah. Just a friendly MS. MURPHY: 14 I think the beginning part, that amendment. 15 opening preamble, should be Type A, B, and C 16 gas gathering lines, rather than just Type C, 17 given that that first bullet says that we're 18 going to extend these requirements to Type A, 19 B, and C. In the second where it 20 MR. DANNER: 21 says, Type C, that --22 MS. MURPHY: No. I'm sorry. The

1	preamble language. The proposal
2	MR. DANNER: Oh, the preamble.
3	MS. MURPHY: as published, right?
4	MR. DANNER: Oh, okay. Yes.
5	MS. MURPHY: Regarding applicability
6	to Type A, B, and C gas gathering lines, I
7	believe that
8	MR. DANNER: Okay.
9	(Simultaneous speaking.)
10	MR. DANNER: I think that is a
11	friendly amendment.
12	On the right side of the table here,
13	are you okay with that? Just clarifying in the
14	preamble that it's A, B, and C. Okay.
15	Sara, your card is up. More? Okay.
16	I see no tent cards up. I'm going to take this
17	opportunity to call a question. So
18	MR. ZAMARIN: Motion.
19	MR. DANNER: Motion? Would somebody
20	like to make a motion?
21	Chad Zamarin?
22	MR. ZAMARIN: Thanks. I'll make a

motion for the committee to vote on this slide the proposed rule is published in Federal Register and is supported by Preliminary Regulatory Impact Analysis Environmental Draft. Assessment regarding applicability to Type A, B, and C gas gathering technically feasible, reasonable, lines is cost-effective, and practicable if the recommendations are made: following Extend GPAC-recommended LDAR requirements, including GPAC-recommended ALDP performance standards to all Type A, B, and C gathering pipelines; adopt an annual leakage survey interval for Type C gathering pipelines that are greater than or equal to 16 inches in outside diameter, or 8 inches to 16 inches in diameter if the segment contains а building intended for occupancy or other identified site within the potential impact radius or class location unit; for other Type C gathering lines, adopt a fiveleakage survey interval, with a vear survey occurring on the compliance date of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Ĩ		142
1	rule.	
2	MR. DANNER: Thank you.	
3	Is there a second? Terry Turpin	
4	seconds.	
5	Cameron, will you take the vote,	
6	please?	
7	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: All right.	
8	I'll say your name. If you agree	
9	with the motion, just say yes. If not, no.	
10	Diane Burman?	
11	MS. BURMAN: Yes.	
12	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Peter Chace?	
13	MR. CHACE: Yes.	
14	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: David Danner?	
15	MR. DANNER: Yes.	
16	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Sara Longan?	
17	MS. LONGAN: Yes.	
18	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Terry Turpin?	
19	MR. TURPIN: Yes.	
20	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Brian Weisker?	
21	MR. WEISKER: Yes.	
22	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Andy Drake?	

1	MR. DRAKE: Yes.
2	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Steve Squibb?
3	MR. SQUIBB: Yes.
4	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Chad Zamarin?
5	MR. ZAMARIN: Yes.
6	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Chad Gilbert?
7	MR. GILBERT: Yes.
8	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Arvind
9	Ravikumar?
10	MR. RAVIKUMAR: Yes.
11	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Erin Murphy?
12	MS. MURPHY: Yes.
13	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Sara Gosman?
14	MS. GOSMAN: Yes.
15	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Sam Ariaratnam?
16	MR. ARIARATNAM: Yes.
17	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: It is unanimous.
18	The motion carries.
19	MR. DANNER: Thank you, everyone.
20	But wait, there's more. We are going to take
21	our lunch break now. We will come back at
22	1:15, and this afternoon, we will complete the

1 gas gathering rule recommendations. So thanks, 2 everyone. See you soon. 3 (Whereupon, t.he above-entitled 4 matter went off the record at 12:02 p.m. and 5 resumed at 1:20 p.m.) Members, public, 6 MR. John GALE: 7 Gale with PHMSA again. After conversing with some of the members, and we believe the last 8 9 item we needed to discuss remaining gas 10 gathering is the proposal related to NPMS. 11 PHMSA is requesting the committee's discussion 12 on the scope of the NPMS requirements for Type 13 A, Type B, and Type C regulated gas gathering. 14 And that would be the last item to discuss on 15 gathering lines. 16 MR. DANNER: Did you have slides 17 that you want to present? 18 MR. GALE: We could try to dig up 19 the slides from the last time. 20 So the proposal, and Sayler, correct 21 me if I'm wrong here on anything, was basically 22 to extend the NPMS requirements to Type A, Type

1 B, and Type C lines. There was a bit of a contention on this: Should we do it or not? 2 3 There was some discussion in the comments 4 legal authority on regarding our that. We 5 thought we addressed that. I think 6 addressed that also in some of his earlier 7 slides. But it was just generally a proposal 8 to extend the NPMS requirements to gathering 9 lines, through the lines we regulate, which are 10 the Type A, B, and C lines. And should we do 11 that or not, or I guess, one option or some 12 subset of those requirements? 13 Okay. Well, I will MR. DANNER: 14 weigh in as just a member of the committee and 15 not the chair, obviously. But my view is that 16 the legal issues will shake out as they will. 17 believe that you have the authority to 18 include A, B, and C in the NPMS. And my 19 recommendation would be that you include all of 20 them. 21 And with that, Chad?

Thanks.

MR. ZAMARIN:

Т

1 Chad Zamarin, Williams. appreciate that. I do think this is an area 2 where it is a clear exclusion in the law. 3 4 states, you know, very clearly that it 5 operative of а pipeline facility 6 distribution and gathering lines. We don't 7 have NPMS submissions for distribution lines, we 8 nor do for gathering lines. And it's 9 explicit in the law that the NPMS should not. 10 I would also just say this is a leak 11 detection. This is a methane mitigation rule. 12 You know, the NPMS has been a tool that we've 13 used for safety. Ιf there's а safety 14 regulation that would warrant the expansion of 15 the NPMS, I think that's a worthy discussion to 16 be had. But I don't know why we would go 17 against kind of what's in the law and what 18 benefit we gain by putting the burden 19 gathering operators submit the to to NPMS. 20 Thank you. 21 MR. DANNER: Thank you. 22 Anyone else have comments they would like to share?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Erin Murphy, then Andy Drake.

MS. MURPHY: Thanks.

Erin Murphy, Environmental Defense I think, you know, a starting place here is what is the NPMS, and why it is important? This is a database of information on pipeline locations and characteristics around the country. In the proposed rule, the stakeholders PHMSA lists that use and access the NPMS, including journalists, operators, emergency responders, excavators, elected officials, public interest advocates and PHMSA, and state regulators.

And it's not just where the pipeline is, right? It's who is the contact information, the point of contact, the operator the attributes of the for а pipeline, pipelines, like the commodity and the diameter. This is really foundational information about nation's pipeline infrastructure. there's been, you know, so much discussion over

the course of this committee meeting, as well as in comments on this rulemaking and elsewhere about how much more information we need about gas gathering pipelines.

And I think a big part of that, as discussing, you know, were Chad really we helpfully put up some representative maps of gathering infrastructure earlier today. have been really interested in better understanding some of that sort of locational information as we think about the applicability of different standards. This is the starting place for collecting that information in one place.

You know, I hear the authority debate, and think it's probably preferable for the committee not to wade into a legal authority debate. There is a foundational, you know, statutory ability of PHMSA to collect information that it needs about gathering pipeline infrastructure. And so, you know, if it's easier for everyone to stand up an NPMS 2,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

right, that's a different program, PHMSA could do that. But I think there's, you know, a lot of sort of logic and hopefully ease for everyone involved to have a single database with this type of information.

So I would absolutely support the inclusion of Type A, B, and C regulated gas gathering pipelines. Ι think, you know, environmental stakeholders and other public interest stakeholders filed comments also walking through the importance and the value of starting to include Type R gathering pipelines in the NPMS as well. Type R is unregulated gathering pipelines, except for right now, a file an requirement to annual report а requirement to report on incidents on those lines. And that is partially, I think, recognition by PHMSA and stakeholders of the need for more information about those Type R lines as well. So perhaps the rest of the committee may not want to wade into that today, but I do just want to emphasize, you know, from

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

our perspective, we need this information in one place to benefit, you know, all stakeholders for all gathering pipeline infrastructure. Thanks.

Thank you. Andy Drake? MR. DANNER: Andy Drake, Enbridge. MR. DRAKE: Ι think that the question that comes to my mind is what is it we're trying to accomplish, you line. You know, know, bottom I remember, actually, when we developed the NPMS and all requirements within it and all That is a pretty significant programs. And, you know, I'm programmatic lift here. kind hearkening back of to the Prieto proposition that was looked up there earlier.

Some of these operators that the programmatic lift associated with doing NPMS is quite burdensome. Is the need positional accuracy? Is the need awareness of for the public? Is the need the awareness of one call responders? What is it we're trying to solve here? Because there is a pretty heavy

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

lift associated with all the details of the NPMS.

Do we need all of that? We did in transmission. That's what this was all about. That's why the law focused on transmission. I don't know that we need all of those things, but the bureaucracy of the NPMS is heavy. And I just want to caution that. So when we just say, oh yeah, just do it, it's, like, that is a big lift, not just a little lift.

MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you very much. Arvind?

Arvind Ravikumar, MR. RAVIKUMAR: University of Texas. I'm going to talk about this issue from the perspective of what I've been seeing when we go out and do these measurements. You know, just this morning when discussing some of the were gathering we pipelines, the question was posed to me, you know, we know all of this great information on emissions from gathering pipelines. Can you tell me, did you see those emissions on Type A

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

or Type B or Type C pipelines? I can't because the data does not exist to be able to map the emissions that we see from an aircraft or other technologies to what the actual pipelines are and what category of pipeline it is and whose operator it is. I think that's such a big gap. You know, we've been talking the last time we met as well the need for data and reporting.

One of the biggest gaps for us is we go to do all these measurements. We get great information on emissions. We are not able to map it to a specific pipeline or a type of pipeline or work with the operators and tell them, hey, we are flying over this area. emission on your pipeline. Here's saw an information for you. We can't do that because that mapping system does not exist. Now, whether that has to be part of the NPMS or a separate research that's funded by PHMSA, I don't know.

But this is a real need that the DOE just spent \$60 million funding methane

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

measurements. The EPA and DOE have put out a notice of proposed funding opportunity about \$1 billion dollars through the MERP, Methane Emissions Reduction Program, that will have significantly more surveys of the pipeline system. Without such a mapping, it'll be very hard to make all of that data that's going to be collected be useful. Because ultimately, you don't just want to find the emissions; you want to work with the operators to make sure it's fixed. And without a mapping system, that gets really hard.

MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you very much. Sara Gosman?

MS. GOSMAN: Yeah. So, you know, I'm strongly supportive of having this geospatial data on all gathering lines in. I think we've talked about the importance of data and learning more about the industry. seems to me, this is a really critical part. PHMSA should be able to know where these pipelines are located. And I think that's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

central to managing pipeline risks, but it's also central to communication with, as Erin mentioned, right, emergency responders, local public officials, and ultimately, to the public through the Public Viewer.

Т think as we continue to work through pipeline policy here, we need remember that people want to know about the pipelines that are in their communities. this is way that they can get that one information. I think a lot about, you know, for example, we have policies that relate to chemical disclosure for hydraulic fracturing fluid in oil and gas production wells. those are geospatial data about where those wells are. And that was really in response to public concern about the risks of hydraulic fracturing.

So it seems to me like this is central to pipelines and to pipeline risk and to public acceptance, really, of pipelines and in their communities. And then when I say

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

communities, I mean, broadly speaking here, right? Because I know that we're talking about rural areas, but that doesn't mean there aren't people who are there and who want to know something about the pipelines that are there.

MR. DANNER: All right.

Commissioner Burman?

MS. BURMAN: Thanks.

So I just don't know enough if these requirements are helpful at this point. And so I guess I come back to the questions that Andy raised in terms of what are we trying to accomplish? Does this accomplish that? Are there any alternatives? And what is it now that we are looking at regulating gas gathering lines? What does this layer look like?

And so I don't have a problem exploring if these requirements could be helpful, but I don't know enough, and I'm not sure I'm hearing around this room that we all know enough to say, yep, these are the ones that should be attached. Maybe. Maybe aspects

of it, but I don't know that it's an apple-toapple comparison.

MR. DANNER: Thank you. Chad, and then Peter.

MR. ZAMARIN: Thanks.

Chad Zamarin, Williams, and maybe to follow-up on Commissioner Burman, your comments there, I think that's what I'm struggling with is that I don't see the benefit and the utility of NPMS mapping. I mean, we just agreed that operators will have to survey their pipelines, and then they will be subject to addressing leaks that they detect. I mean, this is a leak detection and repair rule. It's not a public awareness rule. It's not, frankly, even a pipeline safety rule.

And so, you know, I think there's a reason why it was specifically excluded in legislation. This is very onerous. This is a very complex set of data that has to be compiled by operators. And now we're talking about minimum standards for all operators to

have to submit into the NPMS Mapping System.

And the data is not going to be used. We're going to be using the survey requirements and the repair requirements to address leaks on our system. The NPMS is primarily used as a public awareness tool and a tool that is used for line locations. And intentionally, we focused on transmission lines because those are the lines that have the greatest potential impact to the public. Thanks.

MR. DANNER: Thank you. Peter?

MR. CHACE: Yeah. Pete Chace, around when NAPSR. Ι wasn't NPMS established, and I would like to hear what its purpose is supposed to be. It's not a wise remark. I just don't know. My sense was

always that it was a tool for public officials,

emergency responders to know what is in their

19 area. Hopefully, no one uses it for line

locating, but I would put the question out

there: Is it actually useful? What is it used

22 for?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

Another thing I'll note is Type A and C gathering, to me shares a lot of the characteristics of transmission lines, whereas Type B low-stress. And Ι is note t.hat. transmission and hazardous liquid lines are in the system, whether it's distribution piping or So I'm wondering, is that high-stress, low-stress, a place to draw the line, or is it something else? Again, I guess I'm just a little ignorant on what NPMS is supposed to achieve, and would the addition of gathering help?

MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you.

MR. MAYBERRY: You know, just to put it very simply, NPMS is a public awareness tool. It is to let people know, you know, what's around them, where they live. And they have access to their county level accuracy of mapping. And then there are numerous folks that have access to NPMS. I mean, there's the Public Viewer, but then there's also access

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Alan?

provided to other government agencies, local responders, and the like. But it's purely about public awareness. You know, this is the next tranche, really, if you will, in posting pipelines to that national mapping, you know, GIS database.

So, you know, at this point, it's up to the committee, but perhaps consider voting on the language that's up there now. And we'll just take it under advisement. We've heard the comments. We've got comments on the docket, and we can take it from there.

MR. DANNER: All right.

Are there any other comments before we do that? Erin Murphy?

MS. MURPHY: Thanks.

Erin Murphy, EDF. I think just a final sort of point that I would like to flag is that this isn't something, hopefully, that would be completely, you know, a new process for operators, in that operators should know where their infrastructure is. And I think

we've seen with the reporting that has come into effect for gathering pipelines, including Type R, that there's a lack of clarity right now about some of this infrastructure. There is a discrepancy between, you know, what the agency had estimated the total mileage of gathering pipelines was and what was reported by operators when they had to do that first year of reporting. EDF filed some analysis in this docket comparing those numbers with some of the industry databases that we have obtained access to that also have, you know, some maps and mileage estimates for gathering lines.

And, know, that's you not to critique anyone, but I think to make the point that there is a need to improve this data. We also heard that during public comment folks in the industry at the end of the last meeting about gathering GPAC line coverage, right? A lot of emphasis on, we need more This is how we collect information. information is by standardizing the reporting

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

of this information for all gathering lines.

MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you.

Diane Burman?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MS. BURMAN: Thank you. So I found this discussion helpful. Where I sit, having been a state regulator for 11 years, I don't think standardizing without about understanding what it is that we're trying to accomplish, what it is that the standard will do, and maybe if there are alternatives to I'm not opposed to exploring the need to look at NPMS or some other thing and how that may help. But I feel like there are unintended consequences.

I'm not hearing that we have clarity on how this directly will be helpful. And I am concerned that I think we need to change the language of the voting slide bullet because I don't think that we are fully understanding that we really want to say that PHMSA should extend the requirements to that when we're not necessarily sure how it's going to link

together. So I think it needs to be looked at a little more.

MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you very much.

Erin Murphy, and then Sara Gosman.

MS. MURPHY: Yeah. Erin Murphy, effort to EDF. be an responsive Commissioner Burman's point, I certainly am not folks who, you know, the universe of thoughts about the use of this data, but can share, you know, my perspective and, I think, maybe echo some of Arvind's points earlier as well. The need for GIS, you know, mapping information on pipeline location, as well as diameter and type, I think, is something that we hear from communities that we work with who live near gathering pipelines and generally live near pipeline infrastructure who want to understand what is nearby this pipeline that crosses my yard, right? Like, what is it, and also want to understand what is the operator supposed to be doing on that infrastructure?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	And that can be really challenging
2	where right now, I mean, we can, you know, make
3	an attempt to apply the federal standards and
4	approximate what type it is, but that doesn't
5	really feel like the right approach when
б	somewhere, there is a decisive determination
7	of, you know, what type it is. So that sort
8	of, you know, community-level desire for
9	information, I think, is one place where having
10	that all-in-one place in NPMS would be
11	beneficial. And then just also want to
12	mention, you know, the higher-level need for
13	research and better improvement and
14	quantification of the extent of methane
15	emissions from pipeline infrastructure. Having
16	this type of information strengthens those
17	analyses and their accuracy.
18	MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you.
19	Sara Gosman?
20	MS. GOSMAN: Yeah. And I think I
21	made this point before, but I do think that

really

critical

is

information

this

22

for

communities. And then when I think about the perspective of the public members that I have talked to and the people who are worried about pipelines, right, they want to know more about pipeline systems. And I think that, in the end, right, should benefit pipeline operators sense that if you give people information that they really want about pipeline systems and risk, they're more likely to accept pipelines in their communities. always thought that was the case, and I think it's true as well here.

really don't like the language notwithstanding legal concerns. We're not a legal body to read statutes and determine agency authority. That's the job of agency attorneys. That's the job of judges ultimately. Ι think it's important understand that the two sections that we are looking at here in the statute, one requires specific types οf operators to submit geospatial information, but that doesn't

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

anything in terms of prohibiting, right, information from other types of operators. And certainly, PHMSA has authority to require information under Section 60117(c). So I just don't think that this is the proper space to work out the legal determinations around agency authority.

MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you. Chad, Sara Longan, and then Brian.

MR. ZAMARIN: Chad Zamarin,

Williams. Thanks. You know, I disagree. don't want to debate the legal arguments, and I don't think we solved those. I can read the language of the law, and it's pretty clear. Ι mean, it says that the operator of a pipeline facility, except distribution lines and gathering lines, shall submit geospatial data. I mean, there's a reason why it is explicitly

This is an industry that has not been regulated and hasn't had the benefit of regulations. The primary source of records for

Again, we've said this a lot.

excluded.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

mapping of pipelines originally were hand-drawn drawings. And what we've had to do in the transmission industry over the last several decades is convert that hand-drawn drawing record into a geospatial data set. We've had to survey pipelines on the ground. We've had to use tools that go inside of our pipelines with mapping devices. I mean, it is not a trivial exercise to take what was a regulated industry, at least had really good so we records in the transmission industry, and then we went through the process of converting those records and going out and surveying pipelines. To meet an NPMS standard means you have to have that kind of accuracy. You have to go through that process. It is not trivial. That's why, frankly, it was excluded from the legislation when the NPMS was formed.

And so I appreciate increasing transparency, public awareness. But when we're talking about reducing methane emissions and we're talking about improving leak detection

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

and repair, I'm not hearing how that moves the needle. We just, you know, implemented a recommendation to extend leak surveys and repairs to gathering pipelines, but now forcing an industry that's never had to meet these standards into the bureaucracy and challenge of coming up to the curve very quickly, I just don't see how that makes any sense.

Ι think So, you know, it important to recognize that if we were to vote language like this might imply that don't, as a committee, have issue with the And I think, you know, at least, legal issues. I do, when I read the law, I read it as it's written. And I'm not saying I'm a lawyer. I'm not saying I have the right answer, but I can interpret that. And it seems pretty explicit. So I think that is an important, relevant fact.

And then further, I don't understand how this advances leak detection, methane mitigation. I think if this is a public awareness issue, it should be taken on under a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

different rulemaking. If it's a public safety issue, it should be taken on under a different rulemaking. But. if there's research to be proposed and we do more targeted work, but to have a blanket requirement that all operators gathering submittal of have to meet NPMS requirements think Ι is unnecessary and burdensome.

MR. DANNER: Thank you. Sara Longan?

MS. LONGAN: Thank you.

Sara Longan, Corps of Army I agree with Member Gosman and had Engineers. concerns with the language, notwithstanding legal. Those same concerns sort of are affirmed by Chad's comments. And with the removal of that language, I'm now really concerned with what we're trying to accomplish And I want to hearken back to something here. that Alan said earlier. I think that this discourse and the debate is very constructive and helpful. And I think that it's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

exhaustive and fully captured on the record.

I believe I can offer language that helps get the members, my members, to a middle ground, but tell me if it doesn't land or if I'm mistaken. Notwithstanding legal concerns, I agree, we're not the advisory council to help PHMSA get there. Could PHMSA instead consider applicability of extending NPMS requirements, continue to Type A, B, and C? I'm enjoying the conversation, but I just don't know much farther we can or should get. Thank you.

MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you for that. We will come back to you.

think Ι that Ι want to give everybody whose tent cards are up an opportunity to talk. But I think that, you we probably don't need to spend the afternoon on this. I think that I'm seeing where people are landing on the big issue.

So let's go through this and start with you, Brian.

MR. WEISKER: I think I'll be just

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

brief. Brian Weisker, Duke Energy. Sorry.

Your language, Sara, would get me there. I mean, I question us at all with this mean, with something when whole topic, I Ι it's crystal clear that there's read. exception here from Congress for gathering for distribution. I'm where Chad's at. This came about, I think in 2002, Pipeline Safety Act. So Congress has had several other opportunities with reauthorization of pipeline safety for at least four, maybe five. I'm not sure. And yet the reason for the exemption hasn't changed. So I'm not sure how we can recommend as a body go against the language that's that just crystal clear to me.

MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you. Erin, then Arvind, then Diane.

MS. MURPHY: Erin Murphy, EDF. I said before, I don't think it's constructive for us to try to sort of debate the law. I feel like I should at least point out, though, that there is also statutory language that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

authorizes PHMSA to collect information including gathering pipelines, to inform whether and how to provide regulatory oversight of those facilities. So the agency has authority to collect information on this infrastructure. And I want to make some other points about sort of the existing standards for information collection that apply to pipeline operators, just to really underscore the feasibility of doing this.

The Texas Railroad Commission right now makes geospatial data that's reported by pipeline operators publicly available on a GIS includes the following viewing system. Ιt information, and this includes information on gathering pipelines, county coordinates, commodity, type, distribution, transmission or gathering, operator name, operator number, operating permit number, in-service versus abandoned, interstate versus intrastate, system name, subsystem name, and diameter. earlier this year, the RRC implemented

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

additional reporting requirement that gathering lines, specific to gas which is pipeline material, SMYS, MAOP, and the pipeline type: A, B, C or R. That subset of data is not yet public because it just came into effect, but we hope to see that made public as well. emphasize that So Ι iust want to is happening in parts of the country, and it's entirely feasible for operators to do it.

MR. DANNER: Thank you. Arvind?

MR. RAVIKUMAR: Arvind Ravikumar, University of Texas. To Chad's earlier point about the difficulty of meeting the standards of the NPMS for gathering lines, I'm wondering openly if there's somewhere a middle ground here. Given that we just said, all gathering lines are subject to surveys once every five years, every technology, whether it's an aerial system or a satellite system, will give you the GPS coordinates of the pipelines they fly over, and of course, the leak indications as well.

Is it possible to use that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

information that will already be collected as part of the leak detection survey to be included in some kind of a mapping system for gathering lines?

MR. DANNER: All right.

That's a direct question, if anybody wants to answer that direct question.

Apparently, no one wants to answer that direct question.

So move on to the next card that is up. Diane?

MS. BURMAN: I won't answer your question, but I do think it gets to the heart of what is it that we're trying to do? the data that we need? What is the need that the data satisfy, and are there may Ιf alternatives to doing that? what hearing is that the communities are asking for this, it really needs to be understood on, what is that that might be outside of this process that can be helpful on communities and engagement that's ongoing, versus here from a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

pipeline safety perspective?

However, as we move forward, I do think that this question is really important because we're seeming to lock into that it should extend the requirements when we're not necessarily sure, one, what that's going to do, if there are alternatives, and then the cost-effectiveness of that. So I just am looking at it from how can we kind of come to some middle ground that gives us an ability to know that we're trying to continue evolving? And I'm not sure by this that that's helpful.

MR. DANNER: Thank you. Andy, and then Sara.

MR. DRAKE: Andy Drake, Enbridge. I appreciate your comments, Commissioner Burman.

I'm trying to understand what it is we're trying to accomplish. I appreciate the transparency to the public. Where's the pipes located? I think that's important. I think

I'm kind of looking at this discussion, and I think Arvind kind of hit on it: Is there some

middle place here? When we say, well, you need to know where your pipes are. If you don't meet NPS, you don't know where your pipes are. That is not true. We've just decided that to answer that question, they need to meet this very rigorous hurdle rate. Is that what we have to do to solve this problem? And is it everywhere?

Well. I'm hearing is what the public. Well, we've been through an incredibly long discussion about most of the gathering pipelines aren't anywhere near people. So we're going to make all of those pipelines now meet the National Pipeline Mapping Standard, the highest standard of care on GPS location and accuracy, and all this rigor around all this peripheral information so we can say all? I'm not hearing the traction on that. know, that is a huge burden. And I don't know what the value proposition of it is.

If there's some subset of pipes that we're worried that the public needs to know

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

where they are, okay. I get that. That sounds like transmission. Is there something that we need to do to create positional awareness for That's not them? Yes. NPMS. That's different question. But Ι don't hear breaking that down. hear very polar Ι а discussion. And I can't get traction on that, on the scale of what we're talking about trying to deploy here.

MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you.

Sara Gosman, and then Chad.

MS. GOSMAN: So I want to challenge a little bit this idea that public awareness is different and a separate topic from what we have been talking about here in terms of leak detection because I think that public awareness is the basis of really, almost everything we do in terms of pipeline safety regulation. That is, it is the way that we communicate with the public, and those who are charged with the safety of the public in terms of local government and emergency responders. And to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

say that this rule shouldn't incorporate something that has the benefits of the public understanding more about pipeline systems, I don't think these two things are separate, right? They are very much linked.

And the fact that we're having this conversation now really is about the fact that gathering lines are now part of this regulatory process. And thus, I think, you know, we've just decided on that, right? And we've talked about frequency, but that also comes with it, you know, an understanding that we are at the point where we need more information about, say, location, right, geospatial data. think these things are very connected, and I think it's important to recognize that. Ι guess I would also say that when we think about what kinds of information people might need, who are charged with safety, right, I mean, I think a lot about PHMSA and what information it needs. I also think about people who are doing research and the information they need. These

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

are all part and parcel of this conversation.

I think the final thing I will say is that it seems to me that if you were to sort of just walk up to a member of the public and do you think that pipeline operators should give information about where their pipelines are to the folks who are regulating them, as well as to local emergency responders, right, and people who are living in those areas, I think they would be like, well, of course, right? That makes complete sense. sometimes, I think it's important to just step back from the kind of cost-benefit that we're constantly doing in this committee and remind ourselves, again, of what people expect out of the system. People expect that operators are going to know where their lines are and that that information is being transmitted to those who regulate them.

MR. DANNER: Chad?

MR. ZAMARIN: Thanks.

Chad Zamarin, Williams. And to be

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

do provide that information we regulators. This is taking it to a whole other This is taking a transmission pipeline technology, the NPMS, who was intentional. Again, I know we don't want to talk legal, but it's important. This designed for was not gathering or distribution. This was designed for transmission, where we survey pipelines in don't do that airplanes. Ι mean, we on gathering systems.

And to be clear, we do public is for gathering. There awareness а requirement in the code that extends public awareness to gathering. It doesn't require the NPMS because that is a whole other level. And frankly, it's not the primary public awareness The primary public awareness tool is us communicating with first responders, directly communicating with landowners. Line marking is regulations. required in the Emergency planning is required in the regulations. prevention is required in Damage the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

regulations.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

This is requiring a geospatial set of data to be collected on gathering lines that have never had to do that before to submit to the federal regulator. It is not the primary tool for public awareness for line marking. There's a big label on the NPMS website: Do not use this to locate a pipeline, for pipeline Like, that is locating purposes. not the primary purpose of the NPMS, but it is taking a very complex system and applying it pipelines that have never been subject to those kinds of survey requirements.

And we may not get anywhere with this because there's a lot of good stuff we're talking about. And we're talking about taking bold action, like we did this morning. Here, we're talking about something that is not going to move the needle from a leak perspective, from a methane perspective, from a safety perspective, relative to the incredible burden that'll put on operators that have never had to

deal with this requirement before. Thank you.

MR. DANNER: Thank you.

Alan Mayberry?

MR. Ι just MAYBERRY: wanted to address the question of what we're trying to I mean, really, the case for NPMS was solve. made many years ago when we set up the NPMS. So the question here today is: Do we extend that to gathering lines? It's purely about that. It's not more complicated than that. And it's for transparency reasons, the variety of reasons that were mentioned in the preamble and we've talked about here today. We've seen issues, incidents on gathering lines that the public didn't know anything was nearby, not that the NPMS would be their primary tool for learning that. There are other tools. no one tool that's used for public awareness.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

lines. We've done transmission. We have a record of that, well-established records. So really, the question here today is: Do we extend it for the reasons that we already know or the reasons we do it for transmission lines?

MR. DANNER: Thank you. Erin Murphy?

MS. MURPHY: Thanks.

I'm thinking about as I was listening to Chad's comments just now is it sounds like you're viewing the NPMS in particular as a very high bar to information collection. And I'm thinking about the list of attributes I read off as part of the Texas Railroad Commission reporting requirements that are applicable to gathering pipelines. And I don't know if you have a response to that.

But I'm wondering if you can speak to, like, the distinction between those, and what is the particularly sort of high burden with NPMS that is so burdensome?

MR. ZAMARIN: Sure. Chad Zamarin, Williams. Thanks.

And I think this goes back to one of the issues that we saw earlier this morning. There are a lot of small operators. There's a lot. of variability across the gathering industry. And I think we know that you can manage safety. You can manage public awareness. You can educate and interact with the regulator with the traditional records that exist on gathering systems.

And so, I mean, this would require every operator. And this is what we did in the transmission industry. We adopted geospatial information systems. You know, GIS is something that we implemented. And this law basically required that on all transmission operators. And it took a lot of time, effort, and resources to build those database systems.

And so are there some operators that already do that in the gathering space? Sure.

Are larger operators likely equipped and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

already have those systems for transmission systems? Sure. But now you're talking about extending a technology to operators that have never had to do anything other than manage their systems on paper records. I mean, we still have a lot of paper records. You know, high-tech for some operators is still Microsoft Excel.

You know, you're talking about now adopting a minimum standard that will require every operator to understand GIS, and not every operator has GIS department. Not a every software capabilities operator has the would be required to generate the information that needs to be submitted.

And again, I'm just trying to understand for what benefit. Like, if we're worried about public awareness, we have a public awareness requirement in the code that applies to gathering. Let's focus on that. We have a damage prevention requirement in the code that focuses on gathering. We have an

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

emergency planning requirement in the code that focus on gathering. Like, if those are the reasons, we've already got regulations we've extended. And I appreciate that there may be benefits for leak detection, but I'm not seeing it.

To me, what we did this morning, that's a real benefit. Let's go out and let's survey lines. Let's repair leaks. But just to create the requirements to have to stand up these technologies across operators that may not have that capability, I'm struggling with seeing the benefit.

MR. DANNER: Thank you. Erin?

MS. MURPHY: Yeah. Thanks.

Erin Murphy, EDF. I mean, one thing I'm thinking through is that my understanding, and this is, you know, certainly anecdotal from conversations with folks who have undertaken efforts to map pipeline infrastructure and really understand the application of the different categories of regulation that PHMSA

has established for gathering pipelines, that authoritative make t.he t.o t.hat. wav determination involves GIS mapping and application of tools that are, you know, taking the PHMSA standards and deploying them, using if making GIS. So operators are the determination of what regulated category their gathering pipeline mileage is without using, you know, mapping tools, I think that maybe raises a bit of a concern. My impression is that operators have to figure that information out and should also be providing it to NPMS.

MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you.

And I put my own card up. I just want to weigh in here. In Washington, 25 years ago this summer will be the 25th anniversary of the Bellingham explosion, which is kind of seared into the makeup of Washington state. It was an explosion that killed two boys. And since then, we developed a mapping tool that is available to first responders. It's available to journalists. It's available to the public.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

What we found is there is a lot of interest bу local governments, county governments, even in rural areas. They want to know where pipelines are located. They want to have basically situational awareness about where it is they live and what is in their communities. And when we don't provide that, there is a trust issue with their government saying, you're hiding information from us that we think is necessary for us to manage our own lives and our own safety. And so I think it's have this important kind of very to transparency. And that's why I believe that all of these gas gathering lines should be part of the NPMS system.

So Chad Zamarin?

MR. ZAMARIN: Thanks.

Chad Zamarin, Williams. I'll try to just maybe follow-up to Erin, your comment. We don't need GIS as the primary tool for managing pipelines. I mean, for over a century we've been managing pipelines with other tools beyond

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

just GIS geospatial systems.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

And I appreciate, Chairman, I mean, the challenge is, again, I kind of feel like a broken record on this, but we're talking about an industry. We're talking about, you know, in the liquids transmission industry, which that unfortunate incident was on a liquid transmission pipeline. In the gas transmission industry, we've been regulated for over 50 years, and we've had to have maps. know, even before there was GIS, we had to have detailed maps. We had to go out and survey our pipelines because we had to do classification and had to have accuracy surveys, we structures nearby.

I mean, you can absolutely manage a pipeline network without NPMS data. And NPMS data is not something that any operator uses on a day-to-day basis to manage our pipeline system. That's a submittal that we have to provide. And I think it's something that we were able to do, frankly, because we were

already developing systems at the level of maturity that that industry was at the time

We're not at that level of maturity in the gathering space. That's why there's all this angst about, let's make sure that things we're extending to gathering are really worth the bang for the buck. Because we're going to 10, 20 spend the next years now driving operators to take all those paper records out to hire, you know, consultants and contractors, turn it into geospatial data for the purpose of a federal report instead of focusing on, you the things talked about that we this know, morning that would have more impact, I think, reducing emissions and improving safety. on Thanks.

MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you.

Diane, then Steve, then Sara.

MS. BURMAN: So I'm still grappling with what the rationale is on the NPMS being applied here in a leak rulemaking, versus a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

separate public awareness rulemaking, which I think is more appropriate, if that's sort of the lens and the only lens. I think that community engagement and how to layer it in, with this type of rulemaking is fine, but I'm not hearing it as this will help in the safety aspect. And I'm just trying to understand. I don't necessarily have a problem with exploring what may or may not be helpful and how that can be incorporated.

But I am really concerned that we are layering a lot of mandates on without fully exploring. And I don't see it in the record without fully exploring, what are we trying to accomplish, what exactly this will do and are there alternatives and how can we first look at what it is that we need and how to stand it up in a way that doesn't wind up sort of crumbling of because there are а huge amount implications on that that may not actually be effective in helping address the very issue that the rulemaking is about. And so I'm just

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

struggling with that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. DANNER: All right.

MS. BURMAN: And just from а transparency perspective, you can also, you know, look at other things alternatively, like the one-call system to get at those issues. So I just am trying to grapple with again, a real rationale for why this fits here in this rulemaking, versus the separate rulemaking on public awareness.

MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you.

Steve Squibb, and then Sara Gosman.

MR. SQUIBB: Steve Squibb, City Utilities of Springfield, Missouri. I have similar comments as Diane, as she was talking. To it goes back to the congressional me, And when NPMS came about, it was mandates. excluded specifically, gathering and distribution. There's been previous rulemaking for gathering that didn't consider NPMS during those rule makings. And this current rulemaking, I don't see it specifically in the

mandates. So to me it sets a bad precedent for rulemaking going forward. And this just does not seem to be the appropriate rulemaking to extend this requirement to gathering.

MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you.

Sara Gosman?

MS. GOSMAN: Yeah. Two things. mean, again, from my perspective, transparency, trust, these are essential to every single decision that we make in terms of pipeline safety issues and environmental issues. me, it seems very linked. But, I mean, even if we were to look at questions around if the public knows where pipelines are and they, you know, discover a leak, right, I mean, they are in some ways our one sort of version of our leak detection system. And so in that way it's very directed in terms of leaks.

One thought I had as we had this discussion is that some of the concerns about standing up programs and making it practical for the industry really came down to frequency

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

issues around how often, right, the industry had to do something. And I'm wondering if there's some sort of equivalent here in terms of NPMS. For example, is a longer timeline to get the geospatial data in something that could help alleviate some of these concerns about whether it's practical but still allow for the main point here, which I think is it's important to bring these gathering pipelines into NPMS.

And yeah. As a law professor, I feel the need to say again that I really don't think it's our job to be interpreting statutes in the way that a lot of folks are doing around the room. I mean, we can all read statutes, but I think this is something that we should leave to lawyers and judges. And ultimately, the iudaes are going to have to make the decision about agency authority. We can read statutes, but there are lots of legal tools around interpretation of statutes. You're using plain text as your interpretive tool, but

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

there's also context. There's looking at other provisions in the statute, legislative history. I mean, all of these things need to be taken into account when we're thinking about interpreting statutes. And I don't think that that's what we should be doing here.

MR. DANNER: Thank you. Steve, and then Erin.

Oh, okay. Erin?

MS. MURPHY: Erin Murphy, Environmental Defense Fund. I want to just make a final point to make sure that I've been very clear that the benefits of including gathering pipelines in the NPMS and having that information accessible to the public and stakeholders are directly connected to pipeline safety and PHMSA's actions to set pipeline safety standards that protect safety and the environment. This is about improving understanding leaks public of where are happening, the extent of those leaks pipeline infrastructure, and we've been as

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

talking about, really successfully conducting that research in a way that it's able to be tied to the infrastructure and how PHMSA regulates it requires access to this type of information.

I also want to just flag the point that operators are not the only entities who are identifying leaks on pipeline infrastructure. We see more often, probably in the distribution setting, you know, sometimes organizations that are interested concerned about the safety and environmental impact on their communities and are going out and doing community leak surveys and sharing that information publicly and with the utility. We're also seeing right now, know, a really important and exciting ramp-up of a nationwide effort to improve methane monitoring with extensive funding from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and the Department of Energy. We're going seeing, you know, monitoring consortiums going

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

out and conducting more methane monitoring campaigns. And those campaigns are going to yield data and find leaks, right?

And, you know, the Yu, et al. (2022) paper that I've been referencing because I read it recently so it's fresh in my mind as a helpful example, that team that did multiple survey campaigns, they used a database that they had to pay money to access to try to that pinpoint the pipelines the leaks were identified on and then contact the operators of those pipelines to let them know. And that was a multiple pass campaign and survey effort. And the study actually found that the emissions went down over time, and the researchers attributed that in part to their outreach efforts and the fact that perhaps, you know, those messages got through and the operators repaired those leaks. You shouldn't have to spend a lot of money to access an industry database to be able to figure out if a methane you identified is leak that certain on а

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 pipeline or not. And I think that's exactly 2 the type of campaign that would, you know, be 3 particularly beneficial for the pipeline 4 industry if this type of information 5 available. All right. Thank you. 6 MR. DANNER: 7 From my chair here, I am observing 8 that are probably not going to have a 9 unanimous vote or reach consensus on this 10 And so what I would like to do is go 11 ahead and let's take the vote. I think for 12 purposes of this vote, I would propose that we 13 the bullets move second two and the 14 parenthetical in the first bullet and just take 15 a vote on whether PHMSA should extend the NPMS 16 requirements to A, B, and C gathering lines. 17 And we'll just, yes or no, and that will give 18 PHMSA everything it needs to know. 19 Sara Longan? 20 MS. LONGAN: Thank you, Mr. 21 Chairman. 22 And I just wanted to make sure that

my suggestion was clear, although it might not have been a good suggestion, and it may not work. Chairman, just based on the dialogue and what I'm hearing and where I'm right now, my position, is I don't think that that language works for me. Could they not consider the applicability of extending? They have to review for legal reasons anyways, that we've talked about at length.

Could we change the language to advise DOT to look into it, which is the probably only common denominator that I've heard in this dialogue? Thank you.

MR. DANNER: Yeah. And I think that that would be like a third option because I don't think that's going to move the needle for two sides that I've been hearing discussed so far. That's the only reason I didn't include that. I understand what you're saying. I will put that out there for a comment.

I think, Diane, you were up first, and then Erin?

MS. BURMAN: Yeah. I'm not sure why we're limiting the voting slide to kind of not incorporate what seems like some thoughtful discussion from the committee. So to me, yes, it may not be unanimous, but if someone votes no on this, it does not necessarily explain the rationale, and it also doesn't explain to me the rationale on why we would extend it. So I feel like this is a flawed voting slide.

MR. DANNER: Well --

MS. BURMAN: And I wonder if we should incorporate some more explanation and caveats, especially if we're asking PHMSA to consider these requirements or alternatives in light of the intent and the rationale. And so for me, I'm just looking at it and saying, I don't think this as itself does it for opening it up. And I think we should actually have more flexibility for PHMSA to consider it from what we're actually saying as a committee.

MR. DANNER: Well, one way we could achieve that is by taking votes on several sets

of language, kind of like rank choice voting or something, which we don't have here. So, I mean, we could vote on this. We could vote on Sara's language. We could vote on Chad's language. Sorry, Chad. I don't know that you have language. I apologize.

But, I mean, at the end of the day, what we're really talking about is this: Should PHMSA be putting mapping requirements on A, B, and C? And so we can put caveats in there, but, you know, the more you put in, the more I will probably pull back myself. And I don't know if others would be in the same position. So there's no way to perfectly encapsulate everything in a vote. And I just wonder if the purest yes, no vote is the better way to go, and that's where I was leaning.

But I am open to suggestions, and I've heard yours, Diane. Erin?

MS. MURPHY: Erin Murphy, EDF. I think from my perspective, the language that's on the screen seems appropriate to vote on.

The reason I'm feeling that way is because it's felt like, in prior phases of the discussion, when there is a possible move towards consensus is when we start sort of trying to develop a more detailed recommendation. This language is what is in the proposed rule. So if there's not, you know, moves for consensus, then it makes sense to me to vote on whether or not the committee recommends what's in the proposed rule.

MR. DANNER: All right.

Chad, if you drop it on the floor again, I can't call on you ever again.

All right. Terry?

MR. TURPIN: Makes me want to throw mine off the table, too, there. So I'm going to offer something even different. It seems to me like the disconnect here is on one side, the presumption that this data already exists and therefore, why don't we get it into a system?

On the other side, the perspective is, the data doesn't actually exist, and it's a lot of time

and effort and expense to stand it up. Why would we want to do that? And all the arguments around the table are centered on moving the ball forward. So instead of saying affirmatively, PHMSA must do what it said, and it's no, I mean, we're still just trying to make a recommendation to the body that's going to have to sort it out under the APA.

Why not capture both ends by saying, PHMSA should consider whether extending these requirements to these lines is appropriate through this rulemaking, or if there's some alternative method as I think Arvind mentioned, if you're going to be doing the leak surveys, you're going to be capturing this stuff, is there some other way to get the data that overlays eliminating the cost problems and the time problems with stuff that's already happening? And so put it up as an alternative, I don't think anyone is saying, geospatial info wouldn't be good. I think the problem I'm hearing is, folks are assuming it exists.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Folks are saying, well in reality it doesn't exist. We would have to go out and create it.

And that's where the rub is, so why not get around that rub?

MR. DANNER: I just remind you it's already in the proposed rules, and PHMSA has already done the cost-benefit analysis on it.

But I would also say that what you're proposing is another option. So I don't believe that we are in a position where we're going to achieve consensus on the basic question. So again, just my thoughts.

And Andy?

MR. DRAKE: Andy Drake, Enbridge. I appreciate Commissioner Burman's position, that is, we're basically creating a binary slide here, yes, or no? And I think the real issue here may be guidance to PHMSA, which is created by the record we create, too.

Terry, your comments are now on the record, so you've kind of given PHMSA your quidance on a different solution, I think, in

that interest.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The problem that I was having with
the slide that was up there a few minutes ago
was, one, the assumption that NPMS solves this
problem. And I think that is an
extraordinarily burdensome solution to what
need is out there. And two, that it's all of
gathering. I think that is an incredibly
burdensome obligation also for something that's
not necessarily where the problem or the need
is. But we're making no effort to
differentiate that. We're making no effort to
try to figure out a fit for service solution.
With tools that already exist, we just said no,
it's got to be this. It's got to be the
standard. It's got to be everything. And I'm
having a real problem with that. It just does
not make sense to me. So I just wanted to pass
that on.

MR. DANNER: Well, and thank you. raised the issue mean, Ι as regulator and a government official in

а

my

1 state, you know, that there is an expectation 2 of transparency. It goes to the trust that 3 people have in their public officials. And so 4 that's why I'm taking the position that I am. Now, you know, I'm wondering do we need to have 5 a motion, or is there something we can simply 6 7 put in the record that the committee was unable 8 to achieve consensus on this point? I think it 9 might be a question for Robert Ross, you know? 10 But I think that that is where we're 11 going to end up is that we don't have consensus 12 here. And how do we reflect that? Because, 13 you know, I'm hearing a lot of points of view, 14 but at the end of the day, it comes down to do 15 we want the mapping system to include these 16 gathering lines or not? 17 So, Robert, I'm going to turn to you 18 first, and then Sara Longan and Diane. 19 Sure. Robert Ross from MR. ROSS: 20 straightforward way PHMSA. The most

demonstrate that there's a lack of consensus by

voting on something and the fact that you won't

21

1	have a majority vote on it would demonstrate a
2	lack of consensus on it. But that said, if one
3	of the alternatives is that folks want to put
4	any number of different permutations on the
5	above and vote on every one of them, then you
6	would end up with the same result, you know?
7	So it'll probably just be easier to vote on one
8	set of wording and then give it an up or down.
9	And then we will have to go back and look at
10	the administrative record and sift through
11	everyone's comments anyway.
12	MR. DANNER: Yeah. That was my
13	thinking in trying to push the yes, no vote.
14	So with that, I think Diane and
15	Sara. I can't remember if I said Diane, Sara
16	or Sara, Diane.
17	Okay. Sara?
18	MS. LONGAN: Thank you.
19	I think we should just vote on this
20	language, and I'm happy to make the motion. I
21	think PHMSA did a very good job explaining why
22	it thought geospatial data into NPMS was

it thought geospatial data into NPMS

important in the NPRM. So I want to make sure committee, t.hat. а or at least I, we as acknowledge that that explanation is in there. And it goes to a lot of the guestions that we've been talking about in relation to public awareness. It also goes to actually improving pipeline operators, leak detection programs. So there are a lot of different reasons I think why this is a good idea.

And with that, I would defer to Chad, but then I'm happy to make the motion.

MR. DANNER: Okay.

I want to hear from Robert Ross.

You had your card up for a second.

MR. ROSS: Yeah.

One additional mechanism that I failed to mention was that individuals, you know, like in this committee or in the public, can submit their comments after the meeting if they believe that the meeting vote didn't capture some nuance or consideration that they thought should have been reflected in the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

voting slide.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. DANNER: Okay.

And again, I think I know what the result is going to be from this committee and just what is the most efficient way to get And iust think lot of there. Ι а these while they raise permutations, interesting issues, they don't move us towards consensus, and I think we're still going to be forced with the yes, no vote at the end of the day.

But Chad?

MR. GILBERT: Yes.

Gilbert from the United Chad Association. I've just got a couple of points that I see that's really good about the mapping system in the rural areas. It allows planning commissions and developers to know where the gathering lines are, so that when these cities that are close to rural cities, these they're close to these communities, it gives them some access, easy access to go to a place to where they can do their planning without

trying to contact maybe the smaller operators that are a little bit more difficult to get ahold of and get information from than, say, a larger operator. So those are a couple of points.

And I do have one question. Are we talking about some of these gathering lines are carrying the same volume and pressure as a transmission line? So is that something that maybe someone could help me with here?

MR. DANNER: Chad, do you want to answer that direct question?

MR. ZAMARIN: Yeah.

It includes those, and my sense is we wouldn't get to consensus, but one of the problems is it goes much further beyond that, and it includes all Type C gathering. And so yes, it does include the small subset of those lines that do look more like transmission that are larger. But the problem is this is extending to all 500-plus operators, and even the small diameter, lower pressure lines.

1 So Commissioner, MR. GILBERT: 2 direct response? 3 MR. DANNER: You may, yeah. 4 MR. GILBERT: So you can see the 5 public's concern about these lines being mapped, these gathering lines that are carrying 6 7 that amount of pressure. 8 MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you. 9 Peter, did you have your card up? 10 MR. CHACE: Pete Chace, NAPSR. Ι 11 keep going back and forth about raising the I think Chad did bring up a good point. 12 card. 13 I think where you're losing me on this is the 14 inclusion of the Type B lines. I think with 15 Type B and particularly Type R lines, we have 16 to realize that not all of these operators are 17 like my colleagues here seated to my right. 18 have thousands of miles of lines in Ohio that 19 are operating maybe 15 to 40 pounds. 20 ask them for geospatial information, you're not 21 going to get it. I don't see it as feasible

for those low-stress lines. Type A and C,

1 sure, I personally think it is.

MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you.

Chad?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. ZAMARIN: Thanks.

Chad Zamarin, Williams. Just t.o follow-up on that. I do want to point out, though, this is just the NPMS requirement. PHMSA did pass several regulations specifically towards those diameter targeted larger gathering lines, and so those are covered. And I mentioned just a couple of those. But we extended MAOP confirmation requirements, which would lead to pressure testing and verification of larger diameter, higher of those types pressure pipelines, leakage survey and repairs. Corrosion control requirements were extended to those, line marking. So line marking requirements are the same for Type C gathering. Larger diameters, they are for transmission. extend the Public We have to

Program to those pipelines.

earlier, the

talked about

Awareness

we

subset

The

20,000

1 miles that look like a duck, quack like a duck, 2 like, we have a public awareness requirement in 3 the regulation that covers those pipelines. have an emergency planning requirement in the 4 5 regulation that covers those pipelines. So I think that PHMSA actually did a 6 7 good job of being surgical, of not taking a 8 blunt instrument and saying, let's extend 9 everything, you know, including NPMS to all 10 gathering pipelines. They were surgically kind 11 of inserted, and we voted on those as a GPAC. 12 It was before, you know, Chad, you 13 joined the committee. But those were, for the 14 most part, I think unanimous votes of how we 15 extended requirements to those gathering lines that looked and felt more like transmission 16 17 lines. Thank you. 18 MR. DANNER: Chad, did you have your 19 card up? 20 MR. GILBERT: Yeah. 21 I can just see the advantage to the 22 public being able to know where these lines are

located, iust like I said, а planning commission of a small rural town that's looking to expand their town in a certain direction. And that would help industry, too, by having more regulations in a certain because of a class change. So I can just see where the public would have an advantage. this would really help them in just knowing where these lines are located and an easy way of going about finding out that information through the mapping system. Thank you.

MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you. Erin Murphy?

MS. MURPHY: Erin Murphy, EDF. I appreciated Pete's point earlier, and I think Chad mentioned this as well, just folks who have historically had their records, you know, on paper or in, you know, more sort of legacy formats. And my thinking there is, the fact that that is the case doesn't mean we shouldn't be striving for sort of improvement across the board in the way this information is managed

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

and tracked and moving towards, you know, fully digitizing, if that's the right word, GIS-ing right, this data about pipeline locations.

I do think for me, Type B because they're in such populous areas, it important to keep them included in reporting to NPMS as PHMSA has proposed. And I can't tell if it's not worth going this direction or not, that's the type it feels like but consideration where, you know, thinking about a recommendation to PHMSA on the timeline for when this information would be needed, you know, might be distinct for different classes of operators.

MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you.

So at this point, this is what I would like to do. I would like to entertain a motion on the slide that's up there. But I want to give every member, if you have a permutation or a different motion that you would like to make, we'll take the vote on this one, and then we will consider any other

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

motions or all other motions that come before us if there's permutations that you would like the committee to consider. So at first, I would entertain a motion on this slide, and then we can move to any others that the committee might want to offer.

Okay. Diane, are you planning to make a motion?

MS. BURMAN: Yeah.

Before we vote, I just want to say one thing because Ι just want people understand where I'm coming from, is if we are voting on what I see as a very binary, yes or no vote, I don't believe for myself that any other vote after that and having sort of a running list of different options is actually helpful. Because for me, it comes to is that a sufficient vote? Do we have the record? And I don't think then trying to layer on after that different options is actually helpful to the I think that the record is not even sufficient to get us to this binary vote.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

However, if that's what we're doing, a yes or no vote, and then I don't see any other need to have different options.

Yeah. MR. DANNER: МУ thinking there was if people are going to vote yes or no, and some people are going to say, I voted no because -- and another motion would help them if they didn't want to provide separate comments to be included in the record, that's the only reason I offered. I just want to say that I'm not advocating further motions. not not advocating for motions. I'm iust saying I would consider and allow any further motion to be voted on by the committee.

So with that, Sara Gosman?

MS. GOSMAN: I would like to go and make the motion then. So the published proposed rule is in the Federal Register and is supported by the Preliminary Impact Analysis Regulatory and Draft Environmental Assessment with regards to NPMS participation for Type A, Type B, and Type C

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	gathering lines is technically feasible,
2	reasonable, cost-effective, and practicable.
3	MR. DANNER: All right.
4	Is there a second? Erin Murphy
5	seconds.
6	Cameron, would you take the vote,
7	please?
8	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: All right.
9	I'll say your name, and if you
10	agree, say yes. If not, say no.
11	Diane Burman?
12	MS. BURMAN: No.
13	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Peter Chace?
14	MR. CHACE: No.
15	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: David Danner?
16	MR. DANNER: Yes.
17	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Sara Longan?
18	MS. LONGAN: Yes.
19	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Terry Turpin?
20	MR. TURPIN: Yes.
21	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Brian Weisker?
22	MR. WEISKER: No.

ī	Z18
1	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Andy Drake?
2	MR. DRAKE: No.
3	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Steve Squibb?
4	MR. SQUIBB: No.
5	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Chad Zamarin?
6	MR. ZAMARIN: No.
7	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Chad Gilbert?
8	MR. GILBERT: Yes.
9	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Arvind
10	Ravikumar?
11	MR. RAVIKUMAR: Yes.
12	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Erin Murphy?
13	MS. MURPHY: Yes.
14	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Sara Gosman?
15	MS. GOSMAN: Yes.
16	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Sam Ariaratnam?
17	MR. ARIARATNAM: No.
18	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: It's a tie vote,
19	seven to seven.
20	MR. DANNER: A personal failure on
21	my part. Well, thank you, everybody, and this
22	was kind of what I observed. Now, is there

1 anyone on the committee who wishes to make a 2 subsequent motion on these issues? All right. 3 All right. So we are going to take a 10 to 15-4 minute break, and then we will come back and 5 tackle the next issue. Thank you. above-entitled 6 (Whereupon, the 7 matter went off the record at 2:37 p.m. and 8 resumed at 3:04 p.m.) 9 MR. DANNER: All right, everyone. 10 This afternoon we were planning on having a 11 discussion about the reporting requirements. 12 We are aware that there are several people who 13 flying in tomorrow, hoping to will be 14 public comment on that. And so we made a 15 decision that we are going to skip over that 16 item and come back to it tomorrow. And this 17 afternoon, we are going to take up liquefied 18 natural gas and hydrogen. 19 So with that, I'm going to turn it 20 back to John, who will tee up PHMSA discussion. 21 MR. GALE: And like a true golfer, 22 I'm just going to hand it off to Mr. Clayton

Bodell.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Clayton?

MR. BODELL: All right. Good I'm Clayton Bodell with PHMSA's afternoon. Standards and Rulemaking. So yeah, we're going into our discussion for LNG to jump and So let's go ahead and move to the hydrogen. next slide. So regarding LNG, we'll cover the requirements. current And those cover, generally, leakage surveys, O&M requirements, and blowdown mitigation.

So in Section 114 of the PIPES Act of 2020, it requires operators of gas pipeline facilities as defined in 49 U.S.C. 60101 to adopt procedures to minimize the releases of natural gas and address the replacement of pipelines known to leak. In 49 U.S.C. 60101, pipeline facilities includes the term, qas liquefied pipeline natural qas storage facilities. And Part 193 does not generally require operators of LNG facilities to mitigate operational emissions or perform periodic

leakage surveys.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Moving to our proposal, we proposed that LNG operators must minimize releases from operational non-emergency blowdowns. And the example methods parallel EPA methane challenge industry commitments. and We proposed require quarterly leakage surveys facilities and repair leaks in accordance with the LNG operators' maintenance procedures. proposed that the leakage survey equipment used for those leakage surveys must have a minimum sensitivity of 5 ppm, but for the ALDP performance standards proposed for Part 192 do not otherwise apply.

Regarding hydrogen and how proposed rule applies to hydrogen, our current requirements that Part 192 applies are generally to all flammable, toxic, and corrosive qases transported bу pipeline, including hydrogen gas and blended natural gas and hydrogen gas. Also, our current requirements have requirements, again, for

hydrogen and blends existing leak, survey patrol, and repair requirements. And those would apply to those hydrogen and blended pipelines.

In the proposal, we suggested that the proposed rule would apply to hydrogen The NPRM regarding leak grading pipelines. criteria: The NPRM does not propose to allow Grade 3 classification for hydrogen leaks, meaning they would all be either Grade 1 or Grade 2. Gas pipelines other than natural gas pipelines eligible for alternative are standards with notification performance regardless of location. then And PHMSA requested comment on the value of adopting hydrogen gas pipeline specific provisions in in addition to, the provisions lieu of, or proposed in the NPRM.

Regarding LNG and general applicability and looking to the comments that we received in response to the NPRM, industry trades expressed that Section 113 of the PIPES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Act does not apply to LNG facilities and that the rulemaking should have discussed how the proposed requirements fit into the separate statutory authority for LNG standards in 49 U.S.C. 60103(d).

The Senator Cruz, et al. opposed the full scope of the proposed changes to LNG facility regulations, as contrary to congressional intent. And the Attorney General of New York, et al. supports LNG leakage survey requirement, as it fills a regulatory gap by of methane requiring surveys leaks for LNG facilities for the first time. PHMSA notes to these comments, LNG facilities are gas pipeline facilities for which PHMSA has broad authority under 49 U.S.C. 60102 and 60103. PHMSA will respond to comments in the final addressing its statutory authority to introduce requirements for LNG facilities. PHMSA notes that the Section 114 mandate applies to gas pipeline facilities as defined in 49 U.S.C. include 60101, which LNG facilities and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

underground natural gas storage facilities.

Other comments regarding the minimizing blowdown and boil-off emissions: This is specific to Part 193, Section 2523. Industry trades suggest that PHMSA limit the applicability of Section 2523 to planned releases that exceed 1 MMcf, or 1 million cubic feet, without mitigation. An operator requested PHMSA clarify if operators had to demonstrate the required minimization methods are not achievable before a blowdown can take place.

Industry trades urged PHMSA consider the alternative proposals for minimizing emissions during blowdowns and boiloff operations. An operator discussed venting events, stating that operators should have the flexibility to design their mitigation approach without restriction. Industry trades and an to consider that operator urged PHMSA facilities need time to obtain new or modified permits to route additional volume air

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

flares and that such actions can take years to complete.

Continued comments regarding minimizing blowdowns and boil-off emissions:

Industry trades and an operator said that a smaller section of the piping segment is vague, and the term, control fitting is not defined in the rulemaking. And industry trades said that the text should be revised to require operators to reduce emissions instead of using the term, minimize.

PHMSA notes here: PHMSA will clarify the language for blowdown methods in the final rule to be more specific to LNG facilities.

And while the proposed regulatory language uses the term, minimize consistent with the language in the PIPES Act, PHMSA's intent was that the use of any of the proposed methods would be sufficient to demonstrate compliance.

Continued notes regarding minimizing emissions: PHMSA notes that the committee discussed similar parallel gas transmission

made the requirements and following recommendations. And that is as you see on the screen. And then PHMSA requests committee discussion of the previous committee recommendations for gas transmission blowdowns as it could apply to LNG facilities.

Regarding leakage surveys facilities covered under Part 193, Section 2624, we received comments as follows: NAPSR expressed general support for the proposed leakage survey requirements. The Attorney General of New York, et al. expressed support for requiring quarterly methane leakage surveys facilities. for LNG An operator suggested monitoring unsafe to monitor and difficult to monitor components more than twice no calendar year. And multiple industry trades and operators asked PHMSA to provide an LNG facilities exception similar to the proposed exception for transmission compressor stations regulated under EPA Quad O regs.

PHMSA notes: PHMSA requests

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

committee discussion on the proposed requirements for facilities that are subject to EPA emissions monitoring requirements.

A continued comment here regarding leakage surveys under Section 2624: Multiple industry trades and operators stated that it unnecessary to apply leakage survey requirements to mobile or temporary LNG facilities. Industry trades asked PHMSA to provide clarification on what the phrase, allowable environmental and operational parameters refers to with regards to the use of leakage survey equipment. PHMSA requests committee discussion on the proposed leakage survey requirements as they apply to mobile or temporary LNG facilities. And PHMSA intended operators to comply with manufacturers' instructions for conditions when leak detection equipment may be used. PHMSA will clarify this in the final rule.

Regarding the repair schedule for leaks found during leakage surveys under

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Section 2624, we received comments from the Pipeline Safety Trust in which they expressed support for the proposal, but suggested that PHMSA implement a specific repair schedule for leaks from LNG facilities. They suggested leaks at LNG facilities be repaired quarterly. That is within three months.

Multiple environment and public safety advocacy groups: A form letter campaign and an individual commenter suggested PHMSA consider requiring all LNG facilities to perform continuous monitoring, quarterly inspections, and leak repairs within one month of discovery. PHMSA requests committee discussion on repair timelines for leaks at LNG facilities.

Continued comments regarding leakage surveys, specifically here with regard to leak detection equipment: Industry trades recommended allowing OGI technology an alternative technology consistent with standards. An operator stated that the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

proposed leak detection equipment standard of 5 within feet. is unnecessary mqq and unreasonable, most LNG plants as are and monitored. continuously manned These facilities have systems capable of detecting hazard leaks that present а to the plant and the public. There personnel justification for requiring LNG operators to detect and remediate much smaller leaks at more frequent intervals. Industry trades and operators asked PHMSA to consider if leakage survey requirements need apply uniformly to all components and areas within an LNG plant.

Pipeline Safety And the Trust suggested the PHMSA develop a leak detection technology standard for LNG facilities that should include the same equipment sensitivity 192 requirement as other Part regulated facilities. PHMSA requests committee detection recommendations regarding leak equipment requirements for LNG leakage surveys. And PHMSA notes that the committee previously

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

recommended the following requirements for the capability of gas transmission leakage survey, and that is a 10 kilogram per hour flow rate standard for screening surveys; follow-up investigation of leak indications with handheld equipment at 5 ppm, or 5 ppm or 1 percent LEL pinpoint the source of leak; а survey with handheld or monitoring equipment, again, to the 5 ppm or ppm or above-ground appurtenances using OGI, optical or gas imaging, consistent with the EPA.

Regarding cost as it applies to LNG the provisions for LNG facilities: or to PHMSA did Industry trades stated that identify any regulatory or non-regulatory options considered in conducting the risk assessment for the proposed safety standard. The continued that the risk commenter assessment in the PRIA is completely Industry trades commented that the inadequate. risk assessment should have separately considered standards for gas pipelines under

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Section 113 and LNG facilities under 49 U.S.C. 60103. Multiple operators and industry trades expressed concern that PHMSA did not calculate full potential costs for implementing the proposed Section 193.2624 for leakage surveys in its analysis. And PHMSA appreciates the comments, and we'll update the RIA as appropriate.

Switching All right. gears and talking now about general applicability of the proposal as it relates to hydrogen. received a comment where an operator commented that reducing hydrogen gas emissions is not part of the PIPES Act mandate. Environmental advocacy groups and hydrogen pipeline а equipment vendor suggested PHMSA address the safety of hydrogen gas pipelines holistically in a hydrogen-specific rulemaking. An industry representative opposed hydrogen gas pipeline specific provisions. An operator in multiple industry trades requested that PHMSA delay the hydrogen aspects of the proposal.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Continuing on here with general comments regarding hydrogen: An operator said t.hat. new requirements of hydrogen pipelines should align with 49 CFR Part 192 and other standards to avoid confusion. NAPSR requested clarity on the applicability of the proposed rule to hydrogen pipelines. The Attorney General of New York, et al. recommended PHMSA prioritize publishing hydrogen-specific pipeline regulations. And the town advisory committee said that separate regulations should be developed for hydrogen and other gases. They requested this rule be limited to natural gas.

Continuing on. Multiple industry trades and an operator said that the final rule should exclude pure hydrogen gas from emissions reductions measures, due to its unique environmental attributes. The commenters also stated that aspects of the NPRM are feasible when applied to leaks of pure hydrogen and require additional research before

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

operators can effectively implement these technologies in an effective leak detection and repair program, again, one of those programs being specific to hydrogen. Environmental advocacy groups urge PHMSA to increase engagement on hydrogen safety standards with the environmental justice communities and other stakeholders.

And finally, environmental advocacy groups stated that existing leak survey practices are of limited effectiveness, as recent data from hydrogen pipeline operators reported zero leaks repaired or planned for repair in 2022.

PHMSA notes that Part 192 applies to hydrogen pipelines, including existing leak detection and repair requirements. PHMSA appreciates the comments and concerns with respect to the applicability of natural gas standards for pipelines transporting pure hydrogen gas. And PHMSA requests committee discussion on the proposed revisions to Part

192 as it applies only to pipelines transporting pure hydrogen gas.

Regarding the patrol requirements as they apply to hydrogen gas pipelines: In Part Section 705, an operator noted that 192, patrolling to identify leaks on а pipeline transporting hydrogen is not value-added. Hydrogen does not leave vegetation marks like natural gas and dissipates quickly. Applying these requirements to hydrogen is wasteful, dangerous, and will not result in a pipeline or lower emissions of a nearly nongreenhouse gas-causing product. PHMSA notes the committee previously recommended that patrol frequency of six times each calendar year for gas transmission lines.

Regarding the ALDP performance standard as it applies to a hydrogen gas pipeline under Part 192, Section 763, Paragraph B: An industry trade group disagrees with the NPRM's apparent premise that leak detection technologies that are effective and appropriate

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

methane can be applied to pipelines transporting unblended hydrogen. The commenter recommended that PHMSA modify proposed Section so that it is flexible enough 763C, meaningfully accommodate new, innovative, and effective leak detection technologies that may developed in the future for unblended hydrogen pipelines. Another operator said that are no commercially available leak detection devices that can reliably detect hydrogen at the 5 parts per million level. environmental advocacy group recommended PHMSA address pure hydrogen pipelines holistically and defer applying the proposed standards to unblended hydrogen pipelines.

Continuing on regarding the ALDP: The Pipeline Safety Trust proposed that hydrogen leak detection equipment is readily available, then hydrogen pipeline operators should be required to use alternative performance ALDP standard by default. This would give PHMSA insight into

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

current leak detection and repair practices being used by the existing industry.

An industry trade states that unlike methane, hydrogen can be detected only when in direct contact with a potential hydrogen leak plume. For methane surveying, large distances pipeline of through remote sensing practical. Hydrogen cannot be reliably detected remotely outside of the leak plume. A hydrogen pipeline operator suggested the leak detection equipment for pure hydrogen have a minimum equipment sensitivity of 25 parts per million.

PHMSA notes regarding the ALDP: PHMSA notes that Part 192 applies to hydrogen pipelines, including existing leak detection and repair requirements. And the previously recommended changes to the ALDP applicable performance standard to qas transmission and distribution lines generally.

Regarding leak grading and repair for hydrogen gas pipelines under Part 192,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Section 760, we received comments as follows: Multiple industry trades and an environmental advocacy group expressed opposition to classifying hydrogen leaks as at least a Grade The commenters noted the National Renewable Energy Laboratory report noted in the NPRM does not support the Grade 2 minimum. An operator added that low percentage blend should be allowed a Grade 3 classification.

The Attorney General of New York, et al. requested clarity regarding the grading of hydrogen and methane blends. And a hydrogen transportation equipment vendor commented that grading and repair criteria should be applicable to pipelines that lack a secondary method of leakage capture as part of the system design, such as that used in a double-walled containment type of pipeline.

An operator commented that transporting pure hydrogen is very sensitive to additional costs due to lower margins in smaller markets, and that excessive compliance

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

burdens could reduce or eliminate the otherwise beneficial use of hydrogen. PHMSA notes here that the GPAC previously recommended changes to the leak grading criteria, repair timelines, and related requirements applicable to gas transmission and gas distribution lines in general.

Regarding the reporting requirements in Part 191 in Sections 11 and 17: We received comment from the Pipeline Safety Trust, multiple public and environmental advocacy groups, and an individual commenter in which they suggested reporting requirements in Part 191 natural and hydrogen gas on mixing expanded to maximize transparency and community safety.

We note and we say PHMSA appreciates this comment and propose an information collection in the Federal Register today, March 25th, 2024. In that notice, PHMSA proposes to modify several forms and instructions to collect information and identify trends related

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 to the blending of hydrogen gas and natural gas 2 within gas pipelines. PHMSA encourages public 3 industry and the committee to review the notice 4 and offer comment during the comment period. 5 docket. for t.hat. information The PHMSA collection notice is 6 PHMSA, P-H-M-S-A, dash 2022 dash 0085. 7 8 Back to John. 9 MR. DANNER: All right. We go to 10 public comment? 11 MR. GALE: Yeah. That's correct, 12 Chairman. Back to public comment. Yeah. 13 MR. DANNER: All right. 14 So let us turn to public now 15 comment. Let me ask if anyone has comments, 16 please, there's a microphone to my right, and 17 just please get in line, introduce yourself, 18 give us your name, and provide your comments. 19 Thank you. 20 MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, ${\tt Mr.}$ 21 Chairman. 22 Chris Williams from Cheniere Energy,

representing INGAA and API. We just want to reiterate that we are in agreement with previous kind of industry comments regarding the broad subject of leak detection. We do want to note that Section 113 does not apply specifically to LNG facilities, notwithstanding that we do recognize that the industry is currently working toward minimizing methane leaks in broad efforts.

We do want to acknowledge for the committee that large-scale liquefaction facilities are very complex, and very large facilities have many areas that are not very accessible for would consider what we handheld leak detection methods. traditional So the committee should consider alternative methods for leak detection, and specifically, those that are in alignment with state programs that are in regulation for some facilities that are already out there.

Finally, we would ask the committee to recognize that minimizing leaks is the goal,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

not complete elimination of all leaks at facilities. Finally, we would like for PHMSA to consider alternative proposals by the industry that are equivalent for minimizing emissions during boil-downs and boil-offs. Thank you.

MR. DANNER: Thank you very much.

MR. WILLS: Good afternoon. How are you guys? My name is Ron Wills. I'm the director of North American Pipeline Operations for Air Products. Air Products is industrial gas and technology company and is the world's largest producer of hydrogen. Products also operates hydrogen pipelines all around the world, including Europe, Canada, Thailand, and in the United States. This includes the largest pure hydrogen transmission pipeline network in the world, located in the Gulf Coast.

Air Products appreciates the opportunity to be heard today. Air Products has safely operated hydrogen transmission

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

for Air pipelines over 40 years. Products 700 miles operates than of hydrogen more pipeline, representing more than а third hydrogen pipeline mileage in the U.S. Air Products agrees that minimizing leaks from all pipelines is an important objective, and we have a program in place for promptly, you know, detecting and repairing these leaks of hydrogen Air Products, pipelines. like -hydrogen aspects of the, you know, proposed rulemaking that's going on today is concerned that treating hydrogen unintended negative consequences and disregards important differences between methane and hydrogen when it comes to leak detection technology and risk.

Pipeline transport of methane and hydrogen is similar in many ways, but there are important distinctions that warrant a different approach when it comes to leak detection and repair. For example, these technologies available for sensing hydrogen leaks in the field are simply not well-developed as those

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

for natural gas. While there are a variety of commercially available handheld hydrogen detectors, they are not useful for hydrogen leak detection in the real world, outdoor environment. The specific gravity of hydrogen and its velocity in the leak scenario mean that currently available handheld detectors are not effective outside of a controlled environment in the lab.

Air Products supports further study of hydrogen leak detection, given the promise that these be developed new, yet to technologies may hold in advancing hydrogen pipeline safety. Our primary objective for this rulemaking is to reduce methane emission and to address underlying mandates related to this objective. Hydrogen is out of the scope of those core objectives. As a result, given the lack of hydrogen-related statutory mandate and the need for further study on hydrogen leak Air detection technologies, Products respectfully requests that hydrogen be excluded

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

from the new rule so that additional study can be performed on informed rulemaking necessarily for it to be designed. Once additional -- Air Products welcomes the opportunity to engage with PHMSA and others on our next step. Thank you.

MR. DANNER: Thank you very much.

MR. TAYLOR: Eric Taylor from BHE. So my comments are just actually a couple of points. We would like see PHMSA have to similar application saw with as we compressor stations for LNG. Ιf there are state programs that apply currently, we want to make sure that those are taken into account so we don't have a PHMSA program overlapping with The LNG sensitivity а state program. requirements, similar to what we saw for the transmission pipeline for ALDP, we think those could apply universally, again, similar to, I think, what PHMSA had.

And then maybe just backing up what was just said, but from a hydrogen standpoint,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

we would expect a separate rulemaking with applicable technology. We just don't believe that technology is there. The focus of this rule really was methane emission reductions.

Thank you.

MR. DANNER: Thank you.

Hi. MR. KOCHMAN: Ben Kochman, representing the Interstate Natural Gas Association of America, and I appreciate the opportunity to have public comment on important subject. I just wanted to flag that currently right now, and I know that there's been a lot of discussions thus far about pure hydrogen pipelines, but there are no transmission interstate operators that currently in standard operating engage practices or procedures to blend hydrogen into existing natural gas pipelines. And just as any part of final rulemaking that PHMSA would institute on this subject, just hope that you would consider that. It's a little difficult to regulate something that isn't quite there

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

yet. So I just wanted to put that out there for PHMSA's consideration, the committee's consideration, and appreciate your time today. Thank you.

MR. DANNER: Thank you.

MR. LANG: Good afternoon. My name is Kevin Lang. I'm the director of engineering services for Southwest Gas Corporation. Just as a way of context, Southwest Gas operates in Arizona, California, and Nevada. We serve about 2.2 million customers of 59,000 miles of distribution main and service and about 1,400 miles of interstate and intrastate pipelines in those three states.

We also operate two LNG plants that would be impacted by the proposed changes to

Part 193. Specifically, these plants are equipped with stationary gas detectors that continuously monitor the plant for malfunctions and other hazardous conditions that could present hazards to the plant, the personnel, or the public. These detectors are monitored from

local control centers 24/7.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Additionally, I wanted to talk little bit about the Preliminary Regulatory Impact Analysis. PHMSA indicated in their PRIA t.hat. they did not. evaluate t.he costeffectiveness for added quarterly the leak detection surveys. Based upon Southwest Gas' preliminary calculations, we're estimating that adding that leak survey requirement for our plants would likely be in the range of about 60- to \$70,000 a year per plant. Taking that high-level estimate, multiplied by the 165 LNG plants that PHMSA identified in the PRIA would likely result in an estimated total cost to the industry of about 9.9 to \$11.6 million. suggestion was just for PHMSA to take a deeper look at that, use those cost estimates, and update the PRIA accordingly.

My next comment is going to be on the area of hydrogen. Southwest Gas does not currently operate any hydrogen pipelines. We have been looking at hydrogen blending, like most of the industry is. We're currently evaluating several pilot programs behind the meter set, so they would not. be 192 jurisdictional. However, we have submitted a pilot proposal to the State of California that would propose a small level of blending to an isolated distribution system in Truckee, California.

And I provide that context because that's currently written. The proposed language in 192, 760(c)(1), romanettes viii, I believe it is, language could be misinterpreted to apply to any pipeline that could occasionally include any amount of hydrogen, whereas based upon the context in the preamble, it appears that PHMSA was focusing on dedicated hydrogen pipelines. And as а point reference, I believe most of the industry's tariffs allow for some very small amount of hydrogen in the gas stream. So we believe PHMSA should consider clarifying that intent, especially as the advent of many operators

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

looking at hydrogen blending pilots could be negatively impacted by the requirements of any leak being a minimum of Grade 2 if it involves hydrogen. So thank you. Appreciate the opportunity.

MR. DANNER: Thank you very much.

MS. JAWORSKI: Μy name is Jaworski. I'm with Earthjustice. On LNG, we would like to say that we support PHMSA's proposal to require quarterly methane leakage surveys and remediation of leaks discovered within one month of discovery. We would also urge PHMSA to strengthen this requirement to clarity provide that operators would be required to satisfy the advanced leak detection program technology standards.

And we would just like to note that communities that are near LNG facilities are incredibly concerned about the safety risks that these large facilities create. And the Preliminary RIA did note that the vast majority of reported leaks at LNG facilities originated

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

from plant piping and equipment, with caused by equipment failure. These leakage surveys would help to quickly identify these leaks and make the facilities safer an interim step until PHMSA completes its planned Part 193 LNG facilities role. And we would consider also urge PHMSA to requiring continuous monitoring systems at LNG facilities.

And then on the subject of hydrogen, we believe that the alternative ALDP standard should apply to dedicated hydrogen pipelines as an appropriate interim step until PHMSA can rulemaking with robust undertake future а community engagement to address hydrogen's unique safety and environmental risks. And we do urge PHMSA to do that standalone rulemaking. tax credits and other federal There are policies that are incentivizing a huge planned build out of dedicated hydrogen pipelines, and neither the current gas pipeline standards nor these current proposed leak detection standards

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

are specifically tailored to the unique engineering and safety challenges that are created by hydrogen.

And would also support we а requirement for operators to report they're blending hydrogen into existing distribution pipelines, which are subject to this rule's leak detection standard. And we note the information collection request that PHMSA has just issued on this topic and appreciate PHMSA's recognition that this information is important to collect and to help PHMSA tailor any future rule makings to the different ways that these blends might create slightly different risks and challenges as compared to a methane-only pipeline. Thank you for the opportunity to provide comment.

MR. DANNER: Thank you very much.

MR. ZANDAROSKI: Good afternoon. My name is Mike Zandaroski. I'm the manager of engineering gas standards for CenterPoint Energy. CenterPoint Energy operates a small

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

pilot hydrogen plant in Minneapolis, Minnesota, which can produce up to 60 dekatherms of hydrogen gas per day. The hydrogen produced at this plant provides up to a blend of 5 percent of hydrogen and natural gas mixture that is dispersed into a large diameter distribution system and is carried to homes and businesses across the greater urban Minneapolis area.

Thus, I want to make the point that it's imperative to differentiate the 100 percent hydrogen and the hydrogen blending pipelines with regards to allowing the Grade 3 leaks in blended lines versus the 100 percent hydrogen lines. And again, I think it's very important, as other commenters have said, to separate the hydrogen leak detection equipment versus those with the blended lines. So thank you.

MR. DANNER: Thank you very much.

MR. LONN: Hi. I'm Richard Lonn, on
behalf of Southern Company Gas. Southern

Company Gas, for those of you who don't know,

we are one of the largest LDCs in the country. We operate in four states, 4.4 million customers, about 150,000 miles of pipeline in the country. We also operate four LNG plants.

First off, I wanted to thank PHMSA for that note on the slide I just saw about the of minimization. Ι think that's important issue. It was one I was going to raise. Reducing versus minimizing, we talked about this a lot in the first week here, but I did appreciate that note. I also wanted to say certainly firm supporters of are it relates to industry comments as issues.

As far as LNG plants, you heard some earlier discussion about fixed sensors. We have fixed sensors at all of our plants. all LNG facilities have those. But as you leak consider the survey aspects of proposed rule, I would like to suggest that there should be an either/or there. Those fixed pick sensors the leaks up qas

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

immediately. It seems redundant and unnecessary and a waste of rate payer money to go leak survey facilities which have 7/24 monitoring already. So I certainly would suggest that.

The second part would be that you're mandating a quarterly leak survey of the LNG plants, and I would suggest that LNG plant hazardous piping is not more than а transmission line. You certainly shouldn't go to a standard beyond where you've gone with transmission lines. And so either an annual standard would work, or if you're tying it to class location, something like that. But to push LNG beyond where you've pushed the biggest pipelines in the nation doesn't seem right, either. So that's really all I had on the LNG.

On the hydrogen side, I wanted to say we are very excited about the opportunities that we see with hydrogen. We don't currently have hydrogen pipelines in Southern Company Gas, but we are on several consortiums working

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

towards the issue of blended pipelines. thing that most concerns us beyond what's in the joint industry comments is the issue of Grade 3 leaks in that regard. When you're dealing with a blended system that regulation is written in such a way that there Grade where there's any hydrogen 3s involved, that needs to be clarified to allow blendeds to be treated just like it's a natural system for grading. Otherwise, gas you're going to create mayhem because we, operators, will have to track the actual atoms. did the hydrogen come into а system, if that makes sense? And where did it flow and if you've got to apply a different standard. So please try and be consistent. Thank you very much.

MR. DANNER: Thank you very much.

MR. JOHNSON: Hello. My name is
Maury Johnson. I'm not an agency person or an
industry person or a member of a group. I'm
just a landowner. Some people say I'm a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

nobody. So I'm here to represent all those millions of nobodies across this country who live with a pipeline in their backyard, in their communities where they live, work, and play. I want to thank everybody in this room who are generally working for safety. Thank you.

But I do want to push back on one statement I heard this morning at 9:25 a.m.

Someone from the industry said something to the effect that the industry didn't know that methane or greenhouse gases were going to be a problem until recently. Back home we call that male bovine excrement, or BS. With all the reporting that you've heard in the last few years, you've heard that the industry's own records show they knew this was going to be a problem and knew it for about 50 years.

In order to address a problem, you must be first honest and acknowledge you have a problem. For example, back in April of 1970, Apollo 13 was on a mission to the moon, and

they said, Houston, we have a problem. Our life support system is damaged, and we got to fix this problem. That was almost 54 years ago. Just a few days from now, it'll be 54 years.

Well, Houston, we have a problem.

Our spaceship, earth, has a critical problem.

Our life support system is imperiled. We've

got to fix these problems, and we got to

acknowledge we have these problems. And again,

I would like to thank everybody who is actually

working on the problems. I agree with the

young lady from Earthjustice.

MR. DANNER: Thank you very much, Mr. Johnson.

MR. CARAM: Hi. Bill Caram, Pipeline Safety Trust. I want to talk a little bit about hydrogen. Hydrogen does pose unique safety risks. It's also an indirect greenhouse gas with more than 30 times the warming power of CO2 in the first 20 years. And it does that by intensifying the effect of methane in the

atmosphere. So this does seem like an appropriate place for these rules.

But as many have mentioned, the leak detection technology is not nearly as sensitive and advanced as it is for methane. support the use of the alternative program for hydrogen, both pure and in blends. We also support a future rulemaking for more specific safety regulations, and given the safety risks and the knowledge gaps that remain and have been highlighted many times, we do support that no Grade 3 leaks until those knowledge gaps are filled safety and can be demonstrated, especially on the blending of hydrogen distribution systems that were not designed for the presence of hydrogen. And I also want to add that we really appreciate the information collection effort by PHMSA on the blending. thank you.

MS. SAXMAN: Good afternoon.

Annette Saxman with National Grid. We own and
operate a combined 23 LNG plants, which

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

includes peak shaving and various mobile plants. These plants can supply about 812 MMcf per day in vaporization capacity. They play a vital role in providing energy supply security to the Northeast region. PHMSA should consider the unique nature of operations of peak shaving facilities and their importance to reliability as related to repair timeframes within this proposal.

LNG facilities are already subject to leak detection and repair requirements under statutes or regulations administered by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency another federal or state agency. If a LNG facility is already subject LDAR to requirements that provide adequate protection to public safety and the environment, there is no reason for PHMSA to add duplicative and potentially inconsistent regulations on that same topic in Part 193.

Furthermore, PHMSA's proposal to include an exemption for compressor stations on

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

gas gathering and transmission lines that are subject to EPA's LDAR regulation supports the conclusion that regulations in Part 193 are unnecessary for LNG facilities that are subject these comparable provisions under statutes or regulations. Lastly, applying the survey requirements to mobile leakage temporary facilities is unnecessary. These mobile and temporary LNG facilities are often in standby mode being relocated, or reconnected, and re-pressurized. And there's no indication on the record that these nonstationary LNG facilities are а significant source of methane emissions. Thank you.

MR. DANNER: Thank you very much.

MR. MOORE: Hello. My name is Daron Moore, representing Air Liquide Pipeline.

Thanks to PHMSA and the committee for the opportunity to comment. Air Liquide operates a pure hydrogen system, mostly along the Gulf Coast of Texas and Louisiana. It should be noted that pure hydrogen systems in the United

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

States total about 1,600 miles where there are approximately 2.6 million miles of natural gas pipelines in the United States. So it's a very small piece of the industry infrastructure.

It should also be noted that the hydrogen leak rate is about 60 percent the rate of the national methane rate of leaks on the pipelines as reported on the annual reports, again, indicating not much of a problem issued on the pipeline safety arena. Air Liquide also presented to PHMSA, the Hydrogen Committee, and the R&D Committee. They welcomed our suggestions for putting R&D money into further detection devices leak and methodologies because, as had been previously noted, we can't really get below about 25 ppm in the real world on finding leaks along the pipeline. There are no commercial technologies that will 5 anywhere near the , mgg and the ALDP extraordinarily costly for hydrogen. We support leak surveys, however, that are effective and cost-efficient, of course.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

And finally, in the area of operator qualification, it hasn't been mentioned today in this discussion, but it was in the NPRM, reporting and repairing are frequently In fact, they're never done for Air not done. Liquide by the same people as the NPRM implies. actually There's been more spent investigation than any leak solving would be taking place, particularly when you take into account a company truck like mine, that's an F-150 driving 200 miles round trip twice to do investigation reports on any slight leak that miqht be detected. Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

MR. DANNER: Thank you very much.

All right. I think that concludes the public comment on LNG and hydrogen. Let me take this opportunity. If any of the members of the committee had questions for Clayton Bodell after his presentation, I neglected to ask you if you had clarifying questions for him. So this is an opportunity if anyone does.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

All right. Andy Drake?

DRAKE: This is Andy Drake, MR. Enbridge. A comment from the public just sparked a thought. You know, we have been very clear about the EPA. It has a lot of very specific and active efforts in this front. How does that fit together in this theater with LNG? I mean, we don't need to reinvent the wheel here.

If EPA has done something on sites, like they have compressor stations and other things, how does that overlap with or fit in with PHMSA's efforts on LNG site-specific sources here?

Yeah. MR. PALABRICA: So that's one of the topics that request Committee we feedback on. So we've spoken to EPA as well. So something Yeah. that's that we could certainly consider based on the public comment committee recommendations, to the that such facilities are compressor affected facilities subject to the Quad O standards.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MR. DANNER: All right. Erin
Murphy?

MS. MURPHY: Thanks.

Erin Murphy, Environmental Defense I think I'm just similarly trying to see if we can get to clarification here, and maybe we're not able to right now if folks haven't heard back from EPA. But my understanding of the proposal was that PHMSA's proposed standard is applicable to the piping in an LNG facility, and that specific part of the infrastructure is not already covered by EPA's Quad O standards for compressor stations. And so my read there was that PHMSA's proposal is a quarterly leak survey, which is comparable to the EPA standards for the adjacent infrastructure, so that this would basically be an aligned standard for quarterly surveys. But I don't know if PHMSA can clarify if that's a correct understanding.

MR. PALABRICA: Sorry for that.

That we would have to consider. I know on the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

transmission side, it's like to the extent that the facility is covered by the EPA monitoring, that's when the exception would apply. But that's something that we would consider with in reviewing those comments and recommendations.

MR. DANNER: Thank you. Arvind?
MR. RAVIKUMAR: Yep.

I just quickly looked through the EPA final rule. And nowhere does it say in the rule that liquefied natural gas terminals are included in the compressor station section. So I request some clarification on what's covered and what's not.

MR. DANNER: Go ahead, Sayler.

MR. PALABRICA: Yeah.

So we had a sort of similar concern when we saw those comments and we discussed with EPA. So our understanding from the discussion with them is that to the extent that a LNG facility is a compressor affected facility or other type of facility subject to EPA emissions monitoring upstream of a city

gate station, then it's covered under the Quad O rules. But LNG plants as, like, its own category is not, like, one of the categories subject to emissions monitoring. So it's if it falls under one of the other categories covered.

MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you.

Is there any follow-up questions there?

Sara Gosman?

MS. GOSMAN: I have another just clarifying question the on proposed regulations. So I know we're going to talk about mobile LNG facilities. So would an operator be subject to the leak survey standards if they were following the NPFA 59A standard? I just had difficulty determining whether that was going to be a requirement that was going to take them out, right, or not. Thanks.

MR. PALABRICA: Yeah. So when we reviewed NFP 59A, that does not include the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	periodic leakage survey requirement for those
2	mobile and temporary facilities. And just for
3	some background on that, Part 193 generally
4	accepts mobile and temporary facilities, and
5	they're instead required to comply with NFPA
6	59A. But from our review, leakage surveys,
7	it's not part of that.
8	MR. DANNER: Go ahead.
9	MS. GOSMAN: So you would be adding
LO	leakage surveys?
1	MR. PALABRICA: Yes, that was the
L2	proposal.
L3	MS. GOSMAN: Okay. Thank you.
L4	MR. DANNER: Okay. Oh, Andy Drake?
L5	MR. DRAKE: Just a casual
L6	observation here. Based on the comments up
L7	there, does it make sense to separate hydrogen
L8	and the LNG discussions? Hydrogen seems like
L9	it's in a very different maturity place and
20	confidence space than this other discussion.
21	Should we handle them a little bit
22	separately?

MR. DANNER: We certainly can. I just don't know what considerations PHMSA had when they put them together. John?

MR. GALE: Oh, we think it's totally appropriate to have the discussion right now and just focus one either on LNG or hydrogen, separately. And, you know, the order is just up to the committee at that point.

MR. DANNER: So all right. So we might end up having either two votes, or we can discuss them separately. We don't have to discuss them as a group. So okay.

Any other questions for our friends at PHMSA? Erin?

MS. MURPHY: Erin Murphy, EDF. I guess it's a question for PHMSA. So the three sub-bullets under LNG, should we be approaching this as those are the three topics to discuss and it's possibly three different areas to vote on, or are we trying to see if we can have consensus on all of these together in a single vote on LNG? Just helpful for planning the

discussion.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. DANNER: T don't know t.hat. that's a question for PHMSA. It's probably more of a question for the committee. But, I mean, what we have up there is PHMSA requests our recommendations, and there are these three topics with regard to LNG. Should we just begin the discussion and take them from the top, leakage survey requirements for facilities and exceptions for facilities covered by EPA missions monitoring requirements?

Thoughts on how we should address that? Chad Zamarin?

MR. ZAMARIN: Thanks.

Chad Zamarin, Williams. Yeah, I generally, heard a theme, and we discussed it back when we were talking about transmission, that I think we should avoid duplicative or overlapping jurisdiction. And if a facility is subject to EPA or a state program that has jurisdiction that PHMSA shouldn't overlap with

that and should adopt that as the governing program, that would seem to make sense. I think we included some language like that in at least one of the votes from our last meeting, that as a principle, we shouldn't have overlapping, potentially conflicting programs.

MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you for that.

Now I do have a question for PHMSA because, you know, as much as we want to avoid overlap, we want to promote coordination. And to what extent will PHMSA have the information it needs if it's says we're going to stand down and there'll be state programs and EPA, you know? Do you have the information that you need as a regulatory agency?

Sayler?

MR. PALABRICA: I think so. It's similar to what was proposed for the gas transmission and gathering compressor stations.

Yeah. So yeah, just documentation of the determination I think would be sufficient. But

1 something that we can work through that's 2 implementation as well. 3 MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you. 4 Chad, did you have a follow-up? 5 MR. ZAMARIN: Sorry. No. That. answered the question. 6 7 And I think I was going to reinforce 8 that from an operator perspective. I think there would need to be a demonstration that 9 10 there is a program that is governing. 11 think the language was something to the effect 12 that if there is an existing program in place, 13 there would have to, obviously, а 14 demonstration that there is of set 15 requirements that governing are over 16 facility. 17 MR. DANNER: Thank you. Erin 18 Murphy? 19 MS. MURPHY: Erin Murphy, EDF. 20 think Ι′m in agreement with that point and 21 maybe would just add some more context from my 22 perspective. It seems like the discussion and

my understanding of the proposal is that PHMSA's proposed leak survey standards for LNG facilities may include facilities that are not covered under EPA's Quad O standards, but there may be some facilities that are covered or some parts of some facilities.

think So from my perspective, supporting the quarterly leak survey and repair standard proposed by PHMSA as a backstop and then if an operator can demonstrate that the standard is applicable and they document that, then that could apply. think, at least from my view, the hope is there that they're at least relatively consistent, like the PHMSA standard as the backstop is a quarterly leak survey, which is comparable to the EPA standard for sort of adjacent infrastructure.

MR. DANNER: And Chad Zamarin also mentioned state program. So if it's covered by an EPA program or if it's covered by a state program, would that be sufficient as well?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MS. MURPHY: I think throughout this rulemaking, there's been a real emphasis on the benefit of a national standard. So I guess it's a little tough to think that through if there could be various different, you know, state standards around the country. If that state standard was, you know, falling below the level of the PHMSA standard, then that seems concerning. And I think from my perspective, there should be a federal baseline of quarterly leak surveys.

MR. DANNER: Right. And I wouldn't disagree with that. It's just there are some states that have very vigorous standards, in which case, you know, is it necessary to have duplication in those areas? And I think PHMSA would be able to identify which ones are up to snuff and which ones may fall short. That's my own view of that. Chad?

MR. ZAMARIN: Thanks.

Chad Zamarin, Williams. I was just going to reinforce that point. There are, in

that fact, state programs follow EPA quidelines. And so I think we've got to be careful that we don't kind of blow uр t.he kind of structure for current how LNG facilities are currently governed in certain talked states. And aqain, about we something conceptually, it's that has adequate level of comparable coverage. do think that's an important concept.

MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you.

Sara Gosman, and then Erin.

MS. GOSMAN: So specifically on the question of state programs, I would want to make sure that the PHMSA requirements are, in fact, the minimum, the baseline. And that what we would be talking about here is more stringent requirements, in which case, yes, I think those should apply. But I don't think that PHMSA should just remove itself if there is a state program.

MR. DANNER: Thank you. And that is also consistent with my own thinking. There

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

are very robust state programs, and there are some state programs that may not be so robust.

And do we leave it to PHMSA to basically determine which ones are and which ones aren't?

Erin?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Erin Murphy, EDF. MS. MURPHY: point in direct response, and then a second point on facilities. So first, I agree with think, that, and Ι you know, don't necessarily feel like that even needs to be in the committee recommendation. Ι think that's implicit throughout, right, that federal pipeline safety standards the setting a foundation and then states are able foundation with to above that go more protective standards if they desire. So Ι guess I worry it might create confusion to be sort of identifying that as an exception. Ι think of it more as a federal foundation, and then states maybe sort of adding onto that with more protective standards.

The other point I wanted to flag is,

and perhaps this is covered in the portions of facilities language, but I just want to make sure there's consensus here. I mean, an LNG facility can be a big and complex facility. And so if the EPA standard involves surveys or facility monitoring οf а at one part piping and cover the all of PHMSA infrastructure that's is proposing include in a leak survey and repair standard, I think that's where, you know, hopefully the two standards are complimentary and that they're both on a quarterly basis. And so they would sort of operate in tandem. So maybe I should think about whether I want to propose language to ensure that effect, but I just want to make sure that point is clear.

MR. DANNER: Thank you. Diane?

MS. BURMAN: Yeah.

I do think it's important sort of from a level setting. I want to make sure that good state programs are expressly included in a way that doesn't somehow, by not talking about

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

it, discount that. I think it gets back to our discussion back in November in terms of the adequacy of state programs and making sure that there's flexibility when we're looking at that. I do think this also gets back to what are we trying to accomplish? What are the principles that we all agree with and just make sure that we are level setting.

MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you for that. Chad?

MR. ZAMARIN: Chad Zamarin, Williams. Ι iust want raise maybe to question and a comment because there are kind different worlds of of two LNG. Ι mean, These are there's a large-scale liquefaction. the terminals that are being built. You know, we're now the largest exporter of LNG in the world. And then we heard a lot of commenters concerned about small-scale LNG. These are the peak shaving facilities that are used primarily to provide reliability to distribution systems in the wintertime. And I'm not an expert on

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

EPA, Quad O, and the survey requirements, but one of the things that was a principle in my mind is that we try to synchronize the LNG facilities that are along transmission lines to the work that we did on transmission.

And so what I'm asking is: Are we concerned about the quarterly reporting on all LNG facilities, or can that be focused large-scale LNG? And if you're a small, you know, peak shaving facility located along a transmission line, then you're just going to be covered by the survey frequencies that we established in this rule for transmission Because it seems like those are two lines. very different things. And I'm not sure we gain benefit in putting the burden of quarterly surveys on small-scale liquefaction facilities. think you to want focus on the bigger facilities.

MR. DANNER: All right.

Any further comment on this? Erin

22 Murphy?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

MS. MURPHY: Erin Murphy, EDF. appreciate that point from Chad, and maybe just response that might be a question. МУ understanding is that some of those smaller peak shaving facilities are often operated, for example, by utilities. So I guess I'm hearing you talk about wanting to sort of achieve alignment with the transmission line standard, but then I think my thought is, well, but are those peak facilities typically operated by the same operator as the transmission line that's delivering gas to them? Because if they're different, then I don't know if alignment is necessarily, like, the best approach or the most valuable approach.

MR. DANNER: Chad, respond.

MR. ZAMARIN: Yeah.

Chad Zamarin, Williams. Yeah, I think the unfortunate answer is it varies. You know, we operate LNG facilities along our transmission system, just like we operate underground storage facilities that are used in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

conjunction with our pipelines to provide additional flexibility and basically backup supply for markets. Those are small-scale facilities relative to the large-scale liquefaction facilities.

That, I think, is really what's become the primary focus of new regulations, as we've seen a dramatic ramp-up, you know, in large-scale liquefaction. I mean, today 14 Bcf a day. I mean, for about 14 percent of the gas market is large-scale liquefaction. Hundreds of small-scale LNG facilities constitute, you know, of a de minimis amount of LNG relative to what's large-scale liquefaction.

So again, I don't know how distribution folks think about the survey requirements and that establish for we distribution, but Ι′m trying to iust understand, you know, are there two different LNG facilities that we're talking types of about? Do the rules make sense to be the same for both, or should it be, you know, different

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

for the large scale versus the small scale?

MR. DANNER: I think I would like to get some clarification on that. We had in Washington state just a few years ago, a small LNG facility blow up, and the explosion went a quarter mile or half mile in each direction, you know, around it. So what I don't want to do is I don't want to exclude facilities where there are potential safety issues. I mean, this was in a rural area. No one was hurt, but it could have been a very different outcome if at a different time of day. And so I just want to be careful that we're not excluding anything that's going to compromise safety.

MR. ZAMARIN: Thanks.

Chad Zamarin, Williams. And just to be clear, I'm not suggesting any exclusions here at all. In this particular case, I know that Erin mentioned the quarterly reporting.

I'm just asking, does it make sense to have quarterly reporting on small-scale LNG, or should those follow the survey frequencies that

we adopted for transmission and maybe distribution? And I don't know what makes sense.

But on transmission, it feels like the two concepts that made sense to me that I heard from the public comments and that I was thinking about is making sure there's regulatory overlap between EPA, state programs, and PHMSA and trying to synchronize facilities with the work that we did transmission and distribution where it makes sense. Thanks.

MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you. Brian?

MR. WEISKER: Brian Weisker, Duke Energy.

And, Erin, I think to follow up, the question that was coming around or Chad asked around what would a distribution company do for LNG? So, I mean, the way I would envision it as far as the facilities that feed or go from that location, if it's intrastate or

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	transmission or distribution, that the leak
2	survey of the facilities feeding and going from
3	would follow what we just agreed to, you know,
4	a month or two ago when we were here as far as
5	the leak survey requirements for transmission
6	annual or the leak survey requirements for that
7	distribution system. That would be for the
8	facility feeding and going to and leaving from
9	that facility.
10	MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you.
11	Steve?
12	MR. SQUIBB: Steve Squibb, City
13	Utilities, Springfield, Missouri. I concur
14	with what Brian just said and just want to also
15	offer that there are very small-scale LNG peak
16	shaving facilities with distribution operators
17	that don't even liquefy. They just truck in
18	LNG, store it for peak shaving. So very small-
19	scale LNG operation. Thank you.
20	MR. DANNER: Thank you. Alan?
21	MR. MAYBERRY: I think we're having

a little bit of trouble understanding this

differentiation between large scale and small scale because, you know, from just a logic perspective, some of these what you might consider small scale are actually in fairly populated areas. And these large scale are very, you know, on large pieces of property, so along the Gulf Coast for instance; BGE's facility in Baltimore, for instance, right off the interstate.

So what are you specifically talking about as far as differentiating the requirements for small versus large? Yeah.

Thank you.

MR. ZAMARIN: Yeah. Chad Zamarin, Williams. Thanks, Alan.

And I'm trying to refresh my memory from what we did last year, but I think in the last meeting, the survey frequency was based on class location. And so if you were in an area where it was higher population, then you would be surveying quarterly. You would be surveying more frequently. If you are a small, you know,

LNG peak shaving facility located in a Class 1 and you're surveying that transmission line or distribution line on an annual frequency, then the LNG facility, in my mind, would make sense t.o be on an frequency. And so I do think the work we did in establishing those transmission distribution survey frequencies accounted for the concern that you're raising. And so that's why I think it makes sense to synchronize those requirements for LNG as well. Thank you.

MR. DANNER: Okay. So, you know, instead of trying to define that here, I just wonder if we should just add something that PHMSA look at, whether it is appropriate to have different standards for smaller facilities under certain circumstances. And so in other words, just, you know, tee it up and give it back to PHMSA. Because I don't think we're even going to be able to define what's big and small, what's in an HCA, what's in a rural area. And so, you know, we can identify the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

issue.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2 Erin Murphy?

MS. MURPHY: Thanks.

Erin Murphy, EDF. Yeah. I think I may be having a similar line of thinking where this feels like a lot to sort of parse out on fly right now. And looking at transmission survey frequencies, you know, it's generally four times in a Class a year location and two times a year in a Class 1, 2, or 3 location. So, I mean, that's potentially reasonable to me as a distinction rather than trying to create a new distinction of small versus big facilities, I think, in particular, because there are smaller peak shaving facilities. Ι know there's one in а disadvantaged community in New York City that has a lot of community concerns that we've been about recently. just thinking hearing And about the locations of those facilities possibly being, you know, right alongside communities, it feels preferable to me if the

1 going committee was to recommend any 2 distinction that it be along those class 3 location lines. 4 MR. DANNER: Andy Drake? 5 I agree with Erin. MR. DRAKE: Τ think we have to be careful in how much sausage 6 7 I think this making you want to get into here. 8 is good guidance here that we have. I think 9 that someone made a comment that I don't know 10 if we would need to protect it here, but that 11 is when you're doing continuous monitoring, that would satisfy these requirements; 12 that 13 that you wouldn't have to do them both. 14 with that thought, I'm ready to make a motion. 15 MR. DANNER: Well, you have to wait 16 for Chad to say something. 17

MR. ZAMARIN: Thanks.

Chad Zamarin, Williams. Yeah. Ι think, Andy, what I'm hearing is the motion would be different than what's up on screen. I'm willing to remove the alternative considerations for small-scale LNG if we just

18

19

20

21

put something in there that says marry or align
the survey frequency requirements for LNG
facilities with transmission pipeline
facilities. I think that's what I'm hearing
from Erin and Andy.

MR. DRAKE: Yeah. That changed.

MR. DANNER: Yeah. I am agnostic.

I'm happy with what's up there now. Erin?

Erin Murphy, EDF. MS. MURPHY: Ι guess if we're turning to this language now to parse, I think starting with the first bullet. And I was trying to pull up and go back to how it's phrased in the proposed rule and have not successfully pulled it up yet. But I think rather than phrasing this exception, as an which I don't think is how it's presented in the proposed rule, like, supporting PHMSA's proposal for leak survey and repair standards facilities, unless EPA emissions LNG an standard is in place for that facility or that portion of that facility, I think I would be more supportive of that. And then removing the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 smaller-scale distinction would also be good 2 and then perhaps adding the recommendation that 3 PHMSA consider aligning survey frequency with 4 transmission frequency, question mark? 5 So wordsmithing here. MR. DANNER: So you would take --6 7 Can I help on that? MR. ZAMARIN: 8 MR. DANNER: Would you? 9 MR. ZAMARIN: Yeah. 10 MR. DANNER: Would you? Thank you. 11 MR. ZAMARIN: On that second one, I think we can just say, PHMSA considers survey 12 13 frequencies aligned with what was recommended 14 committee for by the transmission gas 15 pipelines. I think that would simplify that 16 second bullet. So you can strike alternative. 17 So yep. Frequency, you can strike. PHMSA is 18 in alignment, yep. I think that's what we're 19 saying. 20 MR. DANNER: All right. Andy Drake? 21 MR. DRAKE: Erin, I don't know if 22 recorded your revisions appropriately. thev

1 But I think we're very close here. And I think 2 lining up with the gas transmission rules and 3 requirements is leveraging. That is very 4 powerful. So, you know, I would just like to 5 finalize this. I think any further work on this starts to get into a lot of sausage making 6 7 that rehashes where we've been already. 8 MR. DANNER: Sara? 9 I'm still trying to get MS. GOSMAN: 10 my head around this. I apologize. But what 11 I'm worried about here are large export facilities. I think they should have quarterly 12 13 surveys even if they are, you know, not in 14 Class 4 locations. And so if that's where 15 we're going with this, I don't feel comfortable 16 with that. 17 So you would prefer MR. DANNER: 18 smaller, lower risk language --19 Yes, I would. MS. GOSMAN: 20 MR. DANNER: -- going back to what 21 was originally there? All right. Andy?

This

is Andy

DRAKE:

MR.

22

Drake,

Enbridge. I don't disagree necessarily with the large export terminals that they could be on quarter. There are not that many of them.

If people are concerned about that, that can be a site-specific qualification here. But I think largely this is what we're talking about.

MR. DANNER: Chad?

MR. ZAMARIN: Thanks.

Chad Zamarin, Williams. Just for the record, I don't know that it needs to be on slide language, but Ι′m interested anybody thinks differently. But Ι did appreciate the comment that one of the public commenters made about continuous monitoring systems and that those should be qualified as meeting the survey requirements, whatever the frequency may be. I do think that that's an important clarification that I would there's general support for. Yeah. I don't think we need to add it to the language. thought that was an important comment that we Unless anyone disagreed with that got.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

comment, I thought it was important to raise it and reinforce it. Thanks.

MR. DANNER: Okay.

Arvind, and then Diane.

Arvind Ravikumar, MR. RAVIKUMAR: University of Texas. There was some discussion the slide deck on about the type technologies and detection thresholds and the ppm level for service. I want to make a couple of points in that context because it's related to Chad's comment as well. Now, outside of the operators themselves, I'm probably the only one in actually done methane the room who has emissions measurements at LNG terminals.

So couple of things that а important to note, as one of the public commenters said, LNG terminals are very complex facilities. These are often 4 to 10 stories 5 ppm threshold is not high. And the practical threshold because in most cases where you have these methane emissions, you actually get to the source of the emission with

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

a wand or whatever device that measures the 5 ppm because LNG tanks, the standard size of tanks are 100 feet high. You can't get on top of it.

The loading arms for LNG that goes onto a ship are near the ocean site. And you can't get with a wand there with 5 ppm. liquefaction trains are 7, 8 stories high. you can't get to every single equipment with a wand that measures 5 ppm. So the 5 ppm is not a problem, but getting that added liquefaction terminal is going to be that much harder, which is why the alternative technologies with the 10 standard are kilogram per hour much better because do aerial you can surveys on liquefaction terminals. That's my first point.

My second point was the continuous monitoring systems are challenging. I haven't any public data seen that they work liquefaction terminals. We have done a lot of testing, and data are publicly available on usina continuous monitoring systems at

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

production facilities, midstream compressor stations. It's very challenging at midstream compressor stations, even for a smaller site just because attribution of any methane signal from a continuous sensor is very challenging at a complex site.

If you do fenceline monitoring of methane with continuous sensors, it's going to tell you there's methane. But I don't need a there's sensor to tell me that. Ι know methane. The real challenge is to know where the methane is coming from. And I haven't seen data that says continuous monitoring any systems actually work at complex facilities. In fact, we know from evidence at midstream facilities that it's challenging even at small compressor station, let alone a large liquefaction plant.

MR. DANNER: Okay. So do you have any suggestions for editing this language here?

MR. RAVIKUMAR: No. With this language, though, there are no amendments here.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	This is fine.
2	MR. DANNER: Okay. All right.
3	Diane?
4	MS. BURMAN: Yeah.
5	I just wanted to thank Chad for
6	raising the continuous monitoring issue because
7	I do think that that's important. So the other
8	thing is there was a reference to a New York
9	City facility. And I do want to sort of make
10	the point that that's a really important
11	facility from a reliability perspective and
12	that the city would experience outages if that
13	facility was taken out of service. So we're
14	really focused on the oversight of that and the
15	importance of that from a safety perspective
16	but also reliability perspective. Thanks.
17	MR. DANNER: All right. Andy?
18	MR. DRAKE: I would like to propose
19	a motion.
20	MR. DANNER: Okay.
21	MR. DRAKE: I don't know. You know,
22	maybe I should ask Sara.

Did you get on the record, Sara, the language that you were looking for for large export quarterly? Because I think it's on the record. And I don't oppose it. And I don't know that it needs to be in this motion. It's on the record that we would --

MR. DANNER: Yes, Sara. Go ahead.

MS. GOSMAN: So I think the language up there right now is fine for me because it addresses small-scale facilities. But I am not So I would like to have language in there about repair timelines. So right now it's what is in the manual, and I think we need specific timelines for repair. And there have been different proposals about the length of time. Pipeline Safety Trust had recommended three months and also immediate repair criteria that would follow along with the Grade 1 leaks pipeline facilities. So Ι can suggest language here. So I would say PHMSA consider a repair timeline.

MR. DANNER: Go ahead.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 MS. GOSMAN: Oh, sorry. PHMSA 2 consider a repair timeline of immediate repairs 3 for Grade 1 leaks and three months for other 4 leaks. 5 All MR. DANNER: right. Any reaction to that specific one? 6 7 Erin, go ahead. 8 MS. MURPHY: Yeah. Erin Murphy, 9 Maybe, I guess clarification is that I'm 10 looking at the top of this slide and this is --11 MS. GOSMAN: On leakage surveys? 12 MS. MURPHY: -- all, yeah, leakage 13 So I'm just thinking, like, the point surveys. 14 Arvind was raising about the best applicable 15 technologies, if the committee wants to make a 16 recommendation on that, it seems like we might 17 want to add that in here as well, unless we 18 want to discuss it separately. Otherwise, 19 we're just supporting the proposed standard, 20 which is just the 5 ppm standard is what PHMSA put forward. So I don't know. 21 22 I mean, I don't know a lot about LNG

1 facilities. So I'm listening to Arvind's 2 experience and thinking about the 10 kilogram 3 per hour standard, which I quess is also, you 4 know, consistent with the idea of considering 5 frequency aligned with transmission lines. at this point, I mean, until there's more data, 6 that seems like an appropriate recommendation. 7 8 MR. DANNER: Does bullet 2 capture 9 is that something that that already, or we 10 would need to state separately? 11 MS. MURPHY: I --12 MR. DANNER: Sure. Yeah. Go ahead. 13 MS. MURPHY: So Ι would suggest 14 adding a bullet. So I'm looking at the top. 15 It says, you know, the proposed rule regarding 16 leakage surveys for LNG is technically 17 And everything feasible. else, if 18 following changes are made, adding a bullet to 19 apply technology standard of 10 kilograms per 20 hour. 21 MR. DANNER: Chad? 22 MR. ZAMARIN: Thanks.

Chad Zamarin, Williams. Yeah. I support that. I think that makes sense and is consistent with what we did in the last goround. I do have an issue.

Sara, I understand the concern. I think we have to be very careful when we're talking liquefaction about large-scale facilities and dictating repair timelines and how that might impact facility operations. had this discussion in the last, and I don't remember where we ended up on all the different kind of repair timelines. But the need to be able to schedule repairs, especially on complex operating facilities is really important. having something tailored to the level emissions and risk associated with the repair I think is necessary. I don't know if we have to get specific here. But I think putting, you know, immediate and three months out there on complex, large processing facilities like LNG facilities is problematic.

MR. DANNER: Could we say something,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	like, as soon as possible?
2	MS. MURPHY: Yeah. That's what I
3	think.
4	MR. DANNER: Chad? I mean, I'm
5	looking for alternative language.
6	MR. ZAMARIN: Yeah. I don't know
7	what that
8	MR. DANNER: It's kind of best
9	efforts. I mean, you know, hurry it up.
LO	MR. ZAMARIN: Yeah. I'm trying to
L1	remember what we had in the repair requirements
L2	last go-round. Again, I generally like the
L3	idea of synchronizing with the work that we did
L4	last go-round, the 10 kilograms per hour, the
L5	survey frequencies, the repair timelines. But
L6	yeah. I think in general, we're trying to do
L7	it as soon as practical. But what are those
L8	outer limits, I think, are important.
L9	And at least for the record, and I
20	think PHMSA has some discretion and ability
21	here, but we do have to factor in the issue of
22	these types of facilities are not like

pipelines. We can oftentimes route gas around a pipeline, take it out of service, make a repair. Again, 15 percent of the of the energy moving through our system today is being exported by just a handful of facilities. And so, you know, we have to be careful that we're not disrupting incredibly massive commerce and operations.

MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you for that. Arvind?

MR. RAVIKUMAR: I do want to second Chad's point there. U.S. LNG export facilities are 24/7 operations. There is very little, if any, redundancy on LNG exports. And I think it would be appropriate to consider, you know, what is a practical timeline for repair of these facilities? I don't know what that number is. But I think it's a fair point to consider.

MR. DANNER: I mean, so we could make that third bullet a little more general and a little less specific, Andy?

	MR. DRAKE: Yes. I CHILIK CHEFE'S a
2	way to solve that. And I think it's really
3	just as soon as practicable, and tie it to our
4	maintenance and outage schedules. That's
5	really what you're trying to get at is
6	something that's in sync with the rhythm of the
7	plant. And I think that's not going to be
8	annual or anything, you know? But you're
9	trying to coordinate what does as soon as
10	practicable mean? Give it some context and tie
11	it to what makes that ebb and flow. And I
12	think if we can get that in there, I think
13	that's very reasonable and doable.
14	MR. DANNER: Okay.
15	Have you seen the language that's up
16	there now, Diane?
17	MS. BURMAN: I'll defer to Erin.
18	MR. DANNER: Okay. Erin?
19	MS. MURPHY: Erin, Murphy, EDF. I'm
20	specifically thinking about the leak repair
21	timeline piece. And I guess my preference
22	would be, yeah, consistency with the standard

1	for pipelines, which I think we're at now. And
2	I wanted to note that as we're talking about
3	sort of the unique nature of an LNG facility,
4	there is a process in place in the proposed
5	rule for operators to seek an extension for the
6	repair of individual leaks. And this seems
7	like the type of situation where an operator
8	could exercise that if, you know, it was going
9	to jeopardize the function of an LNG facility
10	in some fundamental way. But knowing that
11	that, you know, exists in the proposed rule, it
12	seems appropriate to apply the standard repair
13	timeline.
14	MR. DANNER: All right. We have
15	language up there. I don't know if we have
16	captured everything. Sara?
17	MS. GOSMAN: So I guess I want to
18	make sure I understand what the standard repair
19	timeline is that we are applying here.
20	MR. DANNER: Chad?
21	MR. ZAMARIN: Yeah.
22	And again, I think we may be high

1	level and have PHMSA kind of figure it out.
2	But there was language in the work that we did
3	at the last meeting that said, for example,
4	repair a Grade 2 leak as soon as practicable
5	considering impacts to customers and
6	environmental concerns but not to exceed one
7	year. That kind of concept I think that we
8	already worked through makes a lot of sense.
9	It's like you described. It's as soon as
10	practicable taking into consideration customer
11	impacts, environmental concerns. But there is
12	an outer limit that was established. So I
13	think something like that makes sense.
14	MR. DANNER: All right.
15	Let me see if Sayler is capturing
16	that.
17	MR. PALABRICA: I'm not objecting.
18	MR. DANNER: And, Diane, if you
19	wanted to
20	MS. BURMAN: Yeah. So I'm trying to
21	grapple with this. Just my own sort of sense
22	of things is that LNG operators really don't

1	want leaks and a lot of oversight. And
2	continuous monitoring, if it triggers a leak,
3	then folks would be looking at investigating
4	and addressing it immediately. But just
5	keeping in mind when we're talking about as
6	soon as practicable, there may be technical
7	reasons why something can't be fixed
8	immediately. However, folks are looking at
9	immediate, ASAP solutions to address the
10	issues. So I just want to make sure that
11	there's a sensitivity in sort of the practical
12	realities and looking through that.
13	MR. DANNER: All right. Thanks for
14	that.
15	All right. I am sorry. I have
16	totally lost track.
17	So, Brian, you go first.
18	MR. WEISKER: Brian Weisker, Duke
19	Energy. I'll be real quick. I think for that
20	last bullet, similar to what we did on
21	transmission, we're limiting technologies.
22	And I hear what you said, Arvind,

1 depending on where it's at. 2 But if we could just add in, or 5 so you can still use a handheld for 3 ppms 4 certain pieces of equipment at your 5 facility. That would be 10 kilograms per hour 6 or 5 ppm. 7 All right. Thanks for MR. DANNER: 8 that. Sara? 9 MS. GOSMAN: Yeah. 10 I think the third bullet point is 11 fine with me. I think the not to exceed one 12 year is a very important part of that bullet. 13 I feel like we do need a maximum time limit and 14 not have it go back to the operators of the 15 facility entirely, which is as soon 16 practicable. I think that's what we would get 17 to. But I'm comfortable with that language as 18 it is now. 19 MR. DANNER: All right. Chad, and 20 then Erin. 21 MR. ZAMARIN: Thanks. 22 Chad Zamarin, Williams. I mean, we

are wading into a space that's relatively new, and we don't necessarily have large LNG operators here at the table. I do think there needs to be an understanding that do you think about how large-scale processing facilities are scheduled for plan maintenance? I want to hope that that's annual.

But, Ι mean, to the point that Arvind these made, are 24/7operating facilities. They're frankly very important for our national security. You know, we tripled LNG exports into Europe after Russia invaded And so, you know, had we not been Ukraine. that, it would've been a able to do different situation in Europe over the last 24 months. And so I think it's very important that we have to take into account PHMSA has to have the ability or the operator has to have the ability to demonstrate that it would be impracticable or not feasible to meet requirement. But there would be a scheduled outage that could be used for the repair.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 MR. DANNER: Could you do something 2 as soon as practicable but not to exceed one 3 year unless approved by PHMSA? MR. ZAMARIN: Yeah. Something like 4 that, I think, would be appropriate. 5 6 MR. DANNER: Okay. Yeah. 7 Erin, and then Peter and Sara. 8 MS. MURPHY: Yeah. 9 So on leak repair Erin Murphy, EDF. 10 timelines, what is in the proposed rule is 11 Grade 1 leaks are repaired via immediate and 12 continuous action. Grade 2 leaks that are on 13 transmission and Type A gathering in Class 3 14 and 4 locations or HCAs are to be repaired 15 within 30 days of detection. And the committee did take a vote in the fall to recommend a 16 17 modification, as folks are discussing. 18 But that's not something I was able 19 to support in the fall. And it's still not 20 something I feel comfortable supporting 21 terms of that pretty dramatic extension of the

timeline for when a Grade 2

22

be

leak would

repaired. So if there was consensus before and again with other committee members, understood. But I want to note that that's a pretty significant extension of a timeline for Grade 2 leaks.

Thank you. And I share MR. DANNER: your concern. Ι do note as soon practicable. I mean, I think it's like if you can do it, you've got to do it. If you can't do it, you've got to do it within a year. if you need more than a year, then you got to So PHMSA. Ι understand what go to you're That's where I would come down on saying. this. Peter?

MR. CHACE: Pete Chace, NAPSR. I think Chad Zamarin made a good point that I'm not sure we have industry experts in the LNG plants at the table. What I do know about them is I don't think this is like a leak that's in somebody else's backyard, right? This is a leak on essentially what is a giant bomb where somebody works, you know, every day. I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 personally satisfied they'll am that be 2 leaks motivated to repair as soon as 3 practicable. And I would just suggest we use 4 caution to constraining them by timelines 5 without really studying the issue understanding it. 6 7 So just to be clear, MR. DANNER: 8 are you uncomfortable with that second bullet, 9 or are you --A year seems like a long 10 MR. CHACE: 11 time to me. But --MR. DANNER: Yeah. 12 13 -- I just believe as MR. CHACE: 14 soon as practicable. I think the motivation 15 will be there for the operators to do that. 16 MR. DANNER: Well, yeah. In our 17 state, I mean, our large LNG facility is on the 18 waterfront in downtown Tacoma. You know, it is 19 right in the center of things. And I have to 20 believe that the operator is going to 21 motivated to make the repairs. But I share

Sara?

your concern. I absolutely do.

MS. GOSMAN: So I think for all of these bullet points, we are asking PHMSA to consider certain repair timelines. And I think part of what that entails is for PHMSA to go back and look and see if this is, in fact, practicable. So I assume that with everything that we're putting up on the slides. was trying to find in the proposed rule, I think that there is a provision that allows for an extended timeline for repair if it's not practicable to do so. Ι wasn't sure. Ι couldn't find it. It's a very long regulation.

But I think one part I see here is for Grade 2 leaks, it says, if a repair cannot be completed due to permitting requirements or availability, operator must take parts continuous action to monitor and repair the If that's already part of the process, I leak. wonder if we want to use that language instead to be again consistent with the rule. I'm fine with the notification process as well. just noting that that seems to be built into

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	the repair criteria.
2	MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you.
3	Sayler?
4	MR. PALABRICA: So just to clarify,
5	this is based on the recommendations from the
6	last meeting. So that was in the proposed
7	rule. For the LNG proposal, we didn't propose
8	grading criteria. And the repair requirement
9	was in accordance with the operators'
10	procedures. So we didn't have a notification
11	built into the Part 193 Amendment. The
12	committee recommendation was to extend the
13	notification program that we proposed for Grade
14	3 leaks when the Grade 3 repair timeline was
15	impracticable to Grade 2 leaks as well. So
16	that's what this is showing.
17	MR. DANNER: All right. Andy Drake?
18	MR. DRAKE: Andy Drake with
19	Enbridge. I would like to make a motion on
20	this. I think we've had good conversation.
21	MR. DANNER: Do it now. There are
22	no other cards up, okay?

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

2.1

MR. DRAKE: I kind of was waiting to see if there was a lull in the conversation. But it's good conversation. All good record. And we made some good additions here.

But I think the proposed rule as

published in the Federal Register and supported by the Preliminary Regulatory Impact Analysis and Draft Environmental Assessment regarding leaks surveys for liquefied natural feasible, technically reasonable, effective, and practicable if the follow are made: One, consider PHMSA changes а an exemption from LDAR for portions of facilities admissions covered EPA monitoring by requirements or equivalent state programs similar to what was proposed for gas transmission pipelines; two, for small-scale facilities, PHMSA consider survey frequencies aligned with what was recommended by committee for gas transmission pipelines; three, PHMSA consider repair timelines

1	consistent with the recommendations of the GPAC
2	applicable to the gas transmission lines with
3	the following notes: One, Grade 1, immediate
4	and continuous action; two, Grade 2 as soon as
5	practicable but not to exceed one year unless
6	an extension of leak repair is approved
7	following notifications to PHMSA and applicable
8	state authority; and fourth bullet point, PHMSA
9	apply a detection limit consistent with what
10	the GPAC recommended for gas transmission
11	pipelines.
12	MR. DANNER: Thank you.
13	Is there a second? Okay. Sara
14	Gosman seconds.
15	Cameron, let's take a vote.
16	MS. GOSMAN: No. No. I'm sorry.
17	MR. DANNER: Oh. Oh.
18	MS. GOSMAN: I do not second.
19	MR. DANNER: You do not second. All
20	right.
21	MS. GOSMAN: Andy, you moved too
22	fast for me. I was reading through everything

1 And I'm concerned about the exception again. 2 language. And I don't think this is a big 3 switch here. feel But I do like it's 4 important. 5 I talked before about this idea of a 6 baseline, and backstop, we've been using 7 different terms here. And I think to me, that 8 is different than an exception. So I'm trying 9 to do it off the top of my head. I'm sorry. 10 Give me a moment. 11 You got it. All right. MR. DANNER: 12 Sara? 13 GOSMAN: All right. how MS. So 14 about this? PHMSA consider LDAR requirements 15 backstop for portions of facilities as 16 by EPA admissions monitoring covered 17 requirements or EPA state programs. I'm sorry. 18 MR. DANNER: Yeah. I think there's 19 some commas in there. 20 MS. GOSMAN: Hold on. Would you 21 like me to suggest my backstop language again? 22 MR. DANNER: Yes.

1	MS. GOSMAN: Okay. All right.
2	Yeah. Thank you. So can we take out the, to
3	an exception from LDAR? Okay. Thank you.
4	MR. DANNER: All right. So we have
5	a motion on the table that Andy put forward.
6	Unless Andy accepts these changes as a friendly
7	amendment, I have to proceed to see if there's
8	a second on the first one. And then we would
9	vote on it.
10	Are you okay with this rewritten
11	language?
12	MR. DRAKE: So I think it's
13	appropriate to ask the Committee if they have
14	comments on this, not just me.
15	MR. DANNER: Well, yeah. But you
16	put the motion forward. And so we have to go
17	forward if you object personally. So I have to
18	ask you first.
19	But before he answers, I will ask if
20	anyone else on the committee has comments.
21	Chad?
22	MR. ZAMARIN: Yeah.

Chad Zamarin, Williams. Yeah. worried that we're going to now get into the do loop again because I'm not sure I understand Like, what that means. Ι hope that the principle that we were discussing, were have getting consensus on, that let's not overlapping jurisdictions between PHMSA. And I thought that the language that we had up there and the conversation and context around it made it clear that if you have a program that is equivalent at the state level or is covered under the EPA requirements.

And recall, we voted, I think, on the concept that Quad O and PHMSA should be aligned and not overlapping. And so I don't know what a backstop means. It kind of sounds to me like that means redundant, overlapping regulatory frameworks. And so I think we're moving in the wrong direction. I was happy to second Andy's proposal. But I will hold.

MR. DANNER: All right. Well, hang on just a second.

Sara, and then Erin.

MS. GOSMAN: Yeah. So I think we're there, which is why I feel like this is a friendly amendment. That is, we're concerned about overlap. We don't want two systems to be regulating the same thing. But exception means something, right? And exception means that we're deciding that the federal government, PHMSA here, is not regulating in a particular area.

And I think just from a federalism I believe it's point of view, you know, important for PHMSA to have the requirements that it does. Where there's overlap, it can make, you know, the determination that those requirements are going to apply. But I don't want to take that out of PHMSA's hands and sort accept out parts here of the regulatory So I think overlap is a fine term to use, EPA consider, the overlap in LDAR requirements. fine. exception to me means

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

Ι

something very different.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

MR. DANNER: Chad?

MR. ZAMARIN: Thanks.

Chad Zamarin, Williams. Yeah, think we are moving backwards. I mean, the whole concept and we, I thought, had alignment on this last meeting is to not have overlap, an operator have to track not have different sets of rules on the same facilities. There are EPA-covered facilities and there are PHMSA-covered facilities, and those should not overlap. I mean, that was a principle that we last meeting, actually voted on in the Ι believe, and there was consensus on.

And so we've been trying to avoid not having the potential for parallel or overlapping regulatory frameworks to be in conflict for operators to try to figure out and track two different systems. Having this kind of marriage of jurisdictional authority is important, and not having overlap is also important to create, I think, clarity.

MR. DANNER: Yeah. I also read this as saying, well, if you're covered by EPA, if you're covered by a robust state program, then we don't need the LDAR here. So --

MR. ZAMARIN: Correct.

MR. DANNER: -- it kind of is an exception. Erin?

MS. MURPHY: Erin Murphy, EDF. Apologies if I am building the plane as I fly it. I wanted to make sure I referenced the language that's in PHMSA's NPRM regarding stations and how that's framed compressor because the exception language, I think, for me similar to what Sara was saying, felt like it was a step further. And I just wanted to make really intentional with the sure were So I would like to propose some language. alternate language, which would be, I guess, as first bullet, replacement to the compliance is not required for any portions of an LNG facility that are subject to comparable EPA emissions monitoring requirements,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 including an EPA-approved state plan or federal 2 plan. 3 MR. DANNER: I see nodding on that side. 4 Stop. 5 Sayler, did you capture that? exception did not appear 6 The word in the language that Erin proposed. 7 8 MS. MURPHY: Can I restate? 9 MR. DANNER: Yes. 10 MS. MURPHY: So my proposal would be 11 to remove the first bullet. Oh, I see. they're adding it under the second bullet. 12 But 13 I would want to see the first --14 MR. DANNER: Oh, I see. bullet 15 MS. MURPHY: that's 16 currently there, removed. And then what would 17 be added would be maybe the third bullet that they've put in. Yeah. 18 Thank you. Compliance 19 with leakage surveys is not required for any 20 portions of an LNG facility that are subject to 21 EPA emissions monitoring requirements. 22 say, including an EPA approved state plan or a

1 federal plan. 2 MR. DANNER: All right. 3 This is a friendly amendment to Andy 4 Drake's motion, so he will have to say yes or 5 no. Otherwise, we pursue it. Chad? MR. ZAMARIN: 6 Yeah. 7 Chad, Zamarin, Williams. 8 know the process. I don't know if we can say I don't know if EPA proactively 9 EPA approved. 10 approves programs. I don't know, but --11 MS. MURPHY: Can I direct respond? 12 MR. DANNER: You may. 13 MS. MURPHY: Thanks. 14 Erin EDF. I'm So Murphy, 15 referencing language that's at 31974 of the 16 NPRM. And it's the language in the proposed 17 rule for compressor stations. So the way PHMSA has proposed it there, which is referencing the 18 19 structure of the EPA standards, is that it's 20 either in the EPA federal regulatory standard 21 or where EPA has approved state 22 implementation plan. Ιf that EPA-approved

1 state implementation plan had leakage survey 2 standards for parts of an LNG facility, then that part of the facility would not have to 3 comply with the PHMSA standard. 4 5 MR. DANNER: Response on this side? 6 All right. Diane? 7 MS. BURMAN: Ι iust have а clarifying question in the first bullet, not 8 9 the one that's crossed out. Compliance with 10 leakage surveys is not required for any 11 portions? Is it for portions of? I'm just 12 trying to make sure we're not going to confuse 13 people in what we're saying. Is not required for --14 15 MR. DANNER: Those portions. 16 MS. BURMAN: Okay. 17 MR. DANNER: Yeah. 18 MS. BURMAN: Those portions of an LNG facility that is subject to EPA emissions 19 20 monitoring requirements, including an EPA-21 approved state plan or federal plan. Because I 22 guess I'm looking for clarification. By that

1	second portion, are we now going to be
2	basically setting up where PHMSA has to look to
3	their EPA-approved plan, or could it be that
4	they're subject to EPA admissions monitoring
5	requirements? Like, I just don't want us to
6	get involved in, they're hearing, oh, that plan
7	is subject to the EPA. It isn't yet approved.
8	So what's the clarity that we're
9	looking for?
10	MR. DANNER: Yeah. So does the
11	state plan have to be approved by EPA is my
12	question.
13	MS. BURMAN: Yeah. I don't
14	MR. DANNER: And it just seems
15	MS. BURMAN: I just worry that
16	MR. DANNER: Originally what I was
17	thinking is PHMSA
18	MS. BURMAN: we go down a rabbit
19	hole.
20	MR. DANNER: would determine
21	whether it's robust enough, and that would be
22	sufficient.

1

2

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Erin, do you have thoughts in it?

MS. BURMAN: Yeah. I guess that's what I'm trying to get at. I'm just a little confused. I feel, like, uncertainty into the process.

MR. DANNER: Uh-huh. Erin?

Erin Murphy, EDF. MS. MURPHY: So I am referencing the segment of the proposed rule on compressor stations and just recommending a parallel structure here. And in that section, which is at 31974 of the NPRM, it starts with 40 CFR, Part 60, sub parts quad 0a or quad 0b, which I was, you know, shortening to say EPA emissions monitoring requirements or an EPAstate plan or federal plan, which approved includes relevant standards, at least as finalized stringent EPA's emissions as guidelines in 40 CFR, Part 60, sub part Quad So that's very wordy, but essentially what PHMSA has proposed for compressor stations is that if of those combination of any place requirements are in for a compressor

station, then the PHMSA standard would not apply. And my recommendation is a comparable proposal for the LNG facilities.

MR. DANNER: All right. Sara, and then Diane.

MS. BURMAN: Can I just --

MR. DANNER: Yeah. Go ahead.

MS. BURMAN: -- clarify? So I guess the thing that I'm getting confused by is I thought we were all in agreement, and maybe I misunderstood, that EPA jurisdictional issues separate and apart. So if PHMSA were determines that they're under the jurisdiction EPA, whether or not of EPA approves doesn't approve it, that's for the EPA to deal shouldn't have to with. PHMSA then start looking and monitoring the ongoing regulatory process under the EPA. PHMSA is making determination, I would think, on this is under the EPAjurisdiction. And whether they're being approved or re-approved, or whatever is happening, it's the EPA jurisdiction on that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

But as to PHMSA, they're just looking and saying, these portions aren't subject here.

I guess I'm just trying to make sure don't go through down this **PHMSA** now. we actually has less oversight of its own safety because EPA is dictating it. I don't think that we mean that, and I don't think we're misaligned in what we're trying to do. Ι′m just looking at it from a regulatory process and diluting PHMSA's own jurisdiction while trying to understand that we don't overlapping jurisdiction.

So does that make sense? I don't know.

MR. DANNER: Yeah. Ι mean, Ι understand that you're referencing other language. I mean, I was looking at this as if PHMSA finds that a state plan is as robust as the EPA emissions monitoring requirements, then that would be sufficient, whether EPA actually approved the state plan or not. But that is what I thought we had agreed to on that bullet.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

And maybe I would ask PHMSA to --1 2 MS. MURPHY: May I --3 MR. DANNER: -- opine if they wanted 4 Erin. to. Yes. 5 MS. direct respond? MURPHY: 6 Thanks. 7 Apologies Erin, Murphy, EDF. if 8 this is unclear, but I just want to make sure 9 we all sort of are as close to on the same page 10 as possible about what is intended here in the 11 other part of PHMSA's NPRM and what I'm trying 12 to intend here, which is that under the Clean 13 Air Act process, you know, EPAis setting 14 standards. And then there's a process by which 15 states develop implementation plans for elements of those standards, or EPA can set a 16 17 federal implementation plan. So you have a SIP 18 or a FIP. 19 And so, like, there is no state 20 implementation plan in effect, unless it's been 21 approved by EPA under the Clean Air Act.

this is not trying to create a process by which

1 make evaluation PHMSA has t.o some or2 determination of its own. It's just, PHMSA can 3 quickly check, is there a plan in place on the 4 side? Ιf so, yes, you know, those 5 standards will apply to this facility. If not, then, you know, the PHMSA standards will apply. 6 7 Would there MR. DANNER: ever, 8 though, be a situation where a state has a plan that is more robust but is not EPA approved, or 9 10 would that never happen? 11 MS. MURPHY: So my understanding of 12 the Clean Air Act process is that for a state 13 implementation plan that's implementing 14 standards that have been adopted, it has to be 15 approved by EPA. If EPA doesn't approve the 16 implementation plan, then it might state 17 instead have a federal implementation plan in 18 place. So yeah. Well, let me just stop there, 19 if that's responsive. 20 Okay. All MR. DANNER: right. 21 Thank you. Sara, you were next? 22 MS. GOSMAN: Yeah.

1 just to follow up So on 2 point, I mean, the way the Clean Air Act works, 3 you're going to need a state implementation plan, or the federal government is going to 4 5 have a federal implementation plan. So we set standards, but then we have 6 these state 7 implementation plans or SIPS. If the states do 8 not come up with an acceptable SIP, we have 9 implementation plans. federal So they're 10 approved, right? You can look them up and see 11 that these particular state plans or federal 12 plans are there. So I think it would be a 13 pretty clear line in terms of when the leakage 14 surveys would be required or not based on the structure of the Clean Air Act here. 15 16 MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you. 17 Diane? 18 MS. BURMAN: Yes. 19 So I understand, and I don't think 20 we're in disagreement. I think it's just for 21 the concern in the regulatory overlap, me, 22 which we're trying to avoid. And I wonder if

just stop at, compliance with 1 we 2 surveys is not required for those portions of 3 an LNG facility that are subject to **EPA** 4 emissions monitoring requirements, period. 5 I would assume that PHMSA may have 6 in their sort of looking at it saying, do you 7 have a plan? Whatever it is, are you in the 8 process of getting one? Whatever it is to show 9 that they're under the jurisdiction of the EPA. And we don't need to limit it here because 10 11 will if that's part of how PHMSA determine 12 subject admissions they're to the EPA 13 monitoring requirements. And I don't think 14 that that discounts or dilutes what you are 15 saying, and I think this is a good, helpful 16 thing. So I think we're okay. 17 MR. DANNER: Okay. 18 MS. BURMAN: Right? 19 MR. DANNER: Does that meet 20 everyone's approval? Okay. a motion on 2.1 Andy, you have the Here is a friendly amendment. 22 table.

1 accept the friendly amendment? MR. DRAKE: 2 I accept the friendly 3 amendment as proposed by Erin and Diane. 4 MR. DANNER: And now you have to 5 read it again. Oh, the whole thing? 6 MR. DRAKE: 7 Would you like to read it? 8 MS. BURMAN: Yeah. Sorry. This is 9 my last time, so I'll have to read it. 10 MR. DRAKE: Okay. 11 MS. BURMAN: Okay. The proposed rule is published in the Federal Register and 12 13 the Preliminary Regulatory as supported by 14 Draft Environmental Impact Analysis and 15 Assessment regarding leakage for surveys 16 liquefied natural gas is technically feasible, reasonable, cost-effective, and practicable if 17 18 the following changes are made: Compliance with 19 required for leakage surveys is not 20 portions of an LNG facility that are subject to 21 emissions monitoring requirements. For 22 small-scale facilities, PHMSA considers survey

1	frequencies aligned with what was recommended
2	by the committee for gas transmission
3	pipelines, PHMSA consider repair timelines
4	consistent with the recommendations of the GPAC
5	applicable gas transmission lines. Grade 1,
6	immediate and continuous action; Grade 2, as
7	soon as practicable but not to exceed one year
8	unless an extension of leak repair is approved,
9	filing notification of PHMSA and applicable
10	state authority. PHMSA apply detection limit,
11	consistent with what the GPAC recommended for
12	gas transmission pipelines.
13	MR. DANNER: Is there a second?
14	Andy Drake seconds. All right.
15	Cameron, will you take the vote?
16	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: All right.
17	I'll say your name. If you agree
18	with the language as read, please say, yes. If
19	not, no.
20	Diane Burman?
21	MS. BURMAN: Yes.
22	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Peter Chace?

1	MR.	CHACE: Yes.
2	MR.	SATTERTHWAITE: David Danner?
3	MR.	DANNER: Yes.
4	MR.	SATTERTHWAITE: Sara Longan?
5	MS.	LONGAN: Yes.
6	MR.	SATTERTHWAITE: Terry Turpin?
7	MR.	TURPIN: Yes.
8	MR.	SATTERTHWAITE: Brian Weisker?
9	MR.	WEISKER: Yes.
10	MR.	SATTERTHWAITE: Andy Drake?
11	MR.	DRAKE: Yes.
12	MR.	SATTERTHWAITE: Steve Squibb?
13	MR.	SQUIBB: Yes.
14	MR.	SATTERTHWAITE: Chad Zamarin?
15	MR.	ZAMARIN: Yes.
16	MR.	SATTERTHWAITE: Chad Gilbert?
17	MR.	GILBERT: Yes.
18	MR.	SATTERTHWAITE: Arvind
19	Ravikumar?	
20	MR.	RAVIKUMAR: Yes.
21	MR.	SATTERTHWAITE: Erin Murphy?
22	MS.	MURPHY: No.

1	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Sara Gosman?
2	MS. GOSMAN: Yes.
3	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Sam Ariaratnam?
4	MR. ARIARATNAM: Yes.
5	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: The motion
6	carries.
7	MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you,
8	everyone. It is now 5:02. I suggest if you
9	are willing to do this, that we continue on. I
10	think we're going to be joined by Mr. Deputy
11	Administrator.
12	MR. GALE: He might come in later.
13	MR. DANNER: Okay.
14	Would you be willing to go for one
15	more? Okay. We're on a roll. It's a slow
16	roll, but it's a roll.
17	So you want to go ahead, John?
18	MR. GALE: Thank you, Chairman.
19	Yeah.
20	Committee, we have two remaining
21	issues left on LNG: applicability of leakage
22	survey requirements to mobile and temporary

1	facilities, and should we apply the proposal to
2	them? And then finally, the scope of blowdown
3	boil-off mitigation requirements for LNG
4	facilities, considering the GPAC's
5	recommendations for gas transmission line
6	blowdowns, e.g., recommended exceptions for
7	smaller volume releases.
8	So if we could, Chairman, I think if
9	we could complete our work tonight on the
10	applicability of leakage survey requirements to
11	mobile and temporary facilities, that would be
12	outstanding. Thank you.
13	MR. DANNER: All right.
14	Is there a PHMSA staff presentation
15	on this, or are we just going to go right into
16	it?
17	MR. GALE: We can go right into it.
18	MR. DANNER: All right.
19	MR. GALE: Yes.
20	MR. DANNER: All right.
21	Anyone want to start the discussion
22	here on the applicability of leakage survey

1	requirements to mobile and temporary
2	facilities? All right. Apparently, there are
	ractificies: All fight. Apparencity, there are
3	no issues; is that correct?
4	Brian?
5	MR. WEISKER: Brian Weisker, Duke
6	Energy. I think if we align with what we just
7	had on the slide before with small scale, being
8	aligned with transmission, I think that would
9	cover what we have as far as mobile and
10	temporary facilities for small scale.
11	MR. DANNER: So it might be that we
12	don't even need a recommendation on this one.
13	Is that what you're saying?
14	MR. WEISKER: I'm fine with that.
15	Yeah.
16	MR. DANNER: Okay. All right.
17	MR. WEISKER: Go to the next one.
18	MR. DANNER: We really are on a
19	roll. Let's go to the next one. There. All
20	right.
21	Scope of blowdown, boil-off
22	mitigation requirements for LNG facilities,

considering the GPAC recommendations for gas transmission line blowdowns that is recommended exceptions for smaller volume releases.

Anyone want to start the discussion on this one? Chad?

MR. ZAMARIN: Thanks.

Chad Zamarin, Williams. The only thing I want to say here is that we have done a work on technologies to ton of mitigate blowdowns of pipelines, and we're referencing transmission lines here. I mean, most of the impactful method involves time, the most recompressing the gas from one pipeline into another pipeline. I don't know if that's even practical for LNG facilities.

So I don't know how we vote on it.

It feels like it might require additional study and additional understanding because I don't think an LNG facility blowdown can be, you know, compared to how we would mitigate the emissions from the blowdown of a pipeline facility. It is just such a different, complex

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

I do

system.

2

1

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. DANNER: Erin?

MS. MURPHY: Erin Murphy, EDF. want to note, and this is at 31905 in the NPRM, included a series of tables estimated methane emissions. I think this is based on EPA's Greenhouse Gas Inventory from LNG storage, import and export terminals, and blowdowns account for about 80 percent of estimated methane emissions specifically from LNG storage facilities. So I just want to make sure we note that at least on some types of LNG facilities, blowdowns are a notable emission think And Ι maybe, source. you considering that information and the fact that they are a source of emissions on other LNG facilities as well, you know, including the requirement to be mitigating them and exploring mitigate the pathways them seems to appropriate.

MR. DANNER: Do you have any thoughts on language for a recommendation along

those lines? Is it PHMSA should take steps to 1 mitigate missions from blowdowns and boil-offs? 2 3 And while they're working on that, 4 Peter, do you have something? No? 5 It looks like they're working Okay. 6 on language. So, Chad, if you want to --7 MR. ZAMARIN: Yeah. 8 Again, I do want to just caution us 9 I mean, when we went through this and PHMSA. 10 pipeline mitigations, I mean, 11 discussions and debates around the use 12 flaring. I mean, a flare is the primary, you 13 know, tool to reduce emissions at a facility, 14 you know, during a blowdown. So, mean, 15 like, we're talking about aqain, а 16 different complex processing liquefaction 17 facility, and I appreciate Erin's point. And I 18 believe no doubt it's like on transmission 19 pipelines. If we can avoid blowing facilities 20 21 down, we can certainly avoid probably the 22 largest source of methane. But I just think

1	extrapolating transmission technologies and
2	requirements to LNG facilities is something
3	that I don't know that we can recommend. But,
4	I mean, we just spent the last several years
5	developing best practices in the transmission
6	pipeline space around minimizing the emissions
7	from blowdown events. It was an industry-wide
8	effort. We worked on it for three years, and
9	we're just now publishing those results. And
10	that helped us during the discussion about
11	reducing emissions from blowdowns and
12	transmission lines. I just don't know how we
13	do that for an LNG facility when I'm not sure
14	we're qualified to extrapolate that kind of
15	information.
16	MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you.
17	Erin Murphy?
18	MS. MURPHY: Thanks.
19	Erin, Murphy, EDF. I think maybe
20	it's helpful to start with considering what's
21	in the proposed rule for blowdown mitigation,
	II

since it's been a while since the committee

discussed it. And what PHMSA proposed is a list of five approaches and a requirement that operators evaluate those approaches and deploy them to mitigate blowdown emissions or vented emissions from pipeline facilities, as well as LNG. There's also an option for operators to employ alternative approaches that reduces the volume of released gas by at least 50 percent compared with taking no mitigative action.

EDF and environmental commenters had recommendations that number of we talked committee last time around as strengthen this. And we think there's a lot of ways this could be further improved won't reiterate all of them here. But I think as a starting point, you know, there's a lot of flexibility in terms of what's in the proposed rule for blowdown mitigation. And it seems like that flexibility is, you know, appropriate in the LNG context where there might not be a lot of discussion in the record for what is, I guess, the best sort of pathway to mitigate

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

blowdown emissions. So it seems to me that, like, applying that standard to LNG facilities makes sense since there's so much flexibility in it and then perhaps thinking about, you know, detailed reporting so that PHMSA and stakeholders can start to get an understanding of sort of what's working for these facilities in particular.

MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you. Chad?

MR. ZAMARIN: Thanks.

Chad Zamarin, Williams. Yeah.

Again, I don't have any issue with taking this issue on, but if you read through what is in the NPRM for transmission lines, it's isolating the smallest section of pipeline necessary. We're not talking about pipelines. We're talking about LNG facilities. It's routing gas from the pipeline from the nearest isolation valves or controlled fittings to a flare or other equipment as fuel gas.

And this isn't a pipeline. This is

2.1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

a complex operating facility, reducing pressure by use of inline compression. Like, that is taking compression that is on a pipeline or taking mobile compression out to a pipeline to recompress gas. That's not applicable to an Transferring the LNG facility. qas to а segment of lower pressure pipeline, and it even says, adjacent to the nearest isolation valves. That's when we have a pipeline running in parallel with our pipeline. We can move the gas from one pipe to the other.

It's not applicable LNG to an facility. Employing an alternative method demonstrated to result in а release volume reduction of at least 50 percent compared to These are facilities that have designed any. blowdown and emergency shutdown systems. Like, it's not a pipeline.

And so I don't know how we sit here and we say that we can mandate that LNG terminals have to create mitigation strategies to reduce at least 50 percent of the volume

during an evacuation event. I think we should study it. I think we should understand what the options are. But I just don't think any of the work we've done on transmission lines, which is the question that we were asked to debate, applies to LNG facilities. And I just think we need to be cautious that more work needs to be done to understand the potential methodologies here.

MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you. Erin?

Erin Murphy, EDF. MS. MURPHY: appreciate that point, but do want to make sure attention to the to fact that the discussion of five rules t.he proposed approaches for blowdown mitigation, there is discussion specifically of LNG facilities and how it might be applicable. I think it's more for the approaches three, four and five. There's references to reducing pressure reducing LNG volumes in the case of LNG tank boil-off. The fourth approach references the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

idea of diverting LNG into adjacent facilities or a storage vessel rather than venting it.

And the fifth approach references transferring gas or LNG to a lower pressure pipe segment.

So I just think it's really important to note that PHMSA considered LNG facilities in its discussion of these five approaches. So it seems appropriate to me that they could apply to LNG facilities.

MR. DANNER: I wonder if there's a way we could acknowledge the difference between an LNG facility and a pipeline and endorse what's in the proposed rule, but basically admonish PHMSA to understand the differences.

And I don't have any wording to provide, but I'm just wondering if that's an approach that might bear some fruit.

MR. ZAMARIN: Yeah.

Chad Zamarin, Williams. May I just respond, Erin? I don't know if those ideas were with small-scale liquefaction facilities that are located along pipelines. But, you

2.1

know, if you go to a large-scale liquefaction facility, you're not going to recompress the gas from a large-scale LNG facility into a pipeline. I mean, the scale of what we're talking about is massive, and we don't even have that ability. I mean, it doesn't work that way.

So again, I read these, and these are all pipeline strategies that we're working on, on minimizing the emissions from pipeline blowdowns. We're lowering the pressure on the pipe. We're recompressing the gas into adjacent pipes. We're trying to figure out if we can bring out, you know, mobile storage, if necessary. But those are pipeline strategies. I just want to be clear. Those are not LNG terminal blowdown strategies.

MR. DANNER: Sara?

MS. GOSMAN: Yeah. Thank you.

I think that the language up there implies that PHMSA didn't consider the unique characteristics of LNG plants. And I don't

2.1

1 think there's anything in the record 2 necessarily supports that. So we can ask them 3 to consider, again, the unique characteristics of LNG plants. But I don't want to imply that 4 they didn't consider them. I don't think that 5 seems right from the materials that we've been 6 7 given. 8 MS. BURMAN: Chair? 9 MR. DANNER: Diane? 10 MS. BURMAN: Is this just a simple, 11 we need to just wordsmith this? Because I think if we look at it, we're asking them to 12 13 consider addressing emissions from blowdowns 14 and boil-off, taking into consideration the 15 unique characteristics of the LNG plants. 16 MR. DANNER: Right. I don't think 17 there's disagreement there, other than --MS. BURMAN: 18 Just --19 MR. DANNER: -- they already have 20 considered the unique characteristics of LNG plants. But we've also heard that in some 21 22 cases, maybe not.

MR. ZAMARIN: Yeah. Chad Zamarin,
Williams.

And, Sara, I would love to hear from PHMSA or anyone how you can use one of those methods at a large-scale liquefaction facility and achieve a 50 percent reduction at any of the liquefaction facilities large-scale operating today. And I'm pretty confident that there will be no one that can articulate that, but I would be happy to have that discussion. I'm raising it because I don't believe that you can do that. And I think mandating that for LNG facilities would be a terrible mistake. Ιf PHMSA can prove otherwise, I would be happy to. deliver But. we to large-scale every liquefaction facility in the United States, and you can't recompress the gas in the facility back into our pipeline. They're not designed that These are techniques that we're way. using on mainline pipelines, not LNG at facilities.

MR. DANNER: So I think that we have

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

developed a pretty strong record of what the discussion is here. I think that the language basically says, yeah, front of us PHMSA should address that they need to consider the unique characteristics. The conversation has focused on some specific areas where perhaps what they have suggested does not fit with an LNG plant compared to a pipeline. So I think PHMSA has the view of the Committee here, and it might be captured there. I just wonder if anyone agrees with me on that.

Diane?

MS. BURMAN: Yeah. I do think that there's obviously a difference of opinion on the specifics, on the technicalities. But here, I think this gets at both issues. So I think this language is sufficient, and I think the record supports PHMSA looking at this.

MR. DANNER: Right.

MS. BURMAN: I mean, I think, for me, it's just making sure that everyone is sort of on the same page. There is clearly, you

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

1	know, a technical issue that we need to
2	address.
3	MR. DANNER: That's correct. Alan?
4	MR. MAYBERRY: You asked the
5	question. I think we have what we need, and,
6	you know, separately, we do have another
7	rulemaking related to LNG. But, you know, we
8	understand these systems and the differences
9	thereof. So we've heard the comments, the
10	input. We appreciate it. And I think we have
11	what we need to go from here.
12	MR. DANNER: Okay. And the specific
13	examples that have been raised
14	MR. MAYBERRY: There's some good
15	examples.
16	MR. DANNER: Yeah. All right.
17	Sara, and then Andy.
18	MS. GOSMAN: So maybe that's the
19	response, but I was wondering if there was any
20	other response PHMSA wanted to make to the
21	points that have been raised today
22	MR. DANNER: PHMSA, are there any

other points that you would like to make? 1 2 MS. GOSMAN: in terms of 3 considering these issues that the committee is 4 now raising. 5 MR. MAYBERRY: Well, I think when 6 you look at the way it's worded up there, 7 unique characteristics, I mean, certainly 8 taking into account the design and operating 9 parameters of LNG plants, facilities, versus 10 pipelines, Ι think understand those we 11 differences. And we can account for, you know, 12 the example Chad brought up related to, say, 13 transferring pressure from, say, the piping 14 within an LNG facility somewhere to a pipeline 15 and the limitations that you have at 16 facility. I think we can consider those types 17 of parameters as we develop requirements for a final rule for this. 18 MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you. 19 20 Andy? 21 MR. DRAKE: Andy Drake, Enbridge. Ι 22 think the language is pretty reasonable. The

1 only
2 word
3 requi
4 part
5 pract
6 thing

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

only thing I would offer is some context to the word address. Address is not a mandate, a requirement to do something. But the address part is defined practicable and effective practices to do this that considered those things. That's what this whole argument is about.

MR. DANNER: So give me those adjectives again.

MR. DRAKE: It is to study effective practices and practical approaches lower blowdowns and boil-offs to emissions while considering the unique characteristics of It is not pass a mandate to do it. LNG. It is to figure out what do those best practices look like? It is a maturity issue. And we're not the place where you require do at can something before you understand the practicability of it. And that's Ι interpret the way that's written. So if that's not how you interpret it, we should because --

1 MR. DANNER: Sorry. It's my age. 2 My memory is shot. You said study practicable 3 and --4 MR. DRAKE: Ι think we can say 5 We can say define. study. 6 MR. DANNER: Or identify? 7 Identify practicable, MR. DRAKE: 8 effective means to do blowdowns and boil-off 9 management that consider the specifics of LNG. We don't need to change the motion, and I'm not 10 11 that's what getting into that. But 12 trying to give you is context, right? 13 go out there and try to figure out how to force 14 the gas transmission practices into the LNG. 15 It's to look at this and see what of those No. 16 practices work in this environment. 17 they don't. And I agree with Chad. 18 This is not 19 trivial, but how we manage boil-off in an LNG facility is not anything to do with what we're 20 2.1 doing on recompression on a pipeline. 22 different animal. And we need to understand

that and figure out practices that are appropriate to do that. So you don't have to change the motion. I'm just giving you context.

MR. DANNER: All right. Yeah. I'm a little nervous just saying study and identify when, you know, where they have looked at the unique characteristics of LNG, they also have some things that they're proposing that would address them. So I'm hoping that there would be some middle ground to that context as well.

Erin Murphy?

MS. MURPHY: Yeah.

Erin Murphy, EDF. I do think, you know, recognizing that PHMSA is going to be developing and undergoing a rulemaking that's focused specifically on LNG facilities, you know, I'm hearing what Andy is saying, and that will hopefully be an opportunity for the agency to develop more specific recommendations. I think my perspective for the near term is that it's better to have, you know, the facilities

making some attempt at methane mitigation under what I view as a pretty flexible standard that's in the proposed rule, rather than doing nothing until another rulemaking takes place.

MR. DANNER: All right.

Terry Turpin?

MR. TURPIN: Terry Turpin, FERC. gotten confused as I've once again to what we're talking about because I think we might be having two different conversations. One seems to be a conversation about should PHMSA try to apply the techniques developed for transmission operators for blowdown and compressor stations to LNG facilities. And I would agree. The answer is probably no, it doesn't fit. But that's not what's in the rule.

What's in the rule is something that PHMSA did tailor, as I read them, and I do have some familiarity to LNG facilities. It's not the put it from one pipe to another. They're talking about looking at smaller segments of the plants and figuring out how you could move

1	gas around. I mean, it seems like they've done
2	exactly what we're trying to talk about here.
3	So I don't understand the conversation at this
4	point.
5	MR. DANNER: Well, thank you. I
6	think that the important thing for me is that
7	PHMSA consider the unique characteristics of
8	LNG plants. And, you know, I'm comfortable
9	with this language. I'm also comfortable with,
LO	you know, the context that Andy has given to
L1	it. And I also think we've had a pretty good
L2	conversation here so that PHMSA has direction.
L3	So unless there's any further conversation
L4	MS. BURMAN: And I do think, for the
L5	record, there is a recognition around the table
L6	that there is another rulemaking that
L7	MR. DANNER: Yes.
L8	MS. BURMAN: could address some
L9	of this.
20	MR. DANNER: Yeah, I think there is
21	that recognition.
22	MS. BURMAN: Right.

MR. DANNER: Sara?

MS. GOSMAN: I just want to ask a clarifying question about the language So PHMSA has addressed emissions from blowdowns and boil-off and will presumably do so in the final rule. I'm just wanting a clarification about what addressing emissions means here. I think what you're saying is that PHMSA to continue considering want of unique characteristics LNG plants in addressing emissions from blowdowns and boiloff.

I don't see any further MR. DANNER: comments on that. This is, Ι think, appropriately specific language with not specific actions that PHMSA should take. think we should leave it general so that they can, as the expert agency, determine of what is appropriate, having heard our concerns.

All right. The language just changed. So we have a different motion in front of us?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

1	PARTICIPANT: It's really saying the
2	same thing.
3	MR. DANNER: All right.
4	PARTICIPANT: It addresses Member
5	Gosman's concern.
6	MR. DANNER: Okay. We have language
7	before us now.
8	Is there anyone willing to make a
9	motion? Sara Longan?
10	MS. LONGAN: Sara Longan, Army Corps
11	of Engineers. As I move, the proposed rule as
12	published in the Federal Register and as
13	supported by the Preliminary Regulatory Impact
14	Analysis and Draft Environmental Assessment
15	regarding blowdown and boil-off mitigation is
16	technically feasible, reasonable, cost-
17	effective, and practicable if the following
18	changes are made: PHMSA considers the unique
19	characteristics of LNG plants.
20	MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you.
21	Is there a second? Terry Turpin
22	seconds.

1 All right. Cameron, will you take 2 the vote? 3 Oh. I'm sorry? 4 I don't mean to throw a MS. BURMAN: 5 monkey wrench here, but PHMSA considers the unique characteristics of LNG plants for what? 6 7 Like, I felt like we were there, and now I'm 8 worried that this locks us into the rule at all 9 itself. And that's not what the 10 discussion was. 11 MR. DANNER: Well, yeah. The 12 language that had address on it was --13 I quess this is the MS. BURMAN: 14 issue, right? There's a threshold issue of the 15 feasibility of this. And there's disagreement, 16 strongly from the folks who have more technical 17 knowledge. So I don't know. I'm just confused 18 before we take this vote. I can't vote on this 19 I'm not really sure what it is that I'm 20 voting on. 21 MR. DANNER: Right. Well, we have 22 the motion, and it has been seconded. Before

we take the vote, I will take comments. 1 2 So, Sara? 3 MS. GOSMAN: Yeah. 4 Just in response, I mean, I think the preamble there tells us what we're talking 5 which 6 about, is blowdown and boil-off 7 mitigation. 8 Okay. Chad? MR. DANNER: 9 MR. ZAMARIN: Yeah. Zamarin, Williams. 10 Chad Yeah, Τ 11 think that's where I'm running into a problem. 12 I think this is so high level, and maybe the 13 record is enough. 14 And, Terry, I hear you, but these 15 sections were basically mirrored off of the transmission requirements. 16 And there is а 17 requirement in here. If voting yes on this 18 implies that there's support for requiring that 19 an LNG facility has to achieve a 50 percent 20 reduction in emissions during а blowdown

is what

language that we, you know, lifted from the

it

says, that

operation, which

21

22

was

transmission where we have techniques we're working on to mitigate in order minimize. And we were, I think smart enough on those kinds of pipes to say, okay, we're not there today, but 50 we're going to qo percent reduction.

I cannot support the language that's I don't believe that it was tailored in here. unique challenges the of a large-scale to liquefaction facility that's designed and built for a certain type of blowdown operation. so I'm struggling with being able to vote for But maybe the record is enough to say this. that I just think you got to make sure you're really careful in tailoring these requirements to what is achievable, practicable for every kind of complexity that you're going encounter at an LNG facility.

MR. DANNER: So when the address language was up there before, what I took it to mean is that PHMSA will go back and look at what they've done to sort of look at the things

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

of

that might not be applicable to an LNG plant 1 2 that would be applicable to a pipeline. consider 3 then they would amendments would be necessary to their proposed 4 5 So I think that this language may change 6 that a little bit, but I think that that was 7 the intent. So we have a different motion 8 before us now, but I think what I'm hearing 9 from PHMSA is that it was intended to do the 10 same thing.

Sara, do you have a comment?

what

kind

MS. LONGAN: Well, Chairman, I did, I'm more confused and than anyone else I proposed the motion, and as read, as a collective consideration of the dialoque, Ι interpreted the motion, the words on the slide before me and the one that I made, to align with everything that you said right until the end when you said, and then PHMSA might do something different. I think we remove the specificity and highlight that there are unique characteristics of LNG, the specificity at one

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

example, to 50 percent, so that PHMSA is taking 1 our advice and the dialogue and the discussion 2 3 of this counsel back to evaluate. 4 MR. DANNER: Okay. 5 That's what I read. MS. LONGAN: 6 And that's the motion I --7 And actually, you and I MR. DANNER: 8 are on the same page on that. That is what I 9 understood as well. Alan? 10 MR. MAYBERRY: I was just going to 11 offer suggestion here because I think what was 12 taken out of that bottom bullet was because it 13 was already in the top. Why not just move the 14 specifics? Which I think you were driving at 15 related to, you know, blowdown and boil-off, 16 just simply move that to the bullet. And 17 that's, I mean, for you to consider. 18 to what Does that get you were 19 talking about? 20 MR. DANNER: Diane? 21 MS. BURMAN: And I think if there's a lack of clarity, Sara's words on the record 22

are totally on point. So if there's anyone who
doesn't remember, just look at the transcript,
Sara's.

MR. All right. DANNER: Are we the same thing, whether we first bullet or the second bullet, I guess is my question? If there is a consensus that we do, then we can go ahead with the vote on the motion that Sara has made. Otherwise, we might request that Sara amend it. Andy?

Andy Drake with MR. DRAKE: Enbridge. I think we're revolving around the right issues here. But I do think there's something that, and I don't know how to get in the context, but the target of 50 is fundamentally very difficult, percent if it's even possible. I mean, we're sort of skating past a lot of the obviousness here, but, I mean, LNG facilities operate at minus 260 degrees Fahrenheit. It's liquid. It's not So taking all the things we do with gas a gas. and to say, we're just going to transplant them

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

over here, and we're going to get a 50 percent reduction on LNG plants, like, that is wildly optimistic.

So if we can at least consider that the target of 50 percent is part of that red piece down there. And I think you're starting to get something that makes some sort of sense. They should be looking at some sort practical target or some sort of practical efforts and considering the unique characteristics of this in setting targets. don't even know if we can do this. So I'm sort How do you vote yes? of stuck. I don't even know if I can do it.

MR. DANNER: So can you vote on the red or both? Neither of them?

MR. DRAKE: I think if it considers that the target of 50 percent is also a part of this discussion, then, okay. But I think you have to consider we're taking in lock, stock, and barrel what we talked about in gas transmission, trying to plug it into an LNG

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

facility. It's like, wow.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

You know, I beg to differ, Terry.

This is largely a cut and paste out of the gas transmission functionality, and it plugged right in here. It's the things that we do on gas transmission, and we're trying to do it in an LNG plant. And I just think that is not very --

MR. DANNER: All right.

Sara, then Chad.

MS. GOSMAN: So I want to make sure that it's clear that the 50 percent is one of the possible methods. Because I think I may have heard some sense that it was а requirement, but I don't read that in proposed rules. What I read is that it's one of the possible methods that can be used here. I would prefer to stay really broad, which is why I think I liked the language that was part of the motion that was made.

MR. DANNER: So the motion as made by Sara?

MS. GOSMAN: As made by Sara.

MR. DANNER: All right.

MS. GOSMAN: I think

MS. GOSMAN: I think another possibility is to say something like PHMSA considered the unique characteristics of LNG facilities in determining allowable mitigation methods, right, and which gets at the sort of set of issues that you've been talking about.

But I think we're doing all of the same things.

MR. DANNER: All right.

Chad, and then Diane.

MR. ZAMARIN: Yeah. And maybe we interpret what we mean by our vote differently because I think what I'm hearing some think is that the requirements that are in there are okay, but go ahead and, you know, make some consider tweaks maybe the and unique characteristics. My vote is get rid of those. They don't make sense for LNG terminals, and develop criteria that makes sense for LNG terminals. Like, that's what I'm suggesting. I mean, these were lifted in large part from

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

the transmission work that was done. And again, this is like taking a car that has already been built and then afterwards saying, oh, that car has 20 miles per gallon, but I want it to be 40.

You know, this is designed facility that has designed blowdown and venting systems and it can't be retrofit or modified easily and it's not easy on a pipeline, but it's easier on a pipeline. I mean, this is a designed kit. Like, this is like a refinery or processing facility. It's an LNG liquefaction terminal. It is designed certain way.

And I hear you. That's only one of four, Sara, but these are very specific, and none of them really speak to anything that's practical. So you end up at this 50 percent reduction requirement, which again, I don't even know that you can do 10 percent. I don't know how you totally redesign an LNG facility that is designed a certain way.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

And so, again, I'll vote on the motion, and I would vote yes if the yes is interpreted to mean I don't agree with what's in the rule and I would recommend that something is drafted that considers the unique characteristics of LNG.

MR. DANNER: All right. Diane?

MS. BURMAN: Yeah.

I mean, this is kind of weird that we're discussing how we're each interpreting I do think that the record that the vote. we're establishing is that you may need to refine, if not rewrite, this part of the rule. So to the extent that we are saying you got to consider this, you got to re-look at this, I think you have what is needed. I can vote yes with that. But I do feel like Chad, that it's And, frankly, like Sara, we each important. have to explain what we think. So I think that's important.

And thank you, Sara Longan, for putting this vote.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. And I'm looking DANNER: this, too, saying, okay, back over go what you've done and just say, you know, which of plant? What's these can apply to an LNG appropriate? What's not? That's what T′m asking PHMSA to do, and I think that's what we're all doing here and whether it's the language in red or the language that Sara has put in front of us in the motion, which has been seconded, by the way. So I think we're kind of getting a sense of what the committee is looking at.

Sara Gosman?

Again, MS. GOSMAN: Ι think should just vote on the motion as it was, but, you know, if we want more specificity, I think that first bullet point up through, including a review of the appropriateness of each of the methods listed in it is fine. I think, you know, that does what I think the original language did. I don't know that we need to call out the one with the 50 percent. It seems

to me that that's getting into the weeds too 1 much, and I don't think that that is what we 2 3 should be doing as a committee. I mean, this is one of the methods, if they'll consider it. 4 5 MR. DANNER: All right. Brian? 6 MR. WEISKER: Brian Weisker, Duke 7 concurring with the first Energy. And Ι'm 8 bullet because operator of four as an LNG 9 plants, granted they would be smaller, I don't think that anything that's listed in there is 10 11 even technically feasible. I'm not confident 12 we could do any of that. So as long as a yes 13 vote by me aligns with a yes vote, what Chad 14 was saying, is that it's really going back and 15 taking a hard look at what is actually technically feasible to do at these facilities. 16 17 MR. DANNER: All right. 18 So I am getting a sense of the room 19 that there is a consensus on that first bullet 20 or close to a consensus on that first bullet 2.1 now. 22 I will need Sara to withdraw her

motion and redo it. 1 2 MS. LONGAN: Mr. Chairman, Sara 3 Longan, Army Corps of Engineers, withdrawing 4 first motion. Moving the proposed rule as 5 published in the Federal Register and 6 supported by the Preliminary Regulatory Impact 7 and Draft Environmental Assessment Analysis 8 regarding blowdown and boil-off mitigation is 9 technically feasible, reasonable, costeffective, and practicable if the following 10 11 changes are made: PHMSA considers the unique 12 characteristics of LNG plants, including 13 review of the appropriateness of each of the listed in sub 14 methods part 193 2523(a) 1 15 through 4. 16 MR. DANNER: All right. 17 Is there a second? All right. Arvind seconds. 18 Cameron, we can now take a vote. 19 20 MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Okay. 21 Say your name. If you agree, say 22 If not, no. yes.

1		Diar	ne Burman?
2		MS.	BURMAN: Yes.
3		MR.	SATTERTHWAITE: Peter Chace?
4		MR.	CHACE: Yes.
5		MR.	SATTERTHWAITE: David Danner?
6		MR.	DANNER: Yes.
7		MR.	SATTERTHWAITE: Sara Longan?
8		MS.	LONGAN: Yes.
9		MR.	SATTERTHWAITE: Terry Turpin?
10		MR.	TURPIN: Yes.
11		MR.	SATTERTHWAITE: Brian Weisker?
12		MR.	WEISKER: Yes.
13		MR.	SATTERTHWAITE: Andy Drake?
14		MR.	DRAKE: Yes.
15		MR.	SATTERTHWAITE: Steve Squibb?
16		MR.	SQUIBB: Yes.
17		MR.	SATTERTHWAITE: Chad Zamarin?
18		MR.	ZAMARIN: Yes.
19		MR.	SATTERTHWAITE: Chad Gilbert?
20		MR.	GILBERT: Yes.
21		MR.	SATTERTHWAITE: Arvind
22	Ravikumar?		

1	MR. RAVIKUMAR: Yes.
2	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Erin Murphy?
3	MS. MURPHY: Yes.
4	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Sara Gosman?
5	MS. GOSMAN: Yes.
6	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: Sam Ariaratnam?
7	MR. ARIARATNAM: Yes.
8	MR. SATTERTHWAITE: It is unanimous.
9	The motion carries.
LO	MR. DANNER: All right. Thank you.
L1	And that takes us to the end. So we
L2	will pick up tomorrow morning with hydrogen.
L3	And before we close, a matter of personal
L4	privilege. Our colleague, Diane Burman, is
L5	leaving our committee today.
L6	This is her last day, and I want to
L7	thank you so much for the work that you've
L8	done. Diane and I go back a long way. We both
L9	served on the board of directors of the
20	National Association of Regulatory Utility
21	Commissioners. We were both on the Committee
22	on International Relations. She is the winner

of the Terry Barnich Award for her work on international work.

She has been active in programs that are promoting women in energy. She has been active on critical infrastructure. She has been active in gas infrastructure and other critical infrastructure. She's a legend among utility commissioners. And I'm going to miss you at NARUC meetings, but I'm also going to miss you at GPAC meetings, and I want to thank you for all the work that you've done.

I just want to take a MS. BURMAN: moment, and I promise it will only be a moment. Well, my moment. I've been a public servant for 28 years, and more than half of that has with commission staffer been the as originally and then coming back а commissioner. And when Ι came back commissioner, it was really important to me that I try to make a positive difference. Ι came in in 2013, and in 2014 was the East Harlem explosion.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.1

22

I'm a big believer in crisis events are really important to take stock of and look about what you can do working collaboratively to make a difference. And, for me, the window of opportunity of crisis events is significant, and the opportunity for continuous improvement is really critical. And I do feel in New York, working with the LDCs and the federal stakeholders regulator and have made difference in really looking at what we can do to improve pipeline safety. And really, for me, I think we are all ambassadors in trying to enhance pipeline safety, also understanding the need incorporate environmental considerations in a way that makes sense.

And I decided when I was not seeking reappointment that the last session that wanted at the public service commission was the March session. And part of that was because it was the week of the 10-year anniversary of East Harlem explosion. Ιt was Women's History Month. And also, Ι really focused was on trying to be here for the full week. But it's really significant to me that my last act as a state regulator is doing the thing that has given me the most sense of satisfaction and sense of accomplishment and continuously working on pipeline safety. So GPAC is really, you know, where I started and where I'm ending in really caring and making a positive difference.

I was also trying to get through so that April 1st would be the start of Dig Safely And I just really want to thank all of you for making me a better regulator and making me feel really proud to be a part of all that we're doing. I think that all of us together, our collective voices make a difference. would like to ask all of you to continue committing to continuously improving pipeline safety and working collaboratively to meaningfully advance pipeline safety because it's really that important. And thank you so much.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2 3 4

1

6

5

8

9

7

10 11

12

14

13

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

Hey, Diane, just very MR. MAYBERRY: briefly. Tristan Brown, our deputy administrator, sends his regards. He was hoping to be here this afternoon. Ι kept trying to give him an idea of when we were going to end. It was a moving target, but he had to stay back for a meeting in Washington. But he does send his regards and thanks you dearly for your service on the Committee.

Just real quickly, you were appointed way back in June of 2017 to the GPAC, and you very unique in а number are But it's very unique that you're respects. also a member of the LPAC. You're the only member who serves on both or has served on both committees. So thank you for pulling double duty, and thank you for your thoughtful approach to pipeline safety and advising us. And, you know, you've just been great to work with and we, you know, wish you well as you move forward in your other pursuits and wish you luck. Thank you.

1	MR. DANNER: All right. So with
2	that, we're going to call it a day. We'll be
3	adjourned until tomorrow morning at 8:30, and
4	we will take up hydrogen.
5	(Whereupon, the above-entitled
6	matter went off the record at 5:48 p.m.)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

			381
	203:10 205:8 279:7	addressing 94:12	afternoon 143:22
·	349:6 361:19	156:12 223:18 305:4	169:18 219:10,17
A-team 10:3	acknowledge 132:19	348:13 358:7,11	220:4 241:8 246:6
a.m 1:11 3:2 91:21,22	207:3 240:10 256:20	adequacy 277:3	251:19 258:20 379:4
114:18,19 256:9	257:10 346:11	adequate 259:16 274:8	age 354:1
abandoned 171:20	acknowledged 5:13	adhere 6:12	agencies 15:20 159:1
abbreviated 32:17	act 3:17 11:22 24:12	adjacent 58:3 264:16	agency 22:5 83:14
ability 47:20 69:5 122:5	170:8 220:12 223:1	272:17 344:8 346:1	85:13 93:10,16 94:10
148:19 174:10 300:20	225:17 231:14 328:13	347:13	160:6 164:16,16
307:18,19 347:6	328:21 329:12 330:2	adjectives 353:9	165:6 171:4 193:19
able 46:12 56:11 57:22	330:15 378:2	adjourned 380:3	195:20 255:20 259:13
58:4,14 59:22 61:11	action 12:1 180:17	administered 259:12	259:14 270:16 355:19
68:13 69:17 74:7 75:9	308:12 311:17 314:4	ADMINISTRATION 1:3	358:18
89:6 104:18 108:16	333:6 342:9	administrative 206:10	agency's 25:13
111:10 125:21 134:12	actions 194:17 225:1	administrator 2:6 3:15	agenda 5:16
152:2,11 153:21	358:16	4:2 335:11 379:3	aggressive 120:3
188:22 195:2 196:21	active 263:6 376:3,5,6	admissions 313:15	aggressively 120:18
212:22 264:7 273:17	activity 132:21	315:16 324:4 331:12	agnostic 288:7
275:14 285:20 299:13	actual 52:5 152:4	admonish 346:14	ago 10:19 49:11,19
307:14 308:18 362:12	255:12	adopt 40:21 131:16	78:15 79:19 105:13
above-entitled 91:20	add 44:15 45:8 78:4	139:1 141:12,20	181:7 186:16 204:3
114:17 144:3 219:6	125:4 138:11 258:17	220:15 270:1	257:4 281:4 283:4
380:5	259:18 271:21 285:14	adopted 183:14 282:1	agree 64:13 72:16 73:3
above-ground 230:9	291:20 297:17 306:2	329:14	73:4,19 80:6 95:9
absolutely 119:21	added 108:3 237:8	adopting 184:10 222:15	112:5 142:8 168:13
149:6 188:16 310:22	247:6 293:11 321:17	adoption 25:22	169:6 217:10 257:12
absorb 39:19	adding 103:4 106:20	advance 30:18 31:22	275:8 277:7 287:5
accept 146:5 164:10	247:9 267:9 275:20	34:8 35:14,17,22	333:17 354:18 356:14
318:18 332:1,2	289:2 298:14,18	378:20	370:3 373:21
acceptable 15:6 124:8	321:12	advanced 12:5,21	agreed 156:10 283:3
330:8	addition 6:2 15:19	13:13 32:13 37:19	327:22
acceptance 154:21	23:11 158:11 222:17	68:20 116:8 249:15	agreement 61:16
accepts 267:4 316:6	additional 18:13 20:19	258:5	123:15,20 240:2
access 57:22 60:7 147:11 158:19,21,22	25:4 28:12 31:4 34:12	advances 167:20	271:20 326:10
160:12 195:4 196:9	43:20 90:14 97:2	advancing 243:13	agrees 68:19 242:5
196:20 208:21,21	108:11 115:15 117:11	advantage 212:21	350:11
accessible 60:18 89:19	117:14 137:21 172:1	213:7	ahead 11:12 34:15
194:15 240:14	207:16 224:22 232:22	advent 248:22	88:16 197:11 216:17
accommodate 49:9	237:21 244:1,3 280:2	advice 364:2	220:7 265:14 267:8
235:5	338:17,18	advise 198:11	296:7,22 297:7
accompanied 16:5	additionally 7:21 19:5	advisement 159:10	298:12 326:7 335:17
accomplish 77:21	23:2,22 24:17,22	advising 379:18	365:8 368:16
150:8 155:13,13	247:2	advisory 1:5,10 3:8,16	ahold 209:3
161:9 168:18 174:18	additions 313:4	5:18 7:9 169:6 232:10	air 2:18,20 224:22
190:15 277:6	address 24:14 25:1	advocacy 228:9 231:15	241:11,11,13,20,21
accomplished 101:18	50:13 59:3 78:20 94:5	233:5,9 235:12 237:3	242:1,4,9 243:10,21
accomplishment 378:5	97:22 113:4 117:8	238:11	244:3 260:17,19
account 73:21 74:1	128:15 157:4 181:5	advocates 147:13	261:10 262:5 328:13
194:4 244:14 262:10	190:21 220:16 231:16	advocating 216:11,12	328:21 329:12 330:2
307:17 339:9 352:8	235:13 243:16 250:15	aerial 24:1 30:7,9 31:19	330:15
352:11	256:19 269:13 305:9	48:10,10 51:2 56:4	aircraft 57:11 63:10
accounted 285:8	350:4 351:2 353:2,2,3	57:9,13 59:4 63:18	152:3
accuracy 150:19	355:10 357:18 360:12	64:13,20 65:17,21	airplane 63:6 136:5
158:19 163:17 166:15	362:19	66:19 67:10 79:8 97:1	airplanes 136:6 179:9
175:16 188:14	addressed 12:10 24:10	112:2 133:1 172:18	al 57:5 132:21 196:4
achievable 224:11	73:11 145:5,6 358:4	293:15	223:6,10 226:12
362:16	addresses 103:6	affirmatively 202:5	232:8 237:11
achieve 158:11 199:22	296:10 359:4	affirmed 168:16	Alan 2:6 3:14 7:3,12

	1	1	1
9:21 88:13 90:22	America 245:9	answers 134:13 316:19	260:6 303:19 343:2
102:11 109:8 158:14	American 241:10	anybody 28:21 50:12	appointed 379:11
168:20 181:3 283:20	amount 10:2 21:10 34:2	173:6 291:12	appreciate 35:8 36:19
284:15 351:3 364:9	37:5 44:9 190:19	anyway 17:4 49:13 50:3	77:8 95:2 101:5 114:5
alarm 4:7	210:7 248:14,19	96:15 109:7 206:11	120:21 126:12 127:6
ALDP 18:22 122:21	280:13	anyways 198:8	146:2 166:19 174:16
123:5,10,17 126:18	amounts 68:11	APA 202:8	174:18 185:4 188:2
126:20,21 138:1	analyses 163:17	apart 326:12	203:15 245:9 246:3
141:11 221:12 234:17	analysis 15:21 16:4	API 240:1	249:4 251:11 253:11
235:16,21 236:14,18	20:18 70:4 141:4	Apollo 256:22	258:17 279:2 291:13
244:18 250:11 261:19	160:9 203:7 216:20	Apologies 320:9 328:7	340:17 345:13 351:10
alert 7:22	231:6 247:4 313:8	apologize 24:8 200:6	appreciated 111:22
Alex 9:1	332:14 359:14 373:7	290:10	213:15
align 232:4 288:1 337:6	and/or 33:8	apparent 234:21	appreciates 231:6
363:17	Andrew 1:17 8:21	Apparently 173:8 337:2	233:18 238:17 241:20
aligned 264:17 289:13	Andy 44:6 46:14,15	appear 321:6	approach 36:3 37:7,18
298:5 313:20 317:15	54:19 64:9,11 66:9	appears 248:16	40:22 41:4 42:6 82:11
333:1 337:8	77:5,7 94:22 95:1	apple 156:2	100:16 103:2,6
aligning 289:3	98:8 100:18 111:20	apple-to- 156:1	121:18 163:5 224:18
alignment 240:18 279:8	111:21 113:6 119:11	applicability 26:3 28:15	242:19 279:14,15
279:13 289:18 319:6	120:19,20 124:8	140:5 141:6 148:11	345:22 346:3,16
aligns 37:22 372:13	132:3 133:8,9 136:8	169:8 198:7 222:20	379:18
all-in-one 163:10	142:22 147:2 150:5,6	224:6 231:10 232:6	approaches 50:6 74:22
alleviate 193:6	155:11 174:13,15	233:19 335:21 336:10	342:2,3,7 345:16,19
allow 111:9 117:11,13	203:13,14 218:1	336:22	346:7 353:11
136:1 193:7 216:13	263:1,2 267:14 287:4	applicable 18:22 24:19	approaching 268:17
222:8 248:19 255:8	287:19 288:5 289:20	109:22 110:17 123:6	appropriate 28:17
allowable 227:11 368:6	290:21,22 295:17	123:11 182:16 236:19	43:15 73:14,22 74:21
allowed 237:9	301:22 312:17,18	237:15 238:5 245:2	75:5 76:17 104:13,15
allowing 228:20 252:12	314:21 316:5,6 322:3	264:10 272:11 297:14	106:15 108:19 109:2
allows 41:16 208:16	331:21 333:14 334:10	314:2,7 333:5,9 344:5	112:11 124:16 125:19
311:9	351:17 352:20,21	344:12 345:18 363:1	125:22 127:11,15
alongside 286:21	355:18 357:10 365:10	363:2	134:7 139:4 190:2
alternate 320:18 alternative 93:6 102:13	365:11 374:13 Andy's 99:17 103:22	application 47:7 185:21 186:4 244:11	192:3 200:22 202:11 231:8 234:22 250:13
202:13,19 222:12	128:4 317:20	applied 128:22 189:22	258:2 268:5 285:15
224:14 228:21 235:21	anecdotal 185:18	232:21 235:1	298:7 301:15 303:12
240:16 241:3 250:11	angst 189:6	applies 18:8 19:17	308:5 316:13 339:20
258:6 287:21 289:16	animal 354:22	184:20 221:16,17	342:19 346:8 355:2
293:13 300:5 342:7	Ann 2:15 249:7	223:20 230:12 233:15	358:19 371:5
344:13	Anna 2:12 10:11 11:12	234:1,18 236:15	appropriately 289:22
alternatively 191:5	Annette 2:18 258:21	345:6	358:15
alternatives 155:14	anniversary 186:16	apply 19:2 25:1,3,8,16	appropriateness 93:17
161:10 173:17 174:7	377:20	38:2 40:16 63:22	371:18 373:13
190:16 199:14 206:3	annual 18:15 21:1,20	64:17 83:7,12,17	approval 331:20
Alvarez 10:8	22:9,13 39:5,13	104:12 121:12 163:3	approve 326:15 329:15
Amal 10:7	101:10,22 110:13	171:8 221:14 222:3,6	approved 308:3 314:6
amalgamation 40:6	115:12 116:14,18	223:1 226:6 227:7,15	321:22 322:9,21
amazing 10:3 91:2	118:16 128:2,10,15	229:12 234:4 240:5	323:21 324:7,11
ambassadors 377:12	129:1,22 141:13	244:13,19 248:13	325:15 326:21 327:21
amend 115:19 138:14	149:15 254:12 261:8	250:12 255:15 265:3	328:21 329:9,15
365:10	283:6 285:3,5 302:8	272:12 274:18 298:19	330:10 333:8
amendment 123:22	307:7	303:12 314:9 318:16	approves 322:10
126:17 139:14 140:11	annually 12:3	326:2 329:5,6 333:10	326:14
312:11 316:7 318:4	answer 66:5 167:16	336:1 346:8 356:12	approximate 163:4
322:3 331:22 332:1,3	173:7,8,12 175:5 209:12 279:19 356:15	371:4	approximately 27:15 44:13 261:2
amendments 294:22 363:4	answered 271:6	applying 40:17 128:8 180:11 234:9 235:14	appurtenances 230:10
300.4	a113WCICU 4/ 1.0	100.11204.8200.14	appui telialices 200.10
II	1	ı	1

April 5:20 256:21 232:20 242:10 253:19 average 23:12 100:13 backyard 83:9 256:3 378:11 assessed 16:3 avoid 61:19 232:5 309:20 269:19 270:10 319:15 area 3:9 31:1,4,5 32:4,6 assessing 120:14 **bad** 192:1 assessment 119:22 33:18 35:11,13 43:3 330:22 340:20,21 **ball** 202:4 56:19 57:2 63:16,17 131:5 141:5 216:21 **Award** 376:1 Baltimore 284:8 70:11 146:2 152:14 230:17,19,21 313:9 aware 15:17 23:1 50:3 bang 189:8 157:19 213:5 247:20 332:15 359:14 373:7 219:12 bank 48:19 252:8 262:1 281:10 asset 64:17 65:4,10 awareness 150:19,20 **bar** 182:13 156:15 157:6 158:16 Barnich 376:1 284:19 285:2.22 66:5 assets 47:11,12 50:8 159:3 166:20 167:22 barrel 366:21 318:10 areas 24:6 33:13 34:19 51:17 64:19,22 65:13 176:3,13,16 179:12 **base** 66:5 63:20 70:17 74:8 65:15 95:18 98:13 179:14,16,17 180:6 based 20:19 23:20 120:10,14 155:3 181:18 183:9 184:18 27:13,15 39:5 41:7 106:21 178:10 187:3 208:16 Assistant 2:7,10 184:19 187:5 190:1 76:11 93:18 94:17 214:5 229:13 240:13 **Associate** 2:6 3:15 191:10 207:6 211:21 96:10 106:13,13,14 268:19 273:16 284:5 associated 23:13 84:15 212:2 107:7,7 130:14 350:6 150:17 151:1 299:16 137:10 198:3 247:7 В aren't 48:3 88:20 96:6 Association 1:18 248:15 263:19 267:16 107:19 155:3 175:12 208:14 245:9 375:20 **B** 18:19 19:6,8,18,21 284:18 312:5 330:14 339:7 275:4 327:2 **assume** 311:6 331:5 20:16 21:3 22:9 25:21 baseline 22:17 110:9 arena 261:10 assuming 27:21 202:22 26:1 34:1 35:15 39:5 119:16 123:5 131:8 **argue** 97:6 assumption 20:15 39:8 40:17 56:20 84:7 argument 353:6 204:4 84:14 86:22 87:22 135:3 273:10 274:15 arguments 165:12 atmosphere 54:4 258:1 315:6 95:13 124:5,11 atoms 255:12 basic 6:12,13 17:4 202:3 139:15,19 140:6,14 **Ariaratnam** 1:14 9:15 attached 155:22 203:11 141:6,12 144:13 9:16 143:15.16 attack 70:18 145:1,10,18 149:7 **basically** 19:16 21:10 218:16,17 335:3,4 attempt 107:18 128:15 21:20 32:20 33:4,7 152:1 158:4 169:9 163:3 356:1 64:22 65:7 102:22 375:6,7 172:4 197:16 200:9 **Arizona** 1:14 246:10 attempts 93:1 210:14.15 214:4 115:11 116:22 130:18 Arkansas 1:19 attendees 6:5 216:22 234:20 135:9,13 144:21 **Arlington** 1:10 attending 3:7 4:3 back 4:12 9:21 10:14 183:17 187:5 203:16 arms 64:11 95:4 293:5 attention 345:14 39:6 42:3,21 46:16 264:17 275:3 280:2 324:2 346:13 350:3 **Army** 1:20 168:12 Attorney 223:9 226:11 47:14 48:12 55:18 359:10 373:3 232:7 237:10 62:10 64:12 65:2.2 361:15 articulate 349:9 attorneys 164:17 72:10 76:6 79:19 91:6 basin 35:10 36:15 52:6 **Arvind** 1:21 9:8 38:19 attributed 196:16 91:8,15,18 92:1 94:10 57:9 62:15 63:3 64:2 50:13 53:11 58:18 attributes 147:18 105:12 107:3 114:15 136:6 59:13 66:9 77:5 80:4 182:14 232:19 114:21 115:4 125:5 **basins** 53:1 135:11,12 99:15,16 111:22 attribution 294:4 129:19 132:18 143:21 basis 115:12 176:17 121:22 143:8 151:12 Austin 1:21 150:14 155:11 168:19 188:19 276:12 151:13 170:17 172:10 authoritative 186:2 **Bcf** 280:9 169:13 178:13 183:3 172:11 174:22 202:13 authority 24:9,12 25:3 191:16 200:12 206:9 bear 35:8 46:13 50:5,7 218:9 265:6 292:4.5 25:14 145:4,17 210:11 219:4,16,20 68:13 92:7,17 346:17 297:14 301:10 305:22 148:15,18 164:16 beg 367:2 239:8,12 256:8,13,21 307:9 334:18 373:18 165:3,7 171:5 193:19 264:8 269:18 277:1,2 beginning 139:14 374:21 223:4,15,18 314:8 277:5 285:19 288:12 behalf 125:7 252:21 Arvind's 162:12 298:1 319:20 333:10 290:20 306:14 311:5 believe 11:13 14:8 **ASAP** 305:9 authorizes 171:1 349:18 362:21 364:3 17:20,22 43:4 44:20 371:2 372:14 375:18 53:13 81:12,14 92:19 **Asebe** 10:12 availability 311:16 asked 6:20 101:6 available 5:22 7:13 376:17,18 379:7,11 101:16 126:16 140:7 226:18 227:9 229:11 55:22 56:11 57:22 background 29:9,15 144:8 145:17 169:2 187:13 203:9 207:20 282:18 345:5 351:4 59:5,21 82:2 97:18 267:3 99:22 105:8 171:13 215:14 245:2 248:12 asking 173:18 199:13 backing 106:2 244:21 278:6 281:20 311:2 186:21,21,22 197:5 **backstop** 272:9,15 248:18,20 250:11 348:12 371:6 235:9,19 242:21 315:6,15,21 317:16 310:13,20 318:12 243:2,7 293:21 319:14 340:18 349:11 **aspect** 190:7 **backup** 280:2 aspects 155:22 231:22 **avenues** 76:19 backwards 107:2 319:5 362:8

believer 377:1 Bellingham 186:17 **Ben** 2:16 245:7 beneficial 163:11 197:3 238:2 benefit 70:15 71:5 74:10 90:12 120:6,13 146:18 150:2 156:9 164:6 165:21 184:17 185:8,13 273:3 278:16 **benefits** 16:3,16 17:1,7 89:5 177:2 185:5 194:13 best 4:1,4 75:13 109:5 279:14 297:14 300:8 341:5 342:22 353:15 better 53:16 67:10 75:10,21,21,21 82:17 96:8,9 106:19 130:4 148:9 163:13 200:16 293:14 355:22 378:13 beyond 70:9 98:19 101:21 112:3 120:14 121:16 127:12 187:22 209:16 254:11.15 255:2 **BGE's** 284:7 **BHE** 2:19 244:8 bicarbonate 66:18 **big** 40:9,18 55:8,9 78:10 96:11,11 99:10 103:20,20,21 126:22 133:14 148:5 151:10 152:6 169:19 180:7 276:4 285:20 286:14 315:2 377:1 bigger 78:16 96:3 278:18 biggest 152:9 254:15 **Bill** 2:15 257:16 **billion** 153:3 **binary** 77:12 203:16 215:13,22 **bit** 13:16 14:5 16:21 29:8 32:16 57:4 72:15 91:7 96:19 102:2 108:10 116:21 121:8 123:16 128:2 134:16 145:1 176:13 186:10 209:2 247:3 257:18 267:21 283:22 363:6 blanket 168:5 blend 237:8 245:17 252:4 blended 221:20 222:3 252:13,17 255:1,5 blendeds 255:9

blending 239:1 247:22 248:6 249:1 251:6 252:11 258:14,18 blends 222:1 237:12 251:14 258:7 blossoms 3:11,13 92:9 blow 274:3 281:5 blowdown 220:11 224:3,11 225:13 336:2 337:21 338:19 338:21 340:14 341:7 341:21 342:4,18 343:1 344:17 345:16 347:17 356:13 359:15 361:6,20 362:11 364:15 369:7 373:8 **blowdowns** 55:3 221:4 224:15 225:4 226:5 336:6 338:2,10 339:9 339:13 340:2 341:11 347:11 348:13 353:12 354:8 358:5,11 **blowing** 340:20 **blue** 36:6 **blunt** 212:8 board 92:4 213:22 375:19 **Bodell** 2:6 220:1,3,4 262:20 **body** 164:15 170:13 202:7 **boil-** 224:15 358:11 boil-downs 241:5 **boil-off** 224:3 225:4 336:3 337:21 345:22 348:14 354:8,19 358:5 359:15 361:6 364:15 373:8 boil-offs 241:5 340:2 353:12 **bold** 180:17 **bomb** 309:21 boosting 23:19 **bottom** 150:9 364:12 **bovine** 256:14 **box** 30:12 **boys** 186:19 break 80:2 88:14 91:3 91:18 92:2 101:3 112:22 113:19 114:12 117:4 121:1 133:11 143:21 219:4 breakdown 33:12 breakdowns 32:15

breaking 83:10 87:5

Brian 2:3 8:19 142:20

165:9 169:21 170:1

176:6

334:8 337:4,5 372:5,6 374:11 **Briana** 10:11 brief 4:6 19:14 24:11 170:1 **briefing** 18:10 24:10 **briefly** 59:12 85:1 379:2 **bring** 4:1 29:10 49:21 50:1,2,7 62:1 65:17 85:3 193:9 210:12 347:14 bringing 34:4 49:1 121:5 **brings** 61:3 broad 25:13 223:15 240:4,9 367:18 broadly 155:1 broken 123:8 124:6 188:4 brought 31:13 47:3,22 50:5,7 352:12 Brown 4:2 379:2 **BS** 256:14 buck 189:8 build 62:6 183:19 250:20 building 38:6 104:4 141:17 320:9 **built** 54:8 277:16 311:22 312:11 362:10 369:3 **bullet** 37:21 71:14 107:21,21 111:18 115:11,20 116:2,19 121:4 124:1 126:16 131:16 138:14 139:3 139:10,10,17 161:18 197:14 288:11 289:16 298:8,14,18 301:21 305:20 306:10,12 310:8 311:2 314:8 320:19 321:11,12,15 321:17 323:8 327:22 364:12,16 365:6,6 371:17 372:8,19,20 bullets 28:13 107:19 108:17 121:12 126:2 197:13 burden 46:12 146:18 175:19 180:21 182:21 278:16 **burdens** 238:1 burdensome 150:18 168:8 182:22 204:6,9 bureaucracy 151:7 167:6

217:21 282:14,15

283:14 305:17,18

Burman 1:15 8:9.10 72:12,13 114:5 142:10,11 155:7,8 156:7 161:3,4 173:12 174:16 189:20 191:3 199:1,11 215:9 217:11,12 276:18 295:4 302:17 304:20 323:7,16,18 324:13 324:15,18 325:2 326:6,8 330:18 331:18 332:8,11 333:20,21 348:8,10 348:18 350:13,20 357:14,18,22 360:4 360:13 364:21 370:8 374:1,2 375:14 376:12 Burman's 77:8 162:8 203:15 **burn** 63:16 **business** 2:3 119:1 businesses 252:7 C C 18:19 19:3,6,8 20:3,5 20:15,19 21:2,3,5,5,7 21:8,10,14,22 22:1,6 22:9,12,15 23:3,7 24:13 25:20.22 26:2 27:5 28:16 32:18,20 32:22 34:4,7,9,12 35:18,19,21 36:1 38:1 38:3,14 39:1,5,8 40:10,15,18,20,22

41:6 42:8,12 43:17 45:2,6,17 48:3 49:1,5 53:2 56:20 60:17 62:13 68:21 71:22 81:17 82:22 83:13 84:8,15,16 85:22 86:6 86:11,15,22 88:5 90:3 93:3,21 94:2 95:12,13 97:2,10,16 100:6,9,12 102:5,6 103:1 104:12 104:21 105:6,18 108:3,11,20 109:19 109:22 110:2 115:14 116:3,10,12,15,19,20 121:16 123:6,11 124:11,12,13,18 127:18 128:20 129:1 129:22 130:1 138:22 139:15,16,19,21 140:6,14 141:6,12,13 141:20 144:13 145:1 145:10,18 149:7 152:1 158:2 169:9

			303
	l	l	l
172:4 197:16 200:10	362:15	29:4,6 38:17 40:1,3	challenge 43:2 46:10
209:17 210:22 211:18	carefully 76:13 82:21	42:17 44:8,21 45:11	121:16 127:7 135:21
216:22	87:20 96:5	45:12 53:6,9 55:2,17	167:6 176:12 188:3
C1 83:3	caring 378:8	56:14 59:17 62:19,22	221:5 294:11
calculate 231:3	carried 252:7	69:18,20 71:12 80:21	challenges 36:22 43:19
calculated 27:10	carries 143:18 335:6	86:20 88:13,16,17	109:3 251:2,15 362:9
calculating 44:16	375:9	98:22 99:15 100:22	challenging 31:18
calculation 46:2	Carroll 10:9	101:2 102:21 107:13	34:20 36:5,11 99:20
calculations 247:8	carrying 209:8 210:6	111:20 112:21 113:21	129:17 163:1 293:18
calendar 226:17 234:15	case 31:11 164:11	114:1,9,10 115:1	294:2,5,16
calibrate 89:15	181:6 213:20 273:15	116:17 119:10,13	change 16:1,21 59:3
California 246:10 248:5	274:17 281:18 345:21	124:8 127:3,4 128:12	94:13,18 112:1 122:5
248:8	cases 292:20 348:22	128:14 129:21 130:9	133:14 161:17 198:10
call 7:8 8:3 140:17	cast 129:3	130:11 133:11 134:22	213:6 354:10 355:3
150:21 201:13 256:13	casual 267:15	135:1 137:11,13	363:5
371:22 380:2	catch 110:10	139:6,7 140:21 143:4	changed 16:10 170:12
calling 129:9	categories 82:22 83:2	143:6 145:21 146:1	288:6 358:21
Cameron 2:10 8:4,7	88:22,22 185:22	148:6 156:3,6 165:9	changes 16:5 94:17
10:7 142:5 217:6	266:3,5	165:10 176:11 178:20	223:7 236:18 238:3 246:16 298:18 313:13
314:15 333:15 360:1	categorizing 54:11	178:22 183:1 187:16	
373:19	category 60:20 83:14	187:18 200:5 201:12	316:6 332:18 359:18
campaign 57:14 132:22	152:5 186:7 266:3	207:11 208:11,13	373:11
196:13 197:2 228:9	caused 250:2	209:11 210:12 211:3	changing 63:9 109:16
campaigns 57:15 80:9	causing 6:15	211:5 212:12,18	characteristics 68:1
82:18 196:2,2,8	caution 43:1 63:2 151:8	213:16 218:5,7	147:8 158:3 347:22
can't 43:7 86:5 90:2	310:4 340:8	269:14,16 271:4	348:3,15,20 350:5
99:5 127:12 152:1,16	cautious 345:7	272:19 273:19,21	352:7 353:13 355:8
176:7 201:13 206:15	caveat 22:21	277:10,11 279:2,16	357:7 358:10 359:19
214:7 261:15 293:3,7	caveats 27:18 199:13	279:18 281:16 282:18	360:6 363:22 366:11
293:9 305:7 309:9	200:10	284:14 287:16,18	368:5,18 370:6
349:17 360:18 369:8	CCMG 87:9	291:7,9 295:5 298:21	373:12
Canada 33:19 241:15	center 310:19	299:1 300:4 303:20	charged 176:20 177:19
canvass 101:8 120:9	centered 202:3	306:19,22 309:16	charts 118:3
canvassed 101:16	CenterPoint 2:20	316:21 317:1 319:2,4	check 5:9 45:9 57:17
canvassing 127:8	251:21,22	322:5,7 334:14,16	113:20 329:3
capabilities 184:13	centers 247:1	338:5,7 340:6 343:10	checked 132:17
capability 185:12 230:2	central 154:1,2,20	343:12 346:19 349:1	chemical 154:13
capable 57:11 229:5	cents 107:9	352:12 354:18 361:8	Cheniere 2:19 239:22
capacity 94:7 259:3	century 187:21	361:10 367:10 368:11	cherry 3:11,13 92:9
capture 37:8 54:17 89:6	certain 25:2 47:20	370:17 372:13 374:17	Chief 2:7,10
202:9 207:21 237:16	48:14 65:13 72:7 74:8	374:19	choice 200:1
298:8 321:5	105:3 139:1 196:22	Chad's 80:6 109:17	choices 96:9
captured 169:1 303:16	213:3,5 274:5 285:17	168:16 170:7 172:12	choose 67:14
350:10	306:4 311:3 362:11	182:10 200:4 292:11	chose 22:5
capturing 202:15	369:14,22	301:12	Chris 2:19 239:22
304:15	certainly 37:8 108:9	chain 90:19	chunk 90:15
car 369:2,4	162:8 165:3 185:18	chair 1:11,13 3:21 7:5	circles 136:19
Caram 2:15 257:16,16	253:12 254:4,10	129:10 145:15 197:7	circumstances 72:7
card 53:5 92:11 106:1	263:19 268:1 340:21	348:8	118:6 285:17
140:15 173:10 186:14	352:7	Chairman 7:1,1 11:2	cities 208:18,19
207:14 210:9,12	cetera 14:20,20 126:6,6	15:12 17:12 26:6	city 2:1 191:13 265:22
212:19	CFR 232:4 325:12,18	37:17 101:6 107:14	283:12 286:17 295:9
cards 7:22 140:16	Chace 1:16 8:11,12	112:5 188:2 197:21	295:12
169:15 312:22	142:12,13 157:12,12	198:3 239:12,21	claim 119:22
care 175:15	210:10,10 217:13,14	335:18 336:8 363:12	clarification 38:21
careful 54:11 77:16	309:15,15 310:10,13	373:2	227:10 264:6 265:12
98:11 274:3 281:13	333:22 334:1 374:3,4	chairperson 3:20 5:15	281:3 291:18 297:9
287:6 299:6 301:6	Chad 1:18 2:4 9:4,6	6:18 7:7	323:22 358:7
	l		
			

clarified 255:8	378:19	18:11 20:20 39:16	308:15 309:2 312:12
clarify 27:1 39:20	colleague 375:14	77:8 93:9 94:20	313:21 316:13,20
122:14 124:10 224:9	colleagues 210:17	105:13 120:21 127:7	333:2 335:20 341:22
11			
225:12 227:19 264:19	collect 148:19 160:21	145:3 146:22 148:2	342:12 350:9 352:3
312:4 326:8	171:1,5 238:22	149:10 156:7 159:11	371:11 372:3 375:15
clarifying 42:2 116:6	251:12	159:11,14 168:16	375:21 379:9
136:21 140:13 248:21	collected 153:8 173:1	174:16 182:11 188:3	committee's 144:11
262:21 266:12 323:8	180:3	191:15 203:20 206:11	246:2
358:3	collecting 148:13	207:19 216:9 222:20	committees 379:16
clarity 63:5 127:1 160:3	collection 171:8 182:13	223:14,17 224:2	committing 378:18
161:15 232:6 237:11	238:19 239:6 251:9	225:3 226:9 228:1,17	commodity 147:19
249:14 319:22 324:8	258:18	231:7 232:2 233:18	171:17
364:22	collective 363:15	237:1 239:15,18	common 76:6 198:12
class 13:6 14:21 15:2	378:16	240:3 244:9 253:13	communicate 176:19
19:19 141:19 213:6	combination 325:21	255:3 265:5,17	communicating 179:18
254:14 284:19 285:1	combined 86:22 100:18	267:16 282:6 316:14	179:19
286:9,10 287:2	258:22	316:20 351:9 358:14	communication 154:2
290:14 308:13	come 31:9 34:13 64:11	361:1	communities 84:11
classes 214:13	84:4 90:16 91:6,8	commerce 301:7	154:9,22 155:1
classification 20:6 38:8	101:14 105:1 112:17	commercial 261:18	162:16 164:1,10
71:4 188:13 222:9	137:22 143:21 155:11	commercially 56:10	173:18,21 187:7
237:9	160:1 169:13 174:9	59:5 105:8 235:9	195:13 208:20 233:7
classifications 19:12	215:1 219:4,16	243:2	249:18 256:4 286:22
classified 20:11	255:13 309:13 330:8	commission 1:14,15,16	community 83:9 190:4
classifying 237:4	335:12	2:2 4:1 7:7 62:11	195:14 238:15 250:15
Clayton 2:6 219:22	comes 87:3,4 104:19	171:11 182:15 213:2	286:17,18
220:2,4 262:19	150:7 177:11 205:14	376:16 377:18	community-level 163:8
Clean 328:12,21 329:12	215:17 242:15,19	commissioner 77:8	companies 2:4 87:10
330:2,15	comfortable 115:10	78:6 155:7 156:7	87:12
clear 12:9 24:12 38:13	138:12 290:15 306:17	162:8 174:16 203:15	company 2:17 87:7
69:21 81:13 88:20	308:20 357:8,9	210:1 376:18,19	135:9 241:12 252:21
89:7 96:4 105:17	coming 48:16 61:6,7	commissioners 375:21	252:22 254:21 262:10
108:21 121:3 123:16			
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	76:22 81:13 89:18	376:8	282:19
126:17 146:3 165:14	76:22 81:13 89:18 96:18 167:7 215:12	376:8 commissions 208:17	
11			282:19
126:17 146:3 165:14	96:18 167:7 215:12	commissions 208:17	282:19 comparable 260:5
126:17 146:3 165:14 170:5,15 179:1,11	96:18 167:7 215:12 282:18 294:12 376:17	commissions 208:17 commitments 221:6	282:19 comparable 260:5 264:15 272:16 274:8
126:17 146:3 165:14 170:5,15 179:1,11 194:13 198:1 263:5	96:18 167:7 215:12 282:18 294:12 376:17 commas 315:19	commissions 208:17 commitments 221:6 committee 1:5,10 3:8	282:19 comparable 260:5 264:15 272:16 274:8 320:21 326:2
126:17 146:3 165:14 170:5,15 179:1,11 194:13 198:1 263:5 276:16 281:17 310:7 317:10 330:13 347:16	96:18 167:7 215:12 282:18 294:12 376:17 commas 315:19 comment 8:1 26:8	commissions 208:17 commitments 221:6 committee 1:5,10 3:8 3:17 5:18 6:11 7:9 12:11,19 13:2,5 14:2	282:19 comparable 260:5 264:15 272:16 274:8 320:21 326:2 compare 16:8 68:7 compared 23:18 62:10
126:17 146:3 165:14 170:5,15 179:1,11 194:13 198:1 263:5 276:16 281:17 310:7 317:10 330:13 347:16 367:12	96:18 167:7 215:12 282:18 294:12 376:17 commas 315:19 comment 8:1 26:8 47:14 80:6 105:15 115:1 121:22 160:17	commissions 208:17 commitments 221:6 committee 1:5,10 3:8 3:17 5:18 6:11 7:9 12:11,19 13:2,5 14:2 14:20 25:19 26:7,12	282:19 comparable 260:5 264:15 272:16 274:8 320:21 326:2 compare 16:8 68:7 compared 23:18 62:10 84:13 251:16 338:20
126:17 146:3 165:14 170:5,15 179:1,11 194:13 198:1 263:5 276:16 281:17 310:7 317:10 330:13 347:16 367:12 clearly 4:9 48:17	96:18 167:7 215:12 282:18 294:12 376:17 commas 315:19 comment 8:1 26:8 47:14 80:6 105:15 115:1 121:22 160:17 187:19 198:20 219:14	commissions 208:17 commitments 221:6 committee 1:5,10 3:8 3:17 5:18 6:11 7:9 12:11,19 13:2,5 14:2	282:19 comparable 260:5 264:15 272:16 274:8 320:21 326:2 compare 16:8 68:7 compared 23:18 62:10 84:13 251:16 338:20 342:9 344:15 350:8
126:17 146:3 165:14 170:5,15 179:1,11 194:13 198:1 263:5 276:16 281:17 310:7 317:10 330:13 347:16 367:12	96:18 167:7 215:12 282:18 294:12 376:17 commas 315:19 comment 8:1 26:8 47:14 80:6 105:15 115:1 121:22 160:17 187:19 198:20 219:14 222:15 227:4 231:12	commissions 208:17 commitments 221:6 committee 1:5,10 3:8 3:17 5:18 6:11 7:9 12:11,19 13:2,5 14:2 14:20 25:19 26:7,12 26:14 28:14 40:13 61:20 91:4 104:15	282:19 comparable 260:5 264:15 272:16 274:8 320:21 326:2 compare 16:8 68:7 compared 23:18 62:10 84:13 251:16 338:20 342:9 344:15 350:8 compares 21:18
126:17 146:3 165:14 170:5,15 179:1,11 194:13 198:1 263:5 276:16 281:17 310:7 317:10 330:13 347:16 367:12 clearly 4:9 48:17 125:15 146:4 350:22 CLEGG 2:7	96:18 167:7 215:12 282:18 294:12 376:17 commas 315:19 comment 8:1 26:8 47:14 80:6 105:15 115:1 121:22 160:17 187:19 198:20 219:14 222:15 227:4 231:12 238:10,18 239:4,4,10	commissions 208:17 commitments 221:6 committee 1:5,10 3:8 3:17 5:18 6:11 7:9 12:11,19 13:2,5 14:2 14:20 25:19 26:7,12 26:14 28:14 40:13 61:20 91:4 104:15 123:18 125:16 126:14	282:19 comparable 260:5 264:15 272:16 274:8 320:21 326:2 compare 16:8 68:7 compared 23:18 62:10 84:13 251:16 338:20 342:9 344:15 350:8 compares 21:18 comparing 160:10
126:17 146:3 165:14 170:5,15 179:1,11 194:13 198:1 263:5 276:16 281:17 310:7 317:10 330:13 347:16 367:12 clearly 4:9 48:17 125:15 146:4 350:22 CLEGG 2:7 climate 16:1 59:3 94:12	96:18 167:7 215:12 282:18 294:12 376:17 commas 315:19 comment 8:1 26:8 47:14 80:6 105:15 115:1 121:22 160:17 187:19 198:20 219:14 222:15 227:4 231:12 238:10,18 239:4,4,10 239:12,15 245:10	commissions 208:17 commitments 221:6 committee 1:5,10 3:8 3:17 5:18 6:11 7:9 12:11,19 13:2,5 14:2 14:20 25:19 26:7,12 26:14 28:14 40:13 61:20 91:4 104:15 123:18 125:16 126:14 127:10 130:6 138:22	282:19 comparable 260:5 264:15 272:16 274:8 320:21 326:2 compare 16:8 68:7 compared 23:18 62:10 84:13 251:16 338:20 342:9 344:15 350:8 compares 21:18 comparing 160:10 comparison 156:2
126:17 146:3 165:14 170:5,15 179:1,11 194:13 198:1 263:5 276:16 281:17 310:7 317:10 330:13 347:16 367:12 clearly 4:9 48:17 125:15 146:4 350:22 CLEGG 2:7 climate 16:1 59:3 94:12 94:17	96:18 167:7 215:12 282:18 294:12 376:17 commas 315:19 comment 8:1 26:8 47:14 80:6 105:15 115:1 121:22 160:17 187:19 198:20 219:14 222:15 227:4 231:12 238:10,18 239:4,4,10 239:12,15 245:10 247:19 251:17 260:19	commissions 208:17 commitments 221:6 committee 1:5,10 3:8 3:17 5:18 6:11 7:9 12:11,19 13:2,5 14:2 14:20 25:19 26:7,12 26:14 28:14 40:13 61:20 91:4 104:15 123:18 125:16 126:14 127:10 130:6 138:22 141:1 145:14 148:1	282:19 comparable 260:5 264:15 272:16 274:8 320:21 326:2 compare 16:8 68:7 compared 23:18 62:10 84:13 251:16 338:20 342:9 344:15 350:8 compares 21:18 comparing 160:10 comparison 156:2 compelling 121:22
126:17 146:3 165:14 170:5,15 179:1,11 194:13 198:1 263:5 276:16 281:17 310:7 317:10 330:13 347:16 367:12 clearly 4:9 48:17 125:15 146:4 350:22 CLEGG 2:7 climate 16:1 59:3 94:12 94:17 close 33:8 34:10 91:8	96:18 167:7 215:12 282:18 294:12 376:17 commas 315:19 comment 8:1 26:8 47:14 80:6 105:15 115:1 121:22 160:17 187:19 198:20 219:14 222:15 227:4 231:12 238:10,18 239:4,4,10 239:12,15 245:10 247:19 251:17 260:19 262:14,17 263:3,19	commissions 208:17 commitments 221:6 committee 1:5,10 3:8 3:17 5:18 6:11 7:9 12:11,19 13:2,5 14:2 14:20 25:19 26:7,12 26:14 28:14 40:13 61:20 91:4 104:15 123:18 125:16 126:14 127:10 130:6 138:22 141:1 145:14 148:1 148:17 149:21 159:8	282:19 comparable 260:5 264:15 272:16 274:8 320:21 326:2 compare 16:8 68:7 compared 23:18 62:10 84:13 251:16 338:20 342:9 344:15 350:8 compares 21:18 comparing 160:10 comparison 156:2 compelling 121:22 132:11
126:17 146:3 165:14 170:5,15 179:1,11 194:13 198:1 263:5 276:16 281:17 310:7 317:10 330:13 347:16 367:12 clearly 4:9 48:17 125:15 146:4 350:22 CLEGG 2:7 climate 16:1 59:3 94:12 94:17 close 33:8 34:10 91:8 101:13 129:9 208:19	96:18 167:7 215:12 282:18 294:12 376:17 commas 315:19 comment 8:1 26:8 47:14 80:6 105:15 115:1 121:22 160:17 187:19 198:20 219:14 222:15 227:4 231:12 238:10,18 239:4,4,10 239:12,15 245:10 247:19 251:17 260:19 262:14,17 263:3,19 277:13 278:21 287:9	commissions 208:17 commitments 221:6 committee 1:5,10 3:8 3:17 5:18 6:11 7:9 12:11,19 13:2,5 14:2 14:20 25:19 26:7,12 26:14 28:14 40:13 61:20 91:4 104:15 123:18 125:16 126:14 127:10 130:6 138:22 141:1 145:14 148:1 148:17 149:21 159:8 167:12 178:14 199:4	282:19 comparable 260:5 264:15 272:16 274:8 320:21 326:2 compare 16:8 68:7 compared 23:18 62:10 84:13 251:16 338:20 342:9 344:15 350:8 compares 21:18 comparing 160:10 comparison 156:2 compelling 121:22 132:11 compiled 156:21
126:17 146:3 165:14 170:5,15 179:1,11 194:13 198:1 263:5 276:16 281:17 310:7 317:10 330:13 347:16 367:12 clearly 4:9 48:17 125:15 146:4 350:22 CLEGG 2:7 climate 16:1 59:3 94:12 94:17 close 33:8 34:10 91:8 101:13 129:9 208:19 208:20 290:1 328:9	96:18 167:7 215:12 282:18 294:12 376:17 commas 315:19 comment 8:1 26:8 47:14 80:6 105:15 115:1 121:22 160:17 187:19 198:20 219:14 222:15 227:4 231:12 238:10,18 239:4,4,10 239:12,15 245:10 247:19 251:17 260:19 262:14,17 263:3,19 277:13 278:21 287:9 291:13,21 292:1,11	commissions 208:17 commitments 221:6 committee 1:5,10 3:8 3:17 5:18 6:11 7:9 12:11,19 13:2,5 14:2 14:20 25:19 26:7,12 26:14 28:14 40:13 61:20 91:4 104:15 123:18 125:16 126:14 127:10 130:6 138:22 141:1 145:14 148:1 148:17 149:21 159:8 167:12 178:14 199:4 199:20 201:9 205:7	282:19 comparable 260:5 264:15 272:16 274:8 320:21 326:2 compare 16:8 68:7 compared 23:18 62:10 84:13 251:16 338:20 342:9 344:15 350:8 compares 21:18 comparing 160:10 comparison 156:2 compelling 121:22 132:11 compiled 156:21 complete 13:3 14:9,11
126:17 146:3 165:14 170:5,15 179:1,11 194:13 198:1 263:5 276:16 281:17 310:7 317:10 330:13 347:16 367:12 clearly 4:9 48:17 125:15 146:4 350:22 CLEGG 2:7 climate 16:1 59:3 94:12 94:17 close 33:8 34:10 91:8 101:13 129:9 208:19 208:20 290:1 328:9 372:20 375:13	96:18 167:7 215:12 282:18 294:12 376:17 commas 315:19 comment 8:1 26:8 47:14 80:6 105:15 115:1 121:22 160:17 187:19 198:20 219:14 222:15 227:4 231:12 238:10,18 239:4,4,10 239:12,15 245:10 247:19 251:17 260:19 262:14,17 263:3,19 277:13 278:21 287:9 291:13,21 292:1,11 363:11	commissions 208:17 commitments 221:6 committee 1:5,10 3:8 3:17 5:18 6:11 7:9 12:11,19 13:2,5 14:2 14:20 25:19 26:7,12 26:14 28:14 40:13 61:20 91:4 104:15 123:18 125:16 126:14 127:10 130:6 138:22 141:1 145:14 148:1 148:17 149:21 159:8 167:12 178:14 199:4 199:20 201:9 205:7 207:2,18 208:4	282:19 comparable 260:5 264:15 272:16 274:8 320:21 326:2 compare 16:8 68:7 compared 23:18 62:10 84:13 251:16 338:20 342:9 344:15 350:8 compares 21:18 comparing 160:10 comparison 156:2 compelling 121:22 132:11 compiled 156:21 complete 13:3 14:9,11 14:19 23:6 143:22
126:17 146:3 165:14 170:5,15 179:1,11 194:13 198:1 263:5 276:16 281:17 310:7 317:10 330:13 347:16 367:12 clearly 4:9 48:17 125:15 146:4 350:22 CLEGG 2:7 climate 16:1 59:3 94:12 94:17 close 33:8 34:10 91:8 101:13 129:9 208:19 208:20 290:1 328:9 372:20 375:13 closeness 129:13	96:18 167:7 215:12 282:18 294:12 376:17 commas 315:19 comment 8:1 26:8 47:14 80:6 105:15 115:1 121:22 160:17 187:19 198:20 219:14 222:15 227:4 231:12 238:10,18 239:4,4,10 239:12,15 245:10 247:19 251:17 260:19 262:14,17 263:3,19 277:13 278:21 287:9 291:13,21 292:1,11 363:11 commented 230:20	commissions 208:17 commitments 221:6 committee 1:5,10 3:8 3:17 5:18 6:11 7:9 12:11,19 13:2,5 14:2 14:20 25:19 26:7,12 26:14 28:14 40:13 61:20 91:4 104:15 123:18 125:16 126:14 127:10 130:6 138:22 141:1 145:14 148:1 148:17 149:21 159:8 167:12 178:14 199:4 199:20 201:9 205:7 207:2,18 208:4 212:13 215:3,6	282:19 comparable 260:5 264:15 272:16 274:8 320:21 326:2 compare 16:8 68:7 compared 23:18 62:10 84:13 251:16 338:20 342:9 344:15 350:8 compares 21:18 comparing 160:10 comparison 156:2 compelling 121:22 132:11 compiled 156:21 complete 13:3 14:9,11 14:19 23:6 143:22 178:11 225:2 241:1
126:17 146:3 165:14 170:5,15 179:1,11 194:13 198:1 263:5 276:16 281:17 310:7 317:10 330:13 347:16 367:12 clearly 4:9 48:17 125:15 146:4 350:22 CLEGG 2:7 climate 16:1 59:3 94:12 94:17 close 33:8 34:10 91:8 101:13 129:9 208:19 208:20 290:1 328:9 372:20 375:13 closeness 129:13 CO2 257:21	96:18 167:7 215:12 282:18 294:12 376:17 commas 315:19 comment 8:1 26:8 47:14 80:6 105:15 115:1 121:22 160:17 187:19 198:20 219:14 222:15 227:4 231:12 238:10,18 239:4,4,10 239:12,15 245:10 247:19 251:17 260:19 262:14,17 263:3,19 277:13 278:21 287:9 291:13,21 292:1,11 363:11 commented 230:20 231:12 237:13,19	commissions 208:17 commitments 221:6 committee 1:5,10 3:8 3:17 5:18 6:11 7:9 12:11,19 13:2,5 14:2 14:20 25:19 26:7,12 26:14 28:14 40:13 61:20 91:4 104:15 123:18 125:16 126:14 127:10 130:6 138:22 141:1 145:14 148:1 148:17 149:21 159:8 167:12 178:14 199:4 199:20 201:9 205:7 207:2,18 208:4 212:13 215:3,6 216:14 219:1 225:21	282:19 comparable 260:5 264:15 272:16 274:8 320:21 326:2 compare 16:8 68:7 compared 23:18 62:10 84:13 251:16 338:20 342:9 344:15 350:8 compares 21:18 comparing 160:10 comparison 156:2 compelling 121:22 132:11 compiled 156:21 complete 13:3 14:9,11 14:19 23:6 143:22 178:11 225:2 241:1 336:9
126:17 146:3 165:14 170:5,15 179:1,11 194:13 198:1 263:5 276:16 281:17 310:7 317:10 330:13 347:16 367:12 clearly 4:9 48:17 125:15 146:4 350:22 CLEGG 2:7 climate 16:1 59:3 94:12 94:17 close 33:8 34:10 91:8 101:13 129:9 208:19 208:20 290:1 328:9 372:20 375:13 closeness 129:13 CO2 257:21 coast 33:19 241:19	96:18 167:7 215:12 282:18 294:12 376:17 commas 315:19 comment 8:1 26:8 47:14 80:6 105:15 115:1 121:22 160:17 187:19 198:20 219:14 222:15 227:4 231:12 238:10,18 239:4,4,10 239:12,15 245:10 247:19 251:17 260:19 262:14,17 263:3,19 277:13 278:21 287:9 291:13,21 292:1,11 363:11 commented 230:20 231:12 237:13,19 commenter 228:10	commissions 208:17 commitments 221:6 committee 1:5,10 3:8 3:17 5:18 6:11 7:9 12:11,19 13:2,5 14:2 14:20 25:19 26:7,12 26:14 28:14 40:13 61:20 91:4 104:15 123:18 125:16 126:14 127:10 130:6 138:22 141:1 145:14 148:1 148:17 149:21 159:8 167:12 178:14 199:4 199:20 201:9 205:7 207:2,18 208:4 212:13 215:3,6 216:14 219:1 225:21 226:3,4 227:1,14	282:19 comparable 260:5 264:15 272:16 274:8 320:21 326:2 compare 16:8 68:7 compared 23:18 62:10 84:13 251:16 338:20 342:9 344:15 350:8 compares 21:18 comparing 160:10 comparison 156:2 compelling 121:22 132:11 compiled 156:21 compiled 156:21 complete 13:3 14:9,11 14:19 23:6 143:22 178:11 225:2 241:1 336:9 completed 12:19 13:8
126:17 146:3 165:14 170:5,15 179:1,11 194:13 198:1 263:5 276:16 281:17 310:7 317:10 330:13 347:16 367:12 clearly 4:9 48:17 125:15 146:4 350:22 CLEGG 2:7 climate 16:1 59:3 94:12 94:17 close 33:8 34:10 91:8 101:13 129:9 208:19 208:20 290:1 328:9 372:20 375:13 closeness 129:13 CO2 257:21 coast 33:19 241:19 260:21 284:7	96:18 167:7 215:12 282:18 294:12 376:17 commas 315:19 comment 8:1 26:8 47:14 80:6 105:15 115:1 121:22 160:17 187:19 198:20 219:14 222:15 227:4 231:12 238:10,18 239:4,4,10 239:12,15 245:10 247:19 251:17 260:19 262:14,17 263:3,19 277:13 278:21 287:9 291:13,21 292:1,11 363:11 commented 230:20 231:12 237:13,19 commenter 228:10 230:18 235:2 238:12	commissions 208:17 commitments 221:6 committee 1:5,10 3:8 3:17 5:18 6:11 7:9 12:11,19 13:2,5 14:2 14:20 25:19 26:7,12 26:14 28:14 40:13 61:20 91:4 104:15 123:18 125:16 126:14 127:10 130:6 138:22 141:1 145:14 148:1 148:17 149:21 159:8 167:12 178:14 199:4 199:20 201:9 205:7 207:2,18 208:4 212:13 215:3,6 216:14 219:1 225:21 226:3,4 227:1,14 228:14 229:19,22	282:19 comparable 260:5 264:15 272:16 274:8 320:21 326:2 compare 16:8 68:7 compared 23:18 62:10 84:13 251:16 338:20 342:9 344:15 350:8 compares 21:18 comparing 160:10 comparison 156:2 compelling 121:22 132:11 compiled 156:21 complete 13:3 14:9,11 14:19 23:6 143:22 178:11 225:2 241:1 336:9 completed 12:19 13:8 13:10 18:9 311:15
126:17 146:3 165:14 170:5,15 179:1,11 194:13 198:1 263:5 276:16 281:17 310:7 317:10 330:13 347:16 367:12 clearly 4:9 48:17 125:15 146:4 350:22 CLEGG 2:7 climate 16:1 59:3 94:12 94:17 close 33:8 34:10 91:8 101:13 129:9 208:19 208:20 290:1 328:9 372:20 375:13 closeness 129:13 CO2 257:21 coast 33:19 241:19 260:21 284:7 code 32:19,22 117:13	96:18 167:7 215:12 282:18 294:12 376:17 commas 315:19 comment 8:1 26:8 47:14 80:6 105:15 115:1 121:22 160:17 187:19 198:20 219:14 222:15 227:4 231:12 238:10,18 239:4,4,10 239:12,15 245:10 247:19 251:17 260:19 262:14,17 263:3,19 277:13 278:21 287:9 291:13,21 292:1,11 363:11 commented 230:20 231:12 237:13,19 commenter 228:10 230:18 235:2 238:12 commenters 2:14	commissions 208:17 commitments 221:6 committee 1:5,10 3:8 3:17 5:18 6:11 7:9 12:11,19 13:2,5 14:2 14:20 25:19 26:7,12 26:14 28:14 40:13 61:20 91:4 104:15 123:18 125:16 126:14 127:10 130:6 138:22 141:1 145:14 148:1 148:17 149:21 159:8 167:12 178:14 199:4 199:20 201:9 205:7 207:2,18 208:4 212:13 215:3,6 216:14 219:1 225:21 226:3,4 227:1,14 228:14 229:19,22 232:11 233:21 234:14	282:19 comparable 260:5 264:15 272:16 274:8 320:21 326:2 compare 16:8 68:7 compared 23:18 62:10 84:13 251:16 338:20 342:9 344:15 350:8 compares 21:18 comparing 160:10 comparison 156:2 compelling 121:22 132:11 compiled 156:21 complete 13:3 14:9,11 14:19 23:6 143:22 178:11 225:2 241:1 336:9 completed 12:19 13:8 13:10 18:9 311:15 completely 48:10 133:5
126:17 146:3 165:14 170:5,15 179:1,11 194:13 198:1 263:5 276:16 281:17 310:7 317:10 330:13 347:16 367:12 clearly 4:9 48:17 125:15 146:4 350:22 CLEGG 2:7 climate 16:1 59:3 94:12 94:17 close 33:8 34:10 91:8 101:13 129:9 208:19 208:20 290:1 328:9 372:20 375:13 closeness 129:13 CO2 257:21 coast 33:19 241:19 260:21 284:7 code 32:19,22 117:13 125:7 179:13 184:19	96:18 167:7 215:12 282:18 294:12 376:17 commas 315:19 comment 8:1 26:8 47:14 80:6 105:15 115:1 121:22 160:17 187:19 198:20 219:14 222:15 227:4 231:12 238:10,18 239:4,4,10 239:12,15 245:10 247:19 251:17 260:19 262:14,17 263:3,19 277:13 278:21 287:9 291:13,21 292:1,11 363:11 commented 230:20 231:12 237:13,19 commenter 228:10 230:18 235:2 238:12 commenters 2:14 232:19 237:5 252:15	commissions 208:17 commitments 221:6 committee 1:5,10 3:8 3:17 5:18 6:11 7:9 12:11,19 13:2,5 14:2 14:20 25:19 26:7,12 26:14 28:14 40:13 61:20 91:4 104:15 123:18 125:16 126:14 127:10 130:6 138:22 141:1 145:14 148:1 148:17 149:21 159:8 167:12 178:14 199:4 199:20 201:9 205:7 207:2,18 208:4 212:13 215:3,6 216:14 219:1 225:21 226:3,4 227:1,14 228:14 229:19,22 232:11 233:21 234:14 239:3 240:11,16,21	282:19 comparable 260:5 264:15 272:16 274:8 320:21 326:2 compare 16:8 68:7 compared 23:18 62:10 84:13 251:16 338:20 342:9 344:15 350:8 compares 21:18 comparing 160:10 comparison 156:2 compelling 121:22 132:11 compiled 156:21 complete 13:3 14:9,11 14:19 23:6 143:22 178:11 225:2 241:1 336:9 completed 12:19 13:8 13:10 18:9 311:15 completely 48:10 133:5 159:20 230:19
126:17 146:3 165:14 170:5,15 179:1,11 194:13 198:1 263:5 276:16 281:17 310:7 317:10 330:13 347:16 367:12 clearly 4:9 48:17 125:15 146:4 350:22 CLEGG 2:7 climate 16:1 59:3 94:12 94:17 close 33:8 34:10 91:8 101:13 129:9 208:19 208:20 290:1 328:9 372:20 375:13 closeness 129:13 CO2 257:21 coast 33:19 241:19 260:21 284:7 code 32:19,22 117:13 125:7 179:13 184:19 184:22 185:1	96:18 167:7 215:12 282:18 294:12 376:17 commas 315:19 comment 8:1 26:8 47:14 80:6 105:15 115:1 121:22 160:17 187:19 198:20 219:14 222:15 227:4 231:12 238:10,18 239:4,4,10 239:12,15 245:10 247:19 251:17 260:19 262:14,17 263:3,19 277:13 278:21 287:9 291:13,21 292:1,11 363:11 commented 230:20 231:12 237:13,19 commenter 228:10 230:18 235:2 238:12 commenters 2:14 232:19 237:5 252:15 277:18 291:14 292:17	commissions 208:17 commitments 221:6 committee 1:5,10 3:8 3:17 5:18 6:11 7:9 12:11,19 13:2,5 14:2 14:20 25:19 26:7,12 26:14 28:14 40:13 61:20 91:4 104:15 123:18 125:16 126:14 127:10 130:6 138:22 141:1 145:14 148:1 148:17 149:21 159:8 167:12 178:14 199:4 199:20 201:9 205:7 207:2,18 208:4 212:13 215:3,6 216:14 219:1 225:21 226:3,4 227:1,14 228:14 229:19,22 232:11 233:21 234:14 239:3 240:11,16,21 260:18 261:11,12	282:19 comparable 260:5 264:15 272:16 274:8 320:21 326:2 compare 16:8 68:7 compared 23:18 62:10 84:13 251:16 338:20 342:9 344:15 350:8 compares 21:18 comparing 160:10 comparison 156:2 compelling 121:22 132:11 compiled 156:21 complete 13:3 14:9,11 14:19 23:6 143:22 178:11 225:2 241:1 336:9 completed 12:19 13:8 13:10 18:9 311:15 completely 48:10 133:5 159:20 230:19 completes 250:5
126:17 146:3 165:14 170:5,15 179:1,11 194:13 198:1 263:5 276:16 281:17 310:7 317:10 330:13 347:16 367:12 clearly 4:9 48:17 125:15 146:4 350:22 CLEGG 2:7 climate 16:1 59:3 94:12 94:17 close 33:8 34:10 91:8 101:13 129:9 208:19 208:20 290:1 328:9 372:20 375:13 closeness 129:13 CO2 257:21 coast 33:19 241:19 260:21 284:7 code 32:19,22 117:13 125:7 179:13 184:19 184:22 185:1 codified 24:18	96:18 167:7 215:12 282:18 294:12 376:17 commas 315:19 comment 8:1 26:8 47:14 80:6 105:15 115:1 121:22 160:17 187:19 198:20 219:14 222:15 227:4 231:12 238:10,18 239:4,4,10 239:12,15 245:10 247:19 251:17 260:19 262:14,17 263:3,19 277:13 278:21 287:9 291:13,21 292:1,11 363:11 commented 230:20 231:12 237:13,19 commenter 228:10 230:18 235:2 238:12 commenters 2:14 232:19 237:5 252:15 277:18 291:14 292:17 342:10	commissions 208:17 commitments 221:6 committee 1:5,10 3:8 3:17 5:18 6:11 7:9 12:11,19 13:2,5 14:2 14:20 25:19 26:7,12 26:14 28:14 40:13 61:20 91:4 104:15 123:18 125:16 126:14 127:10 130:6 138:22 141:1 145:14 148:1 148:17 149:21 159:8 167:12 178:14 199:4 199:20 201:9 205:7 207:2,18 208:4 212:13 215:3,6 216:14 219:1 225:21 226:3,4 227:1,14 228:14 229:19,22 232:11 233:21 234:14 239:3 240:11,16,21 260:18 261:11,12 262:19 263:16,20	282:19 comparable 260:5 264:15 272:16 274:8 320:21 326:2 compare 16:8 68:7 compared 23:18 62:10 84:13 251:16 338:20 342:9 344:15 350:8 compares 21:18 comparing 160:10 comparison 156:2 compelling 121:22 132:11 compiled 156:21 complete 13:3 14:9,11 14:19 23:6 143:22 178:11 225:2 241:1 336:9 completed 12:19 13:8 13:10 18:9 311:15 completely 48:10 133:5 159:20 230:19 completes 250:5 completing 82:12
126:17 146:3 165:14 170:5,15 179:1,11 194:13 198:1 263:5 276:16 281:17 310:7 317:10 330:13 347:16 367:12 clearly 4:9 48:17 125:15 146:4 350:22 CLEGG 2:7 climate 16:1 59:3 94:12 94:17 close 33:8 34:10 91:8 101:13 129:9 208:19 208:20 290:1 328:9 372:20 375:13 closeness 129:13 CO2 257:21 coast 33:19 241:19 260:21 284:7 code 32:19,22 117:13 125:7 179:13 184:19 184:22 185:1 codified 24:18 cognizant 73:8	96:18 167:7 215:12 282:18 294:12 376:17 commas 315:19 comment 8:1 26:8 47:14 80:6 105:15 115:1 121:22 160:17 187:19 198:20 219:14 222:15 227:4 231:12 238:10,18 239:4,4,10 239:12,15 245:10 247:19 251:17 260:19 262:14,17 263:3,19 277:13 278:21 287:9 291:13,21 292:1,11 363:11 commented 230:20 231:12 237:13,19 commenter 228:10 230:18 235:2 238:12 commenters 2:14 232:19 237:5 252:15 277:18 291:14 292:17 342:10 comments 5:10,14,16	commissions 208:17 commitments 221:6 committee 1:5,10 3:8 3:17 5:18 6:11 7:9 12:11,19 13:2,5 14:2 14:20 25:19 26:7,12 26:14 28:14 40:13 61:20 91:4 104:15 123:18 125:16 126:14 127:10 130:6 138:22 141:1 145:14 148:1 148:17 149:21 159:8 167:12 178:14 199:4 199:20 201:9 205:7 207:2,18 208:4 212:13 215:3,6 216:14 219:1 225:21 226:3,4 227:1,14 228:14 229:19,22 232:11 233:21 234:14 239:3 240:11,16,21 260:18 261:11,12 262:19 263:16,20 268:8 269:4 275:11	282:19 comparable 260:5 264:15 272:16 274:8 320:21 326:2 compare 16:8 68:7 compared 23:18 62:10 84:13 251:16 338:20 342:9 344:15 350:8 compares 21:18 comparing 160:10 comparison 156:2 compelling 121:22 132:11 compiled 156:21 complete 13:3 14:9,11 14:19 23:6 143:22 178:11 225:2 241:1 336:9 completed 12:19 13:8 13:10 18:9 311:15 completely 48:10 133:5 159:20 230:19 completes 250:5 completing 82:12 completion 13:4
126:17 146:3 165:14 170:5,15 179:1,11 194:13 198:1 263:5 276:16 281:17 310:7 317:10 330:13 347:16 367:12 clearly 4:9 48:17 125:15 146:4 350:22 CLEGG 2:7 climate 16:1 59:3 94:12 94:17 close 33:8 34:10 91:8 101:13 129:9 208:19 208:20 290:1 328:9 372:20 375:13 closeness 129:13 CO2 257:21 coast 33:19 241:19 260:21 284:7 code 32:19,22 117:13 125:7 179:13 184:19 184:22 185:1 codified 24:18	96:18 167:7 215:12 282:18 294:12 376:17 commas 315:19 comment 8:1 26:8 47:14 80:6 105:15 115:1 121:22 160:17 187:19 198:20 219:14 222:15 227:4 231:12 238:10,18 239:4,4,10 239:12,15 245:10 247:19 251:17 260:19 262:14,17 263:3,19 277:13 278:21 287:9 291:13,21 292:1,11 363:11 commented 230:20 231:12 237:13,19 commenter 228:10 230:18 235:2 238:12 commenters 2:14 232:19 237:5 252:15 277:18 291:14 292:17 342:10	commissions 208:17 commitments 221:6 committee 1:5,10 3:8 3:17 5:18 6:11 7:9 12:11,19 13:2,5 14:2 14:20 25:19 26:7,12 26:14 28:14 40:13 61:20 91:4 104:15 123:18 125:16 126:14 127:10 130:6 138:22 141:1 145:14 148:1 148:17 149:21 159:8 167:12 178:14 199:4 199:20 201:9 205:7 207:2,18 208:4 212:13 215:3,6 216:14 219:1 225:21 226:3,4 227:1,14 228:14 229:19,22 232:11 233:21 234:14 239:3 240:11,16,21 260:18 261:11,12 262:19 263:16,20	282:19 comparable 260:5 264:15 272:16 274:8 320:21 326:2 compare 16:8 68:7 compared 23:18 62:10 84:13 251:16 338:20 342:9 344:15 350:8 compares 21:18 comparing 160:10 comparison 156:2 compelling 121:22 132:11 compiled 156:21 complete 13:3 14:9,11 14:19 23:6 143:22 178:11 225:2 241:1 336:9 completed 12:19 13:8 13:10 18:9 311:15 completely 48:10 133:5 159:20 230:19 completes 250:5 completing 82:12

II			
41:17 67:1 83:4	168:15 169:5 192:20	259:5 263:19 264:22	357:10 365:15
135:19 156:20 180:11	193:6 233:18 255:2	265:4 284:4 289:3	continuation 17:14
240:12 276:4 292:17	286:18 304:6,11	296:20 297:2 301:15	continue 17:19 18:4
294:6,14 299:13,20	358:19	301:19 311:3 313:13	67:2 93:13 98:18
338:22 340:16 344:1	concluded 17:22	313:19,22 315:14	117:19 154:6 169:9
complexity 362:17	concludes 6:21 262:16	318:20 333:3 347:21	174:11 335:9 358:9
compliance 13:21	conclusion 260:3	348:3,5,13 350:4	378:17
14:19 23:3,8 28:17	conclusions 17:5	352:16 354:9 357:7	continued 82:15 225:3
61:7,22 83:6 88:1	concur 283:13	363:3 364:17 366:4	225:20 227:4 228:17
93:7,11 104:21 105:1	concurring 372:7	366:20 368:17 370:15	230:18
105:21 137:2,6,10,15	conditions 71:2 227:18	372:4	continuing 129:19
138:1 139:2 141:22	246:20	consideration 207:21	232:1,15 235:16
225:19 237:22 320:20	conducive 64:19	214:10 246:2,3	continuous 228:12
321:18 323:9 331:1	conduct 8:2 15:21	304:10 348:14 363:15	250:8 287:11 291:14
332:18	conducting 195:1	considerations 93:4	293:17,22 294:5,8,13
complicated 103:14	196:1 230:16	268:2 287:22 377:15	295:6 305:2 308:12
181:10	confidence 98:9 122:4	considered 134:11	311:17 314:4 333:6
complimentary 276:11	267:20	230:16,22 346:6	377:6
comply 61:2 227:17	confident 349:8 372:11	348:20 353:5 368:5	continuously 229:4
267:5 323:4	confidently 99:1	considering 106:17	246:19 378:5,18
component 80:13	confirm 137:21	298:4 304:5 336:4	contours 55:16
100:4 118:15 126:22	confirmation 211:12	338:1 339:15 341:20	contractors 189:11
components 16:1	conflict 319:18	352:3 353:13 358:9	contrary 223:8
122:16 226:16 229:13	conflicting 270:6	366:10	contribute 52:13
comprehensive 51:3	confuse 323:12	considers 289:12	control 211:16 225:7
compression 344:2,3,4	confused 325:4 326:9	332:22 359:18 360:5	247:1
compressor 226:20	356:8 360:17 363:13	366:17 370:5 373:11	controlled 243:8
244:12 259:22 263:11	confusion 232:5 275:17	consistency 302:22	343:20
263:21 264:13 265:11	Congress 24:22 25:5	consistent 118:1	conversation 61:22
	_	225:16 228:21 230:11	67:18 69:11,13 71:11
265:20 270:20 294:1	170:6,9 congressional 11:21	255:16 272:14 274:22	77:19 86:18 109:20
294:3,17 320:12	191:16 223:9		
322:17 325:9,20,22		298:4 299:3 311:20	111:1,7,12,14 113:18
356:13	congruent 79:14	314:1,9 333:4,11	115:5 116:21 128:4
compromise 128:1	conjunction 280:1	consortiums 195:22	129:7 130:17 134:10
132:2 281:14	connect 31:7,8,10	254:22	134:17 136:16 169:10
concentrated 37:2	connected 177:15	constantly 63:15	177:7 178:1 312:20
concept 32:11 33:10	194:16	178:14	313:2,3 317:9 350:5
36:18 43:21 46:3	consensus 61:12 109:6	constitute 280:12	356:11 357:3,12,13
107:19 108:8 115:6	126:15 129:13,17	constraining 310:4	conversations 121:2
274:9 304:7 317:14	138:10 197:9 201:3,7	constraints 77:10	185:19 356:10
319:6	203:11 205:8,11,21	78:21 98:14	conversing 6:14 144:7
concepts 282:5	206:2 208:8 209:15	construct 77:11 121:6	convert 166:4
conceptually 274:7	268:21 276:3 309:1	129:5	converting 166:12
concern 75:2 87:3	317:6 319:14 365:7	constructive 168:21	coordinate 302:9
	こう とり・4の つり	170:19	coordinates 171:16
97:15 130:15 154:17	372:19,20		
186:10 210:5 231:3	consequences 74:3,7	consultants 189:11	172:20
186:10 210:5 231:3 265:16 285:9 299:5	consequences 74:3,7 161:14 242:13	consultants 189:11 contact 147:16,17	coordination 10:2
186:10 210:5 231:3 265:16 285:9 299:5 309:7 310:22 330:21	consequences 74:3,7 161:14 242:13 consider 28:16 43:14	consultants 189:11 contact 147:16,17 196:11 209:1 236:5	coordination 10:2 270:11
186:10 210:5 231:3 265:16 285:9 299:5 309:7 310:22 330:21 359:5	consequences 74:3,7 161:14 242:13 consider 28:16 43:14 71:21 72:6 81:19 91:4	consultants 189:11 contact 147:16,17 196:11 209:1 236:5 containment 237:18	coordination 10:2 270:11 Coordinator 2:12
186:10 210:5 231:3 265:16 285:9 299:5 309:7 310:22 330:21 359:5 concerned 161:17	consequences 74:3,7 161:14 242:13 consider 28:16 43:14 71:21 72:6 81:19 91:4 93:16 109:1 119:9	consultants 189:11 contact 147:16,17 196:11 209:1 236:5 containment 237:18 contains 38:5 141:17	coordination 10:2 270:11 Coordinator 2:12 core 243:18
186:10 210:5 231:3 265:16 285:9 299:5 309:7 310:22 330:21 359:5 concerned 161:17 168:18 190:11 195:12	consequences 74:3,7 161:14 242:13 consider 28:16 43:14 71:21 72:6 81:19 91:4 93:16 109:1 119:9 125:18 126:2 131:15	consultants 189:11 contact 147:16,17 196:11 209:1 236:5 containment 237:18 contains 38:5 141:17 contention 125:11	coordination 10:2 270:11 Coordinator 2:12 core 243:18 Corporation 2:17 246:8
186:10 210:5 231:3 265:16 285:9 299:5 309:7 310:22 330:21 359:5 concerned 161:17 168:18 190:11 195:12 242:11 249:19 277:19	consequences 74:3,7 161:14 242:13 consider 28:16 43:14 71:21 72:6 81:19 91:4 93:16 109:1 119:9 125:18 126:2 131:15 159:8 169:7 191:20	consultants 189:11 contact 147:16,17 196:11 209:1 236:5 containment 237:18 contains 38:5 141:17 contention 125:11 145:2	coordination 10:2 270:11 Coordinator 2:12 core 243:18 Corporation 2:17 246:8 Corps 1:20 168:12
186:10 210:5 231:3 265:16 285:9 299:5 309:7 310:22 330:21 359:5 concerned 161:17 168:18 190:11 195:12 242:11 249:19 277:19 278:7 291:4 315:1	consequences 74:3,7 161:14 242:13 consider 28:16 43:14 71:21 72:6 81:19 91:4 93:16 109:1 119:9 125:18 126:2 131:15 159:8 169:7 191:20 198:6 199:14,19	consultants 189:11 contact 147:16,17 196:11 209:1 236:5 containment 237:18 contains 38:5 141:17 contention 125:11 145:2 context 17:2 29:16	coordination 10:2 270:11 Coordinator 2:12 core 243:18 Corporation 2:17 246:8 Corps 1:20 168:12 359:10 373:3
186:10 210:5 231:3 265:16 285:9 299:5 309:7 310:22 330:21 359:5 concerned 161:17 168:18 190:11 195:12 242:11 249:19 277:19 278:7 291:4 315:1 318:4	consequences 74:3,7 161:14 242:13 consider 28:16 43:14 71:21 72:6 81:19 91:4 93:16 109:1 119:9 125:18 126:2 131:15 159:8 169:7 191:20 198:6 199:14,19 202:10 214:22 215:3	consultants 189:11 contact 147:16,17 196:11 209:1 236:5 containment 237:18 contains 38:5 141:17 contention 125:11 145:2 context 17:2 29:16 41:11 58:10 122:20	coordination 10:2 270:11 Coordinator 2:12 core 243:18 Corporation 2:17 246:8 Corps 1:20 168:12 359:10 373:3 correct 28:3 44:21
186:10 210:5 231:3 265:16 285:9 299:5 309:7 310:22 330:21 359:5 concerned 161:17 168:18 190:11 195:12 242:11 249:19 277:19 278:7 291:4 315:1 318:4 concerning 273:9	consequences 74:3,7 161:14 242:13 consider 28:16 43:14 71:21 72:6 81:19 91:4 93:16 109:1 119:9 125:18 126:2 131:15 159:8 169:7 191:20 198:6 199:14,19 202:10 214:22 215:3 216:13 224:14,20	consultants 189:11 contact 147:16,17 196:11 209:1 236:5 containment 237:18 contains 38:5 141:17 contention 125:11 145:2 context 17:2 29:16 41:11 58:10 122:20 194:1 246:9 248:9,15	coordination 10:2 270:11 Coordinator 2:12 core 243:18 Corporation 2:17 246:8 Corps 1:20 168:12 359:10 373:3 correct 28:3 44:21 45:10,17 52:19
186:10 210:5 231:3 265:16 285:9 299:5 309:7 310:22 330:21 359:5 concerned 161:17 168:18 190:11 195:12 242:11 249:19 277:19 278:7 291:4 315:1 318:4 concerning 273:9 concerns 73:5,7,10,12	consequences 74:3,7 161:14 242:13 consider 28:16 43:14 71:21 72:6 81:19 91:4 93:16 109:1 119:9 125:18 126:2 131:15 159:8 169:7 191:20 198:6 199:14,19 202:10 214:22 215:3 216:13 224:14,20 228:11 229:11 240:14	consultants 189:11 contact 147:16,17 196:11 209:1 236:5 containment 237:18 contains 38:5 141:17 contention 125:11 145:2 context 17:2 29:16 41:11 58:10 122:20 194:1 246:9 248:9,15 271:21 292:10 302:10	coordination 10:2 270:11 Coordinator 2:12 core 243:18 Corporation 2:17 246:8 Corps 1:20 168:12 359:10 373:3 correct 28:3 44:21 45:10,17 52:19 144:20 239:11 264:19
186:10 210:5 231:3 265:16 285:9 299:5 309:7 310:22 330:21 359:5 concerned 161:17 168:18 190:11 195:12 242:11 249:19 277:19 278:7 291:4 315:1 318:4 concerning 273:9	consequences 74:3,7 161:14 242:13 consider 28:16 43:14 71:21 72:6 81:19 91:4 93:16 109:1 119:9 125:18 126:2 131:15 159:8 169:7 191:20 198:6 199:14,19 202:10 214:22 215:3 216:13 224:14,20	consultants 189:11 contact 147:16,17 196:11 209:1 236:5 containment 237:18 contains 38:5 141:17 contention 125:11 145:2 context 17:2 29:16 41:11 58:10 122:20 194:1 246:9 248:9,15	coordination 10:2 270:11 Coordinator 2:12 core 243:18 Corporation 2:17 246:8 Corps 1:20 168:12 359:10 373:3 correct 28:3 44:21 45:10,17 52:19
186:10 210:5 231:3 265:16 285:9 299:5 309:7 310:22 330:21 359:5 concerned 161:17 168:18 190:11 195:12 242:11 249:19 277:19 278:7 291:4 315:1 318:4 concerning 273:9 concerns 73:5,7,10,12	consequences 74:3,7 161:14 242:13 consider 28:16 43:14 71:21 72:6 81:19 91:4 93:16 109:1 119:9 125:18 126:2 131:15 159:8 169:7 191:20 198:6 199:14,19 202:10 214:22 215:3 216:13 224:14,20 228:11 229:11 240:14	consultants 189:11 contact 147:16,17 196:11 209:1 236:5 containment 237:18 contains 38:5 141:17 contention 125:11 145:2 context 17:2 29:16 41:11 58:10 122:20 194:1 246:9 248:9,15 271:21 292:10 302:10	coordination 10:2 270:11 Coordinator 2:12 core 243:18 Corporation 2:17 246:8 Corps 1:20 168:12 359:10 373:3 correct 28:3 44:21 45:10,17 52:19 144:20 239:11 264:19
186:10 210:5 231:3 265:16 285:9 299:5 309:7 310:22 330:21 359:5 concerned 161:17 168:18 190:11 195:12 242:11 249:19 277:19 278:7 291:4 315:1 318:4 concerning 273:9 concerns 73:5,7,10,12 74:9 97:22 98:1 113:4	consequences 74:3,7 161:14 242:13 consider 28:16 43:14 71:21 72:6 81:19 91:4 93:16 109:1 119:9 125:18 126:2 131:15 159:8 169:7 191:20 198:6 199:14,19 202:10 214:22 215:3 216:13 224:14,20 228:11 229:11 240:14 240:16 241:3 245:21	consultants 189:11 contact 147:16,17 196:11 209:1 236:5 containment 237:18 contains 38:5 141:17 contention 125:11 145:2 context 17:2 29:16 41:11 58:10 122:20 194:1 246:9 248:9,15 271:21 292:10 302:10 317:9 342:20 353:1	coordination 10:2 270:11 Coordinator 2:12 core 243:18 Corporation 2:17 246:8 Corps 1:20 168:12 359:10 373:3 correct 28:3 44:21 45:10,17 52:19 144:20 239:11 264:19 320:5 337:3 351:3

86:20 116:16
correlates 62:12
corridor 4:12,15
Corrosion 211:16
corrosive 221:19
cost 15:18 16:3,7,11,12 16:17 17:8 43:12
67:19 68:13 69:8,22
70:9 71:4 84:1,10
190:19 202:17 230:12
247:14,17
cost- 70:14 94:7 107:7 174:7 247:5 313:11
359:16 373:9
cost-benefit 69:22
178:13 203:7
cost-effective 72:2,8
141:8 217:2 332:17 cost-effectiveness
80:7,13 106:14
cost-efficient 99:3,6
261:22
Costco 92:14,15
costly 261:20 costs 67:20 69:12
72:20 74:1 84:15,18
84:20 109:3 231:4
237:21
cottage 133:19
1 1 14 0 4 4 4 0
couldn't 311:12
council 169:6
council 169:6 counsel 2:7,10 364:3 country 80:9 82:6 147:9 172:8 253:1,4 256:2
council 169:6 counsel 2:7,10 364:3 country 80:9 82:6 147:9 172:8 253:1,4 256:2 273:6
council 169:6 counsel 2:7,10 364:3 country 80:9 82:6 147:9 172:8 253:1,4 256:2 273:6 county 158:19 171:16
council 169:6 counsel 2:7,10 364:3 country 80:9 82:6 147:9 172:8 253:1,4 256:2 273:6 county 158:19 171:16 187:2
council 169:6 counsel 2:7,10 364:3 country 80:9 82:6 147:9 172:8 253:1,4 256:2 273:6 county 158:19 171:16 187:2 couple 15:8 50:15
council 169:6 counsel 2:7,10 364:3 country 80:9 82:6 147:9 172:8 253:1,4 256:2 273:6 county 158:19 171:16 187:2 couple 15:8 50:15 58:17 208:14 209:4 211:11 244:9 292:9
council 169:6 counsel 2:7,10 364:3 country 80:9 82:6 147:9 172:8 253:1,4 256:2 273:6 county 158:19 171:16 187:2 couple 15:8 50:15 58:17 208:14 209:4 211:11 244:9 292:9 292:15
council 169:6 counsel 2:7,10 364:3 country 80:9 82:6 147:9 172:8 253:1,4 256:2 273:6 county 158:19 171:16 187:2 couple 15:8 50:15 58:17 208:14 209:4 211:11 244:9 292:9 292:15 course 12:16 14:1
council 169:6 counsel 2:7,10 364:3 country 80:9 82:6 147:9 172:8 253:1,4 256:2 273:6 county 158:19 171:16 187:2 couple 15:8 50:15 58:17 208:14 209:4 211:11 244:9 292:9 292:15 course 12:16 14:1 148:1 172:21 178:11
council 169:6 counsel 2:7,10 364:3 country 80:9 82:6 147:9 172:8 253:1,4 256:2 273:6 county 158:19 171:16 187:2 couple 15:8 50:15 58:17 208:14 209:4 211:11 244:9 292:9 292:15 course 12:16 14:1 148:1 172:21 178:11 261:22
council 169:6 counsel 2:7,10 364:3 country 80:9 82:6 147:9 172:8 253:1,4 256:2 273:6 county 158:19 171:16 187:2 couple 15:8 50:15 58:17 208:14 209:4 211:11 244:9 292:9 292:15 course 12:16 14:1 148:1 172:21 178:11 261:22 cover 15:10 52:6 57:2 65:2,20 97:10 120:15
council 169:6 counsel 2:7,10 364:3 country 80:9 82:6 147:9 172:8 253:1,4 256:2 273:6 county 158:19 171:16 187:2 couple 15:8 50:15 58:17 208:14 209:4 211:11 244:9 292:9 292:15 course 12:16 14:1 148:1 172:21 178:11 261:22 cover 15:10 52:6 57:2 65:2,20 97:10 120:15 130:21 220:8,9 276:7
council 169:6 counsel 2:7,10 364:3 country 80:9 82:6 147:9 172:8 253:1,4 256:2 273:6 county 158:19 171:16 187:2 couple 15:8 50:15 58:17 208:14 209:4 211:11 244:9 292:9 292:15 course 12:16 14:1 148:1 172:21 178:11 261:22 cover 15:10 52:6 57:2 65:2,20 97:10 120:15 130:21 220:8,9 276:7 337:9
council 169:6 counsel 2:7,10 364:3 country 80:9 82:6 147:9 172:8 253:1,4 256:2 273:6 county 158:19 171:16 187:2 couple 15:8 50:15 58:17 208:14 209:4 211:11 244:9 292:9 292:15 course 12:16 14:1 148:1 172:21 178:11 261:22 cover 15:10 52:6 57:2 65:2,20 97:10 120:15 130:21 220:8,9 276:7 337:9 coverage 160:19 274:8
council 169:6 counsel 2:7,10 364:3 country 80:9 82:6 147:9 172:8 253:1,4 256:2 273:6 county 158:19 171:16 187:2 couple 15:8 50:15 58:17 208:14 209:4 211:11 244:9 292:9 292:15 course 12:16 14:1 148:1 172:21 178:11 261:22 cover 15:10 52:6 57:2 65:2,20 97:10 120:15 130:21 220:8,9 276:7 337:9
council 169:6 counsel 2:7,10 364:3 country 80:9 82:6 147:9 172:8 253:1,4 256:2 273:6 county 158:19 171:16 187:2 couple 15:8 50:15 58:17 208:14 209:4 211:11 244:9 292:9 292:15 course 12:16 14:1 148:1 172:21 178:11 261:22 cover 15:10 52:6 57:2 65:2,20 97:10 120:15 130:21 220:8,9 276:7 337:9 coverage 160:19 274:8 covered 52:17,21 57:14 100:3 106:8,21 108:22 133:1 138:18
council 169:6 counsel 2:7,10 364:3 country 80:9 82:6 147:9 172:8 253:1,4 256:2 273:6 county 158:19 171:16 187:2 couple 15:8 50:15 58:17 208:14 209:4 211:11 244:9 292:9 292:15 course 12:16 14:1 148:1 172:21 178:11 261:22 cover 15:10 52:6 57:2 65:2,20 97:10 120:15 130:21 220:8,9 276:7 337:9 coverage 160:19 274:8 covered 52:17,21 57:14 100:3 106:8,21 108:22 133:1 138:18 211:10 226:8 264:12
council 169:6 counsel 2:7,10 364:3 country 80:9 82:6 147:9 172:8 253:1,4 256:2 273:6 county 158:19 171:16 187:2 couple 15:8 50:15 58:17 208:14 209:4 211:11 244:9 292:9 292:15 course 12:16 14:1 148:1 172:21 178:11 261:22 cover 15:10 52:6 57:2 65:2,20 97:10 120:15 130:21 220:8,9 276:7 337:9 coverage 160:19 274:8 covered 52:17,21 57:14 100:3 106:8,21 108:22 133:1 138:18 211:10 226:8 264:12 265:2,12 266:1,6
council 169:6 counsel 2:7,10 364:3 country 80:9 82:6 147:9 172:8 253:1,4 256:2 273:6 county 158:19 171:16 187:2 couple 15:8 50:15 58:17 208:14 209:4 211:11 244:9 292:9 292:15 course 12:16 14:1 148:1 172:21 178:11 261:22 cover 15:10 52:6 57:2 65:2,20 97:10 120:15 130:21 220:8,9 276:7 337:9 coverage 160:19 274:8 covered 52:17,21 57:14 100:3 106:8,21 108:22 133:1 138:18 211:10 226:8 264:12 265:2,12 266:1,6 269:11 272:4,5,20,21
council 169:6 counsel 2:7,10 364:3 country 80:9 82:6 147:9 172:8 253:1,4 256:2 273:6 county 158:19 171:16 187:2 couple 15:8 50:15 58:17 208:14 209:4 211:11 244:9 292:9 292:15 course 12:16 14:1 148:1 172:21 178:11 261:22 cover 15:10 52:6 57:2 65:2,20 97:10 120:15 130:21 220:8,9 276:7 337:9 coverage 160:19 274:8 covered 52:17,21 57:14 100:3 106:8,21 108:22 133:1 138:18 211:10 226:8 264:12 265:2,12 266:1,6

covers 86:15 116:2 212:3,5 crack 79:22 **cracks** 79:18 create 47:20 53:21 82:21 83:2 130:18 176:3 185:10 203:2 203:19 249:20 251:14 255:11 275:17 286:13 319:22 328:22 344:21 created 83:14 89:1 189:3 203:18 251:3 creates 112:14 creating 88:21 203:16 credits 250:18 **crisis** 377:1,5 crisscross 31:4 36:14 117:10 criteria 103:4 222:8 237:14 238:4 296:17 312:1,8 368:20 critical 11:22 59:1 153:20 163:22 257:7 376:5,7 377:7 critique 160:15 **crossed** 323:9 crosses 162:20 crossing 30:5 crumbling 190:18 Cruz 223:6 **crystal** 170:5,15 Cs 50:12 cubic 12:2 224:7 current 72:1,9 93:18 97:1 101:17 112:14 128:20 129:2,5 191:21 220:9 221:16 221:21 236:1 250:21 250:22 274:4 currently 19:3 22:19 97:17 117:12 240:8 243:7 244:13 245:12 245:16 247:21 248:1 248:10 254:20 274:5 321:16 curve 113:2 167:7 customer 304:10 customers 246:11 253:3 304:5 cut 5:15 367:3 D **D.C** 3:9 damage 84:12 179:22 184:21

damaged 257:2

dangerous 234:11

Danner 1:11,13 3:21

7:1,1,2,5 8:13,14 9:19 10:16 11:3 17:10 26:16 28:5 37:17 38:12,16 39:15 41:22 42:17 44:5,17 45:11 46:14 50:9 52:15 53:4 54:18 55:12 62:3,19 64:9 66:8 67:15 69:18 71:10 77:3 78:6 80:3 81:7 88:12 90:21 91:16 92:1,17 94:19 97:19 98:3 99:14 100:22 101:4 102:11 103:12 104:6 105:22 107:12,14 108:15 109:11,14 111:2,16 112:5 113:5,21 114:2 114:9,14,20 115:19 115:22 116:4,17 117:16 119:10 120:19 122:10 123:21 124:17 124:20 126:9 127:2 127:19 128:12 129:6 129:11 130:9 131:15 131:19,22 133:8 134:14,22 136:12,15 136:18 137:11,19 138:5,13 139:6,9,20 140:2,4,8,10,19 142:2 142:14,15 143:19 144:16 145:13 146:21 150:5 151:11 153:13 155:6 156:3 157:11 158:13 159:13 161:2 162:3 163:18 165:8 168:9 169:12 170:16 172:10 173:5 174:13 176:10 178:20 181:2 182:6 185:14 186:13 189:18 191:2.11 192:5 194:7 197:6 198:14 199:10,21 201:11 203:5 204:20 206:12 207:12 208:2 209:11 210:3,8 211:2 212:18 213:12 214:15 216:4 217:3,15,16 218:20 219:9 239:9 239:13 241:7 244:7 245:6 246:5 249:6 251:18 252:19 255:18 257:14 260:15 262:15 264:1 265:6,14 266:7 267:8,14 268:1,9 269:2 270:7 271:3,17 272:19 273:12 274:10 274:21 276:17 277:9 278:20 279:16 281:2

282:13 283:10.20 285:12 287:4,15 288:7 289:5,8,10,20 290:8,17,20 291:7 292:3 294:19 295:2 295:17,20 296:7,22 297:5 298:8,12,21 299:22 300:4,8 301:9 301:20 302:14,18 303:14,20 304:14,18 305:13 306:7,19 308:1,6 309:6 310:7 310:12,16 312:2,17 312:21 314:12,17,19 315:11,18,22 316:4 316:15 317:21 319:2 320:1,6 321:3,9,14 322:2,12 323:5,15,17 324:10,14,16,20 325:6 326:4,7 327:15 328:3 329:7,20 330:16 331:17,19 332:4 333:13 334:2,3 335:7,13 336:13,18 336:20 337:11,16,18 339:2.21 341:16 343:9 345:10 346:10 347:18 348:9,16,19 349:22 350:19 351:3 351:12,16,22 352:19 353:8 354:1,6 355:5 356:5 357:5,17,20 358:1,13 359:3,6,20 360:11,21 361:8 362:19 364:4,7,20 365:4 366:15 367:9 367:21 368:2,10 370:7 371:1 372:5,17 373:16 374:5,6 375:10 380:1 **Daron** 2:18 260:16 dash 239:6,7 data 25:12 39:3,5,13 46:10,17 51:5 52:21 62:11 63:1 78:9 84:2 85:3,20 93:18 96:4,8 96:10 122:2,7 134:8 152:2,8 153:7,17,19 154:15 156:20 157:2 160:16 162:10 165:17 166:5 171:12 172:4 173:15,16 177:14 180:3 181:19 188:17 188:18 189:12 193:5 196:3 201:19,21 202:16 206:22 214:3 233:12 293:19,21 294:13 298:6

320:3

database 147:7 149:4 159:6 183:19 196:8 196:21 databases 160:11 date 61:4 137:2,8,16,18 138:7,15 139:2 141:22 dates 137:15 **Dave** 7:5 9:22 10:15 David 1:11,13 3:21 8:13 142:14 217:15 334:2 374:5 day 11:8 61:6 74:16 94:13 125:17 200:7 205:14 208:10 252:3 259:3 280:10 281:12 309:22 375:16 380:2 day-to-day 188:19 days 257:4 308:15 **de** 280:13 deadline 23:3,8 61:22 104:21 137:6 **deadlines** 13:21 14:19 deal 41:15 181:1 326:15 dealing 255:5 **dearly** 379:9 debate 40:10 148:16.18 165:12 168:21 170:20 345:6 **debates** 340:11 decades 53:22 79:15 166:4 **December** 12:18 28:10 **decide** 76:11 decided 175:4 177:10 377:16 deciding 318:8 decision 192:10 193:19 219:15 decisive 163:6 deck 17:16 292:7 decorum 6:9 dedicated 248:16 250:12,20 deeper 247:16 default 235:22 **Defense** 1:20 147:4 194:11 264:4 defer 99:6 207:10 235:14 302:17 deferring 13:17 define 122:3 125:14 285:13,20 354:5 defined 82:21 87:20 220:14 223:21 225:7 353:4 defines 103:1 definitely 91:13

definition 32:17 41:7 definitions 32:10 **degrees** 96:1 121:9 365:20 dehydration 54:6 dekatherms 252:2 **Delaware** 35:1 87:8 delay 6:13 231:21 delayed 71:21 72:6 106:11 deleted 139:8 deliberating 133:10 deliver 349:15 delivering 279:12 demonstrate 56:16 60:16 205:21 206:1 224:10 225:19 272:10 307:19 demonstrated 56:11 258:13 344:14 demonstration 271:9 271:14 denominator 198:12 **department** 1:1 184:12 195:21 **depending** 52:6 74:10 110:20 306:1 depends 81:3 deploy 48:7 79:21 95:17 99:8 176:9 342:3 deployed 47:10 56:8 66:21 deploying 49:5 186:5 deployment 43:9 depth 51:5 deputy 2:7 4:2 335:10 379:2 **Deria** 10:7 described 22:21 24:1 116:19 304:9 description 106:22 108:22 design 224:18 237:17 352:8 designated 3:17 designation 54:16 designed 53:20 122:2 179:6,7 244:3 258:15 344:16 349:18 362:10 369:6,7,11,13,22 desire 92:12 163:8 275:16 desk 10:9 **detail** 16:14 detailed 188:12 201:5

343:5

details 151:1

detect 56:12 156:13 229:9 235:10 **detected** 63:13 70:13 236:4,9 262:13 detecting 12:6 229:5 242.8 detection 10:20 12:6,22 13:13 32:13 37:19 51:15,18 58:22 68:20 72:1,3 95:10 116:9 146:11 156:14 166:22 167:20 173:2 176:16 185:5 192:17 207:7 227:18 228:19 229:1 229:15,20 233:2,17 234:21 235:6,10,18 236:1,11,16 240:4,15 240:17 242:15,19 243:4,11,21 247:7 249:15 250:22 251:8 252:16 258:4 259:11 261:14 292:8 308:15 314:9 333:10 detectors 243:3,7 246:18.22 determination 61:13 163:6 186:3.7 270:22 318:15 326:19 329:2 determinations 165:6 **determine** 106:13 107:6 164:15 275:4 324:20 331:11 358:18 determined 62:14 83:11 determines 71:22 326:13 determining 83:6 125:18 266:17 368:6 develop 12:5 201:4 229:15 328:15 352:17 355:20 368:20 developed 40:5 72:2 150:10 186:20 232:12 235:7 243:12 350:1 356:12 developers 208:17 developing 117:8 189:1 341:5 355:16 development 23:10 46:22 48:2 **device** 293:1 **devices** 54:2 166:8 235:10 261:14 Dewar 9:1 dialogue 17:20 18:5 198:3,13 363:15 364:2 diameter 20:8 31:8,10

33:1,7 34:7,10 35:16 36:7,12 38:4 45:22 46:4 53:12 54:15 62:11 70:19 80:15,17 80:22 81:3 101:13 104:3,20 110:4 111:9 113:13 115:13 116:13 118:12 141:15,16 147:19 162:15 171:21 209:22 211:9,14 252:6 diameters 105:3 211:19 **Diane** 1:15 8:9 72:12 114:2 142:10 161:3 170:17 173:11 189:19 191:15 198:21 200:19 205:18 206:14,15,16 215:7 217:11 276:17 292:4 295:3 302:16 304:18 323:6 326:5 330:17 332:3 333:20 348:9 350:12 364:20 368:11 370:7 374:1 375:14,18 379:1 dictating 299:8 327:6 didn't 44:18 66:14 77:15.22 92:11.13 114:3 117:4 181:15 191:20 198:18 207:20 216:8 256:11 312:7 312:10 347:21 348:5 differ 367:2 difference 16:12 30:15 99:11 346:11 350:14 376:20 377:4,10 378:9.16 differences 242:14 346:14 351:8 352:11 different 27:3 30:21 31:17 33:13,13 37:14 50:4,5,6 54:13 59:15 65:3 66:5 76:19 78:20 89:5 96:1,2 104:22 110:2,3 116:12 117:7 121:16,17 122:16 124:11 126:20 132:8 135:11 148:12 149:1 168:1,2 176:5,14 185:22 201:17 203:22 206:4 207:8 214:13 214:20 215:16,20 216:3 242:18 251:14 251:15 255:15 267:19 268:19 273:5 277:14 278:15 279:13 280:19 280:22 281:11,12 285:16 287:20 296:15 299:11 307:15 315:7

245.0 240.4 0 40
315:8 319:1,9,19
338:22 340:16 354:22
356:10 358:21 363:7
363:20
differentiate 112:9
204:12 252:10
differentiating 49:14
97:4 284:11
differentiation 50:4
112:9 121:15 284:1
differently 73:5 75:19
291:12 368:13
difficult 209:2 226:15
245:21 365:16
difficulty 172:13 266:17
dig 144:18 378:11
digitizing 214:2
dilutes 331:14
diluting 327:10
direct 107:11 173:6,7,8
209:12 210:2 236:5
275:7 322:11 328:5
directed 192:18
directing 113:6
directing 113.3 direction 95:7 127:22
213:3 214:8 281:6
317:19 357:12
directly 31:14 50:16
58:2 109:17 161:16
179:18 194:16
director 2:7,13 11:6 241:10 246:7
directors 375:19
disadvantaged 286:17
disagree 165:11 273:13
291:1
disagreed 291:22
disagreement 123:10
330:20 348:17 360:15
disagrees 234:20
disclosure 154:13
disconnect 96:21
201:18
discount 15:22 16:6,10
16:18 277:1
discounts 331:14
discourse 168:21
discover 192:15
discovered 249:11
discovery 228:14
249:12
discrepancy 160:5
discretion 61:18 107:6
300:20
discuss 13:18 38:9
45:14 91:6 100:16
110:5 123:3 144:9,14
268:11,12,18 297:18
200.11,12,10 207.10

discussed 13:16 26:12 27:18 62:7 124:14 198:17 223:2 224:16 225:22 265:17 269:17 342:1 discussing 66:11 148:6 151:18 308:17 317:5 370:10 discussion 5:11 17:21 26:7,15,17 28:7,22 40:19 43:14 50:8 60:12 77:11 80:19 81:12 86:20 91:2,7 92:5 93:8 95:6 111:5 112:2 137:1,6 144:11 145:3 146:15 147:22 161:5 174:21 175:11 176:7 192:20 199:4 201:2 219:11,20 220:6 226:4 227:1,14 228:15 233:22 253:16 262:3 265:19 267:20 268:5 269:1,8 271:22 277:2 292:6 299:10 336:21 338:4 341:10 342:21 345:15.17 346:7 349:10 350:2 360:10 364:2 366:19 discussions 125:15 245:13 267:18 340:11 dispersed 252:6 display 81:11 disproportionately 51:10 disregards 242:13 disrupt 6:13 disrupting 301:7 disruptions 5:8 disrupts 6:19 90:19 dissipates 234:9 distances 30:6 120:15 236:6 **distinct** 214:13 **distinction** 27:4 123:12 182:20 286:12,13 287:2 289:1 distinctions 39:21 242:18 distinguish 50:22 distractions 6:16 distribution 12:13 43:11 80:20 95:10 110:8,14 118:21 119:18 146:6,7 158:6 165:16 170:7 171:17

179:7 191:19 195:10

236:20 238:6 246:12

248:7 251:7 252:6

258:15 277:21 280:16 280:18 282:2,11,19 283:1,7,16 285:3,8 districts 119:1 diverting 346:1 division 10:4 doable 66:3 99:1 134:1 302:13 docket 5:18.22 7:14 57:8 60:5 159:11 160:10 239:5 document 272:12 documentation 270:21 **DOE** 152:21 153:1 doesn't 15:1 39:9 47:10 48:20 72:9 73:14 105:5 130:18 155:3 163:4 164:22 169:4 179:14 190:18 199:7 201:22 203:1 213:20 254:16 276:7,22 326:15 329:15 347:6 356:15 365:2 dog 92:16 doing 69:4 74:8 80:11 86:3 90:17 96:22 97:9 99:19 106:3 130:15 133:12,17 135:11 136:9 150:17 162:22 171:10 173:17 177:21 178:14 193:14 194:6 195:14 202:14 216:1 287:11 354:21 356:3 368:9 371:7 372:3 378:3.15 **dollars** 153:3 don't 23:6 40:4 41:15 42:14 45:19 46:7 49:20 50:22 51:4,21 52:13,15 59:14 60:2 63:18 67:2 69:21 72:20 73:8 74:19 77:1 86:2,4 90:6,9 94:14 96:4 97:13 98:6 99:10 108:5 118:2 120:17 122:1,6 125:13 127:9 130:13 131:2 132:10 134:3,5 146:6,16 151:6 152:20 153:9 155:9,17,19 156:1,9 157:16 161:6,19 164:13 165:5,12,13 167:8,12,19 169:10 169:17 170:19 175:2 175:3,19 176:5 177:4 179:5,9 182:17 187:7 187:20 190:8,13 191:22 193:12 194:5

198:5.16 199:17 200:2,5,12 201:20 202:20 203:9 205:11 208:8 210:21 215:14 215:19 216:2 244:15 245:2 252:22 254:20 263:8 264:18 268:2 268:11 269:2 274:3 274:18 275:9 279:13 280:15 281:7,8 282:2 283:17 285:19 287:9 288:16 289:21 290:15 291:1,10,19 294:9 295:21 296:4,4 297:21,22 299:10,17 300:6 301:17 303:15 304:22 307:2 309:19 315:2 317:15 318:5 318:16 320:4 322:7,8 322:9,10 324:5,13 327:4,6,7,11,13 330:19 331:10,13 337:12 338:14,16,18 341:3,12 343:13 344:19 345:3 346:15 346:20 347:5.22 348:4,5,16 349:11 354:10,17 355:2 357:3 358:13 360:4 360:17 362:8 365:14 366:12,13 367:15 368:19 369:19,20 370:3 371:21 372:2,9 **Donohue** 10:10 door 4:8.11 doors 4:9.17 **DOT** 198:11 double 379:16 double-walled 237:17 doubt 340:18 downstairs 4:15,20 downtown 310:18 dozens 80:8 draft 23:16 141:5 216:20 313:9 332:14 359:14 373:7 drafted 370:5 drafting 128:21 **Drake** 1:17 8:21,22 44:6 46:14,15,15 54:22 64:9,10,11 77:7,7 94:22 95:1,1 98:2,5,8 111:21,21 120:19,20 120:20 132:4 133:8,9 133:9 142:22 143:1 147:2 150:5,6,6 174:15,15 203:14,14 218:1,2 263:1,2,2

57:7 62:15 104:9 301:3 305:19 337:6 267:14,15 287:4,5 185:1 212:4 344:17 288:6 289:20,21 109:15 116:6 122:13 emerging 89:9 372:7 376:4 290:22,22 295:18,21 126:11 129:12 132:7 **emission** 70:22 152:15 enforcement 27:7 138:21 159:17 160:9 302:1 312:17,18,18 243:15 245:4 292:22 61:18 313:1 316:12 332:2,6 162:7 170:18 182:9 339:13 engage 244:4 245:16 332:10 333:14 334:10 185:16 200:20 213:14 **emissions** 11:19 12:1,3 engagement 173:22 334:11 352:21,21 268:15 271:19 275:6 23:13,14,17 24:2,4 190:4 233:6 250:15 353:10 354:4,7 279:1 286:4 288:9 50:11,16 51:3,9,9,19 Engineer 2:6 297:9 302:19 308:9 51:21 52:1,7,8,14 365:11,11 366:17 engineering 246:7 374:13,14 320:8 322:14 325:7 53:16,21 59:13,15 251:2,21 328:7 339:3 341:19 Engineers 1:20 168:13 **Drake's** 322:4 63:16 66:22 70:8,9,20 dramatic 94:17 280:8 342:10 345:12 355:14 359:11 373:3 82:6,9 86:6,10 93:15 308:21 **EDF's** 56:2 94:4 151:21,22 152:3 enhance 377:13 draw 158:8 345:14 editing 294:20 152:11 153:4,9 enhances 12:12 **EDT** 1:11 163:15 166:21 189:16 drawing 166:4 enjoying 169:9 196:14 220:22 224:3 **ensure** 5:5 58:7 73:20 drawings 166:2 educate 183:9 effect 84:4 160:2 172:5 276:15 **drawn** 17:5 224:15 225:4,10,21 driving 189:9 262:11 227:3 231:13 232:17 ensuring 76:13 256:11 257:22 271:11 234:12 241:5 260:14 **entails** 311:4 364:14 276:15 328:20 **effective** 64:14 67:8 **drop** 201:12 265:22 266:4 288:19 entertain 214:17 215:4 dry 31:12 100:8 137:2,8,15,18 292:14,21 299:16 entire 32:2,7 34:9 35:4 duck 47:15,16,16,16,17 138:7,15 190:21 320:22 321:21 323:19 65:7 79:2,7 97:9 49:20 65:13 104:1,1,2 233:2 234:22 235:6 325:14,17 327:19 117:1,6 133:19 243:8 261:22 313:12 112:16 128:5,7 212:1 331:4 332:21 338:21 135:12 212:1 353:4,10 354:8 entirely 105:4 172:9 339:6,10,16 340:13 due 232:18 237:21 359:17 373:10 341:6,11 342:4,5 306:15 311:15 effectively 107:17 343:1 347:10 348:13 entities 195:7 Duke 2:3 170:1 282:15 135:18 233:1 353:12 358:4,7,11 environment 194:19 305:18 337:5 372:6 effectiveness 94:8 361:20 228:8 243:5,8 259:17 duplication 273:16 107:8 174:8 233:11 emit 51:12 354:16 duplicative 259:18 247:6 emitting 54:3 57:19 environmental 1:20 24:15 25:13 74:9 269:19 effectuate 97:13 **emphasis** 160:20 273:2 **duty** 379:17 efficient 41:16 65:4 **emphasize** 82:1 149:22 118:14 141:5 147:4 149:9 192:11 194:11 dwarf 70:12 121:19 208:5 172:7 195:12,20 216:21 efficiently 70:18 **employ** 342:7 Е effort 70:15 120:22 Employing 344:13 227:11 231:14 232:19 **e.g** 336:6 162:7 183:18 195:18 **enable** 105:20 233:4,7,9 235:12 earlier 84:22 85:21 196:13 202:1 204:11 **Enbridge** 1:17 46:15 237:2 238:11 250:16 86:20 106:5,6 118:20 204:12 258:18 341:8 64:11 77:7 95:1 98:8 259:13 264:4 304:6 145:6 148:8 150:15 efforts 185:20 196:17 111:21 120:21 133:9 304:11 313:9 332:14 162:12 168:20 171:22 240:9 263:6,13 300:9 150:6 174:15 203:14 342:10 359:14 373:7 172:12 183:4 211:22 263:3 291:1 312:19 377:14 366:10 either 222:10 254:12,17 envision 282:20 213:15 253:16 352:21 365:12 268:6,10 322:20 **EPA** 15:17 16:17 23:14 early 14:14 15:1 23:10 encapsulate 200:14 earth 257:7 either/or 253:21 encompassing 42:20 55:4 153:1 221:5 **elected** 147:13 226:21 227:3 228:21 Earthjustice 2:15 249:8 encounter 362:18 257:13 **element** 118:13,13 encourage 74:20 230:11 263:5,10,17 ease 149:3 elements 12:22 13:14 encourages 239:2 264:8,15 265:2,9,18 easier 148:22 206:7 55:19 328:16 encouraging 75:4 265:22 269:11,21 369:10 ended 13:17 40:7 elevation 63:9 270:14 272:11,17,21 115:18 299:11 eligible 222:12 274:1 276:5 278:1 easily 369:9 East 376:21 377:20 eliminate 238:1 **endorse** 346:12 282:8 288:19 313:15 eliminating 12:2 202:17 endorses 28:14 315:16,17 317:7,12 easy 30:6 208:21 213:9 elimination 241:1 ends 202:9 369:9 318:20 320:2,22 **ebb** 302:11 **else's** 309:20 energy 2:2,3,19,20 321:21,22 322:9,9,19 echo 162:12 emergency 84:12 90:19 170:1 195:21 322:20,21 323:19 **economist** 2:8 15:16 147:12 154:3 157:18 237:6 239:22 251:22 324:4,7,11 325:13 **EDF** 39:18 42:2 55:15 176:22 178:8 179:20 251:22 259:4 282:16 326:11,14,14,15,18

Ī
000 00 00 007 0 40
326:20,22 327:6,19
327:20 328:13,16,21
329:4,9,13,15,15
331:3,9,12 332:21
EPA- 323:20 325:14
EPA-approved 321:1
322:22 324:3
EPA-covered 319:10
EPA's 16:7 55:10 260:2
264:12 272:4 325:17
339:7
equal 12:8 33:2 141:15
equipment 53:20 54:6
54:15 55:3 89:15
221:10 227:13,19
228:19 229:1,17,21
230:6,8 231:16
235:18 236:11,12
237:13 250:1,2
252:16 293:9 306:4
343:21
equipped 57:11 183:22
246:18
equivalent 193:3 241:4
313:16 317:11
Eric 2:19 244:8
Erin 1:20 9:11 26:20
20:17 19 42:1 52:5
39:17,18 42:1 53:5 54:20 55:13,15 62:6
04.20 00.10,10 02.0
64:12 75:2 77:6 81:8
88:19 104:6,9 108:1
109:10,14,15 116:4,6
117:17 122:11,13
124:9,21 126:10,11
129:8,12 132:4,7 138:8,19,21 143:11
138:8,19,21 143:11
147:2,4 154:2 159:15
159:17 162:5,6
170:17,18 182:6,9
185:14,16 187:19
194:8,9,10 198:22
200:19,20 213:13,14
217:4 218:12 264:1,4
268:14,15 271:17,19
208.14,15.271.17,19
274:11 275:5,6
278:21 279:1 281:19
282:17 286:2,4 287:5
288:5,8,9 289:21
297:7,8 302:17,18,19
306:20 308:7,9 318:1
320:7,8 321:7 322:14
325:1,6,7 328:4,7
332:3 334:21 339:2,3
341:17,19 345:11,12
346:20 355:12,14
375:2
Erin's 46:16 132:1
330:1 340:17

ERMIAS 2:13 especially 65:5,19 199:13 248:22 258:14 299:13 essence 97:2 essential 192:9 essentially 309:21 325:19 establish 28:17 117:1 136:8 280:17 established 91:10 157:14 186:1 278:13 304:12 establishing 119:15 285:7 370:12 estimate 27:14 247:12 **estimated** 16:3 18:14 20:14 21:21 24:3 27:17 160:6 247:14 339:6,10 estimates 160:13 247:17 estimating 20:18 247:8 et 14:19,20 57:5 126:6,6 132:21 196:4 223:6 223:10 226:12 232:8 237:10 **Europe** 241:15 307:12 307:15 evacuation 345:1 evaluate 28:19 81:19 83:20 130:8 247:5 342:3 364:3 **evaluated** 16:18,20 evaluating 43:16 93:16 248:2 evaluation 329:1 event 4:21 345:1 events 224:17 341:7 377:1,5 eventually 108:7 **everybody** 3:3 35:8 112:20 169:15 218:21 256:5 257:11 everyone's 206:11 331:20 evidence 294:15 **evolve** 89:18 evolving 43:4 66:14,20

174:11

exact 121:6

exactly 45:19 49:18

197:1 357:2

86:5 121:14 190:15

example 29:20 30:11

100:5 132:19 154:12

193:4 196:7 221:5

35:7,9 68:4 89:8

242:20 256:21 279:6 304:3 352:12 364:1 **examples** 29:19 351:13 351:15 excavators 147:12 **exceed** 224:7 304:6 306:11 308:2 314:5 333:7 **Excel** 184:8 **exception** 19:4 33:3 44:15 103:18 170:6 226:19,20 265:3 275:18 288:15 315:1 315:8 316:3 318:6,7 318:22 320:7,13 321:6 exceptions 269:10 336:6 338:3 excessive 237:22 excited 61:5 127:21 254:19 exciting 89:10 121:1 195:17 exclude 22:18 25:6 232:17 281:8 excluded 50:12 58:5 156:18 165:19 166:17 191:18 243:22 **excluding** 105:3 281:13 **exclusion** 38:9,13 45:14 46:8 71:14 78:8 108:18 112:6.8 146:3 exclusions 281:17 excrement 256:14 exempting 69:4 **exemption** 72:5 170:12 259:22 313:14 exemptions 106:17 exercise 166:9 303:8 exhaustive 169:1 exist 48:20 130:18 152:2,17 183:11 201:22 203:2 204:14 existing 11:20 88:22 128:22 171:7 222:1 233:10,16 236:2,16 245:18 251:6 271:12 exists 97:13 201:19 202:22 303:11 exit 4:10,13,14,19 exits 4:7 expand 82:16 213:3 **expanded** 82:15 238:15 expansion 146:14 **expect** 86:2 178:15,16 245:1 **expectation** 56:2 61:17 205:1

expected 55:22 105:1 expense 202:1 expensive 80:10 **experience** 43:19 51:16 90:16 295:12 298:2 **expert** 94:10 277:22 358:18 **experts** 309:17 explain 199:6,7 370:19 explaining 206:21 explanation 199:12 207:3 explanatory 107:20 **explicit** 146:9 167:17 **explicitly** 25:6,8 40:14 165:18 exploring 155:18 161:11 190:8,13,14 339:18 **explosion** 186:17,19 281:5 376:22 377:21 **export** 290:11 291:2 296:3 301:12 339:8 exported 301:5 exporter 277:17 **exports** 301:14 307:12 expressed 222:22 226:10,12 228:2 231:3 237:3 expressly 276:21 extend 40:20 89:2 90:9 90:12 95:10 102:5,9 111:15 115:12 124:4 139:18 141:9 144:22 145:8 161:21 167:3 174:5 181:8 182:4 192:4 197:15 199:8 211:20 212:8 312:12 extended 23:5,8 88:4 112:17 113:11 137:10 185:4 211:12,16 212:15 311:10 **extending** 37:22 43:20 93:17 120:4 124:10 127:12 128:18 169:8 181:22 184:3 189:7 198:7 202:10 209:21 **extends** 179:13 **extension** 116:8 303:5 308:21 309:4 314:6 333:8 extensive 66:17 195:19 **extent** 86:5,9 163:14 194:21 263:20 265:1 265:19 270:12 370:14 extraordinarily 204:6 261:20 **extrapolate** 45:19 46:1

341:14 extrapolating 341:1	331:3 332:20 338:19 338:22 340:13,17	feed 282:21 feedback 263:17	finds 67:7,10 327:18 fine 112:12 190:5 295:1
extremely 120:3	341:13 344:1,6,13	feeding 283:2,8	296:9 306:11 311:20
Extremely 120.5	346:12 347:2,3 349:5	feel 29:17 61:21 106:2	318:20,22 337:14
F	349:16,17 352:14,16	113:18 161:13 163:5	371:19
F 19:4	354:20 361:19 362:10	170:21 188:4 193:12	fingerprint 47:21
F- 262:10	362:18 367:1 369:7	199:9 275:10 290:15	finish 14:13,22 15:4,5
face 99:2	369:12,21	306:13 308:20 315:3	100:12
facilitation 74:20	facing 94:17	318:3 325:4 370:17	FIP 328:18
facilities 12:14,15	fact 27:6 41:15 47:2	377:7 378:14	fire 4:7
31:11 62:18 171:4	62:16 107:19 117:8	feeling 107:1 201:1	firm 253:12
220:14,18,20,21	167:18 177:6,7	feels 60:11,22 62:2	first 11:11 21:1 22:8
221:8 223:1,13,14,15	196:17 205:22 213:19	118:10,17 119:8	35:20 40:21 41:19
223:19,21,22 224:1	262:5 274:1,15	132:11 214:5,9 282:4	45:13,18 51:17 53:5
224:21 225:14 226:6	294:15 311:5 339:15	286:6,22 338:17	73:2 90:13,15 96:15
226:8,14,19 227:2,9	345:14	feet 47:4 224:8 229:2	110:7 115:13 123:13
227:16 228:5,6,11,16	factor 23:18 24:4	293:3	124:1,4,18 126:16
229:5,16,19 230:13	300:21	felt 43:22 201:2 212:16	129:22 132:4 135:6
231:1 240:6,12,13,19	factors 23:15 76:20	320:14 360:7	139:9,10,17 141:21
241:2 249:18,20,22	106:14	fenceline 294:7	160:8 179:18 186:21
250:4,6,9 253:18	Fahrenheit 365:20	FERC 125:1 134:15	190:16 197:14 198:21
254:3 259:7,10 260:4	failed 207:17	356:7	205:18 215:3 223:13
260:8,9,13 263:21,22	failure 218:20 250:2	field 80:8 105:11	253:5,10 256:20
266:14 267:2,4	fair 301:18	242:22	257:21 275:8 288:11
269:10,10 272:3,3,5,6	fairly 284:4	fifth 346:3	293:16 305:17 316:8
274:5 275:8 276:2	faith 114:6	figure 32:12 38:21 66:4	316:18 320:19 321:11
277:20 278:4,8,17,19	fall 273:18 308:16,19	72:7,8 76:5 79:16	321:13 323:8 365:6
279:5,10,20,22 280:4	falling 273:7	95:3 98:15 99:7 102:8	371:17 372:7,19,20
280:5,12,20 281:8	falls 266:5	117:4 186:11 196:21	373:4
282:10,21 283:2,16	familiar 30:3	204:13 304:1 319:18	fit 41:19 204:13 223:3
285:16 286:14,16,20	familiarity 356:19	347:13 353:15 354:13	263:7,12 350:7
288:3,4,19 290:12	fantastic 85:9	355:1	356:15
292:18 294:1,14,16	far 71:11 125:17 198:18	figured 75:20	fits 75:8 129:5 191:8
296:10,19 298:1	245:13 253:15 282:21	figures 15:19 16:7,9,14	fitting 225:7
299:8,14,20,21	283:4 284:11 337:9	16:17 17:8 68:2	fittings 343:20
300:22 301:5,12,17	farther 169:11 fashion 110:11	figuring 78:20 356:22 file 137:17 149:15	five 80:19 84:7 91:17
307:5,10 313:14,19 315:15 319:9,10,11	fast 75:4 134:4 314:22	filed 149:10 160:9	100:7,20,21 103:16 110:6,9,12 111:15
326:3 332:22 336:1,4	feasibility 171:10	filing 333:9	116:19 118:22 128:10
336:11 337:2,10,22	360:15	fill 92:11	131:9 133:7 134:2,7
338:15 339:11,13,17	feasible 67:5 141:7	filled 258:13	134:20 135:2,16
340:20 341:2 342:5	172:9 210:21 217:1	fills 223:11	137:3,8,17 170:11
343:2,7,18 344:16	232:21 298:17 307:20	final 20:7 60:10,21	172:17 342:2 345:15
345:6,17 346:1,6,9,21	313:11 332:16 359:16	61:10 159:18 178:2	345:19 346:7
349:7,13,21 352:9	372:11,16 373:9	194:12 223:17 225:13	five- 118:16 131:16
355:17,22 356:14,19	federal 2:2 3:16,17	227:20 232:16 245:19	134:17 135:14 141:20
365:19 368:6 372:16	11:18 23:1 62:7 75:15	265:9 352:18 358:6	five-year 108:4 115:16
facility 24:20 146:5	88:1 119:5 141:3	finalize 104:14 290:5	116:15 120:8 130:1
165:16 223:8 259:15	163:3 180:5 189:13	finalized 15:18 325:17	130:12,16 131:3
264:10 265:2,20,21	216:18 238:19 250:18	finally 20:9 25:14 26:3	134:19 135:3 138:6
265:21 269:20 271:16	259:14 273:10 275:13	233:9 240:21 241:2	fix 51:19 60:14,18 257:3
276:4,4,6 278:10	275:19 313:7 318:8	262:1 336:2	257:9
281:5 283:8,9 284:8	321:1 322:1,20	find 51:18,21,22 60:13	fixed 63:11 85:8 153:11
285:1,4 288:20,21	323:21 325:15 328:17	60:18 65:9 74:21 79:9	253:16,17,22 305:7
295:9,11,13 299:9	329:17 330:4,5,9,11	97:12 153:9 196:3	fixed-wing 63:10
303:3,9 306:5,15	332:12 359:12 373:5	311:8,12	fixing 85:12
310:17 320:21 321:20	377:8	finding 70:13 213:10	flag 83:22 85:1 159:18
323:2,3,19 329:5	federalism 318:11	261:17	195:6 245:11 275:22
II	ı	I	I

II			
flammable 221:18	332:18 359:17 373:10	115:17 116:11,14,16	Gale 2:7 10:7 11:2,5
flare 340:12 343:20	follows 226:9 237:1	117:22 118:2,17	17:12 26:5 29:11
flares 225:1	font 24:8	122:5 123:2,13	144:6,7,18 219:21
flaring 54:4 340:12	footprint 35:3 47:21	125:12 127:16 129:18	239:11 268:4 335:12
flawed 199:9	force 3:12 354:13	132:13 139:1 177:11	335:18 336:17,19
flexibilities 105:20	forced 208:9	192:22 234:15 284:18	gallon 369:4
flexibility 104:17	forcing 167:4	285:4,6 288:2 289:3,4	gap 152:6 223:11
199:19 224:18 277:4	forever 134:19	289:17 291:17 298:5	gaps 152:9 258:10,12
280:2 342:17,19	form 228:9	frequent 80:16,17	gas 1:5,17 2:3,17,17 3:7
343:3	formats 213:19	118:19 119:6 134:21	7:9 11:11,20 12:4,12
flexible 14:13 235:4	formed 166:18		12:13,13,14 14:16
356:2		229:10	
	forms 238:21	frequently 132:17	15:19 18:8,11,14,20
flight 64:20	forth 65:2,2 129:20	133:6 262:4 284:22	19:7 20:7,21,21 23:16
flights 57:13 66:1	210:11	fresh 196:6	23:21 24:2,4,9,20,21
floor 5:11 201:12	Fortune 87:12	Friday 13:15 17:22	26:4 27:3 30:1 31:12
flow 230:3 255:15	forward 11:13 14:4,9	Fridays 10:19	32:8 54:4,10 56:1,4
302:11	55:17 65:12 73:18	friendly 123:22 126:17	61:8 82:3,13 84:5
fluid 154:14	75:13 76:4,14 81:15	139:13 140:11 316:6	87:22 133:2 139:16
fly 30:7 63:5,8,10 65:2	86:13 174:2 192:2	318:4 322:3 331:22	140:6 141:6 144:1,9
66:1 130:19 133:19	202:4 297:21 316:5	332:1,2	144:13 148:4 149:7
172:20 286:7 320:9	316:16,17 379:21	friends 268:13	154:14 155:15 172:2
flying 57:16 63:14,17	forwards 107:2	front 4:3 5:1 10:9 118:3	187:14 188:8 219:18
65:1,7 70:5,10 79:2,7	found 21:13 70:7 85:8	139:11 263:6 350:3	220:13,16,18,19
97:9 120:8 121:19	161:4 187:1 196:14	358:22 371:9	221:20,20,21 222:11
133:13 136:1,5	227:22	fruit 346:17	222:11,16 223:14,20
152:14 219:13	foundation 275:14,15	fruitful 113:19	224:1 225:22 226:5
flyover 57:1 74:6 133:3	275:19	fuel 343:21	230:2,10,22 231:13
flyovers 56:5 57:20	foundational 147:20	fulfilling 42:8	231:17,19 232:14,17
133:1	148:18	full 3:11 42:14 44:2	233:19,21 234:2,4,9
focus 37:9 45:18 46:3	four 110:19 118:4 133:2	81:16 108:14 137:1	234:16,18 236:19,22
103:20 107:4 184:20	170:11 253:2,4 286:9	223:7 231:4 378:1	238:5,6,14 239:1,1,2
185:2 245:3 268:6	345:19 369:16 372:8	fully 60:21 61:7,12	241:12 243:1 245:8
278:18 280:7	fourth 51:20 314:8	72:16 80:6 86:15	245:18 246:8,9,18
focused 66:12 151:5	345:22	108:8 161:7,19 169:1	247:7,20 248:20
157:7 278:8 295:14	fraction 51:14	190:12,14 214:1	250:21 251:6,21
350:6 355:17 377:22	fracturing 82:15 154:13	function 303:9	252:3,5,21,22 253:22
focuses 32:22 184:22	154:18	functionality 367:4	254:22 255:10 257:20
focusing 46:6 189:13	framed 320:12	Fund 1:20 147:5 194:11	260:1 261:2 265:10
248:16	framework 76:9 122:17	264:5	270:19 279:12 280:10
folks 105:11 114:20	318:19	fundamental 303:10	289:14 290:2 301:1
126:21 138:11 158:20	frameworks 90:11	fundamentally 365:16	313:10,17,21 314:2
160:18 162:9 178:7	317:18 319:17	funded 152:19	314:10 332:16 333:2
185:19 193:14 202:22	frankly 43:10 46:5	funding 152:22 153:2	333:5,12 336:5 338:1
203:1 206:3 213:16	117:2 120:3 156:15	195:19	338:13 339:7 342:8
264:7 280:16 305:3,8	166:17 179:16 188:22	further 83:1 102:9	343:18,21 344:5,6,11
308:17 360:16	307:10 370:18	106:2 111:5 122:15	346:4 347:3,12
follow 6:17 274:1	freedom 121:9	129:7 167:19 209:16	349:17 354:14 357:1
281:22 282:17 283:3	frequencies 12:21 26:1	216:11,13 243:10,20	365:21,21 366:21
296:18 313:12 330:1	111:14 122:18 124:12	261:13 278:21 290:5	367:3,6 376:6
follow-on 42:22	278:12 281:22 285:8	320:15 342:14 357:13	gas-causing 234:13
follow-up 41:21,22	286:8 289:13 300:15	358:13	gases 17:9 221:19
58:11 156:7 187:19	313:19 333:1	Furthermore 259:21	232:12 256:12
211:6 230:4 266:8	frequency 23:12 69:10	future 43:1 81:19 83:20	gate 266:1
271:4	80:14 93:6 100:4,19	93:19 107:5,7 235:7	gather 31:5 32:8 122:7
following 13:4 37:20	101:20 104:20 108:2	250:14 251:13 258:8	134:8
128:21 141:9 171:14	108:4 109:19 110:3		gatherers 37:4
226:1 230:1 266:16	110:18 111:6,7	G	gathering 11:11 12:13
298:18 314:3,7	112:13,18 113:12	gain 146:18 278:16	13:16 14:9,14,17
		3	
II			

```
gears 48:9 231:9
general 2:6 14:16 31:13
 93:7 94:1 222:19
 223:9 226:10,12
 231:10 232:1,8
 237:10 238:7 291:19
 300:16 301:21 358:17
generally 22:6 24:19
 30:4 36:12 37:2 46:3
 137:17 145:7 162:17
 220:10,20 221:18
 236:20 256:6 267:3
 269:17 286:9 300:12
generate 70:10 184:14
generated 70:8
geographic 56:19
geographical 24:5
geographies 41:18
geography 63:7
geospatial 25:12
  153:17 154:15 164:22
  165:17 166:5 171:12
 177:14 180:2 183:14
 188:1 189:12 193:5
 202:20 206:22 210:20
Gerard 47:14
getting 36:4 45:3 47:4
 61:21 71:6 88:14
 127:15 129:8 130:15
 293:11 317:6 326:9
 331:8 354:11 371:11
 372:1,18
giant 309:21
Gilbert 1:18 9:6,7 143:6
  143:7 208:12.13
 210:1,4 212:20 218:7
 218:8 334:16,17
 374:19,20
GIS 159:6 162:13
 171:13 183:15 184:11
 184:12 186:3,6
 187:20 188:1,11
GIS-ing 214:2
give 11:9 17:18 32:3
 34:17 50:10 63:4
 72:18 89:8 107:5,10
 110:21 112:10 115:3
 116:20 126:3 164:7
 169:14 172:19 178:6
 197:17 206:8 214:19
 235:22 239:18 285:18
 302:10 315:10 353:8
 354:12 379:5
given 17:1,7 81:2 83:8
```

105:13 109:2 139:17

243:18 258:9 348:7

357:10 378:4

172:16 203:21 243:11

```
gives 24:12 174:10
  208:20
giving 76:9,10 355:3
go 4:6,10,14,16,17,19
  5:4 8:8 11:12 16:13
  17:15 19:14 29:21
  32:9 33:11,21 34:15
  36:10 39:6 42:2,21
  76:4 78:10 88:16
  92:15 94:10 95:8
  107:3 112:22 119:21
  120:17 122:1 129:19
  146:16 151:16 152:10
  166:7,15 169:20
  170:14 185:8 188:12
  197:10 200:16 203:2
  206:9 208:21 216:16
  220:7 239:9 254:3.10
  265:14 267:8 275:15
  282:21 288:12 296:7
  296:22 297:7 298:12
  305:17 306:14 309:12
  311:4 316:16 324:18
  326:7 327:4 335:14
  335:17 336:15,17
  337:17,19 347:1
  351:11 354:13 362:5
  362:21 365:8 368:16
  371:2 375:18
qo- 299:3
go-round 300:12,14
goal 41:13,18 94:11
  95:15 98:13,13
  240:22
goes 4:11,15,19 183:3
  191:16 205:2 207:4,6
  209:16 293:5
going 11:8 14:6,10 15:2
  15:9 17:14,15,17 18:3
  18:12 19:13 26:13
  29:21 30:13 44:12,14
  48:12,18 49:6 50:5,6
  53:10,22 55:9 58:19
  61:7 64:4 67:9.20
  74:6 75:15 78:1,3
  79:3,3,9,16 91:1 92:6
  97:12 99:20 100:11
  102:5 106:1 108:7
  109:17 111:11 114:12
  114:21,21 115:3
  120:9 121:18 126:7,9
  127:22 130:13 131:2
  132:20 133:13,18,20
  134:19 135:15 136:7
  136:19 137:14 139:18
  140:16 143:20 151:14
  153:7 157:2,3 161:22
  166:13 174:6 175:13
```

```
178:17 180:18 189:8
 192:2 193:18 195:13
 195:21,22 196:2
 197:8 198:16 201:16
 202:7,14,15 203:10
 205:11,17 208:4,9
 210:11,21 213:10
 214:8 216:5,6 219:3
 219:15,17,19,22
 220:5 242:11 247:19
 253:8 255:11 256:12
 256:17 266:13,18,19
 270:13 271:7 273:22
 278:11 281:14 283:2
 283:8 285:20 287:1
 290:15,20 293:12
 294:8 302:7 303:8
 310:20 317:2 318:16
 323:12 324:1 330:3,4
 335:10 336:15 347:2
 355:15 362:5,17
 364:10 365:22 366:1
 372:14 376:8,9 379:6
 380:2
golfer 219:21
good 3:5,6 7:4 11:4,4
 44:3 77:13 91:10
 111:18 114:6,11
 166:10 180:15 198:2
 202:21 206:21 207:9
 208:15 210:12 212:7
 220:3 241:8 246:6
 251:19 258:20 276:21
 287:8 289:1 309:16
 312:20 313:3.3.4
 331:15 351:14 357:11
goodness 64:10
Gosman 1:19 9:13,14
 44:6,7,19 67:16,17
 92:19,21 111:3 113:8
 127:20 136:13,17,20
 137:20 138:4 143:13
 143:14 153:14,15
 162:5 163:19.20
 168:13 176:11,12
 191:12 192:6,7
 216:15,16 218:14,15
 266:10,11 267:9,13
 274:11,12 290:9,19
 296:8 297:1,11
 303:17 306:9 311:1
 314:14,16,18,21
 315:13,20 316:1
 318:2 329:22 335:1,2
 347:19 351:18 352:2
 358:2 361:3 367:11
 368:1,3 371:13,14
 375:4,5
```

264:7 293:18 294:12 **Gosman's** 359:5 255:21 268:12 268:22 331:15 341:20 gotten 49:3 75:20 82:17 grouped 13:20 56:22 **hazard** 229:6 helpfully 148:7 137:1 356:8 groups 228:9 231:15 **hazardous** 1:3 22:16 helping 75:7 190:21 governed 274:5 233:5,10 238:12 158:5 246:20 254:9 **helps** 169:3 governing 270:1 guess 17:3 45:20 87:14 hazards 24:15 246:21 Here's 98:14,14 152:15 103:12 107:14 122:15 **HCA** 285:21 hey 152:14 379:1 271:10,15 government 159:1 145:11 155:11 158:9 **HCAs** 77:22 308:14 Hi 7:4 245:7 252:20 177:17 182:9 268:16 176:22 187:8 204:22 head 290:10 315:9 257:16 273:3 275:17 279:6 **hiding** 187:9 318:8 330:4 healthy 77:14 governments 187:2,3 288:10 297:9 298:3 hear 42:6 75:3 91:13 high 19:20,22 57:19 302:21 303:17 320:18 **GPAC** 3:18 12:16 18:9 109:9 126:13 133:4 182:12,21 292:19 26:1 42:11 59:8 83:19 323:22 325:2 326:8 148:15 157:14 162:16 293:3,8 303:22 94:9 160:19 212:11 327:3 342:22 360:13 176:5,6 207:13 361:12 236:17 238:3 314:1 365:6 305:22 349:3 361:14 high- 20:7 314:10 333:4,11 guidance 15:20 17:7 369:15 high-level 247:12 heard 40:12 44:21 338:1 376:10 378:6 95:14 96:7 126:4 high-pressure 35:16 379:11 203:18,22 287:8 72:15,19 105:10 high-stress 158:7 **GPAC-** 126:18 guidelines 274:2 110:6 159:10 160:17 high-tech 184:7 **GPAC-recommended** 198:13 200:19 241:21 325:18 higher 16:22 22:10 **Gulf** 241:19 260:20 141:10,11 253:15 256:9,15,16 23:11,12 24:3 53:12 **GPAC's** 336:4 284:7 264:8 269:17 277:18 86:12 211:14 284:20 **GPS** 172:20 175:15 guys 13:8 241:9 282:6 348:21 351:9 higher-level 163:12 **Grade** 222:9,10,11 358:19 367:14 highest 175:15 н 237:4,7,9 249:3 hearing 71:10 74:13 highlight 120:5 363:21 252:12 255:4,7 76:11,14 77:1 105:9 hadn't 107:15 highlighted 258:11 258:12 296:18 297:3 Hail 111:4 118:9 123:21 155:20 Highway 1:10 304:4 308:11.12.22 half 84:17 125:17 281:6 161:15 167:1 173:18 hire 189:11 309:4 311:14 312:13 376:15 175:9,18 190:6 198:4 historically 213:17 312:14,15 314:3,4 hand 6:22 83:5 219:22 198:17 202:22 205:13 **history** 194:2 377:21 333:5,6 hand-drawn 166:1.4 279:6 286:19 287:19 hit 133:11 174:22 grading 12:6 13:1,15 **handful** 301:5 288:4 324:6 355:18 **hold** 5:10 243:13 363:8 368:14 18:21 222:7 236:21 handheld 58:13 230:5,8 315:20 317:20 237:11,14 238:4 240:15 243:2,7 306:3 **hearken** 168:19 holding 97:5 255:10 312:8 handle 65:18 75:9 hearkening 150:14 **hole** 324:19 granted 372:9 267:21 heart 173:13 holistic 76:21 grapple 191:7 304:21 handling 75:22 heavy 150:22 151:7 holistically 231:17 grappling 189:20 **hands** 318:17 height 63:11 235:13 gravity 243:5 hang 317:21 held 12:17 home 256:13 great 11:14 60:3 89:10 **happen** 50:1 97:15 helicopter 56:7 63:9,15 homes 252:7 98:9 122:4 151:20 110:12 117:6 120:6 63:17 70:6,10 honest 66:7 134:5 152:10 379:19 329:10 Hello 15:15 255:19 256:20 greater 12:8 20:2,4,8 260:16 Honorable 3:21 happening 172:8 33:1,2 38:4 104:3 194:21 202:19 326:22 help 5:5 14:4 74:22 hoop 20:1 hope 41:12 60:4 172:6 98:18 112:21 128:9 115:13 116:13 118:11 happens 71:2 141:14 252:8 happy 10:3 90:5 117:18 134:16 137:7 158:12 245:20 272:13 291:18 161:13 169:6 190:6 307:6 317:4 greatest 70:2,16 71:8 206:20 207:11 288:8 90:13 157:9 317:19 349:10,14 193:6 209:10 213:4,8 hopeful 14:6 greenhouse 15:19 17:9 216:7 250:3 251:12 hopefully 3:12 15:6 hard 10:13 101:7 89:19 110:22 149:3 23:16.21 24:4 54:10 127:17 153:7,12 289:7 234:13 256:12 257:19 helped 95:5 112:1 157:19 159:19 276:10 372:15 harder 293:12 341:10 355:19 339:7 grid 2:18 121:18 258:21 helpful 26:22 29:8 harken 47:14 hoping 29:14 127:14 ground 58:12 74:4 76:6 Harlem 376:22 377:21 41:12 55:16 68:3 77:2 136:15 219:13 355:10 166:6 169:4 172:15 78:19 81:11 117:20 379:4 hasn't 133:15 165:21 174:10 355:11 170:12 262:2 127:1 155:10,19 hot 92:15 Groundhog 11:8 haven't 3:10 30:14 49:3 161:5,16 168:22 hotel 1:10 4:22 5:1 group 108:5 123:14,14 80:12 85:18 88:5 173:21 174:12 190:9 92:11 234:20 235:12 237:3 105:10 108:1 122:20 196:7 215:17,20 hour 52:10,11 59:10

67:7 123:18 124:14 230:3 293:14 298:3 298:20 300:14 306:5 housekeeping 5:5 6:21 **Houston** 257:1,6 huge 55:5 175:19 190:19 250:19 human 38:6 141:17 hundreds 31:3 57:19 280:11 hurdle 88:9 175:6 hurry 300:9 hurt 281:10 hydraulic 82:15 154:13 154:17 hydrogen 13:20 14:18 219:18 220:7 221:15 221:16,20,21 222:1,3 222:6,9,16 231:11,13 231:15,17,19,22 232:2,3,7,12,17,21 233:4,6,12,16,21 234:2,4,7,8,10,18 235:2,8,11,13,15,18 235:19 236:4,5,8,10 236:11,15,22 237:4 237:12.12.20 238:2 238:14 239:1 241:13 241:14,17,22 242:2,4 242:8,9,12,14,17,21 243:2,3,5,11,13,17,20 243:22 244:22 245:14 245:17 247:20,21,22 248:14,17,20 249:1,4 250:10,12,20 251:3,6 252:1,3,3,5,11,11,14 252:16 254:18,20,21 255:7,13 257:18,18 258:7,14,16 260:20 260:22 261:6,11,20 262:17 267:17,18 268:6 375:12 380:4 hydrogen-related 243:19 hydrogen-specific 231:18 232:9 hydrogen's 250:15 hydrostatic 79:18

I I'II 4:6 5:4 9:21 19:14 24:11 33:12 84:22 89:8 91:14 107:9 117:19 128:14 140:22 142:8 158:1 169:22 187:18 217:9 302:17 305:19 332:9 333:17 370:1 **l'm** 3:14,17 7:5 10:3 11:5,8 15:16 17:16 18:3 26:13 29:21 39:19 42:4,6 43:22 44:16,17,21 45:2,10 45:18 46:6,17 49:8 54:20 58:18 61:5 69:1 71:10,18,18 72:15 74:4,12 76:1,5,22 77:1 81:13 90:5 97:11 102:15 103:19 105:8 105:22 106:18 110:15 110:20 111:18 113:5 114:2 115:3 118:18 118:19 121:8 122:15 123:7,21 127:21 129:8,13,20 131:20 132:18 134:16 136:15 137:13 139:22 140:16 144:21 150:13 151:14 153:16 155:19,20 156:8 158:7,9 161:11 161:15 167:1,15,15 167:15 168:17 169:5 169:9,18 170:7,11,13 172:14 173:17 174:11 174:17,21 175:9,18 182:10,13,19 184:16 185:5,12,17 189:20 190:5,7,22 193:2 198:4,4 199:1,16 201:1,16 202:21 204:16 205:4,5,13,17 206:20 207:11 215:12 216:11,11,12 219:19 219:22 220:4 241:9 246:7 249:8 251:20 252:20 255:20,21,22 256:1 264:5 271:20 277:22 278:6,15 279:6 280:18 281:17 281:20 284:16 287:14 287:19,21 288:4,8 290:9,11 291:11 292:12 297:9,13 298:1,14 300:4,10 302:19 304:17,20 306:17 309:16 311:20 311:21 314:16 315:1 315:8,9,17 317:1,3 322:14 323:11,22 325:3,3 326:9 327:3,8 328:11 337:14 341:13 346:16 349:8,11 354:10 355:3,5,10,18 357:8,9 358:6 360:3,7 360:17,19,19 361:11

366:12 368:14.21 371:1,5 372:7,11 376:8,9 377:1 378:7 I've 29:19,20 38:10 44:21 53:17 80:8 105:11 151:15 164:10 194:12 196:5 198:12 198:17 200:19 208:14 356:8 376:14 idea 14:12,16 32:3 42:21 50:10 71:18 101:6 103:6 104:2 105:9 117:10 127:9 133:5 176:13 207:9 298:4 300:13 315:5 346:1 379:5 ideally 57:1 ideas 346:20 identified 21:3 22:12 23:10 38:7 57:19 58:6 58:8 85:18 101:22 106:7 141:18 196:11 196:22 247:13 identifies 22:5 97:20 identify 5:13 57:3 70:1 230:15 234:6 238:22 250:3 273:17 285:22 354:6,7 355:6 identifying 85:11 195:8 275:18 idle 135:16 ignorant 158:10 illustration 27:19 imaging 57:12 230:11 immediate 296:17 297:2 299:19 305:9 308:11 314:3 333:6 immediately 254:1 305:4,8 immense 10:2 impact 16:4 33:5 70:16 71:8 82:18 84:1 141:4 141:19 157:9 189:15 195:13 216:20 247:4 299:9 313:8 332:14 359:13 373:6 impacted 20:14 64:5 246:16 249:2 impactful 338:12 impacts 84:13 304:5,11 imperative 252:10 imperiled 257:8 implement 12:5 228:4 233:1 implementable 126:6 implementation 71:17 73:21 106:3,12,20 108:20 109:2 125:19

126:1 139:4 271:2 322:22 323:1 328:15 328:17,20 329:13,16 329:17 330:3,5,7,9 implemented 167:2 171:22 183:16 implementing 105:16 132:14 231:4 329:13 implications 190:20 **implicit** 275:12 **implies** 262:6 347:21 361:18 **imply** 167:11 348:4 **import** 339:8 **importance** 59:19,20 60:6 86:13 149:11 153:18 259:7 295:15 **important** 55:1,8 59:4 63:1 64:13 68:7,16 75:19 76:12 87:15 89:22 93:21 94:11 95:14,20 98:12 104:10 117:3 123:4 147:7 164:18 167:10 167:18 174:3.20 177:16 178:12 179:6 187:12 193:9 195:17 207:1 214:6 242:6.13 242:18 245:11 251:12 252:15 253:8 274:9 276:19 291:18,21 292:1,16 295:7,10 299:14 300:18 306:12 307:10,16 315:4 318:13 319:21.22 346:5 357:6 370:18 370:20 376:19 377:2 378:21 importantly 74:2 93:2 imposition 100:15 **impossible** 133:5,22,22 impracticable 307:20 312:15 impression 186:10 **improve** 160:16 195:18 377:11 improved 342:14 improvement 163:13 213:21 377:6 **improves** 181:20 improving 166:22 189:16 194:19 207:6 378:18 **in-service** 171:19 inability 63:10 inadequate 230:20 incentivizing 250:19 inch 33:3 38:4,5,5 41:7

362:12 363:8,13

П			
115:14	61:11,14 68:1 82:8	148:21 150:4 159:22	370:3
inches 20:9 23:5 104:3	85:16 87:11 94:9	160:4 162:18,22	interpreting 193:13
104:4 116:13 141:15	101:9 104:18,22	163:15 171:6 185:20	194:5 370:10
141:16,16	113:1 117:1,2 119:18	194:22 195:3,9 261:4	interpretive 193:22
incident 84:13,15,18,20	119:19 133:15,19	264:11,16 272:18	interrupt 6:16
188:7	134:4,9 136:8 153:19	276:8 376:5,6,7	interstate 171:20 245:8
incidents 84:2,5,7,8,10	160:11,18 165:20	INGAA 2:16 240:1	245:15 246:13 284:9
86:10 149:16 181:14	166:3,10,11 167:5	inhabited 36:9	interstates 30:5
include 22:5 39:10 87:7	183:7,14 188:5,7,9	inherent 51:12	interval 117:14 120:16
127:18 134:10 138:2	189:2 192:22 193:1	initial 24:10	128:3 130:2,2,7,13,16
145:18,19 149:12	196:20 197:4 213:4	initially 75:18	131:4,17 132:12
198:18 205:15 209:18	221:6 222:21 224:5	inline 79:21 115:8	134:20 138:6,15
223:22 229:17 248:14	224:13,19 225:5,8	344:2	139:2 141:13,21
259:22 266:22 272:3	226:17 227:6,9	innovative 235:5	intervals 115:8 116:11
276:9	228:19 229:10 230:14	input 130:14 351:10	119:14,22 120:9
included 27:14,19 33:6	230:20 231:2,18,21	insert 97:21	128:7 131:5 135:4,7
40:11,15 50:20 53:1	232:15 234:20 236:2	inserted 212:11	136:10 229:10
60:21 102:7 173:3	236:3 237:2 239:3	inside 106:5 166:7	intrastate 171:20
214:6 216:9 265:11	240:3,7 241:4 247:15	insight 235:22	246:13 282:22
270:3 276:21 339:5	248:1 253:13 255:3	inspect 48:7	introduce 7:18 32:10
includes 24:21 93:5	255:21 256:10,11	inspection 79:21 115:8	33:10 36:18 223:18
171:14,15 209:14,17	261:4 309:17	122:6	239:17
220:18 241:17 259:1	industry-wide 341:7	inspections 228:13	introductory 11:9 17:18
325:16	industry's 248:18	instance 284:7,8	invaded 307:12
including 15:21 40:22	256:16	institute 245:20	inventory 23:16 24:4
58:7 59:19 82:4,22	infinity 83:2	instructions 6:17	339:7
130:21 141:10 147:11	influence 96:3	227:18 238:21	investigating 305:3
160:2 171:2 194:13	info 202:20	instrument 212:8	investigation 230:5
	1 inform 171.0	l intoarity 10.12 10.10	1 262·8 12
212:9 221:20 233:16	inform 171:2	integrity 48:13 49:10	262:8,12
236:16 241:15 321:1	information 15:10	77:15,18,20 79:14	involved 57:9 133:1
236:16 241:15 321:1 321:22 323:20 339:17	information 15:10 18:13 22:8 23:20	77:15,18,20 79:14 95:6,16,17 115:6	involved 57:9 133:1 149:4 255:8 324:6
236:16 241:15 321:1 321:22 323:20 339:17 371:17 373:12	information 15:10 18:13 22:8 23:20 28:12,17 52:16 59:18	77:15,18,20 79:14 95:6,16,17 115:6 119:13 120:11 131:4	involved 57:9 133:1 149:4 255:8 324:6 involves 186:3 249:3
236:16 241:15 321:1 321:22 323:20 339:17 371:17 373:12 inclusion 78:8 81:16	information 15:10 18:13 22:8 23:20 28:12,17 52:16 59:18 59:21 60:3,6,15 82:2	77:15,18,20 79:14 95:6,16,17 115:6 119:13 120:11 131:4 131:7 132:8 135:5,13	involved 57:9 133:1 149:4 255:8 324:6 involves 186:3 249:3 276:5 338:12
236:16 241:15 321:1 321:22 323:20 339:17 371:17 373:12 inclusion 78:8 81:16 149:7 210:14	information 15:10 18:13 22:8 23:20 28:12,17 52:16 59:18 59:21 60:3,6,15 82:2 83:17 84:21 85:13	77:15,18,20 79:14 95:6,16,17 115:6 119:13 120:11 131:4 131:7 132:8 135:5,13 intend 328:12	involved 57:9 133:1 149:4 255:8 324:6 involves 186:3 249:3 276:5 338:12 Island 32:1 70:11
236:16 241:15 321:1 321:22 323:20 339:17 371:17 373:12 inclusion 78:8 81:16 149:7 210:14 inconsistent 259:19	information 15:10 18:13 22:8 23:20 28:12,17 52:16 59:18 59:21 60:3,6,15 82:2 83:17 84:21 85:13 86:8 87:2 147:7,17,20	77:15,18,20 79:14 95:6,16,17 115:6 119:13 120:11 131:4 131:7 132:8 135:5,13 intend 328:12 intended 38:6 54:16	involved 57:9 133:1 149:4 255:8 324:6 involves 186:3 249:3 276:5 338:12 Island 32:1 70:11 isn't 71:4 79:3 159:19
236:16 241:15 321:1 321:22 323:20 339:17 371:17 373:12 inclusion 78:8 81:16 149:7 210:14 inconsistent 259:19 incorporate 56:17	information 15:10 18:13 22:8 23:20 28:12,17 52:16 59:18 59:21 60:3,6,15 82:2 83:17 84:21 85:13 86:8 87:2 147:7,17,20 148:3,11,13,20 149:5	77:15,18,20 79:14 95:6,16,17 115:6 119:13 120:11 131:4 131:7 132:8 135:5,13 intend 328:12 intended 38:6 54:16 78:8,9 104:4 112:7,8	involved 57:9 133:1 149:4 255:8 324:6 involves 186:3 249:3 276:5 338:12 Island 32:1 70:11 isn't 71:4 79:3 159:19 245:22 324:7 343:22
236:16 241:15 321:1 321:22 323:20 339:17 371:17 373:12 inclusion 78:8 81:16 149:7 210:14 inconsistent 259:19 incorporate 56:17 177:1 199:3,12	information 15:10 18:13 22:8 23:20 28:12,17 52:16 59:18 59:21 60:3,6,15 82:2 83:17 84:21 85:13 86:8 87:2 147:7,17,20 148:3,11,13,20 149:5 149:19 150:1 151:20	77:15,18,20 79:14 95:6,16,17 115:6 119:13 120:11 131:4 131:7 132:8 135:5,13 intend 328:12 intended 38:6 54:16 78:8,9 104:4 112:7,8 122:8 141:17 227:16	involved 57:9 133:1 149:4 255:8 324:6 involves 186:3 249:3 276:5 338:12 Island 32:1 70:11 isn't 71:4 79:3 159:19 245:22 324:7 343:22 isolated 248:7
236:16 241:15 321:1 321:22 323:20 339:17 371:17 373:12 inclusion 78:8 81:16 149:7 210:14 inconsistent 259:19 incorporate 56:17 177:1 199:3,12 377:14	information 15:10 18:13 22:8 23:20 28:12,17 52:16 59:18 59:21 60:3,6,15 82:2 83:17 84:21 85:13 86:8 87:2 147:7,17,20 148:3,11,13,20 149:5 149:19 150:1 151:20 152:11,16 154:11	77:15,18,20 79:14 95:6,16,17 115:6 119:13 120:11 131:4 131:7 132:8 135:5,13 intend 328:12 intended 38:6 54:16 78:8,9 104:4 112:7,8 122:8 141:17 227:16 328:10 363:9	involved 57:9 133:1 149:4 255:8 324:6 involves 186:3 249:3 276:5 338:12 Island 32:1 70:11 isn't 71:4 79:3 159:19 245:22 324:7 343:22 isolated 248:7 isolating 343:15
236:16 241:15 321:1 321:22 323:20 339:17 371:17 373:12 inclusion 78:8 81:16 149:7 210:14 inconsistent 259:19 incorporate 56:17 177:1 199:3,12 377:14 incorporated 190:10	information 15:10 18:13 22:8 23:20 28:12,17 52:16 59:18 59:21 60:3,6,15 82:2 83:17 84:21 85:13 86:8 87:2 147:7,17,20 148:3,11,13,20 149:5 149:19 150:1 151:20 152:11,16 154:11 160:21,22 161:1	77:15,18,20 79:14 95:6,16,17 115:6 119:13 120:11 131:4 131:7 132:8 135:5,13 intend 328:12 intended 38:6 54:16 78:8,9 104:4 112:7,8 122:8 141:17 227:16 328:10 363:9 intensifying 257:22	involved 57:9 133:1 149:4 255:8 324:6 involves 186:3 249:3 276:5 338:12 Island 32:1 70:11 isn't 71:4 79:3 159:19 245:22 324:7 343:22 isolated 248:7 isolating 343:15 isolation 343:19 344:8
236:16 241:15 321:1 321:22 323:20 339:17 371:17 373:12 inclusion 78:8 81:16 149:7 210:14 inconsistent 259:19 incorporate 56:17 177:1 199:3,12 377:14 incorporated 190:10 increase 17:1 233:5	information 15:10 18:13 22:8 23:20 28:12,17 52:16 59:18 59:21 60:3,6,15 82:2 83:17 84:21 85:13 86:8 87:2 147:7,17,20 148:3,11,13,20 149:5 149:19 150:1 151:20 152:11,16 154:11 160:21,22 161:1 162:14 163:9,16,22	77:15,18,20 79:14 95:6,16,17 115:6 119:13 120:11 131:4 131:7 132:8 135:5,13 intend 328:12 intended 38:6 54:16 78:8,9 104:4 112:7,8 122:8 141:17 227:16 328:10 363:9 intensifying 257:22 intent 53:13 95:7	involved 57:9 133:1 149:4 255:8 324:6 involves 186:3 249:3 276:5 338:12 Island 32:1 70:11 isn't 71:4 79:3 159:19 245:22 324:7 343:22 isolated 248:7 isolating 343:15 isolation 343:19 344:8 issue 23:9 61:13 62:2
236:16 241:15 321:1 321:22 323:20 339:17 371:17 373:12 inclusion 78:8 81:16 149:7 210:14 inconsistent 259:19 incorporate 56:17 177:1 199:3,12 377:14 incorporated 190:10 increase 17:1 233:5 increasing 166:19	information 15:10 18:13 22:8 23:20 28:12,17 52:16 59:18 59:21 60:3,6,15 82:2 83:17 84:21 85:13 86:8 87:2 147:7,17,20 148:3,11,13,20 149:5 149:19 150:1 151:20 152:11,16 154:11 160:21,22 161:1 162:14 163:9,16,22 164:8,22 165:2,4	77:15,18,20 79:14 95:6,16,17 115:6 119:13 120:11 131:4 131:7 132:8 135:5,13 intend 328:12 intended 38:6 54:16 78:8,9 104:4 112:7,8 122:8 141:17 227:16 328:10 363:9 intensifying 257:22 intent 53:13 95:7 181:22 199:15 223:9	involved 57:9 133:1 149:4 255:8 324:6 involves 186:3 249:3 276:5 338:12 Island 32:1 70:11 isn't 71:4 79:3 159:19 245:22 324:7 343:22 isolated 248:7 isolating 343:15 isolation 343:19 344:8 issue 23:9 61:13 62:2 78:22 93:14 97:3
236:16 241:15 321:1 321:22 323:20 339:17 371:17 373:12 inclusion 78:8 81:16 149:7 210:14 inconsistent 259:19 incorporate 56:17 177:1 199:3,12 377:14 incorporated 190:10 increase 17:1 233:5 increasing 166:19 incredible 180:21	information 15:10 18:13 22:8 23:20 28:12,17 52:16 59:18 59:21 60:3,6,15 82:2 83:17 84:21 85:13 86:8 87:2 147:7,17,20 148:3,11,13,20 149:5 149:19 150:1 151:20 152:11,16 154:11 160:21,22 161:1 162:14 163:9,16,22 164:8,22 165:2,4 171:1,5,8,15,15 173:1	77:15,18,20 79:14 95:6,16,17 115:6 119:13 120:11 131:4 131:7 132:8 135:5,13 intend 328:12 intended 38:6 54:16 78:8,9 104:4 112:7,8 122:8 141:17 227:16 328:10 363:9 intensifying 257:22 intent 53:13 95:7 181:22 199:15 223:9 225:17 248:21 363:7	involved 57:9 133:1 149:4 255:8 324:6 involves 186:3 249:3 276:5 338:12 Island 32:1 70:11 isn't 71:4 79:3 159:19 245:22 324:7 343:22 isolated 248:7 isolating 343:15 isolation 343:19 344:8 issue 23:9 61:13 62:2 78:22 93:14 97:3 102:3 115:10 151:15
236:16 241:15 321:1 321:22 323:20 339:17 371:17 373:12 inclusion 78:8 81:16 149:7 210:14 inconsistent 259:19 incorporate 56:17 177:1 199:3,12 377:14 incorporated 190:10 increase 17:1 233:5 increasing 166:19 incredible 180:21 incredibly 175:10 204:8	information 15:10 18:13 22:8 23:20 28:12,17 52:16 59:18 59:21 60:3,6,15 82:2 83:17 84:21 85:13 86:8 87:2 147:7,17,20 148:3,11,13,20 149:5 149:19 150:1 151:20 152:11,16 154:11 160:21,22 161:1 162:14 163:9,16,22 164:8,22 165:2,4 171:1,5,8,15,15 173:1 175:17 177:13,18,20	77:15,18,20 79:14 95:6,16,17 115:6 119:13 120:11 131:4 131:7 132:8 135:5,13 intend 328:12 intended 38:6 54:16 78:8,9 104:4 112:7,8 122:8 141:17 227:16 328:10 363:9 intensifying 257:22 intent 53:13 95:7 181:22 199:15 223:9 225:17 248:21 363:7 intentional 179:4	involved 57:9 133:1 149:4 255:8 324:6 involves 186:3 249:3 276:5 338:12 Island 32:1 70:11 isn't 71:4 79:3 159:19 245:22 324:7 343:22 isolated 248:7 isolating 343:15 isolation 343:19 344:8 issue 23:9 61:13 62:2 78:22 93:14 97:3 102:3 115:10 151:15 167:12,22 168:2
236:16 241:15 321:1 321:22 323:20 339:17 371:17 373:12 inclusion 78:8 81:16 149:7 210:14 inconsistent 259:19 incorporate 56:17 177:1 199:3,12 377:14 incorporated 190:10 increase 17:1 233:5 increasing 166:19 incredible 180:21 incredibly 175:10 204:8 249:19 301:7	information 15:10 18:13 22:8 23:20 28:12,17 52:16 59:18 59:21 60:3,6,15 82:2 83:17 84:21 85:13 86:8 87:2 147:7,17,20 148:3,11,13,20 149:5 149:19 150:1 151:20 152:11,16 154:11 160:21,22 161:1 162:14 163:9,16,22 164:8,22 165:2,4 171:1,5,8,15,15 173:1 175:17 177:13,18,20 177:22 178:6,18	77:15,18,20 79:14 95:6,16,17 115:6 119:13 120:11 131:4 131:7 132:8 135:5,13 intend 328:12 intended 38:6 54:16 78:8,9 104:4 112:7,8 122:8 141:17 227:16 328:10 363:9 intensifying 257:22 intent 53:13 95:7 181:22 199:15 223:9 225:17 248:21 363:7 intentional 179:4 320:16	involved 57:9 133:1 149:4 255:8 324:6 involves 186:3 249:3 276:5 338:12 Island 32:1 70:11 isn't 71:4 79:3 159:19 245:22 324:7 343:22 isolated 248:7 isolating 343:15 isolation 343:19 344:8 issue 23:9 61:13 62:2 78:22 93:14 97:3 102:3 115:10 151:15 167:12,22 168:2 169:19 187:8 190:21
236:16 241:15 321:1 321:22 323:20 339:17 371:17 373:12 inclusion 78:8 81:16 149:7 210:14 inconsistent 259:19 incorporate 56:17 177:1 199:3,12 377:14 incorporated 190:10 increase 17:1 233:5 increasing 166:19 incredible 180:21 incredibly 175:10 204:8 249:19 301:7 indicate 128:6	information 15:10 18:13 22:8 23:20 28:12,17 52:16 59:18 59:21 60:3,6,15 82:2 83:17 84:21 85:13 86:8 87:2 147:7,17,20 148:3,11,13,20 149:5 149:19 150:1 151:20 152:11,16 154:11 160:21,22 161:1 162:14 163:9,16,22 164:8,22 165:2,4 171:1,5,8,15,15 173:1 175:17 177:13,18,20 177:22 178:6,18 179:1 182:13 183:15	77:15,18,20 79:14 95:6,16,17 115:6 119:13 120:11 131:4 131:7 132:8 135:5,13 intend 328:12 intended 38:6 54:16 78:8,9 104:4 112:7,8 122:8 141:17 227:16 328:10 363:9 intensifying 257:22 intent 53:13 95:7 181:22 199:15 223:9 225:17 248:21 363:7 intentional 179:4 320:16 intentionally 54:3 157:7	involved 57:9 133:1 149:4 255:8 324:6 involves 186:3 249:3 276:5 338:12 Island 32:1 70:11 isn't 71:4 79:3 159:19 245:22 324:7 343:22 isolated 248:7 isolating 343:15 isolation 343:19 344:8 issue 23:9 61:13 62:2 78:22 93:14 97:3 102:3 115:10 151:15 167:12,22 168:2 169:19 187:8 190:21 197:10 203:17 204:21
236:16 241:15 321:1 321:22 323:20 339:17 371:17 373:12 inclusion 78:8 81:16 149:7 210:14 inconsistent 259:19 incorporate 56:17 177:1 199:3,12 377:14 incorporated 190:10 increase 17:1 233:5 increasing 166:19 incredible 180:21 incredibly 175:10 204:8 249:19 301:7 indicate 128:6 indicated 247:4	information 15:10 18:13 22:8 23:20 28:12,17 52:16 59:18 59:21 60:3,6,15 82:2 83:17 84:21 85:13 86:8 87:2 147:7,17,20 148:3,11,13,20 149:5 149:19 150:1 151:20 152:11,16 154:11 160:21,22 161:1 162:14 163:9,16,22 164:8,22 165:2,4 171:1,5,8,15,15 173:1 175:17 177:13,18,20 177:22 178:6,18 179:1 182:13 183:15 184:14 186:11 187:9	77:15,18,20 79:14 95:6,16,17 115:6 119:13 120:11 131:4 131:7 132:8 135:5,13 intend 328:12 intended 38:6 54:16 78:8,9 104:4 112:7,8 122:8 141:17 227:16 328:10 363:9 intensifying 257:22 intent 53:13 95:7 181:22 199:15 223:9 225:17 248:21 363:7 intentional 179:4 320:16 intentionally 54:3 157:7 interact 183:9	involved 57:9 133:1 149:4 255:8 324:6 involves 186:3 249:3 276:5 338:12 Island 32:1 70:11 isn't 71:4 79:3 159:19 245:22 324:7 343:22 isolated 248:7 isolating 343:15 isolation 343:19 344:8 issue 23:9 61:13 62:2 78:22 93:14 97:3 102:3 115:10 151:15 167:12,22 168:2 169:19 187:8 190:21 197:10 203:17 204:21 219:5 253:7,8 255:1,3
236:16 241:15 321:1 321:22 323:20 339:17 371:17 373:12 inclusion 78:8 81:16 149:7 210:14 inconsistent 259:19 incorporate 56:17 177:1 199:3,12 377:14 incorporated 190:10 increase 17:1 233:5 increasing 166:19 incredible 180:21 incredibly 175:10 204:8 249:19 301:7 indicate 128:6 indicated 247:4 indicates 86:13	information 15:10 18:13 22:8 23:20 28:12,17 52:16 59:18 59:21 60:3,6,15 82:2 83:17 84:21 85:13 86:8 87:2 147:7,17,20 148:3,11,13,20 149:5 149:19 150:1 151:20 152:11,16 154:11 160:21,22 161:1 162:14 163:9,16,22 164:8,22 165:2,4 171:1,5,8,15,15 173:1 175:17 177:13,18,20 177:22 178:6,18 179:1 182:13 183:15 184:14 186:11 187:9 194:15 195:5,15	77:15,18,20 79:14 95:6,16,17 115:6 119:13 120:11 131:4 131:7 132:8 135:5,13 intend 328:12 intended 38:6 54:16 78:8,9 104:4 112:7,8 122:8 141:17 227:16 328:10 363:9 intensifying 257:22 intent 53:13 95:7 181:22 199:15 223:9 225:17 248:21 363:7 intentional 179:4 320:16 intentionally 54:3 157:7 interact 183:9 interest 147:13 149:10	involved 57:9 133:1 149:4 255:8 324:6 involves 186:3 249:3 276:5 338:12 Island 32:1 70:11 isn't 71:4 79:3 159:19 245:22 324:7 343:22 isolated 248:7 isolating 343:15 isolation 343:19 344:8 issue 23:9 61:13 62:2 78:22 93:14 97:3 102:3 115:10 151:15 167:12,22 168:2 169:19 187:8 190:21 197:10 203:17 204:21 219:5 253:7,8 255:1,3 286:1 295:6 299:4
236:16 241:15 321:1 321:22 323:20 339:17 371:17 373:12 inclusion 78:8 81:16 149:7 210:14 inconsistent 259:19 incorporate 56:17 177:1 199:3,12 377:14 incorporated 190:10 increase 17:1 233:5 increasing 166:19 incredible 180:21 incredibly 175:10 204:8 249:19 301:7 indicate 128:6 indicated 247:4 indicates 86:13 indicating 261:9	information 15:10 18:13 22:8 23:20 28:12,17 52:16 59:18 59:21 60:3,6,15 82:2 83:17 84:21 85:13 86:8 87:2 147:7,17,20 148:3,11,13,20 149:5 149:19 150:1 151:20 152:11,16 154:11 160:21,22 161:1 162:14 163:9,16,22 164:8,22 165:2,4 171:1,5,8,15,15 173:1 175:17 177:13,18,20 177:22 178:6,18 179:1 182:13 183:15 184:14 186:11 187:9 194:15 195:5,15 197:4 209:3 210:20	77:15,18,20 79:14 95:6,16,17 115:6 119:13 120:11 131:4 131:7 132:8 135:5,13 intend 328:12 intended 38:6 54:16 78:8,9 104:4 112:7,8 122:8 141:17 227:16 328:10 363:9 intensifying 257:22 intent 53:13 95:7 181:22 199:15 223:9 225:17 248:21 363:7 intentional 179:4 320:16 intentionally 54:3 157:7 interact 183:9 interest 147:13 149:10 187:2 204:1	involved 57:9 133:1 149:4 255:8 324:6 involves 186:3 249:3 276:5 338:12 Island 32:1 70:11 isn't 71:4 79:3 159:19 245:22 324:7 343:22 isolated 248:7 isolating 343:15 isolation 343:19 344:8 issue 23:9 61:13 62:2 78:22 93:14 97:3 102:3 115:10 151:15 167:12,22 168:2 169:19 187:8 190:21 197:10 203:17 204:21 219:5 253:7,8 255:1,3 286:1 295:6 299:4 300:21 310:5 343:13
236:16 241:15 321:1 321:22 323:20 339:17 371:17 373:12 inclusion 78:8 81:16 149:7 210:14 inconsistent 259:19 incorporate 56:17 177:1 199:3,12 377:14 incorporated 190:10 increase 17:1 233:5 increasing 166:19 incredible 180:21 incredibly 175:10 204:8 249:19 301:7 indicate 128:6 indicated 247:4 indicates 86:13 indicating 261:9 indication 260:12	information 15:10 18:13 22:8 23:20 28:12,17 52:16 59:18 59:21 60:3,6,15 82:2 83:17 84:21 85:13 86:8 87:2 147:7,17,20 148:3,11,13,20 149:5 149:19 150:1 151:20 152:11,16 154:11 160:21,22 161:1 162:14 163:9,16,22 164:8,22 165:2,4 171:1,5,8,15,15 173:1 175:17 177:13,18,20 177:22 178:6,18 179:1 182:13 183:15 184:14 186:11 187:9 194:15 195:5,15 197:4 209:3 210:20 213:10,22 214:12	77:15,18,20 79:14 95:6,16,17 115:6 119:13 120:11 131:4 131:7 132:8 135:5,13 intend 328:12 intended 38:6 54:16 78:8,9 104:4 112:7,8 122:8 141:17 227:16 328:10 363:9 intensifying 257:22 intent 53:13 95:7 181:22 199:15 223:9 225:17 248:21 363:7 intentional 179:4 320:16 intentionally 54:3 157:7 interact 183:9 interest 147:13 149:10 187:2 204:1 interested 58:18 126:13	involved 57:9 133:1 149:4 255:8 324:6 involves 186:3 249:3 276:5 338:12 Island 32:1 70:11 isn't 71:4 79:3 159:19 245:22 324:7 343:22 isolated 248:7 isolating 343:15 isolation 343:19 344:8 issue 23:9 61:13 62:2 78:22 93:14 97:3 102:3 115:10 151:15 167:12,22 168:2 169:19 187:8 190:21 197:10 203:17 204:21 219:5 253:7,8 255:1,3 286:1 295:6 299:4 300:21 310:5 343:13 343:14 351:1 353:16
236:16 241:15 321:1 321:22 323:20 339:17 371:17 373:12 inclusion 78:8 81:16 149:7 210:14 inconsistent 259:19 incorporate 56:17 177:1 199:3,12 377:14 incorporated 190:10 increase 17:1 233:5 increasing 166:19 incredible 180:21 incredibly 175:10 204:8 249:19 301:7 indicate 128:6 indicated 247:4 indicates 86:13 indicating 261:9 indication 260:12 indications 172:21	information 15:10 18:13 22:8 23:20 28:12,17 52:16 59:18 59:21 60:3,6,15 82:2 83:17 84:21 85:13 86:8 87:2 147:7,17,20 148:3,11,13,20 149:5 149:19 150:1 151:20 152:11,16 154:11 160:21,22 161:1 162:14 163:9,16,22 164:8,22 165:2,4 171:1,5,8,15,15 173:1 175:17 177:13,18,20 177:22 178:6,18 179:1 182:13 183:15 184:14 186:11 187:9 194:15 195:5,15 197:4 209:3 210:20 213:10,22 214:12 238:18,22 239:5	77:15,18,20 79:14 95:6,16,17 115:6 119:13 120:11 131:4 131:7 132:8 135:5,13 intend 328:12 intended 38:6 54:16 78:8,9 104:4 112:7,8 122:8 141:17 227:16 328:10 363:9 intensifying 257:22 intent 53:13 95:7 181:22 199:15 223:9 225:17 248:21 363:7 intentional 179:4 320:16 intentionally 54:3 157:7 interact 183:9 interest 147:13 149:10 187:2 204:1 interested 58:18 126:13 148:9 195:11 291:11	involved 57:9 133:1 149:4 255:8 324:6 involves 186:3 249:3 276:5 338:12 Island 32:1 70:11 isn't 71:4 79:3 159:19 245:22 324:7 343:22 isolated 248:7 isolating 343:15 isolation 343:19 344:8 issue 23:9 61:13 62:2 78:22 93:14 97:3 102:3 115:10 151:15 167:12,22 168:2 169:19 187:8 190:21 197:10 203:17 204:21 219:5 253:7,8 255:1,3 286:1 295:6 299:4 300:21 310:5 343:13 343:14 351:1 353:16 360:14,14
236:16 241:15 321:1 321:22 323:20 339:17 371:17 373:12 inclusion 78:8 81:16 149:7 210:14 inconsistent 259:19 incorporate 56:17 177:1 199:3,12 377:14 incorporated 190:10 increase 17:1 233:5 increasing 166:19 incredible 180:21 incredibly 175:10 204:8 249:19 301:7 indicate 128:6 indicated 247:4 indicates 86:13 indicating 261:9 indication 260:12 indications 172:21 230:5	information 15:10 18:13 22:8 23:20 28:12,17 52:16 59:18 59:21 60:3,6,15 82:2 83:17 84:21 85:13 86:8 87:2 147:7,17,20 148:3,11,13,20 149:5 149:19 150:1 151:20 152:11,16 154:11 160:21,22 161:1 162:14 163:9,16,22 164:8,22 165:2,4 171:1,5,8,15,15 173:1 175:17 177:13,18,20 177:22 178:6,18 179:1 182:13 183:15 184:14 186:11 187:9 194:15 195:5,15 197:4 209:3 210:20 213:10,22 214:12 238:18,22 239:5 251:9,12 258:17	77:15,18,20 79:14 95:6,16,17 115:6 119:13 120:11 131:4 131:7 132:8 135:5,13 intend 328:12 intended 38:6 54:16 78:8,9 104:4 112:7,8 122:8 141:17 227:16 328:10 363:9 intensifying 257:22 intent 53:13 95:7 181:22 199:15 223:9 225:17 248:21 363:7 intentional 179:4 320:16 intentionally 54:3 157:7 interact 183:9 interest 147:13 149:10 187:2 204:1 interested 58:18 126:13 148:9 195:11 291:11 interesting 208:7	involved 57:9 133:1 149:4 255:8 324:6 involves 186:3 249:3 276:5 338:12 Island 32:1 70:11 isn't 71:4 79:3 159:19 245:22 324:7 343:22 isolated 248:7 isolating 343:15 isolation 343:19 344:8 issue 23:9 61:13 62:2 78:22 93:14 97:3 102:3 115:10 151:15 167:12,22 168:2 169:19 187:8 190:21 197:10 203:17 204:21 219:5 253:7,8 255:1,3 286:1 295:6 299:4 300:21 310:5 343:13 343:14 351:1 353:16 360:14,14 issued 251:10 261:9
236:16 241:15 321:1 321:22 323:20 339:17 371:17 373:12 inclusion 78:8 81:16 149:7 210:14 inconsistent 259:19 incorporate 56:17 177:1 199:3,12 377:14 incorporated 190:10 increase 17:1 233:5 increasing 166:19 incredible 180:21 incredibly 175:10 204:8 249:19 301:7 indicate 128:6 indicated 247:4 indicates 86:13 indicating 261:9 indications 172:21 230:5 indirect 257:19	information 15:10 18:13 22:8 23:20 28:12,17 52:16 59:18 59:21 60:3,6,15 82:2 83:17 84:21 85:13 86:8 87:2 147:7,17,20 148:3,11,13,20 149:5 149:19 150:1 151:20 152:11,16 154:11 160:21,22 161:1 162:14 163:9,16,22 164:8,22 165:2,4 171:1,5,8,15,15 173:1 175:17 177:13,18,20 177:22 178:6,18 179:1 182:13 183:15 184:14 186:11 187:9 194:15 195:5,15 197:4 209:3 210:20 213:10,22 214:12 238:18,22 239:5 251:9,12 258:17 270:12,15 339:15	77:15,18,20 79:14 95:6,16,17 115:6 119:13 120:11 131:4 131:7 132:8 135:5,13 intend 328:12 intended 38:6 54:16 78:8,9 104:4 112:7,8 122:8 141:17 227:16 328:10 363:9 intensifying 257:22 intent 53:13 95:7 181:22 199:15 223:9 225:17 248:21 363:7 intentional 179:4 320:16 intentionally 54:3 157:7 interact 183:9 interest 147:13 149:10 187:2 204:1 interested 58:18 126:13 148:9 195:11 291:11 interesting 208:7 interim 250:5,13	involved 57:9 133:1 149:4 255:8 324:6 involves 186:3 249:3 276:5 338:12 Island 32:1 70:11 isn't 71:4 79:3 159:19 245:22 324:7 343:22 isolated 248:7 isolating 343:15 isolation 343:19 344:8 issue 23:9 61:13 62:2 78:22 93:14 97:3 102:3 115:10 151:15 167:12,22 168:2 169:19 187:8 190:21 197:10 203:17 204:21 219:5 253:7,8 255:1,3 286:1 295:6 299:4 300:21 310:5 343:13 343:14 351:1 353:16 360:14,14 issued 251:10 261:9 issues 12:11,19 14:1
236:16 241:15 321:1 321:22 323:20 339:17 371:17 373:12 inclusion 78:8 81:16 149:7 210:14 inconsistent 259:19 incorporate 56:17 177:1 199:3,12 377:14 incorporated 190:10 increase 17:1 233:5 increasing 166:19 incredible 180:21 incredibly 175:10 204:8 249:19 301:7 indicate 128:6 indicated 247:4 indicates 86:13 indicating 261:9 indications 172:21 230:5 indirect 257:19 individual 52:9 228:10	information 15:10 18:13 22:8 23:20 28:12,17 52:16 59:18 59:21 60:3,6,15 82:2 83:17 84:21 85:13 86:8 87:2 147:7,17,20 148:3,11,13,20 149:5 149:19 150:1 151:20 152:11,16 154:11 160:21,22 161:1 162:14 163:9,16,22 164:8,22 165:2,4 171:1,5,8,15,15 173:1 175:17 177:13,18,20 177:22 178:6,18 179:1 182:13 183:15 184:14 186:11 187:9 194:15 195:5,15 197:4 209:3 210:20 213:10,22 214:12 238:18,22 239:5 251:9,12 258:17 270:12,15 339:15 341:15	77:15,18,20 79:14 95:6,16,17 115:6 119:13 120:11 131:4 131:7 132:8 135:5,13 intend 328:12 intended 38:6 54:16 78:8,9 104:4 112:7,8 122:8 141:17 227:16 328:10 363:9 intensifying 257:22 intent 53:13 95:7 181:22 199:15 223:9 225:17 248:21 363:7 intentional 179:4 320:16 intentionally 54:3 157:7 interact 183:9 interest 147:13 149:10 187:2 204:1 interested 58:18 126:13 148:9 195:11 291:11 interesting 208:7 interim 250:5,13 international 1:18	involved 57:9 133:1 149:4 255:8 324:6 involves 186:3 249:3 276:5 338:12 Island 32:1 70:11 isn't 71:4 79:3 159:19 245:22 324:7 343:22 isolated 248:7 isolating 343:15 isolation 343:19 344:8 issue 23:9 61:13 62:2 78:22 93:14 97:3 102:3 115:10 151:15 167:12,22 168:2 169:19 187:8 190:21 197:10 203:17 204:21 219:5 253:7,8 255:1,3 286:1 295:6 299:4 300:21 310:5 343:13 343:14 351:1 353:16 360:14,14 issued 251:10 261:9 issues 12:11,19 14:1 68:4 93:1,7 94:1,8
236:16 241:15 321:1 321:22 323:20 339:17 371:17 373:12 inclusion 78:8 81:16 149:7 210:14 inconsistent 259:19 incorporate 56:17 177:1 199:3,12 377:14 incorporated 190:10 increase 17:1 233:5 increasing 166:19 incredible 180:21 incredibly 175:10 204:8 249:19 301:7 indicate 128:6 indicated 247:4 indicates 86:13 indicating 261:9 indications 172:21 230:5 indirect 257:19 individual 52:9 228:10 238:12 303:6	information 15:10 18:13 22:8 23:20 28:12,17 52:16 59:18 59:21 60:3,6,15 82:2 83:17 84:21 85:13 86:8 87:2 147:7,17,20 148:3,11,13,20 149:5 149:19 150:1 151:20 152:11,16 154:11 160:21,22 161:1 162:14 163:9,16,22 164:8,22 165:2,4 171:1,5,8,15,15 173:1 175:17 177:13,18,20 177:22 178:6,18 179:1 182:13 183:15 184:14 186:11 187:9 194:15 195:5,15 197:4 209:3 210:20 213:10,22 214:12 238:18,22 239:5 251:9,12 258:17 270:12,15 339:15 341:15 informed 244:2	77:15,18,20 79:14 95:6,16,17 115:6 119:13 120:11 131:4 131:7 132:8 135:5,13 intend 328:12 intended 38:6 54:16 78:8,9 104:4 112:7,8 122:8 141:17 227:16 328:10 363:9 intensifying 257:22 intent 53:13 95:7 181:22 199:15 223:9 225:17 248:21 363:7 intentional 179:4 320:16 intentionally 54:3 157:7 interact 183:9 interest 147:13 149:10 187:2 204:1 interested 58:18 126:13 148:9 195:11 291:11 interesting 208:7 interim 250:5,13 international 1:18 375:22 376:2	involved 57:9 133:1 149:4 255:8 324:6 involves 186:3 249:3 276:5 338:12 Island 32:1 70:11 isn't 71:4 79:3 159:19 245:22 324:7 343:22 isolated 248:7 isolating 343:15 isolation 343:19 344:8 issue 23:9 61:13 62:2 78:22 93:14 97:3 102:3 115:10 151:15 167:12,22 168:2 169:19 187:8 190:21 197:10 203:17 204:21 219:5 253:7,8 255:1,3 286:1 295:6 299:4 300:21 310:5 343:13 343:14 351:1 353:16 360:14,14 issued 251:10 261:9 issues 12:11,19 14:1 68:4 93:1,7 94:1,8 100:17 145:16 167:13
236:16 241:15 321:1 321:22 323:20 339:17 371:17 373:12 inclusion 78:8 81:16 149:7 210:14 inconsistent 259:19 incorporate 56:17 177:1 199:3,12 377:14 incorporated 190:10 increase 17:1 233:5 increasing 166:19 incredible 180:21 incredibly 175:10 204:8 249:19 301:7 indicate 128:6 indicated 247:4 indicates 86:13 indicating 261:9 indications 172:21 230:5 indirect 257:19 individual 52:9 228:10 238:12 303:6 individuals 207:17	information 15:10 18:13 22:8 23:20 28:12,17 52:16 59:18 59:21 60:3,6,15 82:2 83:17 84:21 85:13 86:8 87:2 147:7,17,20 148:3,11,13,20 149:5 149:19 150:1 151:20 152:11,16 154:11 160:21,22 161:1 162:14 163:9,16,22 164:8,22 165:2,4 171:1,5,8,15,15 173:1 175:17 177:13,18,20 177:22 178:6,18 179:1 182:13 183:15 184:14 186:11 187:9 194:15 195:5,15 197:4 209:3 210:20 213:10,22 214:12 238:18,22 239:5 251:9,12 258:17 270:12,15 339:15 341:15 informed 244:2 infrastructure 18:14	77:15,18,20 79:14 95:6,16,17 115:6 119:13 120:11 131:4 131:7 132:8 135:5,13 intend 328:12 intended 38:6 54:16 78:8,9 104:4 112:7,8 122:8 141:17 227:16 328:10 363:9 intensifying 257:22 intent 53:13 95:7 181:22 199:15 223:9 225:17 248:21 363:7 intentional 179:4 320:16 intentionally 54:3 157:7 interact 183:9 interest 147:13 149:10 187:2 204:1 interested 58:18 126:13 148:9 195:11 291:11 interesting 208:7 interim 250:5,13 international 1:18 375:22 376:2 interpret 167:17 353:20	involved 57:9 133:1 149:4 255:8 324:6 involves 186:3 249:3 276:5 338:12 Island 32:1 70:11 isn't 71:4 79:3 159:19 245:22 324:7 343:22 isolated 248:7 isolating 343:15 isolation 343:19 344:8 issue 23:9 61:13 62:2 78:22 93:14 97:3 102:3 115:10 151:15 167:12,22 168:2 169:19 187:8 190:21 197:10 203:17 204:21 219:5 253:7,8 255:1,3 286:1 295:6 299:4 300:21 310:5 343:13 343:14 351:1 353:16 360:14,14 issued 251:10 261:9 issues 12:11,19 14:1 68:4 93:1,7 94:1,8 100:17 145:16 167:13 181:14 183:4 191:6
236:16 241:15 321:1 321:22 323:20 339:17 371:17 373:12 inclusion 78:8 81:16 149:7 210:14 inconsistent 259:19 incorporate 56:17 177:1 199:3,12 377:14 incorporated 190:10 increase 17:1 233:5 increasing 166:19 incredible 180:21 incredibly 175:10 204:8 249:19 301:7 indicate 128:6 indicated 247:4 indicates 86:13 indicating 261:9 indications 172:21 230:5 individual 52:9 228:10 238:12 303:6 individuals 207:17 industrial 241:12	information 15:10 18:13 22:8 23:20 28:12,17 52:16 59:18 59:21 60:3,6,15 82:2 83:17 84:21 85:13 86:8 87:2 147:7,17,20 148:3,11,13,20 149:5 149:19 150:1 151:20 152:11,16 154:11 160:21,22 161:1 162:14 163:9,16,22 164:8,22 165:2,4 171:1,5,8,15,15 173:1 175:17 177:13,18,20 177:22 178:6,18 179:1 182:13 183:15 184:14 186:11 187:9 194:15 195:5,15 197:4 209:3 210:20 213:10,22 214:12 238:18,22 239:5 251:9,12 258:17 270:12,15 339:15 341:15 informed 244:2 infrastructure 18:14 56:21 57:16 60:8 82:3	77:15,18,20 79:14 95:6,16,17 115:6 119:13 120:11 131:4 131:7 132:8 135:5,13 intend 328:12 intended 38:6 54:16 78:8,9 104:4 112:7,8 122:8 141:17 227:16 328:10 363:9 intensifying 257:22 intent 53:13 95:7 181:22 199:15 223:9 225:17 248:21 363:7 intentional 179:4 320:16 intentionally 54:3 157:7 interact 183:9 interest 147:13 149:10 187:2 204:1 interested 58:18 126:13 148:9 195:11 291:11 interesting 208:7 interim 250:5,13 international 1:18 375:22 376:2 interpret 167:17 353:20 353:21 368:13	involved 57:9 133:1 149:4 255:8 324:6 involves 186:3 249:3 276:5 338:12 Island 32:1 70:11 isn't 71:4 79:3 159:19 245:22 324:7 343:22 isolated 248:7 isolating 343:15 isolation 343:19 344:8 issue 23:9 61:13 62:2 78:22 93:14 97:3 102:3 115:10 151:15 167:12,22 168:2 169:19 187:8 190:21 197:10 203:17 204:21 219:5 253:7,8 255:1,3 286:1 295:6 299:4 300:21 310:5 343:13 343:14 351:1 353:16 360:14,14 issued 251:10 261:9 issues 12:11,19 14:1 68:4 93:1,7 94:1,8 100:17 145:16 167:13 181:14 183:4 191:6 192:11,11 193:1
236:16 241:15 321:1 321:22 323:20 339:17 371:17 373:12 inclusion 78:8 81:16 149:7 210:14 inconsistent 259:19 incorporate 56:17 177:1 199:3,12 377:14 incorporated 190:10 increase 17:1 233:5 increasing 166:19 incredible 180:21 incredibly 175:10 204:8 249:19 301:7 indicate 128:6 indicated 247:4 indicates 86:13 indicating 261:9 indications 172:21 230:5 indirect 257:19 individual 52:9 228:10 238:12 303:6 individuals 207:17 industrial 241:12 industry 37:2,3 40:1	information 15:10 18:13 22:8 23:20 28:12,17 52:16 59:18 59:21 60:3,6,15 82:2 83:17 84:21 85:13 86:8 87:2 147:7,17,20 148:3,11,13,20 149:5 149:19 150:1 151:20 152:11,16 154:11 160:21,22 161:1 162:14 163:9,16,22 164:8,22 165:2,4 171:1,5,8,15,15 173:1 175:17 177:13,18,20 177:22 178:6,18 179:1 182:13 183:15 184:14 186:11 187:9 194:15 195:5,15 197:4 209:3 210:20 213:10,22 214:12 238:18,22 239:5 251:9,12 258:17 270:12,15 339:15 341:15 informed 244:2 infrastructure 18:14 56:21 57:16 60:8 82:3 82:14,19 83:20 85:11	77:15,18,20 79:14 95:6,16,17 115:6 119:13 120:11 131:4 131:7 132:8 135:5,13 intend 328:12 intended 38:6 54:16 78:8,9 104:4 112:7,8 122:8 141:17 227:16 328:10 363:9 intensifying 257:22 intent 53:13 95:7 181:22 199:15 223:9 225:17 248:21 363:7 intentional 179:4 320:16 intentionally 54:3 157:7 interact 183:9 interest 147:13 149:10 187:2 204:1 interested 58:18 126:13 148:9 195:11 291:11 interesting 208:7 interim 250:5,13 international 1:18 375:22 376:2 interpret 167:17 353:20 353:21 368:13 interpretation 193:21	involved 57:9 133:1 149:4 255:8 324:6 involves 186:3 249:3 276:5 338:12 Island 32:1 70:11 isn't 71:4 79:3 159:19 245:22 324:7 343:22 isolated 248:7 isolating 343:15 isolation 343:19 344:8 issue 23:9 61:13 62:2 78:22 93:14 97:3 102:3 115:10 151:15 167:12,22 168:2 169:19 187:8 190:21 197:10 203:17 204:21 219:5 253:7,8 255:1,3 286:1 295:6 299:4 300:21 310:5 343:13 343:14 351:1 353:16 360:14,14 issued 251:10 261:9 issues 12:11,19 14:1 68:4 93:1,7 94:1,8 100:17 145:16 167:13 181:14 183:4 191:6 192:11,11 193:1 208:8 219:2 253:14
236:16 241:15 321:1 321:22 323:20 339:17 371:17 373:12 inclusion 78:8 81:16 149:7 210:14 inconsistent 259:19 incorporate 56:17 177:1 199:3,12 377:14 incorporated 190:10 increase 17:1 233:5 increasing 166:19 incredible 180:21 incredibly 175:10 204:8 249:19 301:7 indicate 128:6 indicated 247:4 indicates 86:13 indicating 261:9 indications 172:21 230:5 indirect 257:19 individual 52:9 228:10 238:12 303:6 individuals 207:17 industrial 241:12	information 15:10 18:13 22:8 23:20 28:12,17 52:16 59:18 59:21 60:3,6,15 82:2 83:17 84:21 85:13 86:8 87:2 147:7,17,20 148:3,11,13,20 149:5 149:19 150:1 151:20 152:11,16 154:11 160:21,22 161:1 162:14 163:9,16,22 164:8,22 165:2,4 171:1,5,8,15,15 173:1 175:17 177:13,18,20 177:22 178:6,18 179:1 182:13 183:15 184:14 186:11 187:9 194:15 195:5,15 197:4 209:3 210:20 213:10,22 214:12 238:18,22 239:5 251:9,12 258:17 270:12,15 339:15 341:15 informed 244:2 infrastructure 18:14 56:21 57:16 60:8 82:3	77:15,18,20 79:14 95:6,16,17 115:6 119:13 120:11 131:4 131:7 132:8 135:5,13 intend 328:12 intended 38:6 54:16 78:8,9 104:4 112:7,8 122:8 141:17 227:16 328:10 363:9 intensifying 257:22 intent 53:13 95:7 181:22 199:15 223:9 225:17 248:21 363:7 intentional 179:4 320:16 intentionally 54:3 157:7 interact 183:9 interest 147:13 149:10 187:2 204:1 interested 58:18 126:13 148:9 195:11 291:11 interesting 208:7 interim 250:5,13 international 1:18 375:22 376:2 interpret 167:17 353:20 353:21 368:13	involved 57:9 133:1 149:4 255:8 324:6 involves 186:3 249:3 276:5 338:12 Island 32:1 70:11 isn't 71:4 79:3 159:19 245:22 324:7 343:22 isolated 248:7 isolating 343:15 isolation 343:19 344:8 issue 23:9 61:13 62:2 78:22 93:14 97:3 102:3 115:10 151:15 167:12,22 168:2 169:19 187:8 190:21 197:10 203:17 204:21 219:5 253:7,8 255:1,3 286:1 295:6 299:4 300:21 310:5 343:13 343:14 351:1 353:16 360:14,14 issued 251:10 261:9 issues 12:11,19 14:1 68:4 93:1,7 94:1,8 100:17 145:16 167:13 181:14 183:4 191:6 192:11,11 193:1

335:21 337:3 350:16 352:3 365:13 368:8 it'll 153:6 206:7 257:4 it's 3:9 16:11 21:21 27:15 32:1,2 33:6 34:20 42:10 43:10 47:16 48:22 49:13,14 49:17 51:2,13 53:22 54:22 55:15 59:1 63:19 64:6,15,18 65:4 68:7 69:21,22 70:14 71:5 72:22 75:7,18 76:12,18,18,19 81:4 83:10 85:2 88:8 89:10 89:10,13,21 91:12 93:21 96:14 97:8 98:12 99:5 100:10 102:20 103:12.14 104:2,3,13 105:17 109:16 115:9,9 122:6 126:18 129:16,18 133:4,5,16,21,22 134:19,20 135:15 138:17 140:14 146:8 147:15,16 148:16,22 151:9 153:11 154:1 156:1,14,15 157:15 158:6 159:2,7 161:22 164:12,18 165:14 167:14 168:1,22 170:5,19 172:8,18 177:16 178:12 179:6 179:16 181:9,10,11 186:21,22 187:11 188:21 192:17 193:7 193:8,13 195:2 196:6 201:1,22 202:6 203:5 204:7,15,15,16 214:8 218:18 245:21 252:10 252:14 255:9 257:19 261:3 265:1 266:1,4 267:7,19 268:4,16,19 269:3 270:13,18 272:20.21 273:4.13 274:7 276:19 282:22 286:8 288:13,16 292:10 294:2,8,16 296:3,5,13 300:8 301:18 302:2 304:9,9 306:1 307:16 308:19 309:8 311:10,12 313:3 315:3 316:12 318:12 322:16,19 324:21 326:22 328:20 329:2 330:20 335:15 335:16 340:18 341:20 341:22 343:15,18 344:12,18 345:18

346:5 350:21 352:6 354:1,12,15 355:22 356:19 359:1 365:17 365:20,20 367:1,5,12 367:16 369:9,10,12 370:17 371:7 372:14 378:1,21 379:13 item 11:11 144:9,14 219:16 items 5:5 6:21

J

J 1:17 2:4 **Jagger** 2:8 10:10 **Janice** 10:7,10 Jaworski 2:15 249:7,8 **Jenny** 10:10 jeopardize 303:9 **job** 164:16,17 193:13 206:21 212:7 **John** 2:7 10:6,22 11:5 17:11 18:6.11 92:6 144:6 219:20 239:8 268:3 335:17 **Johnson** 2:8,16 15:9,15 15:16 255:19,20 257:15 joined 212:13 335:10 joint 255:3 JOSEPH 2:9 journalists 147:11 186:22 Juan 35:10 136:6 iudges 164:17 193:17 193:18 judicial 61:9 jump 15:2 117:19 220:6 **June** 379:11 jurisdiction 269:20,22 326:13,20,22 327:10 327:12 331:9 jurisdictional 95:12 248:4 319:20 326:11 jurisdictions 317:7 **justice** 233:7

Κ

justification 229:8

keep 5:16 22:14 24:11 54:20 64:6 76:14 93:21 99:7 130:3 210:11 214:6 keeping 305:5 keeps 93:3 kept 379:4 Kevin 2:17 246:7 key 80:12 killed 186:19 kilogram 230:3 293:14 298:2 kilograms 23:18,20 52:10,11 59:10 67:7 123:17 124:14 298:19 300:14 306:5 kind 11:16 13:22 17:18 30:15,16 31:15 32:16 33:12,15 34:9 35:20 39:19 40:6,8 44:2 46:1 54:16 60:6 61:3 64:17 65:3,10 66:4,5 72:14 74:12 76:22 78:19 95:19,22 102:4 102:6,9 103:5 106:19 107:3 109:6 110:20 112:18 113:3 115:17 128:21 129:5,13,19 133:4 135:21 136:9 146:17 150:14 166:15 173:3 174:9,21,22 178:13 186:17 187:12 188:4 199:2 200:1 203:21 212:10 218:22 240:3 274:3.4 277:13 299:12 300:8 304:1.7 313:1 317:16 319:19 320:6 341:14 362:17 363:3 370:9 371:11 Kinder 87:7 kinds 50:7 64:21 177:18 180:13 362:4 kit 369:11 KLESIN 2:9 knew 256:17.18 know 10:1 12:16 14:4,8 14:12,15,22 15:3 17:4 19:13 29:12,16,18 31:3 32:4,5 35:2,3 37:7 40:9,13 41:5,12 41:13 42:7 43:4,18 45:19 46:1,7 47:20 48:12 49:16 51:16 53:18 54:11 55:3 56:20 59:13,14,16 60:4,14 61:5,6,19 62:15 63:4 64:4,15 66:15 67:4,18 68:12 68:15,21 71:7 74:4,5 74:19 76:8 77:1,10 79:16 80:9 81:19 82:1 82:10,14,20 83:1,10 83:15 85:9,15,19 86:4 86:9 87:10,16 88:7,10 89:9,11 90:13,18 91:10,14 93:6,22 94:3 95:8,12 98:16,20,21 99:18,21 100:6 101:2

102:20 103:9,21,22 104:2,17 105:5,7,14 105:16 106:8,11 107:18 108:13 109:5 109:18 110:7,9,15,22 111:11 112:3,20 113:17 115:7 117:3 117:20 118:4,20 119:4 120:10,15 121:11 122:1 123:1 123:17 125:16,22 126:2,13,21 128:5 129:14,17,19 132:7 132:10 134:4,11 135:16 136:8,13,22 146:4,12,16 147:5,22 148:6,15,19,21 149:2 149:8,22 150:2,9,9,13 151:6,17,20,20 152:7 152:20 153:15,21 154:8,11 155:2,4,9,19 155:21 156:1,17 157:16,18 158:15,17 158:17 159:3,5,7,20 159:21 160:5,12,14 162:9,11,13 163:2,7,8 163:12 164:4 165:11 167:2,9,13 169:10,17 174:10 175:2,3,19,19 175:22 177:9,12 178:17 179:5 181:15 181:20 182:4,17 183:7,15 184:6,9 185:18 186:4,9 187:4 188:6,11 189:11,14 191:5 192:15 195:10 195:17,22 196:4,12 196:17 197:2,18 200:5,11,13 201:7 205:1,5,9,13 206:6 207:18 208:3,17 212:9,12,22 213:17 213:18 214:1,10,13 242:7,10 245:12 252:22 256:11 263:4 264:19,22 266:13 268:2,7 269:2 270:10 270:15 273:5,7,15 275:9 276:10 277:16 278:10 279:13,20 280:8,13,15,19,22 281:7,18 282:2 283:3 284:2,6,22 285:12,18 285:22 286:8,16,21 287:9 289:21 290:4 290:13 291:10 294:10 294:11,15 295:21,21 296:5 297:21,22

298:4,15 299:17,19 300:6,9 301:6,15,17 302:8 303:8,11,15 307:11,13 309:18,22 310:18 317:16 318:12 318:15 322:8,8,9,10 325:13 327:14 328:13 329:4,6 338:14,16,20 339:14,17 340:13,14 341:3,12 342:16,19 343:5 344:19 346:20 347:1,14 351:1,6,7 352:11 355:7,15,18 355:22 357:8,10 360:17 361:22 364:15 365:14 366:12,14 367:2 368:16 369:6 369:20,21 371:3,16 371:20,21 378:7 379:19,20 **knowing** 12:10 97:16 213:8 303:10 knowledge 54:9 86:9 258:10,12 360:17 knowledgeable 87:11 known 220:17 knows 14:13 192:14 Kochman 2:16 245:7,7

L 2:2 lab 243:9 **label** 180:7 Laboratory 237:6 lack 160:3 205:21 206:2 237:15 243:19 364:22 lady 257:13 laid 59:18 land 169:4 **landing** 169:19 landowner 255:22 landowners 179:19 landscape 63:11 Lang 2:17 246:6,7 language 71:19 91:5 92:7,18,20 97:19,21 98:17 101:7 102:4,13 103:1,5 106:16 107:15 128:5 131:14 140:1 159:9 161:18 164:13 165:14 167:11 168:14,17 169:2 170:2,14,22 198:5,10 200:1,4,5,6,21 201:5 206:20 225:13,15,16 248:11,12 270:3 271:11 276:2,14 288:10 290:18 291:11

291:20 294:20,22 296:2,8,11,20 300:5 302:15 303:15 304:2 306:17 311:19 315:2 315:21 316:11 317:8 320:11,13,17,18 321:7 322:15,16 327:17 333:18 339:22 340:6 347:20 350:2 350:17 352:22 357:9 358:3,15,20 359:6 360:12 361:22 362:7 362:20 363:5 367:19 371:8,8,21

large 20:18 35:16 36:21 41:18 46:6 47:19 51:10,13,22 54:14 57:12 68:11 80:22 87:12 107:20 115:13 135:18 236:6 240:12 249:20 252:6 281:1 284:1,5,6,12 290:11 291:2 294:17 296:2 299:20 307:2 310:17 368:22

large-scale 240:11 277:15 278:9 280:4,9 280:11,14 299:7 307:5 347:1,3 349:5,7 349:15 362:9

largely 67:19 81:14 291:6 367:3

larger 31:9 33:7,7 34:6 34:7,10 37:9 41:7 45:21,21 46:4 53:12 54:14 70:22 73:1 75:9 80:15 81:1 183:22 209:4,20 211:9,14,19 largest 36:7,7 70:19,19

90:15 241:13,17 253:1 277:17 340:22

lastly 6:8 260:6 launched 89:12 LAUREN 2:7

law 1:19 40:14 146:3,9 146:17 151:5 165:14 167:14 170:20 183:16 193:11

lawyer 167:15 lawyers 193:17 layer 155:16 190:4 215:19 layering 190:12

laying 65:5 lays 117:22 LDAR 13:3,4 15:1 25:2

25:3 52:3,4 124:4 126:19 141:10 259:15 260:2 313:14 315:14 316:3 318:21 320:4 LDC 255:11 LDCs 253:1 377:8 lead 211:13 leadership 85:10,15 leading 56:9 leak 10:20 12:6,12,21

12:22 13:1,12,13,14

18:21,21 22:8,10 23:7

27:2,6,8,12,21 28:1,2
28:15,19 32:13 37:19
42:9,13 44:12 45:4
51:15,17 56:18 58:1
58:12,15 63:13 68:20
70:7,13 72:1 81:3,4,5
81:17,20 83:12,17
85:6 86:3 87:17 88:2
94:14 95:10 104:11
104:18 105:4,18
109:21 116:9,9
122:17,18,22 123:7
131:1,17 132:9
146:10 156:13 166:22

173:2 176:15 180:19 185:5 189:22 192:15 192:17 195:14 196:22 202:14 207:7 220:17 222:1,7 227:18 228:13,18 229:1,15 229:20 230:5,7 233:2 233:10,16 234:21

167:3,20 172:21

240:4,15,17 242:15 242:19 243:4,6,11,20 247:6,9 249:3,15 250:22 251:8 252:16 253:19 254:3,7 258:3

235:6,9,18 236:1,5,9

236:10,16,21 238:4

259:11 261:6,14,21 262:8,12 264:14 266:15 272:2,8,16 273:11 276:9 283:1.5

273:11 276:9 283:1,5 283:6 288:18 302:20 304:4 305:2 308:9,22 309:19,21 311:18

314:6 333:8 leakage 19:1 22:16 27:16 82:6 128:2 138:6,15 139:1

141:13,21 211:15 220:10 221:1,7,10,11 223:10 226:7,11,13 227:5,7,13,14,22 228:17 229:11,21 230:2,7 231:5 237:16 249:10 250:2 260:7 267:1,6,10 269:9 297:11,12 298:16 321:19 323:1,10 330:13 331:1 332:15 332:19 335:21 336:10 336:22

leaking 68:14 70:2 leaks 12:7 22:13,17,22 23:2,12,13,17,19 27:9 52:9,12 53:10,15 54:14 56:2,12 57:3,17 57:20 58:6,8 59:1,4 60:1,13,18 64:15 65:15 72:4 81:1 84:22 85:8,11,17,21 86:6 94:2 105:6 110:10 122:3 132:17 156:13 157:4 185:9 192:18 194:20,21 195:8 196:3,10,19 221:8 222:9 223:12 227:22 228:5,6,15 229:6,9 232:21 233:13 234:6 237:4 240:9,22 241:1 242:5,8,21 249:11,22 250:4 252:13 253:22

250:4 252:13 253:22 255:4 258:12 261:7 261:17 296:18 297:3 297:4 303:6 305:1 308:11,12 309:5 310:2 311:14 312:14 312:15 313:10 leaning 200:17 learn 75:18 90:14

leave 6:20 130:7 193:17 234:8 275:3 358:17 leaving 283:8 375:15 left 4:10,14,17 5:1 10:18 11:1 28:10 335:21

learning 113:1 153:19

181:17

learnings 43:18

legacy 213:18 legal 73:4,6,10,12 145:4 145:16 148:17 164:14 164:15 165:6,12 167:13 168:15 169:5 179:5 193:20 198:8

legislation 156:19 166:17 legislative 194:2 legitimate 73:10 legitimately 73:16

legend 376:7

LEL 230:6 length 62:7 198:9 296:15

lengthen 132:12
lengthy 130:2
lens 190:3,3
let's 10:16,22 67:6
91:17 126:3 169:20
184:20 185:8,8,9
189:6 197:11 212:8
220:7 314:15 317:6
337:19
letter 228:9
level 20:4 72:14 127:10
158:19 179:3,15
189:1,4 235:11 248:6
273:8 274:8 276:20
277:8 292:9 299:15
304:1 317:11 361:12
leveraging 290:3
license 94:15
lieu 222:17
life 257:2,8
lift 150:13,17 151:1,10
151:10
lifted 361:22 368:22
light 126:5 199:15
liked 101:4 106:19
367:19
limit 5:14 224:5 304:12
306:13 314:9 331:10
333:10
line:tetien 70.4
limitation 79:1
limitations 89:4,20
limitations 89:4,20 352:15
limitations 89:4,20 352:15 limited 43:10 65:16
limitations 89:4,20 352:15 limited 43:10 65:16 232:13 233:11
limitations 89:4,20 352:15 limited 43:10 65:16 232:13 233:11
limitations 89:4,20 352:15 limited 43:10 65:16 232:13 233:11 limiting 199:2 305:21
limitations 89:4,20 352:15 limited 43:10 65:16 232:13 233:11 limiting 199:2 305:21 limits 300:18
limitations 89:4,20 352:15 limited 43:10 65:16 232:13 233:11 limiting 199:2 305:21 limits 300:18 line 19:16 20:7 25:9
limitations 89:4,20 352:15 limited 43:10 65:16 232:13 233:11 limiting 199:2 305:21 limits 300:18 line 19:16 20:7 25:9 33:17,18,20,20 58:2,2
limitations 89:4,20 352:15 limited 43:10 65:16 232:13 233:11 limiting 199:2 305:21 limits 300:18 line 19:16 20:7 25:9 33:17,18,20,20 58:2,2 58:5 62:17 150:9
limitations 89:4,20 352:15 limited 43:10 65:16 232:13 233:11 limiting 199:2 305:21 limits 300:18 line 19:16 20:7 25:9 33:17,18,20,20 58:2,2 58:5 62:17 150:9 157:6,19 158:8
limitations 89:4,20 352:15 limited 43:10 65:16 232:13 233:11 limiting 199:2 305:21 limits 300:18 line 19:16 20:7 25:9 33:17,18,20,20 58:2,2 58:5 62:17 150:9
limitations 89:4,20 352:15 limited 43:10 65:16 232:13 233:11 limiting 199:2 305:21 limits 300:18 line 19:16 20:7 25:9 33:17,18,20,20 58:2,2 58:5 62:17 150:9 157:6,19 158:8 160:19 179:19 180:6
limitations 89:4,20 352:15 limited 43:10 65:16 232:13 233:11 limiting 199:2 305:21 limits 300:18 line 19:16 20:7 25:9 33:17,18,20,20 58:2,2 58:5 62:17 150:9 157:6,19 158:8 160:19 179:19 180:6 209:9 211:17,17
limitations 89:4,20 352:15 limited 43:10 65:16 232:13 233:11 limiting 199:2 305:21 limits 300:18 line 19:16 20:7 25:9 33:17,18,20,20 58:2,2 58:5 62:17 150:9 157:6,19 158:8 160:19 179:19 180:6 209:9 211:17,17 239:17 254:10 278:11
limitations 89:4,20 352:15 limited 43:10 65:16 232:13 233:11 limiting 199:2 305:21 limits 300:18 line 19:16 20:7 25:9 33:17,18,20,20 58:2,2 58:5 62:17 150:9 157:6,19 158:8 160:19 179:19 180:6 209:9 211:17,17 239:17 254:10 278:11 279:8,11 285:3,3
limitations 89:4,20 352:15 limited 43:10 65:16 232:13 233:11 limiting 199:2 305:21 limits 300:18 line 19:16 20:7 25:9 33:17,18,20,20 58:2,2 58:5 62:17 150:9 157:6,19 158:8 160:19 179:19 180:6 209:9 211:17,17 239:17 254:10 278:11 279:8,11 285:3,3 286:5 330:13 336:5
limitations 89:4,20 352:15 limited 43:10 65:16 232:13 233:11 limiting 199:2 305:21 limits 300:18 line 19:16 20:7 25:9 33:17,18,20,20 58:2,2 58:5 62:17 150:9 157:6,19 158:8 160:19 179:19 180:6 209:9 211:17,17 239:17 254:10 278:11 279:8,11 285:3,3
limitations 89:4,20 352:15 limited 43:10 65:16 232:13 233:11 limiting 199:2 305:21 limits 300:18 line 19:16 20:7 25:9 33:17,18,20,20 58:2,2 58:5 62:17 150:9 157:6,19 158:8 160:19 179:19 180:6 209:9 211:17,17 239:17 254:10 278:11 279:8,11 285:3,3 286:5 330:13 336:5 338:2
limitations 89:4,20 352:15 limited 43:10 65:16 232:13 233:11 limiting 199:2 305:21 limits 300:18 line 19:16 20:7 25:9 33:17,18,20,20 58:2,2 58:5 62:17 150:9 157:6,19 158:8 160:19 179:19 180:6 209:9 211:17,17 239:17 254:10 278:11 279:8,11 285:3,3 286:5 330:13 336:5 338:2 linear 30:8 31:17 33:20
limitations 89:4,20 352:15 limited 43:10 65:16 232:13 233:11 limiting 199:2 305:21 limits 300:18 line 19:16 20:7 25:9 33:17,18,20,20 58:2,2 58:5 62:17 150:9 157:6,19 158:8 160:19 179:19 180:6 209:9 211:17,17 239:17 254:10 278:11 279:8,11 285:3,3 286:5 330:13 336:5 338:2 linear 30:8 31:17 33:20 47:6,11 64:1,19
limitations 89:4,20 352:15 limited 43:10 65:16 232:13 233:11 limiting 199:2 305:21 limits 300:18 line 19:16 20:7 25:9 33:17,18,20,20 58:2,2 58:5 62:17 150:9 157:6,19 158:8 160:19 179:19 180:6 209:9 211:17,17 239:17 254:10 278:11 279:8,11 285:3,3 286:5 330:13 336:5 338:2 linear 30:8 31:17 33:20 47:6,11 64:1,19 lines 18:20 19:1,3,7,9
limitations 89:4,20 352:15 limited 43:10 65:16 232:13 233:11 limiting 199:2 305:21 limits 300:18 line 19:16 20:7 25:9 33:17,18,20,20 58:2,2 58:5 62:17 150:9 157:6,19 158:8 160:19 179:19 180:6 209:9 211:17,17 239:17 254:10 278:11 279:8,11 285:3,3 286:5 330:13 336:5 338:2 linear 30:8 31:17 33:20 47:6,11 64:1,19 lines 18:20 19:1,3,7,9 19:13,15,18 20:8,10
limitations 89:4,20 352:15 limited 43:10 65:16 232:13 233:11 limiting 199:2 305:21 limits 300:18 line 19:16 20:7 25:9 33:17,18,20,20 58:2,2 58:5 62:17 150:9 157:6,19 158:8 160:19 179:19 180:6 209:9 211:17,17 239:17 254:10 278:11 279:8,11 285:3,3 286:5 330:13 336:5 338:2 linear 30:8 31:17 33:20 47:6,11 64:1,19 lines 18:20 19:1,3,7,9 19:13,15,18 20:8,10 20:11,17,19,22 21:4,7
limitations 89:4,20 352:15 limited 43:10 65:16 232:13 233:11 limiting 199:2 305:21 limits 300:18 line 19:16 20:7 25:9 33:17,18,20,20 58:2,2 58:5 62:17 150:9 157:6,19 158:8 160:19 179:19 180:6 209:9 211:17,17 239:17 254:10 278:11 279:8,11 285:3,3 286:5 330:13 336:5 338:2 linear 30:8 31:17 33:20 47:6,11 64:1,19 lines 18:20 19:1,3,7,9 19:13,15,18 20:8,10
limitations 89:4,20 352:15 limited 43:10 65:16 232:13 233:11 limiting 199:2 305:21 limits 300:18 line 19:16 20:7 25:9 33:17,18,20,20 58:2,2 58:5 62:17 150:9 157:6,19 158:8 160:19 179:19 180:6 209:9 211:17,17 239:17 254:10 278:11 279:8,11 285:3,3 286:5 330:13 336:5 338:2 linear 30:8 31:17 33:20 47:6,11 64:1,19 lines 18:20 19:1,3,7,9 19:13,15,18 20:8,10 20:11,17,19,22 21:4,7 23:3,4,7 24:9,14,16
limitations 89:4,20 352:15 limited 43:10 65:16 232:13 233:11 limiting 199:2 305:21 limits 300:18 line 19:16 20:7 25:9 33:17,18,20,20 58:2,2 58:5 62:17 150:9 157:6,19 158:8 160:19 179:19 180:6 209:9 211:17,17 239:17 254:10 278:11 279:8,11 285:3,3 286:5 330:13 336:5 338:2 linear 30:8 31:17 33:20 47:6,11 64:1,19 lines 18:20 19:1,3,7,9 19:13,15,18 20:8,10 20:11,17,19,22 21:4,7 23:3,4,7 24:9,14,16 24:22 25:11,16,21,22
limitations 89:4,20 352:15 limited 43:10 65:16 232:13 233:11 limiting 199:2 305:21 limits 300:18 line 19:16 20:7 25:9 33:17,18,20,20 58:2,2 58:5 62:17 150:9 157:6,19 158:8 160:19 179:19 180:6 209:9 211:17,17 239:17 254:10 278:11 279:8,11 285:3,3 286:5 330:13 336:5 338:2 linear 30:8 31:17 33:20 47:6,11 64:1,19 lines 18:20 19:1,3,7,9 19:13,15,18 20:8,10 20:11,17,19,22 21:4,7 23:3,4,7 24:9,14,16 24:22 25:11,16,21,22 26:2,4 28:20 30:4
limitations 89:4,20 352:15 limited 43:10 65:16 232:13 233:11 limiting 199:2 305:21 limits 300:18 line 19:16 20:7 25:9 33:17,18,20,20 58:2,2 58:5 62:17 150:9 157:6,19 158:8 160:19 179:19 180:6 209:9 211:17,17 239:17 254:10 278:11 279:8,11 285:3,3 286:5 330:13 336:5 338:2 linear 30:8 31:17 33:20 47:6,11 64:1,19 lines 18:20 19:1,3,7,9 19:13,15,18 20:8,10 20:11,17,19,22 21:4,7 23:3,4,7 24:9,14,16 24:22 25:11,16,21,22 26:2,4 28:20 30:4 31:8,10 32:21 33:1,2
limitations 89:4,20 352:15 limited 43:10 65:16 232:13 233:11 limiting 199:2 305:21 limits 300:18 line 19:16 20:7 25:9 33:17,18,20,20 58:2,2 58:5 62:17 150:9 157:6,19 158:8 160:19 179:19 180:6 209:9 211:17,17 239:17 254:10 278:11 279:8,11 285:3,3 286:5 330:13 336:5 338:2 linear 30:8 31:17 33:20 47:6,11 64:1,19 lines 18:20 19:1,3,7,9 19:13,15,18 20:8,10 20:11,17,19,22 21:4,7 23:3,4,7 24:9,14,16 24:22 25:11,16,21,22 26:2,4 28:20 30:4 31:8,10 32:21 33:1,2 35:12 38:22 39:2,10
limitations 89:4,20 352:15 limited 43:10 65:16 232:13 233:11 limiting 199:2 305:21 limits 300:18 line 19:16 20:7 25:9 33:17,18,20,20 58:2,2 58:5 62:17 150:9 157:6,19 158:8 160:19 179:19 180:6 209:9 211:17,17 239:17 254:10 278:11 279:8,11 285:3,3 286:5 330:13 336:5 338:2 linear 30:8 31:17 33:20 47:6,11 64:1,19 lines 18:20 19:1,3,7,9 19:13,15,18 20:8,10 20:11,17,19,22 21:4,7 23:3,4,7 24:9,14,16 24:22 25:11,16,21,22 26:2,4 28:20 30:4 31:8,10 32:21 33:1,2 35:12 38:22 39:2,10 52:2 61:8 63:5 71:20
limitations 89:4,20 352:15 limited 43:10 65:16 232:13 233:11 limiting 199:2 305:21 limits 300:18 line 19:16 20:7 25:9 33:17,18,20,20 58:2,2 58:5 62:17 150:9 157:6,19 158:8 160:19 179:19 180:6 209:9 211:17,17 239:17 254:10 278:11 279:8,11 285:3,3 286:5 330:13 336:5 338:2 linear 30:8 31:17 33:20 47:6,11 64:1,19 lines 18:20 19:1,3,7,9 19:13,15,18 20:8,10 20:11,17,19,22 21:4,7 23:3,4,7 24:9,14,16 24:22 25:11,16,21,22 26:2,4 28:20 30:4 31:8,10 32:21 33:1,2 35:12 38:22 39:2,10

83:18 84:6 85:14.17 86:2,12 88:5 104:13 110:1,4 111:9 133:6 138:22 139:16 140:6 141:7,20 144:15 145:1,9,9,10 146:6,7 146:8 149:17,20 153:17 155:16 157:8 157:8 158:3,5 160:13 161:1 165:16,17 172:2,14,17 173:4 177:8 178:17 180:3 181:9,14 182:1,5 185:9 187:14 197:16 202:11 205:16 208:18 209:7,19,22 210:5,6 210:14,15,18,22 211:10 212:15,17,22 213:9 217:1 234:16 236:20 238:6 252:13 252:14,17 254:12 260:1 278:4,14 287:3 298:5 314:2 333:5 338:11 340:1 341:12 343:15 345:4 lining 290:2 link 6:3 161:22 linked 177:5 192:12 liquefaction 240:11 277:15 278:17 280:5 280:9,11,14 293:8,11 293:16,20 294:18 299:7 340:16 346:21 347:1 349:5,7,16 362:10 369:13 liquefied 219:17 220:19 265:10 313:10 332:16 **liquefy** 283:17 liquid 78:14 158:5 188:8 365:20 **Liquide** 2:18 260:17,19 261:10 262:6 **liquids** 188:6 list 182:14 215:16 342:2 listed 371:19 372:10 373:14 listen 6:4 listening 182:10 298:1 lists 147:10 literally 31:3 32:6 54:2 66:1 76:3 litigate 61:12 little 14:4 16:21 29:8 32:16 35:11 49:18 57:4 72:15 74:10 96:19 102:2 116:20

120:22 121:8 123:16

128:2 151:10 158:10

162:2 176:13 209:2 245:21 247:3 257:17 267:21 273:4 283:22 301:13,21,22 325:3 355:6 363:6 live 158:18 162:17,18 187:6 256:3,4 lives 187:11 **living** 178:9 **LLC** 87:8 **LNG** 12:15 13:19 14:18 220:6,8,21 221:3,7,9 222:19 223:1,4,7,10 223:12,14,19,22 224:20 225:14 226:6 226:7,14,18 227:8,16 228:5,6,11,15 229:3,8 229:13,16,21 230:12 230:13 231:1 240:6 244:12,16 246:15 247:12 249:8,18,22 250:6,8 253:4,15,18 254:7,8,15,17 258:22 259:10,14 260:4,9,13 262:17 263:8,13 264:10 265:20 266:2 266:14 267:18 268:6 268:17,22 269:7,9 272:2 274:4 276:3 277:14,17,19 278:3,8 278:9 279:20 280:12 280:13,20 281:5,21 282:9,20 283:15,18 283:19 285:1,4,11 287:22 288:2.19 292:14,17 293:2,5 297:22 298:16 299:20 301:12,14 303:3,9 304:22 306:4 307:2 307:12 309:17 310:17 312:7 320:21 321:20 323:2,19 326:3 331:3 332:20 335:21 336:3 337:22 338:15.19 339:8,11,12,16 341:2 341:13 342:6,20 343:2,18 344:6,12,20 345:6,17,21,21 346:1 346:4,6,9,12 347:3,16 347:22 348:4,15,20 349:13,20 350:8 351:7 352:9,14 353:14 354:9,14,19 355:8,17 356:14,19 357:8 358:10 359:19 360:6 361:19 362:18 363:1,22 365:19 366:2,22 367:7 368:5

368:19.20 369:12.21 370:6 371:4 372:8 373:12 loading 293:5 **lobby** 4:18 **local** 154:3 159:1 176:21 178:8 187:2 195:11 247:1 **locate** 180:8 located 4:8 153:22 174:20 187:4 213:1,9 241:18 278:10 285:1 346:22 locating 157:20 180:9 location 13:6 14:21 15:2 25:12 56:12 110:20 141:19 162:14 175:15 177:14 222:14 254:14 282:22 284:19 286:10,11 287:3 locational 148:10 locations 19:19 147:8 157:7 214:3 286:20 290:14 308:14 lock 174:4 366:20 locks 360:8 **logic** 149:3 284:2 long 30:4,5 31:17 33:20 64:10 105:13 120:15 175:11 310:10 311:12 372:12 375:18 **Longan** 1:20 8:15,16 142:16,17 165:9 168:10,11,12 197:19 197:20 205:18 206:18 217:17,18 334:4,5 359:9,10,10 363:12 364:5 370:21 373:2,3 374:7,8 longer 111:6 117:14 139:5 193:4 longest 132:13 Lonn 2:17 252:20,20 look 26:12 32:14 41:4 49:14,20 52:5 71:11 73:13,16 75:16,16,19 76:13 82:11 86:19 87:1 96:11 103:19 104:20.21 105:12.20 110:1 155:16 161:12 190:16 191:5 192:13 198:11 206:9 209:19 212:1 247:17 285:15 311:5 324:2 330:10 348:12 352:6 353:15 354:15 362:21,22 365:2 372:15 377:2 looked 62:16 76:21

79:14 150:15 162:1 212:16 265:8 355:7 looking 31:21 32:11 44:10 45:3 48:13 59:16 64:21 65:19 69:1 99:7 109:6 137:21 155:15 164:20 174:8,21 194:1 199:16 213:2 222:20 247:22 249:1 277:4 286:7 296:2 297:10 298:14 300:5 305:3,8 305:12 323:22 324:9 326:17 327:1,9,17 331:6 350:18 356:21 366:8 371:1,12 377:10 looks 42:10 47:15,17 47:18 49:16 126:4 340:5 **loop** 317:3 **losing** 210:13 loss 84:12 lost 134:17 305:16 **lot** 4:22 5:2 27:17 29:13 36:2 40:6 43:22 48:2 51:13.18 52:13 53:1 53:15 60:11 62:14 69:16 75:11 77:9 82:20 83:16 88:8,10 89:9 110:11 115:9 120:22 121:1 122:3 132:20 137:3 149:2 154:11 158:2 160:20 165:19 177:20 180:15 183:5,6,18 184:6 187:1 190:12 193:14 196:20 201:22 205:13 207:4,8 208:6 245:13 253:10 263:5 277:18 286:6,18 290:6 293:20 297:22 304:8 305:1 342:13,16,21 365:18 lots 65:5,5 125:15 193:20 Louisiana 260:21 **love** 111:13 349:3 **low** 19:21 237:8 low-stress 158:4,8 210:22 lower 22:18 75:6 209:22 234:12 237:21 290:18 344:7 346:4 353:12 lowering 347:11 **LPAC** 379:14 luck 379:22

lull 313:2 lunch 92:10 126:10 143:21

М main 193:8 246:12 **mainline** 349:20 maintain 6:9 maintenance 12:20 13:12 221:9 302:4 307:6 majority 22:15 37:8 101:12 128:16 206:1 249:21 makeup 186:18 making 59:20 68:13 83:4 98:10 104:17 186:6 192:21 204:11 204:12 277:3 282:7 287:7 290:6 326:18 350:21 356:1 378:8 378:13.13 makings 191:21 251:13 male 256:14 malfunctions 246:19 manage 67:20 77:22 183:8,8 184:4 187:10 188:16,19 354:19 managed 213:22 management 77:15,19 77:20 79:15,22 95:6 95:17,18 115:6 119:14 120:11 131:4 131:7 132:8 135:5,13 354:9 manager 2:9 251:20 manages 87:22 managing 35:2 48:14 48:19 85:10 154:1 187:20,22 mandate 24:18 25:8 223:20 231:14 243:19 344:20 353:2,14 mandates 11:21 190:12 191:17 192:1 243:16 mandating 254:7 349:12 manned 229:4 manner 117:15 manual 25:21 296:13 **manuals** 19:6 manufacturers 227:17 **MAOP** 19:22 20:2,4 172:3 211:12

map 29:19 30:1,18

66:18 152:2,12

185:20

mapped 210:6

mapping 19:10 152:17 153:6,11 156:10 157:1 158:20 159:5 162:13 166:1,8 173:3 175:14 186:3,9,20 200:9 205:15 208:15 213:11 maps 41:12 56:14 57:22 59:17 60:4 117:9 148:7 160:12 188:10,12 March 1:8 238:19 377:19 margins 237:21 **Maria** 10:8 mark 2:8 15:9,13,14,16 289:4 marked 4:9 market 76:3 280:11 markets 237:22 280:3 marking 179:19 180:6 211:17,17 marks 234:8 marriage 319:20 marry 288:1 Mary 111:4 mashed 107:16 **massive** 59:1,2 120:9 131:1 301:7 347:5 Massoud 10:6 material 172:3 materials 1:3 348:6 **math** 45:9 matrix 66:1 79:2,6 97:9 matrix-type 65:1 matter 73:15 91:21 114:18 144:4 219:7 375:13 380:6 **matters** 137:3 mature 46:21 49:7,17 maturity 48:2 96:1 189:2,4 267:19 353:16 Maury 2:16 255:20 **maximize** 238:15 **maximum** 306:13 Mayberry 2:6 3:5,14 9:22 90:22 91:1 92:6 102:12,17 103:7 109:9,12 158:15 181:3,4 283:21 351:4 351:14 352:5 364:10 379:1 mayhem 255:11 mean 15:1 42:21 43:8 47:2,2 53:11,17,22

54:7 65:21 66:11 70:4

70:20 79:6 81:2 89:16

90:1,5 97:20 102:6,14 103:2 106:6 111:7 114:16 116:21 118:13 119:17 120:1,10 125:8,20 126:20 130:13,16,22 131:18 132:1 155:1,3 156:10 156:13 158:21 163:2 165:15,18 166:8 170:3,4 177:19 179:9 181:6 183:12 184:5 185:16 187:21 188:3 188:16 192:8,12,15 193:15 194:3 200:3,7 202:6 204:21 213:20 243:6 263:8 269:5 276:3 277:14 280:9 280:10 281:9 282:20 286:11 297:22 298:6 300:4,9 301:20 302:10 306:22 307:8 309:8 310:17 319:5 319:12 327:7,15,17 330:2 338:11 340:9 340:10,12,14 341:4 347:4.6 350:20 352:7 357:1 360:4 361:4 362:21 364:17 365:17 365:19 368:13,22 369:10 370:3,9 372:3 meaning 109:3 222:10 meaningfully 235:5 378:20 means 19:22 65:1 94:15 117:8 166:14 317:4,16,17 318:6,7 318:22 354:8 358:8 meant 42:19 103:10 134:18 measure 50:16 66:21 measurement 67:5 105:7 measurements 51:3 80:11 151:17 152:10 153:1 292:14 measures 232:18 293:1 293:10 measuring 51:8 mechanism 207:16 meet 166:14 167:5 168:6 175:3,5,14 307:20 331:19 meeting 3:7,8,19,20 4:5 5:6,21,22 6:1,2,3,4,7 6:10,14,20,20,22 7:8 7:8,10,13,15,21 10:5 12:17,18 13:2 17:22 18:9 25:18 32:12

	1	ı	ı
37:12 40:2,5 47:8	368:7 371:19 372:4	156:22 184:10 194:17	226:15,16 246:19
53:19 59:8 66:16,16	373:14	221:11 236:12 237:7	311:17
81:15 85:2 121:11	metric 12:2	249:3 274:15	monitored 229:4
124:15 148:1 160:19	Mexico 35:10	Minneapolis 252:1,8	246:22
172:13 207:19,20	Michelle 10:8	Minnesota 252:1	monitoring 41:17 43:5
270:4 284:18 291:16	microphone 5:8 239:16	minus 365:19	65:14 96:14 195:19
304:3 312:6 319:7,13	Microsoft 184:7	minute 5:3 103:15	195:22 196:1 226:15
379:7	middle 169:3 172:15	219:4	227:3 228:12 230:8
meetings 10:1 376:9,10	174:9 175:1 355:11	minutes 5:14 114:15,16	250:8 254:4 265:2,22
member 129:14 145:14	midstream 1:17 294:1,2	204:3	266:4 269:11 276:6
168:13 178:4 214:19	294:15	mirror 128:16	287:11 291:14 293:18
255:21 359:4 379:14	Mike 2:20 251:20	mirrored 361:15	293:22 294:7,13
379:15	mile 22:11 23:18,20	misaligned 327:8	295:6 305:2 313:15
members 5:12 6:11,11	27:9 281:6,6	miscellaneous 13:22	315:16 320:22 321:21
7:17,21 11:4 17:13	mileage 21:8,11,13,16	misinterpreted 248:12	323:20 324:4 325:14
18:1 19:13 26:18	21:19,22 22:1,8,15,17	missed 132:5	326:17 327:19 331:4
40:12 85:15 94:9	37:5 42:15 43:21 44:9	mission 256:22	331:13 332:21
133:20 144:6,8 164:2	45:3 62:14 68:5,9	missions 269:11 340:2	monkey 360:5
169:3,3 262:18 309:2	98:22 100:3 108:11	Missouri 2:1 191:14	month 50:19 228:13
memory 284:16 354:2	115:9 160:6,13 186:8	283:13	249:12 283:4 377:22
mention 92:13 163:12	242:4	mistake 349:13	378:12
207:17 mentioned 13:9 27:4	miles 21:5,14,15,17 22:2,10 27:5,10,16	mistaken 169:5 misunderstood 326:11	months 89:14 100:21 101:8 228:7 296:17
35:19 70:5 154:3	28:2 31:3 44:12,16	mitigate 59:2 82:9	297:3 299:19 307:16
181:12 202:14 211:11	45:1,2,5,6,8,16 50:20	97:22 220:21 338:9	moon 256:22
213:16 258:3 262:2	53:2 57:14 65:16 68:6	338:20 339:19 340:2	Moore 2:18 260:16,17
272:20 281:19	68:12 78:15,16,17	342:4,22 362:2	Morgan 10:8 87:7
menu 95:4	86:2,22 87:6 97:2	mitigated 57:18 70:13	morning 3:5 7:4 11:4,5
MERP 153:3	99:19 101:11 112:3	mitigating 94:12 339:18	14:21 26:19 151:17
messages 196:18	112:16 115:15 120:8	mitigation 80:8 146:11	180:17 183:4 185:7
messages 196:18 met 1:10 152:8	112:16 115:15 120:8 121:6 127:13 133:1	mitigation 80:8 146:11 167:21 220:11 224:8	180:17 183:4 185:7 189:15 256:9 375:12
messages 196:18 met 1:10 152:8 meter 248:3	121:6 127:13 133:1	mitigation 80:8 146:11 167:21 220:11 224:8 224:18 336:3 337:22	180:17 183:4 185:7 189:15 256:9 375:12 380:3
met 1:10 152:8		167:21 220:11 224:8	189:15 256:9 375:12
met 1:10 152:8 meter 248:3 methane 11:19 12:1,3	121:6 127:13 133:1 134:10 210:18 212:1	167:21 220:11 224:8 224:18 336:3 337:22	189:15 256:9 375:12 380:3
met 1:10 152:8 meter 248:3	121:6 127:13 133:1 134:10 210:18 212:1 242:2 246:11,13	167:21 220:11 224:8 224:18 336:3 337:22 341:21 342:18 344:21	189:15 256:9 375:12 380:3 motion 140:18,19,20
met 1:10 152:8 meter 248:3 methane 11:19 12:1,3 16:8,17 50:11,16 51:3	121:6 127:13 133:1 134:10 210:18 212:1 242:2 246:11,13 253:3 261:1,2 262:11 369:4 million 12:2 16:12,22	167:21 220:11 224:8 224:18 336:3 337:22 341:21 342:18 344:21 345:16 356:1 359:15 361:7 368:6 373:8 mitigations 340:10	189:15 256:9 375:12 380:3 motion 140:18,19,20 141:1 142:9 143:18
met 1:10 152:8 meter 248:3 methane 11:19 12:1,3 16:8,17 50:11,16 51:3 51:9,13 52:1 54:9	121:6 127:13 133:1 134:10 210:18 212:1 242:2 246:11,13 253:3 261:1,2 262:11 369:4 million 12:2 16:12,22 84:11,19,19 152:22	167:21 220:11 224:8 224:18 336:3 337:22 341:21 342:18 344:21 345:16 356:1 359:15 361:7 368:6 373:8 mitigations 340:10 mitigative 342:9	189:15 256:9 375:12 380:3 motion 140:18,19,20 141:1 142:9 143:18 205:6 206:20 207:11 214:18,20 215:4,8 216:7,14,17 219:2
met 1:10 152:8 meter 248:3 methane 11:19 12:1,3 16:8,17 50:11,16 51:3 51:9,13 52:1 54:9 56:1 57:13 58:21 59:2 66:22 72:3 80:7 82:5 86:6,9 93:15 94:4	121:6 127:13 133:1 134:10 210:18 212:1 242:2 246:11,13 253:3 261:1,2 262:11 369:4 million 12:2 16:12,22 84:11,19,19 152:22 224:7 235:11 236:13	167:21 220:11 224:8 224:18 336:3 337:22 341:21 342:18 344:21 345:16 356:1 359:15 361:7 368:6 373:8 mitigations 340:10 mitigative 342:9 mixed 255:13	189:15 256:9 375:12 380:3 motion 140:18,19,20 141:1 142:9 143:18 205:6 206:20 207:11 214:18,20 215:4,8 216:7,14,17 219:2 287:14,19 295:19
met 1:10 152:8 meter 248:3 methane 11:19 12:1,3 16:8,17 50:11,16 51:3 51:9,13 52:1 54:9 56:1 57:13 58:21 59:2 66:22 72:3 80:7 82:5 86:6,9 93:15 94:4 96:3 146:11 152:22	121:6 127:13 133:1 134:10 210:18 212:1 242:2 246:11,13 253:3 261:1,2 262:11 369:4 million 12:2 16:12,22 84:11,19,19 152:22 224:7 235:11 236:13 246:11 247:15 253:2	167:21 220:11 224:8 224:18 336:3 337:22 341:21 342:18 344:21 345:16 356:1 359:15 361:7 368:6 373:8 mitigations 340:10 mitigative 342:9 mixed 255:13 mixing 238:14	189:15 256:9 375:12 380:3 motion 140:18,19,20 141:1 142:9 143:18 205:6 206:20 207:11 214:18,20 215:4,8 216:7,14,17 219:2 287:14,19 295:19 296:5 312:19 316:5
met 1:10 152:8 meter 248:3 methane 11:19 12:1,3 16:8,17 50:11,16 51:3 51:9,13 52:1 54:9 56:1 57:13 58:21 59:2 66:22 72:3 80:7 82:5 86:6,9 93:15 94:4 96:3 146:11 152:22 153:3 163:14 166:21	121:6 127:13 133:1 134:10 210:18 212:1 242:2 246:11,13 253:3 261:1,2 262:11 369:4 million 12:2 16:12,22 84:11,19,19 152:22 224:7 235:11 236:13 246:11 247:15 253:2 261:2	167:21 220:11 224:8 224:18 336:3 337:22 341:21 342:18 344:21 345:16 356:1 359:15 361:7 368:6 373:8 mitigations 340:10 mitigative 342:9 mixed 255:13 mixing 238:14 mixture 252:5	189:15 256:9 375:12 380:3 motion 140:18,19,20 141:1 142:9 143:18 205:6 206:20 207:11 214:18,20 215:4,8 216:7,14,17 219:2 287:14,19 295:19 296:5 312:19 316:5 316:16 322:4 331:21
met 1:10 152:8 meter 248:3 methane 11:19 12:1,3 16:8,17 50:11,16 51:3 51:9,13 52:1 54:9 56:1 57:13 58:21 59:2 66:22 72:3 80:7 82:5 86:6,9 93:15 94:4 96:3 146:11 152:22 153:3 163:14 166:21 167:20 180:20 195:18	121:6 127:13 133:1 134:10 210:18 212:1 242:2 246:11,13 253:3 261:1,2 262:11 369:4 million 12:2 16:12,22 84:11,19,19 152:22 224:7 235:11 236:13 246:11 247:15 253:2 261:2 millions 256:2	167:21 220:11 224:8 224:18 336:3 337:22 341:21 342:18 344:21 345:16 356:1 359:15 361:7 368:6 373:8 mitigations 340:10 mitigative 342:9 mixed 255:13 mixing 238:14 mixture 252:5 MMcf 224:7 259:2	189:15 256:9 375:12 380:3 motion 140:18,19,20 141:1 142:9 143:18 205:6 206:20 207:11 214:18,20 215:4,8 216:7,14,17 219:2 287:14,19 295:19 296:5 312:19 316:5 316:16 322:4 331:21 335:5 354:10 355:3
met 1:10 152:8 meter 248:3 methane 11:19 12:1,3 16:8,17 50:11,16 51:3 51:9,13 52:1 54:9 56:1 57:13 58:21 59:2 66:22 72:3 80:7 82:5 86:6,9 93:15 94:4 96:3 146:11 152:22 153:3 163:14 166:21 167:20 180:20 195:18 196:1,21 221:5	121:6 127:13 133:1 134:10 210:18 212:1 242:2 246:11,13 253:3 261:1,2 262:11 369:4 million 12:2 16:12,22 84:11,19,19 152:22 224:7 235:11 236:13 246:11 247:15 253:2 261:2 millions 256:2 mind 22:14 61:3 64:6	167:21 220:11 224:8 224:18 336:3 337:22 341:21 342:18 344:21 345:16 356:1 359:15 361:7 368:6 373:8 mitigations 340:10 mitigative 342:9 mixed 255:13 mixing 238:14 mixture 252:5 MMcf 224:7 259:2 mobile 227:8,15 259:1	189:15 256:9 375:12 380:3 motion 140:18,19,20 141:1 142:9 143:18 205:6 206:20 207:11 214:18,20 215:4,8 216:7,14,17 219:2 287:14,19 295:19 296:5 312:19 316:5 316:16 322:4 331:21 335:5 354:10 355:3 358:21 359:9 360:22
met 1:10 152:8 meter 248:3 methane 11:19 12:1,3 16:8,17 50:11,16 51:3 51:9,13 52:1 54:9 56:1 57:13 58:21 59:2 66:22 72:3 80:7 82:5 86:6,9 93:15 94:4 96:3 146:11 152:22 153:3 163:14 166:21 167:20 180:20 195:18 196:1,21 221:5 223:12 226:13 235:1	121:6 127:13 133:1 134:10 210:18 212:1 242:2 246:11,13 253:3 261:1,2 262:11 369:4 million 12:2 16:12,22 84:11,19,19 152:22 224:7 235:11 236:13 246:11 247:15 253:2 261:2 millions 256:2 mind 22:14 61:3 64:6 87:3,4 150:7 196:6	167:21 220:11 224:8 224:18 336:3 337:22 341:21 342:18 344:21 345:16 356:1 359:15 361:7 368:6 373:8 mitigations 340:10 mitigative 342:9 mixed 255:13 mixing 238:14 mixture 252:5 MMcf 224:7 259:2 mobile 227:8,15 259:1 260:7,9 266:14 267:2	189:15 256:9 375:12 380:3 motion 140:18,19,20 141:1 142:9 143:18 205:6 206:20 207:11 214:18,20 215:4,8 216:7,14,17 219:2 287:14,19 295:19 296:5 312:19 316:5 316:16 322:4 331:21 335:5 354:10 355:3 358:21 359:9 360:22 363:7,14,16 364:6
met 1:10 152:8 meter 248:3 methane 11:19 12:1,3 16:8,17 50:11,16 51:3 51:9,13 52:1 54:9 56:1 57:13 58:21 59:2 66:22 72:3 80:7 82:5 86:6,9 93:15 94:4 96:3 146:11 152:22 153:3 163:14 166:21 167:20 180:20 195:18 196:1,21 221:5 223:12 226:13 235:1 236:4,6 237:12 240:8	121:6 127:13 133:1 134:10 210:18 212:1 242:2 246:11,13 253:3 261:1,2 262:11 369:4 million 12:2 16:12,22 84:11,19,19 152:22 224:7 235:11 236:13 246:11 247:15 253:2 261:2 millions 256:2 mind 22:14 61:3 64:6 87:3,4 150:7 196:6 278:3 285:4 305:5	167:21 220:11 224:8 224:18 336:3 337:22 341:21 342:18 344:21 345:16 356:1 359:15 361:7 368:6 373:8 mitigations 340:10 mitigative 342:9 mixed 255:13 mixing 238:14 mixture 252:5 MMcf 224:7 259:2 mobile 227:8,15 259:1 260:7,9 266:14 267:2 267:4 335:22 336:11	189:15 256:9 375:12 380:3 motion 140:18,19,20 141:1 142:9 143:18 205:6 206:20 207:11 214:18,20 215:4,8 216:7,14,17 219:2 287:14,19 295:19 296:5 312:19 316:5 316:16 322:4 331:21 335:5 354:10 355:3 358:21 359:9 360:22 363:7,14,16 364:6 365:9 367:20,21
met 1:10 152:8 meter 248:3 methane 11:19 12:1,3 16:8,17 50:11,16 51:3 51:9,13 52:1 54:9 56:1 57:13 58:21 59:2 66:22 72:3 80:7 82:5 86:6,9 93:15 94:4 96:3 146:11 152:22 153:3 163:14 166:21 167:20 180:20 195:18 196:1,21 221:5 223:12 226:13 235:1 236:4,6 237:12 240:8 242:14,16 243:15	121:6 127:13 133:1 134:10 210:18 212:1 242:2 246:11,13 253:3 261:1,2 262:11 369:4 million 12:2 16:12,22 84:11,19,19 152:22 224:7 235:11 236:13 246:11 247:15 253:2 261:2 millions 256:2 mind 22:14 61:3 64:6 87:3,4 150:7 196:6 278:3 285:4 305:5 mindful 49:2	167:21 220:11 224:8 224:18 336:3 337:22 341:21 342:18 344:21 345:16 356:1 359:15 361:7 368:6 373:8 mitigations 340:10 mitigative 342:9 mixed 255:13 mixing 238:14 mixture 252:5 MMcf 224:7 259:2 mobile 227:8,15 259:1 260:7,9 266:14 267:2 267:4 335:22 336:11 337:1,9 344:4 347:14	189:15 256:9 375:12 380:3 motion 140:18,19,20 141:1 142:9 143:18 205:6 206:20 207:11 214:18,20 215:4,8 216:7,14,17 219:2 287:14,19 295:19 296:5 312:19 316:5 316:16 322:4 331:21 335:5 354:10 355:3 358:21 359:9 360:22 363:7,14,16 364:6 365:9 367:20,21 370:2 371:9,15 373:1
met 1:10 152:8 meter 248:3 methane 11:19 12:1,3 16:8,17 50:11,16 51:3 51:9,13 52:1 54:9 56:1 57:13 58:21 59:2 66:22 72:3 80:7 82:5 86:6,9 93:15 94:4 96:3 146:11 152:22 153:3 163:14 166:21 167:20 180:20 195:18 196:1,21 221:5 223:12 226:13 235:1 236:4,6 237:12 240:8 242:14,16 243:15 245:4 249:10 256:12	121:6 127:13 133:1 134:10 210:18 212:1 242:2 246:11,13 253:3 261:1,2 262:11 369:4 million 12:2 16:12,22 84:11,19,19 152:22 224:7 235:11 236:13 246:11 247:15 253:2 261:2 millions 256:2 mind 22:14 61:3 64:6 87:3,4 150:7 196:6 278:3 285:4 305:5 mindful 49:2 mine 201:16 262:10	167:21 220:11 224:8 224:18 336:3 337:22 341:21 342:18 344:21 345:16 356:1 359:15 361:7 368:6 373:8 mitigations 340:10 mitigative 342:9 mixed 255:13 mixing 238:14 mixture 252:5 MMcf 224:7 259:2 mobile 227:8,15 259:1 260:7,9 266:14 267:2 267:4 335:22 336:11 337:1,9 344:4 347:14 mode 260:10	189:15 256:9 375:12 380:3 motion 140:18,19,20 141:1 142:9 143:18 205:6 206:20 207:11 214:18,20 215:4,8 216:7,14,17 219:2 287:14,19 295:19 296:5 312:19 316:5 316:16 322:4 331:21 335:5 354:10 355:3 358:21 359:9 360:22 363:7,14,16 364:6 365:9 367:20,21 370:2 371:9,15 373:1 373:4 375:9
met 1:10 152:8 meter 248:3 methane 11:19 12:1,3 16:8,17 50:11,16 51:3 51:9,13 52:1 54:9 56:1 57:13 58:21 59:2 66:22 72:3 80:7 82:5 86:6,9 93:15 94:4 96:3 146:11 152:22 153:3 163:14 166:21 167:20 180:20 195:18 196:1,21 221:5 223:12 226:13 235:1 236:4,6 237:12 240:8 242:14,16 243:15 245:4 249:10 256:12 257:22 258:5 260:14	121:6 127:13 133:1 134:10 210:18 212:1 242:2 246:11,13 253:3 261:1,2 262:11 369:4 million 12:2 16:12,22 84:11,19,19 152:22 224:7 235:11 236:13 246:11 247:15 253:2 261:2 millions 256:2 mind 22:14 61:3 64:6 87:3,4 150:7 196:6 278:3 285:4 305:5 mindful 49:2 mine 201:16 262:10 minimally 16:11	167:21 220:11 224:8 224:18 336:3 337:22 341:21 342:18 344:21 345:16 356:1 359:15 361:7 368:6 373:8 mitigations 340:10 mitigative 342:9 mixed 255:13 mixing 238:14 mixture 252:5 MMcf 224:7 259:2 mobile 227:8,15 259:1 260:7,9 266:14 267:2 267:4 335:22 336:11 337:1,9 344:4 347:14 mode 260:10 modestly 17:1	189:15 256:9 375:12 380:3 motion 140:18,19,20 141:1 142:9 143:18 205:6 206:20 207:11 214:18,20 215:4,8 216:7,14,17 219:2 287:14,19 295:19 296:5 312:19 316:5 316:16 322:4 331:21 335:5 354:10 355:3 358:21 359:9 360:22 363:7,14,16 364:6 365:9 367:20,21 370:2 371:9,15 373:1 373:4 375:9 motions 215:1,1 216:11
met 1:10 152:8 meter 248:3 methane 11:19 12:1,3 16:8,17 50:11,16 51:3 51:9,13 52:1 54:9 56:1 57:13 58:21 59:2 66:22 72:3 80:7 82:5 86:6,9 93:15 94:4 96:3 146:11 152:22 153:3 163:14 166:21 167:20 180:20 195:18 196:1,21 221:5 223:12 226:13 235:1 236:4,6 237:12 240:8 242:14,16 243:15 245:4 249:10 256:12 257:22 258:5 260:14 261:7 292:13,21	121:6 127:13 133:1 134:10 210:18 212:1 242:2 246:11,13 253:3 261:1,2 262:11 369:4 million 12:2 16:12,22 84:11,19,19 152:22 224:7 235:11 236:13 246:11 247:15 253:2 261:2 millions 256:2 mind 22:14 61:3 64:6 87:3,4 150:7 196:6 278:3 285:4 305:5 mindful 49:2 mine 201:16 262:10 minimally 16:11 minimis 280:13	167:21 220:11 224:8 224:18 336:3 337:22 341:21 342:18 344:21 345:16 356:1 359:15 361:7 368:6 373:8 mitigations 340:10 mitigative 342:9 mixed 255:13 mixing 238:14 mixture 252:5 MMcf 224:7 259:2 mobile 227:8,15 259:1 260:7,9 266:14 267:2 267:4 335:22 336:11 337:1,9 344:4 347:14 mode 260:10 modestly 17:1 modification 308:17	189:15 256:9 375:12 380:3 motion 140:18,19,20 141:1 142:9 143:18 205:6 206:20 207:11 214:18,20 215:4,8 216:7,14,17 219:2 287:14,19 295:19 296:5 312:19 316:5 316:16 322:4 331:21 335:5 354:10 355:3 358:21 359:9 360:22 363:7,14,16 364:6 365:9 367:20,21 370:2 371:9,15 373:1 373:4 375:9 motions 215:1,1 216:11 216:12
met 1:10 152:8 meter 248:3 methane 11:19 12:1,3 16:8,17 50:11,16 51:3 51:9,13 52:1 54:9 56:1 57:13 58:21 59:2 66:22 72:3 80:7 82:5 86:6,9 93:15 94:4 96:3 146:11 152:22 153:3 163:14 166:21 167:20 180:20 195:18 196:1,21 221:5 223:12 226:13 235:1 236:4,6 237:12 240:8 242:14,16 243:15 245:4 249:10 256:12 257:22 258:5 260:14 261:7 292:13,21 294:4,8,9,11,12 339:6	121:6 127:13 133:1 134:10 210:18 212:1 242:2 246:11,13 253:3 261:1,2 262:11 369:4 million 12:2 16:12,22 84:11,19,19 152:22 224:7 235:11 236:13 246:11 247:15 253:2 261:2 millions 256:2 mind 22:14 61:3 64:6 87:3,4 150:7 196:6 278:3 285:4 305:5 mindful 49:2 mine 201:16 262:10 minimally 16:11 minimis 280:13 minimization 224:10	167:21 220:11 224:8 224:18 336:3 337:22 341:21 342:18 344:21 345:16 356:1 359:15 361:7 368:6 373:8 mitigations 340:10 mitigative 342:9 mixed 255:13 mixing 238:14 mixture 252:5 MMcf 224:7 259:2 mobile 227:8,15 259:1 260:7,9 266:14 267:2 267:4 335:22 336:11 337:1,9 344:4 347:14 mode 260:10 modestly 17:1 modification 308:17 modifications 91:5,7	189:15 256:9 375:12 380:3 motion 140:18,19,20 141:1 142:9 143:18 205:6 206:20 207:11 214:18,20 215:4,8 216:7,14,17 219:2 287:14,19 295:19 296:5 312:19 316:5 316:16 322:4 331:21 335:5 354:10 355:3 358:21 359:9 360:22 363:7,14,16 364:6 365:9 367:20,21 370:2 371:9,15 373:1 373:4 375:9 motions 215:1,1 216:11 216:12 motivated 310:2,21
met 1:10 152:8 meter 248:3 methane 11:19 12:1,3 16:8,17 50:11,16 51:3 51:9,13 52:1 54:9 56:1 57:13 58:21 59:2 66:22 72:3 80:7 82:5 86:6,9 93:15 94:4 96:3 146:11 152:22 153:3 163:14 166:21 167:20 180:20 195:18 196:1,21 221:5 223:12 226:13 235:1 236:4,6 237:12 240:8 242:14,16 243:15 245:4 249:10 256:12 257:22 258:5 260:14 261:7 292:13,21 294:4,8,9,11,12 339:6 339:10 340:22 356:1	121:6 127:13 133:1 134:10 210:18 212:1 242:2 246:11,13 253:3 261:1,2 262:11 369:4 million 12:2 16:12,22 84:11,19,19 152:22 224:7 235:11 236:13 246:11 247:15 253:2 261:2 millions 256:2 mind 22:14 61:3 64:6 87:3,4 150:7 196:6 278:3 285:4 305:5 mindful 49:2 mine 201:16 262:10 minimally 16:11 minimis 280:13 minimization 224:10 253:7	167:21 220:11 224:8 224:18 336:3 337:22 341:21 342:18 344:21 345:16 356:1 359:15 361:7 368:6 373:8 mitigations 340:10 mitigative 342:9 mixed 255:13 mixing 238:14 mixture 252:5 MMcf 224:7 259:2 mobile 227:8,15 259:1 260:7,9 266:14 267:2 267:4 335:22 336:11 337:1,9 344:4 347:14 mode 260:10 modestly 17:1 modification 308:17 modifications 91:5,7 modified 224:21 369:8	189:15 256:9 375:12 380:3 motion 140:18,19,20 141:1 142:9 143:18 205:6 206:20 207:11 214:18,20 215:4,8 216:7,14,17 219:2 287:14,19 295:19 296:5 312:19 316:5 316:16 322:4 331:21 335:5 354:10 355:3 358:21 359:9 360:22 363:7,14,16 364:6 365:9 367:20,21 370:2 371:9,15 373:1 373:4 375:9 motions 215:1,1 216:11 216:12 motivated 310:2,21 motivation 310:14
met 1:10 152:8 meter 248:3 methane 11:19 12:1,3 16:8,17 50:11,16 51:3 51:9,13 52:1 54:9 56:1 57:13 58:21 59:2 66:22 72:3 80:7 82:5 86:6,9 93:15 94:4 96:3 146:11 152:22 153:3 163:14 166:21 167:20 180:20 195:18 196:1,21 221:5 223:12 226:13 235:1 236:4,6 237:12 240:8 242:14,16 243:15 245:4 249:10 256:12 257:22 258:5 260:14 261:7 292:13,21 294:4,8,9,11,12 339:6 339:10 340:22 356:1 methane-only 251:16	121:6 127:13 133:1 134:10 210:18 212:1 242:2 246:11,13 253:3 261:1,2 262:11 369:4 million 12:2 16:12,22 84:11,19,19 152:22 224:7 235:11 236:13 246:11 247:15 253:2 261:2 millions 256:2 mind 22:14 61:3 64:6 87:3,4 150:7 196:6 278:3 285:4 305:5 mindful 49:2 mine 201:16 262:10 minimally 16:11 minimis 280:13 minimization 224:10 253:7 minimize 5:8 121:9	167:21 220:11 224:8 224:18 336:3 337:22 341:21 342:18 344:21 345:16 356:1 359:15 361:7 368:6 373:8 mitigations 340:10 mitigative 342:9 mixed 255:13 mixing 238:14 mixture 252:5 MMcf 224:7 259:2 mobile 227:8,15 259:1 260:7,9 266:14 267:2 267:4 335:22 336:11 337:1,9 344:4 347:14 mode 260:10 modestly 17:1 modification 308:17 modifications 91:5,7 modified 224:21 369:8 modify 235:3 238:21	189:15 256:9 375:12 380:3 motion 140:18,19,20 141:1 142:9 143:18 205:6 206:20 207:11 214:18,20 215:4,8 216:7,14,17 219:2 287:14,19 295:19 296:5 312:19 316:5 316:16 322:4 331:21 335:5 354:10 355:3 358:21 359:9 360:22 363:7,14,16 364:6 365:9 367:20,21 370:2 371:9,15 373:1 373:4 375:9 motions 215:1,1 216:11 216:12 motivated 310:2,21 motivation 310:14 move 11:13 14:4,9
met 1:10 152:8 meter 248:3 methane 11:19 12:1,3 16:8,17 50:11,16 51:3 51:9,13 52:1 54:9 56:1 57:13 58:21 59:2 66:22 72:3 80:7 82:5 86:6,9 93:15 94:4 96:3 146:11 152:22 153:3 163:14 166:21 167:20 180:20 195:18 196:1,21 221:5 223:12 226:13 235:1 236:4,6 237:12 240:8 242:14,16 243:15 245:4 249:10 256:12 257:22 258:5 260:14 261:7 292:13,21 294:4,8,9,11,12 339:6 339:10 340:22 356:1 methane-only 251:16 method 202:13 237:16	121:6 127:13 133:1 134:10 210:18 212:1 242:2 246:11,13 253:3 261:1,2 262:11 369:4 million 12:2 16:12,22 84:11,19,19 152:22 224:7 235:11 236:13 246:11 247:15 253:2 261:2 millions 256:2 mind 22:14 61:3 64:6 87:3,4 150:7 196:6 278:3 285:4 305:5 mindful 49:2 mine 201:16 262:10 minimally 16:11 minimis 280:13 minimization 224:10 253:7 minimize 5:8 121:9 220:15 221:3 225:11	167:21 220:11 224:8 224:18 336:3 337:22 341:21 342:18 344:21 345:16 356:1 359:15 361:7 368:6 373:8 mitigations 340:10 mitigative 342:9 mixed 255:13 mixing 238:14 mixture 252:5 MMcf 224:7 259:2 mobile 227:8,15 259:1 260:7,9 266:14 267:2 267:4 335:22 336:11 337:1,9 344:4 347:14 mode 260:10 modestly 17:1 modification 308:17 modifications 91:5,7 modified 224:21 369:8 modify 235:3 238:21 moment 4:7 5:9 315:10	189:15 256:9 375:12 380:3 motion 140:18,19,20 141:1 142:9 143:18 205:6 206:20 207:11 214:18,20 215:4,8 216:7,14,17 219:2 287:14,19 295:19 296:5 312:19 316:5 316:16 322:4 331:21 335:5 354:10 355:3 358:21 359:9 360:22 363:7,14,16 364:6 365:9 367:20,21 370:2 371:9,15 373:1 373:4 375:9 motions 215:1,1 216:11 216:12 motivated 310:2,21 motivation 310:14 move 11:13 14:4,9 16:14 67:11 75:4,22
met 1:10 152:8 meter 248:3 methane 11:19 12:1,3 16:8,17 50:11,16 51:3 51:9,13 52:1 54:9 56:1 57:13 58:21 59:2 66:22 72:3 80:7 82:5 86:6,9 93:15 94:4 96:3 146:11 152:22 153:3 163:14 166:21 167:20 180:20 195:18 196:1,21 221:5 223:12 226:13 235:1 236:4,6 237:12 240:8 242:14,16 243:15 245:4 249:10 256:12 257:22 258:5 260:14 261:7 292:13,21 294:4,8,9,11,12 339:6 339:10 340:22 356:1 methane-only 251:16 method 202:13 237:16 338:12 344:13	121:6 127:13 133:1 134:10 210:18 212:1 242:2 246:11,13 253:3 261:1,2 262:11 369:4 million 12:2 16:12,22 84:11,19,19 152:22 224:7 235:11 236:13 246:11 247:15 253:2 261:2 millions 256:2 mind 22:14 61:3 64:6 87:3,4 150:7 196:6 278:3 285:4 305:5 mindful 49:2 mine 201:16 262:10 minimally 16:11 minimis 280:13 minimization 224:10 253:7 minimize 5:8 121:9 220:15 221:3 225:11 225:16 362:3	167:21 220:11 224:8 224:18 336:3 337:22 341:21 342:18 344:21 345:16 356:1 359:15 361:7 368:6 373:8 mitigations 340:10 mitigative 342:9 mixed 255:13 mixing 238:14 mixture 252:5 MMcf 224:7 259:2 mobile 227:8,15 259:1 260:7,9 266:14 267:2 267:4 335:22 336:11 337:1,9 344:4 347:14 mode 260:10 modestly 17:1 modification 308:17 modifications 91:5,7 modified 224:21 369:8 modify 235:3 238:21 moment 4:7 5:9 315:10 376:13,13,14	189:15 256:9 375:12 380:3 motion 140:18,19,20 141:1 142:9 143:18 205:6 206:20 207:11 214:18,20 215:4,8 216:7,14,17 219:2 287:14,19 295:19 296:5 312:19 316:5 316:16 322:4 331:21 335:5 354:10 355:3 358:21 359:9 360:22 363:7,14,16 364:6 365:9 367:20,21 370:2 371:9,15 373:1 373:4 375:9 motions 215:1,1 216:11 216:12 motivated 310:2,21 motivation 310:14 move 11:13 14:4,9 16:14 67:11 75:4,22 91:12 132:3 173:10
met 1:10 152:8 meter 248:3 methane 11:19 12:1,3 16:8,17 50:11,16 51:3 51:9,13 52:1 54:9 56:1 57:13 58:21 59:2 66:22 72:3 80:7 82:5 86:6,9 93:15 94:4 96:3 146:11 152:22 153:3 163:14 166:21 167:20 180:20 195:18 196:1,21 221:5 223:12 226:13 235:1 236:4,6 237:12 240:8 242:14,16 243:15 245:4 249:10 256:12 257:22 258:5 260:14 261:7 292:13,21 294:4,8,9,11,12 339:6 339:10 340:22 356:1 methane-only 251:16 method 202:13 237:16	121:6 127:13 133:1 134:10 210:18 212:1 242:2 246:11,13 253:3 261:1,2 262:11 369:4 million 12:2 16:12,22 84:11,19,19 152:22 224:7 235:11 236:13 246:11 247:15 253:2 261:2 millions 256:2 mind 22:14 61:3 64:6 87:3,4 150:7 196:6 278:3 285:4 305:5 mindful 49:2 mine 201:16 262:10 minimally 16:11 minimis 280:13 minimization 224:10 253:7 minimize 5:8 121:9 220:15 221:3 225:11 225:16 362:3 minimizing 224:3,15	167:21 220:11 224:8 224:18 336:3 337:22 341:21 342:18 344:21 345:16 356:1 359:15 361:7 368:6 373:8 mitigations 340:10 mitigative 342:9 mixed 255:13 mixing 238:14 mixture 252:5 MMcf 224:7 259:2 mobile 227:8,15 259:1 260:7,9 266:14 267:2 267:4 335:22 336:11 337:1,9 344:4 347:14 mode 260:10 modestly 17:1 modifications 91:5,7 modified 224:21 369:8 modify 235:3 238:21 moment 4:7 5:9 315:10 376:13,13,14 Monday 1:7 14:10,17	189:15 256:9 375:12 380:3 motion 140:18,19,20 141:1 142:9 143:18 205:6 206:20 207:11 214:18,20 215:4,8 216:7,14,17 219:2 287:14,19 295:19 296:5 312:19 316:5 316:16 322:4 331:21 335:5 354:10 355:3 358:21 359:9 360:22 363:7,14,16 364:6 365:9 367:20,21 370:2 371:9,15 373:1 373:4 375:9 motions 215:1,1 216:11 216:12 motivated 310:2,21 motivation 310:14 move 11:13 14:4,9 16:14 67:11 75:4,22
met 1:10 152:8 meter 248:3 methane 11:19 12:1,3 16:8,17 50:11,16 51:3 51:9,13 52:1 54:9 56:1 57:13 58:21 59:2 66:22 72:3 80:7 82:5 86:6,9 93:15 94:4 96:3 146:11 152:22 153:3 163:14 166:21 167:20 180:20 195:18 196:1,21 221:5 223:12 226:13 235:1 236:4,6 237:12 240:8 242:14,16 243:15 245:4 249:10 256:12 257:22 258:5 260:14 261:7 292:13,21 294:4,8,9,11,12 339:6 339:10 340:22 356:1 methane-only 251:16 method 202:13 237:16 338:12 344:13 methodologies 261:14	121:6 127:13 133:1 134:10 210:18 212:1 242:2 246:11,13 253:3 261:1,2 262:11 369:4 million 12:2 16:12,22 84:11,19,19 152:22 224:7 235:11 236:13 246:11 247:15 253:2 261:2 millions 256:2 mind 22:14 61:3 64:6 87:3,4 150:7 196:6 278:3 285:4 305:5 mindful 49:2 mine 201:16 262:10 minimally 16:11 minimis 280:13 minimization 224:10 253:7 minimize 5:8 121:9 220:15 221:3 225:11 225:16 362:3	167:21 220:11 224:8 224:18 336:3 337:22 341:21 342:18 344:21 345:16 356:1 359:15 361:7 368:6 373:8 mitigations 340:10 mitigative 342:9 mixed 255:13 mixing 238:14 mixture 252:5 MMcf 224:7 259:2 mobile 227:8,15 259:1 260:7,9 266:14 267:2 267:4 335:22 336:11 337:1,9 344:4 347:14 mode 260:10 modestly 17:1 modification 308:17 modifications 91:5,7 modified 224:21 369:8 modify 235:3 238:21 moment 4:7 5:9 315:10 376:13,13,14	189:15 256:9 375:12 380:3 motion 140:18,19,20 141:1 142:9 143:18 205:6 206:20 207:11 214:18,20 215:4,8 216:7,14,17 219:2 287:14,19 295:19 296:5 312:19 316:5 316:16 322:4 331:21 335:5 354:10 355:3 358:21 359:9 360:22 363:7,14,16 364:6 365:9 367:20,21 370:2 371:9,15 373:1 373:4 375:9 motions 215:1,1 216:11 216:12 motivated 310:2,21 motivation 310:14 move 11:13 14:4,9 16:14 67:11 75:4,22 91:12 132:3 173:10 174:2 180:19 197:13
met 1:10 152:8 meter 248:3 methane 11:19 12:1,3 16:8,17 50:11,16 51:3 51:9,13 52:1 54:9 56:1 57:13 58:21 59:2 66:22 72:3 80:7 82:5 86:6,9 93:15 94:4 96:3 146:11 152:22 153:3 163:14 166:21 167:20 180:20 195:18 196:1,21 221:5 223:12 226:13 235:1 236:4,6 237:12 240:8 242:14,16 243:15 245:4 249:10 256:12 257:22 258:5 260:14 261:7 292:13,21 294:4,8,9,11,12 339:6 339:10 340:22 356:1 methane-only 251:16 method 202:13 237:16 338:12 344:13 methodologies 261:14 345:9	121:6 127:13 133:1 134:10 210:18 212:1 242:2 246:11,13 253:3 261:1,2 262:11 369:4 million 12:2 16:12,22 84:11,19,19 152:22 224:7 235:11 236:13 246:11 247:15 253:2 261:2 millions 256:2 mind 22:14 61:3 64:6 87:3,4 150:7 196:6 278:3 285:4 305:5 mindful 49:2 mine 201:16 262:10 minimally 16:11 minimis 280:13 minimization 224:10 253:7 minimize 5:8 121:9 220:15 221:3 225:11 225:16 362:3 minimizing 224:3,15 225:4,20 240:8,22	167:21 220:11 224:8 224:18 336:3 337:22 341:21 342:18 344:21 345:16 356:1 359:15 361:7 368:6 373:8 mitigations 340:10 mitigative 342:9 mixed 255:13 mixing 238:14 mixture 252:5 MMcf 224:7 259:2 mobile 227:8,15 259:1 260:7,9 266:14 267:2 267:4 335:22 336:11 337:1,9 344:4 347:14 mode 260:10 modestly 17:1 modification 308:17 modifications 91:5,7 modified 224:21 369:8 modify 235:3 238:21 moment 4:7 5:9 315:10 376:13,13,14 Monday 1:7 14:10,17 money 196:9,20 254:2	189:15 256:9 375:12 380:3 motion 140:18,19,20 141:1 142:9 143:18 205:6 206:20 207:11 214:18,20 215:4,8 216:7,14,17 219:2 287:14,19 295:19 296:5 312:19 316:5 316:16 322:4 331:21 335:5 354:10 355:3 358:21 359:9 360:22 363:7,14,16 364:6 365:9 367:20,21 370:2 371:9,15 373:1 373:4 375:9 motions 215:1,1 216:11 216:12 motivated 310:2,21 motivation 310:14 move 11:13 14:4,9 16:14 67:11 75:4,22 91:12 132:3 173:10 174:2 180:19 197:13 198:16 201:3 208:8
met 1:10 152:8 meter 248:3 methane 11:19 12:1,3 16:8,17 50:11,16 51:3 51:9,13 52:1 54:9 56:1 57:13 58:21 59:2 66:22 72:3 80:7 82:5 86:6,9 93:15 94:4 96:3 146:11 152:22 153:3 163:14 166:21 167:20 180:20 195:18 196:1,21 221:5 223:12 226:13 235:1 236:4,6 237:12 240:8 242:14,16 243:15 245:4 249:10 256:12 257:22 258:5 260:14 261:7 292:13,21 294:4,8,9,11,12 339:6 339:10 340:22 356:1 methane-only 251:16 method 202:13 237:16 338:12 344:13 methodologies 261:14 345:9 methods 221:5 224:10	121:6 127:13 133:1 134:10 210:18 212:1 242:2 246:11,13 253:3 261:1,2 262:11 369:4 million 12:2 16:12,22 84:11,19,19 152:22 224:7 235:11 236:13 246:11 247:15 253:2 261:2 millions 256:2 mind 22:14 61:3 64:6 87:3,4 150:7 196:6 278:3 285:4 305:5 mindful 49:2 mine 201:16 262:10 minimally 16:11 minimis 280:13 minimization 224:10 253:7 minimize 5:8 121:9 220:15 221:3 225:11 225:16 362:3 minimizing 224:3,15 225:4,20 240:8,22 241:4 242:5 253:9	167:21 220:11 224:8 224:18 336:3 337:22 341:21 342:18 344:21 345:16 356:1 359:15 361:7 368:6 373:8 mitigations 340:10 mitigative 342:9 mixed 255:13 mixing 238:14 mixture 252:5 MMcf 224:7 259:2 mobile 227:8,15 259:1 260:7,9 266:14 267:2 267:4 335:22 336:11 337:1,9 344:4 347:14 mode 260:10 modestly 17:1 modification 308:17 modifications 91:5,7 modified 224:21 369:8 modify 235:3 238:21 moment 4:7 5:9 315:10 376:13,13,14 Monday 1:7 14:10,17 money 196:9,20 254:2 261:13	189:15 256:9 375:12 380:3 motion 140:18,19,20 141:1 142:9 143:18 205:6 206:20 207:11 214:18,20 215:4,8 216:7,14,17 219:2 287:14,19 295:19 296:5 312:19 316:5 316:16 322:4 331:21 335:5 354:10 355:3 358:21 359:9 360:22 363:7,14,16 364:6 365:9 367:20,21 370:2 371:9,15 373:1 373:4 375:9 motions 215:1,1 216:11 216:12 motivated 310:2,21 motivation 310:14 move 11:13 14:4,9 16:14 67:11 75:4,22 91:12 132:3 173:10 174:2 180:19 197:13 198:16 201:3 208:8 215:5 220:7 344:10

moved 134:9 314:21 **movement** 110:13 119:4 121:14 136:22 moves 167:1 201:7 moving 5:16 15:8 22:4 75:5 76:13 78:4 86:13 107:2 121:8 202:4 214:1 221:2 301:4 317:19 319:5 373:4 379.6 multi-state 135:10 **multiple** 57:16 117:7 196:7,13 226:17 227:5 228:8 231:2,20 232:15 237:2 238:11 multiplied 247:12 Murphy 1:20 9:11,12 26:20,21 27:20 28:4 39:17,18,18 41:21 42:1,1 55:13,14,15 81:9 104:7,8,9 109:15 109:15 116:4,5,6 117:18 122:12,13 126:11,11 129:10,12 132:6,7 138:9,20,21 139:13,22 140:3,5 143:11,12 147:2,3,4 159:15,16,17 162:5,6 162:6 170:18,18 182:7,8,9 185:15,16 194:10,10 200:20,20 213:13,14,14 217:4 218:12,13 264:2,3,4 268:15,15 271:18,19 271:19 273:1 275:6.6 278:22 279:1,1 286:2 286:3,4 288:9,9 297:8 297:8,12 298:11,13 300:2 302:19,19 308:8,9 320:8,8 321:8 321:10,15 322:11,13 322:14 325:7,7 328:2 328:5,7 329:11 334:21,22 339:3,3 341:17,18,19 345:12 345:12 355:12,13,14 375:2,3 muster 4:21 **mute** 5:7 **muted** 5:10 Ν

name 3:14 7:5 8:7 11:5 15:15 142:8 171:18 171:21,21 217:9 239:18 241:9 246:6 249:7 251:20 255:19 260:16 333:17 373:21 **NAPSR** 157:13 210:10 226:9 232:5 309:15 **NARUC** 376:9 **nation** 254:16 nation's 147:21 national 2:12,18 19:9 159:5 175:14 237:5 258:21 261:7 273:3 307:11 375:20 nationwide 195:18 natural 2:3 12:14 219:18 220:16,19 221:20 222:11 224:1 232:13 233:19 234:9 238:14 239:1 243:1 245:8,18 252:5 255:9 261:2 265:10 313:10 332:16 nature 30:9,21 31:15 34:20 47:6 56:21 120:7 259:6 303:3 near 34:2 162:17,18 175:12 249:18 261:19 293:6 355:21 **nearby** 162:19 181:15 188:15 nearest 343:19 344:8 nearly 46:21 52:21 84:17 234:12 258:4 necessarily 110:10 161:22 174:6 190:8 199:6 204:10 244:2 275:10 279:14 291:1 307:2 348:2 necessary 5:9,15 107:20 187:10 273:15 299:17 343:16 347:15 363:4 need 13:18 26:10 42:22 49:7 61:2 73:20 74:19 87:16 94:5,13 96:8,19 101:3 102:1 112:12 117:1 121:20 122:7 122:14 123:15 148:3 149:19 150:1,18,19 150:20 151:3,6 152:8 152:21 154:7 160:16 160:20 161:11,17 162:13 163:12 169:17 173:15,15 175:1,5 176:3 177:13,18,22 187:20 190:17 193:12 194:3 204:7,10 205:5 216:2 224:21 229:12 243:20 263:8 270:16 271:9 287:10 291:20

320:4 330:3 331:10 337:12 345:7 348:11 350:4 351:1,5,11 354:10,22 370:12 371:21 372:22 377:14 needed 14:7 61:14 139:5 144:9 214:12 370:16 needing 75:16 114:7 needle 167:2 180:19 198:16 needs 13:9 61:14 76:3 82:7 87:18,19 125:18 148:20 162:1 173:19 175:22 177:21 184:15 197:18 255:8 270:13 275:10 291:10 296:5 307:4 345:8 negative 242:12 negatively 249:2 neglected 262:20 neither 250:21 366:16 nervous 355:6 nervousness 71:13 net 17:7 129:4 network 188:17 241:18 **networks** 31:6 32:7 36:5 41:17 **Nevada** 246:10 never 51:14 52:2,4 112:7 119:17 120:1 131:10 167:5 180:4 180:12,22 184:4 262:5 329:10 new 1:15 11:19 15:18 15:20 16:7,10,17 17:7 17:8 20:6 35:9 49:3 58:18 66:21 79:6 88:21,21 102:20 131:1 159:20 223:10 224:21 226:12 232:3 232:8 235:5 237:10 243:12 244:1 280:7 286:13,17 295:8 307:1 377:7 **NFP** 266:22 **NFPA** 267:5 nobodies 256:2 nodding 321:3 nominal 33:3 **non-** 234:12 260:12 non-emergency 221:4 non-metallic 20:2 non-regulatory 230:15 **North** 241:10 Northeast 259:5 northeastern 30:12 31:12 70:6

Northern 87:8 **notable** 339:13 **note** 6:5,19 83:15 158:1 158:4 238:17 240:5 249:17,21 251:9 253:6,11 292:16 303:2 309:3,7 339:4 339:12 346:5 noted 58:18 234:5 237:5,6 260:22 261:5 261:15 **notes** 25:14 27:14,19 223:13,19 225:12,20 225:21 226:22 229:22 233:15 234:13 236:14 236:15 238:2 314:3 noteworthy 22:11 46:20 notice 11:18 61:18 62:8 153:2 238:20 239:3,6 notification 222:13 311:21 312:10,13 333:9 notifications 314:7 noting 311:22 notwithstanding 164:14 168:14 169:5 240:6 November 12:17 125:15 277:2 **NPFA** 266:16 **NPMS** 25:9,15 26:3 59:20 144:10,12,22 145:8,18 146:7,9,12 146:15,19 147:6,11 148:22 149:13 150:10 150:18 151:2,7 152:18 156:10 157:1 157:5,13 158:10,16 158:21 161:12 163:10 166:14,18 168:6 169:8 172:14 176:4 179:4,15 180:7,10 181:6,7,16 182:12,22 186:12 187:15 188:17 188:17 189:3,21 191:17,20 193:4,10 194:14 197:15 204:4 206:22 211:7 212:9 214:7 216:21 **NPRM** 10:20 13:3,5,6 13:19 16:5 17:6 18:10 18:17 20:14,22 22:21 27:17 28:14 207:1 222:7,8,18,21 232:20 237:6 262:4,6 320:11 322:16 325:11 328:11 339:4 343:15

294:9 296:13 298:10

299:12 306:13 309:11

NPRM's 234:21 OGI 228:20 230:10 279:5.10 178:5.16 180:22 **NPS** 175:3 **oh** 17:3 39:6 88:16 operates 241:14 242:2 183:5,18,20,22 184:3 **nuance** 207:21 103:15 109:15 114:2 246:9 251:22 260:19 184:7 185:11 186:6 124:19 140:2,4 151:9 **number** 5:18 7:14 20:13 operating 19:20,21 186:11 189:10 195:7 20:18 21:12 36:21 194:9 267:14 268:4 20:5 24:20 68:17 196:11,18 207:7 38:22 59:15 69:1 297:1 314:17,17,17 101:9 171:19 210:19 209:1,21 210:16 107:3 125:22 171:18 321:11,14 324:6 245:16 299:14 307:9 214:14 220:13,21 171:19 206:4 301:18 332:6 360:3 369:4 344:1 349:8 352:8 221:3,9 224:9,17 342:11 379:12 **Ohio** 1:16 210:18 operation 12:20 283:19 225:9 226:18 227:6 numbers 44:18,20 52:6 oil 154:14 361:21 362:11 227:17 229:8,11 126:8 160:10 okay 8:6 11:14 22:4 operational 71:2 231:2 233:1,12 **numerous** 158:20 25:17 27:20 35:6 220:22 221:4 227:11 235:20 245:15 248:22 38:12,16 39:7,9 50:8 operations 13:11 35:3 249:14 251:5 255:12 0 52:15 54:18 88:17 224:16 241:10 259:6 283:16 292:12 303:5 **O** 55:7 226:21 263:22 92:6 95:18,22 98:5 299:9 301:8,13 304:22 306:14 307:3 103:7,15 109:11,16 310:15 312:9 319:18 264:12 266:2 272:4 operative 146:5 278:1 317:14 114:20 124:17,19 operator 13:21 22:2 342:3,6 356:13 **O&M** 220:10 131:19 138:4,7,18 35:4,12 36:13 43:11 opine 328:3 Oa 325:12 **opinion** 97:17 104:14 139:9,10 140:4,8,13 45:14 46:6,7 58:11 67:7,9,14 75:14 76:20 **Ob** 325:12 140:14,15 145:13 350:14 **object** 316:17 176:1 194:9 206:17 87:16,19,21 90:2 opportunities 43:20 94:16 96:16 130:21 170:9 254:19 objecting 304:17 207:12 208:2 215:7 **objective** 242:6 243:14 267:13,14 268:12 135:10 136:1 147:17 opportunity 6:6 8:2 152:6 162:21 165:15 285:12 292:3 294:19 140:17 153:2 169:16 243:17 295:2,20 302:14,18 objectives 243:18 171:18,18 183:13 241:21 244:4 245:10 308:6 312:22 314:13 184:11,12,13 188:18 249:5 251:17 260:19 **obligated** 47:19 48:4 obligation 204:9 316:1,3,10 323:16 209:4 224:8.16.20 262:14,18,22 355:19 329:20 331:16,17,20 225:5 226:14 228:22 377:5,6 **obligations** 47:1 133:16 332:10,11 335:13,15 231:12,20 232:2,16 obliging 12:3 **oppose** 296:4 obscure 92:13 337:16 340:4,5 234:5 235:8 236:10 opposed 48:22 49:1 observation 267:16 351:12 359:6 361:8 237:7,19 262:1 62:17 76:1 161:11 observations 92:8 362:4 364:4 366:19 266:15 271:8 272:10 223:6 231:19 **observed** 218:22 368:16 371:2 373:20 279:11 303:7 307:18 opposition 237:3 observing 197:7 **OMB** 15:19 310:20 311:16 319:8 **optical** 230:10 obtain 224:21 once 4:21 80:18.18 372:8 optimistic 14:14 366:3 obtained 160:11 90:18 100:7 118:6 operator's 29:20 35:7 option 28:11,13 37:16 **obviously** 14:7 40:9 119:7 132:15 135:17 **operators** 12:4 20:13 40:9 41:20 43:14 76:7 59:1 88:4 145:15 172:17 244:3 356:8 20:15,16 21:2,3,6,7 76:7,7,8 81:11,14 271:13 350:14 one-call 191:6 21:10,12,14,15,16 90:1 92:10,12,13,16 obviousness 365:18 onerous 156:19 22:2,18 24:21 25:10 92:22 102:14,20,22 Oc 325:19 ones 106:4 108:21 27:21 31:5 36:14,21 103:1,3,4,5,10,13 occasionally 248:14 109:1 155:21 273:17 37:6,9 38:10,13 39:1 106:19 107:1,17 occupancy 38:6 104:5 273:18 275:4,4 43:11 44:15 45:7,21 108:15,17 111:19 145:11 198:15 203:9 141:18 ongoing 173:22 326:17 46:11 56:9 67:20 68:6 onshore 19:8 20:11 68:10,16,22 69:4,16 342:6 occurring 86:11 141:22 21:4,9 22:11 25:11 options 26:11 29:1,2 ocean 293:6 71:15,17 75:9,10 78:7 offer 125:8 169:2 26:2,4 78:11,15,16 83:5 84:5 40:8 92:4 103:16 201:17 215:6 239:4 84:10 85:4,7,10,14 215:16,20 216:3 open 5:11 111:6 118:17 283:15 353:1 364:11 200:18 86:3,18,21 87:5,6,13 230:16 345:3 offered 216:10 88:7 89:11 101:17 order 6:8,9 7:10 34:13 opening 139:15 199:17 63:15 98:7 111:10 openly 172:15 102:3 103:18 105:17 Office 11:7 officer 6:18 operate 20:16 36:21 108:9,18 112:7 117:7 256:19 268:7 362:2 45:21 54:3 135:10 130:22 132:14 136:7 official 3:18,19 204:22 ordering 92:10 246:15 247:21 253:2 146:19 147:12 150:16 officials 147:13 154:4 organizations 195:11 157:17 205:3 253:4 258:22 276:13 152:13 153:10 156:11 orientation 65:11 **offshore** 18:19 19:15 279:20,21 365:19 156:21,22 159:21,21 original 20:20 106:21 19:17 24:13 25:10 operated 21:5,6,9,11,13 160:8 164:6,21 165:2 117:9 371:20 oftentimes 301:1 21:16 68:5,22 241:22 168:5 171:9,13 172:9 originally 131:7 166:1

parcel 178:1 personnel 229:7 246:21 290:21 324:16 376:17 pay 196:9 originated 249:22 parenthetical 197:14 payer 254:2 persons 24:19 outage 302:4 307:22 parking 4:22 5:2 peak 259:1,6 277:20 perspective 29:16 **outages** 295:12 parse 286:6 288:11 278:10 279:5,10 31:19,20 34:18,21 outcome 281:11 parsing 83:1 283:15,18 285:1 46:5 54:14 56:2,15 outdoor 243:4 part 12:4 20:12 25:11 286:15 71:9 72:22 75:17 outer 89:15 300:18 61:22 62:13,16 93:14 83:16 93:21 104:10 peer- 57:5 116:21 117:21 118:9 304:12 94:3,15 113:15 peer-reviewed 58:9 130:5 150:1 151:15 outline 34:22 121:15 128:7 139:14 Pennsylvania 30:13 outreach 196:16 148:5 152:18 153:20 31:12 63:6 66:18 70:6 162:11 164:2 174:1 180:19,20,21 191:4 outside 4:16,20,21 173:2 177:8 178:1 79:5 108:3 119:1 141:15 182:15 187:14 196:16 **people** 30:3 75:4 92:3 192:8 200:21 201:21 173:20 236:9 243:8 212:14 218:21 220:20 101:13 154:8 155:4 271:8,22 272:7 273:9 292:11 221:13,17 224:4 158:17 164:3,7 284:3 295:11,15,16 169:19 175:12 177:18 outstanding 336:12 226:8 229:18 231:14 355:21 overarching 22:20 232:4 233:15,22 177:21 178:9,15,16 perspectives 93:10 overemphasize 96:17 234:4,19 236:15,22 205:3 215:11 216:5,6 pertinent 62:2 pervasive 122:6 overlap 263:12 269:22 237:16 238:9,13 219:12 255:22 262:6 270:11 282:8 318:5 245:19 246:17 250:6 291:4 323:13 Pete 157:12 210:10 318:14,20,21 319:7 254:6 259:20 260:3 percent 15:22 16:6,18 309:15 Pete's 213:15 319:12,21 330:21 264:11 267:3,7 276:6 20:1 21:8,13,15,16 overlapping 244:15 45:6 52:7,8,22 68:5,8 Peter 1:16 8:11 142:12 306:12 311:4,13,18 269:20 270:6 317:7 312:11 323:3 325:12 68:21 100:13 125:9 156:4 157:11 210:9 317:15,17 319:17 325:18,18 328:11 230:6 252:4,11,13 217:13 308:7 309:14 327:12 331:11 353:4 366:5 261:6 280:10 301:3 333:22 340:4 374:3 overlay 31:22 366:18 367:19 368:22 339:9 342:8 344:15 phase 32:13 36:3 37:18 overlays 202:17 370:13 373:14 377:19 344:22 349:6 361:19 76:1 78:10.18 82:10 oversight 171:3 295:14 378:14 362:6 364:1 365:16 96:15 103:2 135:18 305:1 327:5 partially 149:17 366:1,5,18 367:12 phase-in 76:17,18,18 **owning** 24:19 PARTICIPANT 359:1,4 369:18,20 371:22 76:19 131:6 owns 87:21 participate 6:5 19:9 percentage 45:20 237:8 phased 40:22 41:4,6 participation 216:22 perfectly 46:1 87:10 42.6 Ρ particular 36:15 68:11 200:14 **phased-** 76:1 100:15 P 1:21 84:17 86:7 112:22 perform 22:16 220:22 phased-in 103:6 phases 89:3 201:2 P-H-M-S-A 239:6 129:18.20 182:12 228:12 P-H-M-S-A-2024-0005 281:18 286:14 318:9 performance 12:22 phasing 47:13 5:19 330:11 343:8 13:14 141:11 221:13 phenomenon 65:13 222:13 234:17 235:21 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S particularly 86:11 **PHMSA** 3:16 5:19 6:1,2 118:11 182:21 197:3 236:19 7:14 10:3,12 11:17 **p.m** 144:4,5 219:7,8 210:15 262:9 performed 20:17 15:16 24:12 25:1,3,8 380:6 parts 35:21 66:2 104:22 117:15 244:2 25:9,14 28:6,11,16,19 period 82:13 124:2,6 116:12 172:8 235:11 36:19 40:13 42:11 page 6:1 7:13 62:8 328:9 350:22 364:8 236:12 272:6 311:16 133:3 135:15 239:4 43:18 45:5 50:18 57:6 61:11 62:5,9 68:2 **Palabrica** 2:9 10:11 318:18 323:2 325:12 331:4 18:6 27:12 28:3 pass 99:2 111:4 196:13 periodic 220:22 267:1 71:21 72:6 73:12 204:18 211:8 353:14 76:10 81:18 82:7,12 263:15 264:21 265:15 peripheral 175:17 266:21 267:11 270:18 **passed** 13:11 Permian 57:9 62:15 82:20 83:11,19 84:6 304:17 312:4 63:3 64:2 84:22 85:7 90:13 93:5 paste 367:3 pallet 112:19 113:3 path 42:7 77:12,13 permit 171:19 94:6 95:12,14 96:7 98:17 99:6 103:18 paper 184:5,6 189:10 pathway 342:22 permits 13:6 224:22 permitting 311:15 104:11,13 106:12 196:5 213:18 **pathways** 339:19 paradigm 112:1 patrol 12:21 18:21 26:1 permutation 214:20 107:5 109:1 110:16 119:2 125:7,14,18 34:21 48:10 64:14 permutations 206:4 Paragraph 19:4 234:19 65:22 79:8 117:12 208:7 215:2 126:2,3 130:7 144:7 parallel 221:5 225:22 319:16 325:10 344:10 222:2 234:3,15 person 255:20,21 144:11 147:10,14 parameter 100:19 patrolling 234:6 personal 218:20 375:13 148:19 149:1,18 parameters 227:12

personally 108:16

211:1 310:1 316:17

patrols 13:13

pause 353:21

352:9,17

152:19 153:21 161:20

165:3 169:7,7 171:1

```
251:11 259:21 263:13
 264:9,14 272:2
 288:17 318:17 320:11
 327:10 328:11
phrase 227:10
phrased 288:13
phrasing 288:15
physically 47:22
pick 11:1 64:15 253:22
 375:12
picked 36:6
picking 58:22
picture 23:6 96:4
piece 121:7 129:18
 261:4 302:21 366:6
pieces 125:17 284:6
 306:4
pilot 75:17 248:2,5
 252:1
pilots 249:1
pinpoint 56:12 58:12,14
  196:10 230:7
pipe 33:3 45:22 46:4
 54:12 62:12,17 63:4
 103:2,20 119:16
  120:8.13 127:16
 344:11 346:4 347:12
 356:20
pipeline 1:3,5 2:15 3:7
 3:15 7:9 11:7 19:10
 23:13,17,19 24:12,20
 25:6 30:3,4,8 33:6
 36:8 51:8 59:16 60:2
 60:20 62:13,14 64:1,4
 81:2 83:8 87:7.11
 89:17 94:4,14 100:14
 110:18 118:2 119:6
 146:5 147:8,15,18,21
 148:21 150:3 152:5
 152:12,13,15 153:5
 154:1,7,20 156:16
 162:14,18,19 163:15
 164:5,6,9 165:15
 170:8,10 171:8,13
 172:3,3 174:1 175:14
 176:18 177:3 178:5
 179:3 180:8,8 185:20
 186:8 188:8,17,19
 192:10 194:16,18,22
 195:8 197:1,3 207:7
 214:3 220:13,18,19
 221:19 222:16 223:14
 223:21 228:2 229:14
 231:15,19 232:10
 233:12 234:6,12,19
 235:17,19 236:7,10
 237:18 238:10 241:10
 241:18 242:3,4,16
```

```
243:14 244:18 248:13
 250:21 251:16 253:3
 256:3 257:17 260:17
  261:10,17 275:13
 288:3 296:16,19
  301:2 338:13,14,21
  340:10 341:6 342:5
  343:16,19,22 344:3,4
  344:7,9,10,18 346:12
  347:4,9,10,15 349:18
  350:8 352:14 354:21
  363:2 369:9,10
  377:11,13 378:6,18
 378:20 379:18
pipeline's 61:10
pipelines 11:20 12:5
  25:2,4 27:3 31:6 33:8
  33:8 34:7 35:17 37:8
  38:4 42:12 50:17,21
 51:1,4,5,11,12,14
  52:9,22 53:15 54:15
 56:1,5,8,10,13,17
 57:15,20 58:8 59:7,20
 60:17,19 63:22 64:8
 66:18,22 68:17,18
  70:1 80:15,17,22 81:4
 82:4,5,13 84:3,8,9,9
 84:14,16,16 85:5,22
 87:22 89:5 90:15 94:2
  100:6,10,12 101:11
  101:21 105:7 110:17
  113:13 117:10 118:1
  118:7,12,22 120:1
  123:12 124:1,2,6
  128:19 131:10.11
  132:15,16 133:2
  141:12,14 147:19
  148:4 149:8,12,14
  151:19,21 152:1,4
  153:22 154:9,20,21
  155:5 156:11 159:5
  160:2,7 162:17 164:4
  164:10 166:1,6,7,13
  167:4 171:2.16
  172:20 175:12,13
  178:7 179:8 180:12
  181:21 182:17 186:1
  187:4,21,22 188:13
  192:14 193:9 194:14
  196:10,12 211:15,21
  212:3,5,10 220:17
  222:4,7,11,12 230:22
 231:17 232:3,7
 233:16,20 234:1,4
 235:1,8,13,15 236:16
 236:22 237:15 239:2
 241:14 242:1,6,9
 245:14,18 246:13
```

```
247:21 248:17 250:12
 250:20 251:7 252:12
 254:16,21 255:1
 261:3,8 280:1 289:15
 301:1 303:1 313:18
 313:21 314:11 333:3
 333:12 338:10 340:19
 343:17 346:22 349:20
 352:10
pipes 11:21 96:11
 174:19 175:2,3,21
 220:12 222:22 225:17
 231:14 347:13 362:4
piping 158:6 225:6
 250:1 254:9 264:10
 276:7 352:13
PIR 33:3 38:7 41:8
place 48:6 50:2 60:9
 61:17 65:9 78:3 88:3
 93:22 96:13 104:16
 130:4 147:5 148:13
 148:14 150:2 158:8
 163:9,10 175:1
 208:21 224:12 242:7
 258:2 262:9 267:19
 271:12 288:20 303:4
 325:22 329:3,18
 353:17 356:4
plain 193:22
plan 12:1 15:7 90:6
 307:6 321:1,2,22
 322:1,22 323:1,21,21
 324:3,6,11 325:15,15
 327:18,21 328:17,20
 329:3,8,13,16,17
 330:4,5 331:7
plane 320:9
planes 56:6
planned 224:6 233:13
 250:5,19
planning 10:1 179:21
 185:1 208:16,22
 212:4 213:1 215:7
 219:10 268:22
plans 328:15 330:7,9
 330:11,12
plant 229:6,13 246:19
 246:21 247:11 250:1
 252:1.4 254:8 294:18
 302:7 350:8 363:1
 367:7 371:4
plants 55:4,6,6 229:3
 246:15,17 247:10,13
 253:4,15,17 254:8
 258:22 259:2,2 266:2
 309:18 347:22 348:4
 348:15,21 352:9
 356:22 357:8 358:10
```

359:19 360:6 366:2
372:9 373:12
platform 66:19 play 73:7 256:5 259:3
plays 11:22
please 3:4 5:7,13 6:5,13 6:17,19 107:12 113:7
142:6 217:7 239:16
239:17 255:16 333:18 pleased 17:17
plug 366:22
plugged 367:4 plume 236:6,9
plumes 57:12
pneumatic 54:2 point 4:22 26:6 38:20
43:1 60:10 71:14 78:6
80:21 81:22 83:22 85:20 86:4,16 99:17
103:22 104:10 110:16
111:22 118:8 121:13 125:11 126:12,16
138:10 147:17 155:10
159:7,18 160:15 162:8 163:21 170:21
172:12 177:13 181:19 193:8 194:12 195:6
205:8 210:12 211:6
213:15 214:16 248:17 252:9 268:8 271:20
273:22 275:7,8,22
276:16 279:2 293:16 293:17 295:10 297:13
298:6 301:12,18
306:10 307:8 309:16 314:8 318:12 330:2
340:17 342:16 345:13
357:4 365:1 371:17 pointed 62:6
points 63:1 66:10 80:6
88:10 162:12 171:7 205:13 208:14 209:5
244:10 292:10 311:2
351:21 352:1 polar 176:6
policies 154:12 250:19
policy 154:7 populated 284:5
population 33:9 34:10
284:20 populous 214:5
portion 288:21 324:1 portions 276:1 313:14
315:15 320:20 321:20
323:11,11,15,18 327:2 331:2 332:20
pose 257:18
posed 151:19
II .

07.5.400.7
position 97:5 102:7 118:10,19 198:5
200:13 203:10,15
205:4
positional 150:19 176:3
positive 17:7 376:20
378:8
possibility 368:4
possible 78:5 172:22 201:3 300:1 328:10
365:17 367:13,17
possibly 268:19 286:21
posting 159:4
potent 54:10
potential 24:14 33:5
38:9 54:13 70:2,19
141:19 157:9 231:4 236:5 281:9 319:16
345:8
potentially 110:2
259:19 270:6 286:11
pounds 210:19
power 257:20
powerful 290:4
ppm 12:8 221:12 229:2
230:6,6,9,9 261:16,19 292:9,19 293:2,7,10
293:10 297:20 306:6
ppms 306:3
practicability 79:1
96:22 97:8 112:2,14
119:22 127:9 134:13
353:19
practicable 65:9 76:15 79:8 97:18 98:19
100:20 101:10,14,19
134:1 141:8 217:2
302:3.10 304:4.10
305:6 306:16 308:2
309:8 310:3,14 311:6
311:11 313:12 314:5
332:17 333:7 353:4
354:2,7 359:17 362:16 373:10
practicably 134:12
practical 63:19 65:22
66:3 71:5 76:15 89:4
89:16,20 90:4 99:3,5
99:10 117:15 126:5
135:21 136:11 192:21 193:7 236:8 292:20
300:17 301:16 305:11
338:15 353:11 366:9
366:9 369:18
practically 79:17 136:4
practices 42:9 233:11

236:1 245:17 341:5

353:5,11,15 354:14

354:16 355:1 preamble 138:18 139:15 140:1,2,14 181:12 248:15 361:5 precedence 48:21 78:13 precedences 80:1 precedent 37:21 137:17 192:1 precise 59:14 prefer 290:17 367:18 preferable 148:16 286:22 preference 302:21 preliminary 16:4 18:15 141:4 216:19 247:3,8 249:21 313:8 332:13 359:13 373:6 **premise** 234:21 preparation 20:22 preparing 92:18 prescribed 12:7 prescriptive 129:16 presence 258:16 present 1:13 2:5 16:2 57:18 84:18 144:17 229:6 246:21 presentation 26:22 262:20 336:14 presentations 7:12 presented 26:19 27:2,8 27:9 37:13 261:11 288:16 **presenters** 6:17 7:17 presenting 5:7 presents 16:16 **presiding** 1:11 3:19 6:18 pressure 19:20,21,22 20:5,8 53:12 209:8,22 210:7 211:13,15 344:1,7 345:20 346:4 347:11 352:13 presumably 358:5 presumption 201:19 pretend 106:1 pretty 6:12 23:10 24:7 30:6 150:12,22 165:14 167:17 308:21 309:3 330:13 349:8 350:1 352:22 356:2 357:11 prevention 179:22 184:21 previous 18:9 30:18 191:19 226:4 240:3 previously 105:10 229:22 234:14 236:18

238:3 261:15 **PRIA** 16:9,20 20:14 21:1,19,22 24:1 230:19 247:4,13,18 **Prieto** 78:4,10 150:14 **primarily** 71:3 157:5 277:20 primary 38:2 165:22 179:16,17 180:5,10 181:16 187:20 243:14 280:7 340:12 **principle** 270:5 278:2 317:5 319:12 principles 277:6 prior 42:21 201:2 prioritize 232:9 priority 82:7 privilege 375:14 proactively 322:9 probability 81:2 **probably** 15:17 47:16 48:11 49:6 53:16 71:16 96:2 112:21 148:16 169:17 195:9 197:8 198:12 200:12 206:7 269:3 292:12 340:21 356:15 problem 54:9 93:15 99:10 138:13 155:17 175:7 190:8 202:21 204:2,5,10,17 209:20 256:13,18,19,21 257:1,3,6,7 261:9 293:11 361:11 problematic 299:21 **problems** 202:17,18 209:16 257:9,10,12 procedure 19:6 25:21 procedures 220:15 221:9 245:17 312:10 **proceed** 316:7 proceedings 6:15 process 76:2 117:2 136:9 159:20 166:12 166:16 173:20 177:9 303:4 311:18,21 322:8 325:5 326:18 327:9 328:13,14,22 329:12 331:8 processing 31:11 55:4 299:20 307:5 340:16 369:12 produce 252:2 produced 7:11 252:3 producer 241:13 producing 20:1 **product** 84:12 234:13 production 31:7,9

154:14 294:1 productive 4:4 **Products** 2:20 241:11 241:11,14,20,21 242:1,5,9 243:10,21 244:4 professor 193:11 program 12:22 13:13 23:22 64:20 65:18 69:6,15,17 87:18 88:3 88:6 113:15 126:21 130:18 131:1 132:9 132:11 134:18 136:3 149:1 153:4 211:21 233:3 242:7 244:15 244:16 249:16 258:6 269:21 270:2 271:10 271:12 272:20,21,22 274:20 312:13 317:11 320:3 programmatic 46:22 48:2 150:13,17 programs 12:6 47:5 48:5 51:15 67:21 78:3 96:12 112:10 117:5 150:12 192:21 207:7 233:3 240:18 244:13 248:2 270:6,14 274:1 274:13 275:1,2 276:21 277:3 282:8 313:16 315:17 322:10 376:3 progress 74:15 136:18 prohibiting 165:1 prohibitive 43:12 Project 2:9 projects 15:5 **promise** 243:11 376:13 promising 58:21 **promote** 270:11 promoting 376:4 promptly 242:7 promulgate 90:14 **proper** 165:5 properly 7:20 property 84:11 284:6 **proposal** 12:9 18:19 39:21,22 40:4 41:3,10 41:19 42:5.11.20 44:8 46:18 49:13 52:18 55:19 71:12 88:20 90:5 94:21 101:15 106:8 114:22 135:2 139:11 140:1 144:10 144:20 145:7 221:2 222:5 228:3 231:11 231:22 248:5 249:10 259:9,21 264:9,14

267:12 272:1 288:18 312:7 317:20 321:10 326:3 336:1 **proposals** 106:5 224:14 241:3 296:15 **propose** 19:5,7 25:10 38:9 103:3 130:12 131:13 197:12 222:8 238:18 248:6 276:14 295:18 312:7 320:17 **proposed** 11:18 18:7 18:21 22:7 23:11,22 25:15,20 49:18 57:7 60:14 83:11 86:14 104:11 110:16 117:22 118:9 119:3 122:16 126:22 141:2 147:9 153:2 168:4 201:6.9 203:6 214:7 216:18 221:2,6,10,13,16 222:6,18 223:3,7 225:15,18 226:10,19 227:1,14 229:1 230:17 231:5 232:6 233:22 235:3.14.17 242:10 246:16 248:10 250:22 253:20 264:9 266:12 270:19 272:2 272:9 288:13,17 297:19 298:15 303:4 303:11 308:10 311:8 312:6,13 313:6,17 321:7 322:16,18 325:8,20 332:3,11 341:21 342:1.17 345:15 346:13 356:3 359:11 363:4,14 367:16 373:4 **proposes** 238:20 proposing 29:17 37:15 45:18 53:14 71:6 90:1 98:22 203:8 276:8 355:9 proposition 78:4 150:15 175:20 protect 194:18 287:10 protection 195:20 259:13,16 **protective** 275:16,21 proud 378:14 **prove** 349:14 proven 59:6 64:15 provide 6:6 29:7,14,15 41:3 71:14 108:18 171:3 179:1 187:7 188:21 216:8 226:18

277:21 280:1 346:15 **provided** 15:20 18:13 159:1 providers 105:14 provides 252:4 **providing** 6:3 71:13 186:12 259:4 provision 311:9 provisions 194:2 222:16,17 230:13 231:20 260:5 **proximity** 36:8 41:8 104:4 prudent 73:20 76:16 **PSI** 20:3,4 public 1:15,16 2:14,16 5:12,22 6:4,11 7:18 11:5 18:10 26:8 40:12 56:3 59:21 82:8 83:6 91:9 93:9 105:12,15 144:6 147:13 149:9 150:20 154:4,4,5,17 154:21 156:14 157:5 157:10,17 158:16,22 159:3 160:17 164:2 166:20 167:21 168:1 172:5,6 174:19 175:10,22 176:13,16 176:20,21 177:2 178:4 179:11,13,16 179:17 180:6 181:15 181:18 183:8 184:18 184:19 186:22 190:1 191:10 192:14 194:15 194:20 205:3 207:5 207:18 211:20 212:2 212:22 213:7 219:14 228:8 229:7 238:11 239:2,10,12,14 245:10 246:22 259:17 262:17 263:3,19 282:6 291:13 292:16 293:19 376:14 377:18 **public's** 210:5 publication 18:16 publicly 82:1 171:13 195:15 293:21 published 11:17 16:9 16:20 23:15 50:19 140:3 141:2 216:18 313:7 332:12 359:12 373:5 publishing 232:9 341:9 **pull** 93:11 200:12 288:12 **pulled** 288:14 **pulling** 379:16

pure 232:17,21 233:20 234:2 235:13 236:11 237:20 241:17 245:13 258:7 260:20,22 purely 159:2 181:9 purest 200:16 purpose 13:2 89:1 157:15 180:10 189:12 purposes 20:12 180:9 197:12 pursuant 3:16 25:12 **pursue** 322:5 **pursuits** 379:21 push 206:13 254:15 256:8 pushed 254:15 put 18:2 29:2 31:20 37:17 38:18 41:9,10 44:20 45:15 47:17 50:18 68:2 71:12 75:12 81:15,22 92:7 95:3 98:16 99:4 103:3 103:7 107:16 108:19 120:22 124:1 148:7 153:1 157:20 158:15 180:22 186:14 198:20 200:10.11 202:19 205:7 206:3 246:1 268:3 288:1 297:21 316:5,16 321:18 356:20 371:9 putting 10:5 28:8 54:20 55:17 65:12 73:17 97:16 146:18 200:9 261:13 278:16 299:18 311:7 370:22

Q

quack 212:1 quacks 47:16 104:1 quad 55:7 226:21 263:22 264:12 266:1 272:4 278:1 317:14 325:12,12,18 qualification 13:22 55:1 262:2 291:5 qualified 291:15 341:14 quantification 163:14 quantifying 57:12 quarter 33:16 281:6 291:3 quarterly 221:7 226:13 228:6,12 247:6 249:10 254:7 264:14 264:18 272:8,16 273:10 276:12 278:7 278:16 281:19,21 284:21 290:12 296:3

punt 72:10

227:10 239:18 248:9

249:14 251:17 259:16

			410
		404 7 400 00 444 44	00 40 404 45 405 40
question 46:16 95:21	rapidly 66:20	101:7 102:20 111:11	83:19 104:15 125:13
97:8 98:3 107:22	rate 16:1,6,10,18 22:10	112:1 118:17 121:21	130:6 170:13 287:1
109:18 129:9 134:13	27:8,9,13 28:2 86:12	127:17,21 134:5,11	308:16 341:3 370:4
136:21 140:17 150:7	175:6 230:3 254:2	147:20 148:6,9	recommendation 91:11
151:19 157:20 170:3	261:6,6,7	153:12,20 154:16,21	129:15,16 145:19
173:6,7,9,13 174:3	rates 27:2	159:4 161:20 163:1,5	167:3 201:5 202:7
175:5 176:5 181:5,8	rationale 189:21 191:8	163:22 164:8,13	214:11 275:11 289:2
182:3 203:11 205:9	199:7,8,15	166:10 168:17 171:9	297:16 298:7 312:12
209:6,12 266:12	Ravikumar 1:21 9:9,10	173:19 174:3 176:17	326:2 337:12 339:22
268:16 269:3,4 270:9	38:20 39:9,14 50:14	177:7 181:6 182:3	recommendations
271:6 274:13 277:13	52:19 66:10 80:5	185:21 189:7 190:11	25:19 141:9 144:1
279:3 282:18 289:4	99:16,16 143:9,10	192:22 193:12 195:1	226:2,5 229:20
323:8 324:12 345:5	151:13,13 172:11,11	195:17 200:8 208:15	263:20 265:5 269:6
351:5 358:3 365:7	218:10,11 265:7	213:8 245:4 254:17	312:5 314:1 333:4
questions 26:18 28:6	292:5,5 294:21	258:17 261:16 280:6	336:5 338:1 342:11
38:17 39:15 94:20	301:11 334:19,20	295:10,14 299:14	355:20
116:7 155:11 192:13	374:22 375:1	302:2,5 304:22 310:5	recommended 26:1
207:4 262:19,21	re-approved 326:21	320:16 337:18 346:5	42:11 126:19 228:20
266:8 268:13 [°]	re-look 370:15	359:1 360:19 362:15	230:1 232:8 234:14
quick 13:7 15:9 305:19	re-pressurized 260:11	367:18 369:17 372:14	235:3,12 236:18
quickly 29:22 78:5,11	reach 4:21 61:11	376:19 377:2,7,10,11	238:3 289:13 296:16
80:10 167:7 234:9	129:17 197:9	377:22 378:2,6,8,12	313:20 314:10 333:1
250:3 265:8 329:3	react 109:16	378:14,21	333:11 336:6 338:2
379:10	reacting 110:21	reappointment 377:17	recommending 15:22
quite 13:16 17:16 48:16	reaction 115:22 297:6	reason 51:22 70:20	26:8 116:10 123:19
58:3 91:10 150:18	reactions 105:9 117:17	132:11 156:18 165:18	325:9
245:22	read 40:8 164:15	170:12 198:18 201:1	recommends 28:18
quorum 9:18	165:13 167:14,14	216:10 259:18	201:9
	170:5 182:14 193:15	reasonable 99:3,5	recompress 344:5
R	193:19 196:5 264:13	120:16 134:2 141:7	347:2 349:17
R 2:3 20:9 25:16 28:20	320:1 332:5,7,9	217:2 286:12 302:13	recompressing 338:13
34:11 36:11,12 56:20	333:18 343:14 347:8	313:11 332:17 352:22	347:12
81:21 83:15,18 84:9	356:18 363:14 364:5	359:16 373:9	recompression 354:21
84:16 85:5,14 86:12	367:15,16	reasons 66:13 181:11	reconnected 260:11
90:3 93:14 149:12,13	readily 235:19	181:12 182:4,5 185:3	record 7:11 49:4 60:15
149:19 160:3 172:4	readiness 105:15	198:8 207:8 305:7	91:9,21 114:18 144:4
210:15	reading 124:18 314:22	reassessment 115:7	166:5 169:1 182:2
R&D 261:12,13	ready 26:7 47:22 91:6	119:14 131:8 135:4,7	188:4 190:13 203:19
rabbit 324:18	91:11 112:12 287:14	reassessments 119:16	203:21 205:7 206:10
radius 33:5 141:19	real 13:7 15:9 34:19	reauthorization 170:10	215:18,21,21 216:9
Railroad 62:10 171:11	61:13 66:19 79:1	recall 40:8 43:13 102:6	219:7 260:12 291:10
182:15	100:18 152:21 185:8	131:6 317:13	296:1,4,6 300:19
raise 93:14 208:7 253:9	191:7 203:17 204:17	recap 18:7,18	313:3 342:21 348:1
277:12 292:1	243:4 261:16 273:2	received 18:16 20:20	350:1,18 357:15
raised 94:8 100:18	294:11 305:19 379:10	21:1 222:21 226:9	361:13 362:13 364:22
155:12 204:21 351:13	realities 305:12	228:1 231:12 237:1	370:11 380:6
351:21	reality 203:1	238:9	recorded 7:10,20
raises 186:10	realize 132:2 210:16	recognition 110:8	289:22
raising 210:11 285:9	realizing 123:8	149:18 251:11 357:15	records 165:22 166:11
295:6 297:14 349:11	really 14:10 17:14	357:21	166:13 182:2 183:10
352:4	26:22 29:21 49:13	recognize 10:3 73:3,6	184:5,6 189:10
ramp 42:15 79:13 134:4	52:16 56:15,22 58:7	73:11 75:8 129:12	213:17 256:17
134:20	58:21 59:6,18 60:3,16	136:21 167:10 177:16	red 30:12 99:2 366:5,16
ramp-up 134:18 195:17	60:22 69:6,7,14 70:14	240:7,22	371:8
280:8	71:4 72:11,17,19	recognized 46:9	redesign 369:21
range 52:10 126:3	73:15 76:8,12 81:16	recognizing 89:3 115:9	redo 373:1
247:10	83:4 86:12 87:15,16	128:18 355:15	reduce 11:19 225:10
rank 200:1	87:19,19 97:15 99:10	recommend 81:18	238:1 243:15 340:13
II			

II			
344:22	24:21 25:11 26:2,4	relatively 19:20,21	296:21 297:2 299:8
reduces 342:7	60:20 62:13,17	21:11,21 24:11 36:20	299:12,16 300:11,15
reducing 69:12 166:21	101:12 119:6,17,18	37:4 120:12 272:14	301:3,16 302:20
189:16 231:13 253:9	119:20 144:13 149:7	307:1	303:6,12,18 304:4
341:11 344:1 345:20	165:21 166:9 186:7	release 344:14	307:22 308:9 310:2
345:21	188:9 226:21 229:18	released 342:8	311:3,10,14,17 312:1
reduction 12:1 153:4	regulates 195:4	releases 220:15 221:3	312:8,14 313:22
344:15 349:6 361:20	regulating 155:15	224:7 336:7 338:3	314:6 333:3,8
362:6 366:2 369:19	178:7 318:6,9	relevant 167:18 325:16	repaired 22:22 196:19
reductions 232:18	regulation 25:2 40:16	reliability 259:7 277:21	228:6 233:13 308:11
245:4	40:20 41:1 64:5 76:3	295:11,16	308:14 309:1
redundancy 301:14	146:14 176:18 185:22	reliably 235:10 236:8	repairing 242:8 262:4
redundant 254:1	212:3,5 240:19 255:6	relocated 260:10	repairs 23:7 167:4
317:17	260:2 311:12	rely 43:7	211:15 228:13 297:2
reference 40:17 248:18	regulations 25:4,9	remain 258:10	299:13 310:21
295:8	32:14 35:22 52:3,5	remainder 42:15	repeat 44:18 68:2
referenced 57:6 320:10	90:14,16 103:22	109:19 110:14 115:14	repeating 18:12
references 345:20,22	120:2 129:3 131:11	116:14 124:13	rephrased 123:16
346:3	131:12 165:22 179:20	remaining 106:9 144:9	replacement 220:16
referencing 53:11 61:4	179:21 180:1 185:3	335:20	320:19
86:21 124:13 196:5	211:8 213:5 223:8	remark 157:16	report 14:3,20 21:20
322:15,18 325:8	232:10,11 258:9	remarks 11:9	22:13 39:5,13 149:15 149:16 189:13 237:6
327:16 338:10 refers 227:12	259:12,19 260:3,6 266:13 280:7	remediate 229:9 remediation 249:11	251:5
refine 370:13	regulator 75:14 98:9	remember 7:18 13:15	reported 84:5,6 85:4,7
refinery 369:11	161:6 180:5 183:10	40:4 48:16 78:14	85:18,22 87:2,6 160:7
reflect 22:22 205:12	204:22 377:9 378:3	79:19 150:9 154:8	171:12 233:13 249:22
reflected 207:22	378:13	206:15 299:11 300:11	261:8
reformatting 123:9	regulators 147:14	365:2	reporting 12:12 13:18
refresh 284:16	179:2	remind 178:14 203:5	14:15,17 21:3 23:21
regard 71:16 94:21	regulatory 2:2 15:21	reminders 7:17	39:11 44:14 84:3
228:18 255:4 269:7	16:4 19:12 37:22 41:5	remote 118:7 236:7	85:12 152:8 160:1,9
regarding 24:8 28:12	46:22 73:9 82:21 89:2	remotely 236:9	160:22 172:1 182:16
28:14 140:5 141:5	90:11 141:4 171:3	removal 168:17	214:6 219:11 238:8
145:4 220:8 221:15	177:8 216:20 223:11	remove 103:17 116:2	238:13 256:15 262:4
222:7,19 224:2 225:3	225:15 230:15 247:3	274:19 287:21 321:11	278:7 281:19,21
225:20 226:7 227:4	270:16 282:8 313:8	363:20	343:5
227:21 228:17 229:20	317:18 318:18 319:17	removed 321:16	reports 18:16 21:2 22:9
230:12 232:2 234:3	322:20 326:17 327:9	removing 288:22	22:22 261:8 262:12
234:17 235:16 236:14	330:21 332:13 359:13	Renewable 237:5	represent 256:1
236:21 237:11 238:8 240:3 298:15 313:9	373:6 375:20 rehashes 290:7	repair 10:20 12:7 13:1 13:15 18:22 19:2	representative 148:7 231:19
320:11 332:15 359:15	reinforce 271:7 273:22	22:16,19 23:1 28:15	representing 21:8
	101110100 27 1.7 270.22	· ·	
II 373·8	292.2	28·19 32·13 37·20	1 740.1 747.3 745.8
373:8 regardless 73:17	292:2 reinvent 263:8	28:19 32:13 37:20 42:9.13 51:15.18	240:1 242:3 245:8 260:17
373:8 regardless 73:17 222:14	reinvent 263:8	42:9,13 51:15,18	260:17
regardless 73:17 222:14		42:9,13 51:15,18 56:18 81:18,20 83:12	260:17 represents 121:16
regardless 73:17	reinvent 263:8 reiterate 81:16 240:2	42:9,13 51:15,18	260:17
regardless 73:17 222:14 regards 216:21 227:12 252:12 379:3,8 region 52:8 259:5	reinvent 263:8 reiterate 81:16 240:2 342:15 relate 154:12 related 12:20 144:10	42:9,13 51:15,18 56:18 81:18,20 83:12 83:18 85:6 86:4 87:17	260:17 represents 121:16 request 251:9 263:16 265:12 365:10
regardless 73:17 222:14 regards 216:21 227:12 252:12 379:3,8 region 52:8 259:5 Register 11:18 62:7	reinvent 263:8 reiterate 81:16 240:2 342:15 relate 154:12 related 12:20 144:10 181:20 238:5,22	42:9,13 51:15,18 56:18 81:18,20 83:12 83:18 85:6 86:4 87:17 88:3 104:12,19 105:4 105:19 109:22 110:11 116:9 122:17,19	260:17 represents 121:16 request 251:9 263:16 265:12 365:10
regardless 73:17 222:14 regards 216:21 227:12 252:12 379:3,8 region 52:8 259:5 Register 11:18 62:7 141:3 216:19 238:19	reinvent 263:8 reiterate 81:16 240:2 342:15 relate 154:12 related 12:20 144:10 181:20 238:5,22 243:16 259:8 292:10	42:9,13 51:15,18 56:18 81:18,20 83:12 83:18 85:6 86:4 87:17 88:3 104:12,19 105:4 105:19 109:22 110:11 116:9 122:17,19 123:7 156:14 157:4	260:17 represents 121:16 request 251:9 263:16 265:12 365:10 requested 25:18 222:15 224:9 231:21 232:5 232:13 237:11
regardless 73:17 222:14 regards 216:21 227:12 252:12 379:3,8 region 52:8 259:5 Register 11:18 62:7 141:3 216:19 238:19 313:7 332:12 359:12	reinvent 263:8 reiterate 81:16 240:2 342:15 relate 154:12 related 12:20 144:10 181:20 238:5,22 243:16 259:8 292:10 351:7 352:12 364:15	42:9,13 51:15,18 56:18 81:18,20 83:12 83:18 85:6 86:4 87:17 88:3 104:12,19 105:4 105:19 109:22 110:11 116:9 122:17,19 123:7 156:14 157:4 167:1 185:9 221:8	260:17 represents 121:16 request 251:9 263:16 265:12 365:10 requested 25:18 222:15 224:9 231:21 232:5 232:13 237:11 requesting 144:11
regardless 73:17 222:14 regards 216:21 227:12 252:12 379:3,8 region 52:8 259:5 Register 11:18 62:7 141:3 216:19 238:19 313:7 332:12 359:12 373:5	reinvent 263:8 reiterate 81:16 240:2 342:15 relate 154:12 related 12:20 144:10 181:20 238:5,22 243:16 259:8 292:10 351:7 352:12 364:15 relates 69:12 94:2	42:9,13 51:15,18 56:18 81:18,20 83:12 83:18 85:6 86:4 87:17 88:3 104:12,19 105:4 105:19 109:22 110:11 116:9 122:17,19 123:7 156:14 157:4 167:1 185:9 221:8 222:2 227:21 228:4	260:17 represents 121:16 request 251:9 263:16 265:12 365:10 requested 25:18 222:15 224:9 231:21 232:5 232:13 237:11 requesting 144:11 requests 226:3,22
regardless 73:17 222:14 regards 216:21 227:12 252:12 379:3,8 region 52:8 259:5 Register 11:18 62:7 141:3 216:19 238:19 313:7 332:12 359:12 373:5 regs 226:21	reinvent 263:8 reiterate 81:16 240:2 342:15 relate 154:12 related 12:20 144:10 181:20 238:5,22 243:16 259:8 292:10 351:7 352:12 364:15 relates 69:12 94:2 231:11 253:13	42:9,13 51:15,18 56:18 81:18,20 83:12 83:18 85:6 86:4 87:17 88:3 104:12,19 105:4 105:19 109:22 110:11 116:9 122:17,19 123:7 156:14 157:4 167:1 185:9 221:8 222:2 227:21 228:4 228:15 233:3,14,17	260:17 represents 121:16 request 251:9 263:16 265:12 365:10 requested 25:18 222:15 224:9 231:21 232:5 232:13 237:11 requesting 144:11 requests 226:3,22 227:13 228:14 229:19
regardless 73:17	reinvent 263:8 reiterate 81:16 240:2 342:15 relate 154:12 related 12:20 144:10 181:20 238:5,22 243:16 259:8 292:10 351:7 352:12 364:15 relates 69:12 94:2 231:11 253:13 relation 207:5	42:9,13 51:15,18 56:18 81:18,20 83:12 83:18 85:6 86:4 87:17 88:3 104:12,19 105:4 105:19 109:22 110:11 116:9 122:17,19 123:7 156:14 157:4 167:1 185:9 221:8 222:2 227:21 228:4 228:15 233:3,14,17 236:1,17,21 237:14	260:17 represents 121:16 request 251:9 263:16 265:12 365:10 requested 25:18 222:15 224:9 231:21 232:5 232:13 237:11 requesting 144:11 requests 226:3,22 227:13 228:14 229:19 233:21 243:22 269:5
regardless 73:17	reinvent 263:8 reiterate 81:16 240:2 342:15 relate 154:12 related 12:20 144:10 181:20 238:5,22 243:16 259:8 292:10 351:7 352:12 364:15 relates 69:12 94:2 231:11 253:13 relation 207:5 Relations 375:22	42:9,13 51:15,18 56:18 81:18,20 83:12 83:18 85:6 86:4 87:17 88:3 104:12,19 105:4 105:19 109:22 110:11 116:9 122:17,19 123:7 156:14 157:4 167:1 185:9 221:8 222:2 227:21 228:4 228:15 233:3,14,17 236:1,17,21 237:14 238:4 242:20 259:8	260:17 represents 121:16 request 251:9 263:16 265:12 365:10 requested 25:18 222:15 224:9 231:21 232:5 232:13 237:11 requesting 144:11 requests 226:3,22 227:13 228:14 229:19 233:21 243:22 269:5 require 19:5,7 25:10
regardless 73:17	reinvent 263:8 reiterate 81:16 240:2 342:15 relate 154:12 related 12:20 144:10 181:20 238:5,22 243:16 259:8 292:10 351:7 352:12 364:15 relates 69:12 94:2 231:11 253:13 relation 207:5 Relations 375:22 relative 180:21 280:4	42:9,13 51:15,18 56:18 81:18,20 83:12 83:18 85:6 86:4 87:17 88:3 104:12,19 105:4 105:19 109:22 110:11 116:9 122:17,19 123:7 156:14 157:4 167:1 185:9 221:8 222:2 227:21 228:4 228:15 233:3,14,17 236:1,17,21 237:14 238:4 242:20 259:8 259:11 272:8 276:9	260:17 represents 121:16 request 251:9 263:16 265:12 365:10 requested 25:18 222:15 224:9 231:21 232:5 232:13 237:11 requesting 144:11 requests 226:3,22 227:13 228:14 229:19 233:21 243:22 269:5 require 19:5,7 25:10 117:5 165:3 179:14
regardless 73:17	reinvent 263:8 reiterate 81:16 240:2 342:15 relate 154:12 related 12:20 144:10 181:20 238:5,22 243:16 259:8 292:10 351:7 352:12 364:15 relates 69:12 94:2 231:11 253:13 relation 207:5 Relations 375:22	42:9,13 51:15,18 56:18 81:18,20 83:12 83:18 85:6 86:4 87:17 88:3 104:12,19 105:4 105:19 109:22 110:11 116:9 122:17,19 123:7 156:14 157:4 167:1 185:9 221:8 222:2 227:21 228:4 228:15 233:3,14,17 236:1,17,21 237:14 238:4 242:20 259:8	260:17 represents 121:16 request 251:9 263:16 265:12 365:10 requested 25:18 222:15 224:9 231:21 232:5 232:13 237:11 requesting 144:11 requests 226:3,22 227:13 228:14 229:19 233:21 243:22 269:5 require 19:5,7 25:10

352:17 361:16 362:15 368:15 requires 35:20 120:16 164:20 195:4 220:13 requiring 120:7 180:2 223:12 226:13 228:11 229:8 250:7 361:18 research 58:10,19 152:19 163:13 168:3 177:22 195:2 232:22 researchers 57:21 59:22 196:15 resources 46:11 74:21 75:21 115:10 183:19 respect 233:19 respectfully 243:22 **respects** 379:13 respond 98:2 109:17 223:17 279:16 322:11 328:5 346:20 responders 147:12 150:21 154:3 157:18 159:2 176:22 178:8 179:18 186:21 responds 11:21 response 107:11 154:16 182:18 210:2 222:21 275:7 279:3 323:5 351:19,20 361:4 responsible 10:5 responsibly 85:11 responsive 162:7 329:19 rest 14:11 36:1 49:5 65:18 78:1 103:5 113:1 128:11 149:20 **restate** 321:8 restriction 224:19 result 72:3 206:6 208:4 234:11 243:18 247:14 344:14 results 341:9 resumed 91:22 114:19 144:5 219:8 reticulated 47:12 120:7 reticulation 65:3 retrofit 369:8 retrofitting 54:1 review 61:9 198:8 239:3 267:6 371:18 373:13 reviewed 57:6 266:22 reviewing 265:5 revised 225:9 revisions 233:22

289:22

revolving 365:12

rewrite 370:13

rewritten 316:10 **Rhode** 32:1 70:11 **rhythm** 302:6 **RIA** 15:10 18:15 231:7 249:21 **Richard** 2:17 252:20 Richmond 1:10 rid 47:3 368:18 right 4:8,8,13,19 7:2 8:8 9:17,19 10:18 14:11 17:10 26:11,16 28:5,8 38:14,16 39:11 44:5 46:14,17 50:14 53:4 55:12 58:11 61:12 62:3,19 66:8,13 67:15 67:19 68:5,9,18 69:10 69:13 74:16 75:20 76:4 77:3,5,13 78:14 80:3,22 81:7 83:2 86:4 88:12 90:21 91:16 92:1,2,8,19 94:19 96:22 97:13 99:13,14 104:6 105:5 105:22 111:8,16 113:21 114:9.14.21 115:22 117:16 119:10 122:10 124:20 127:2 128:5,12 129:6,8 132:1,12,22 133:8 136:12 138:7,17,18 140:3,12 142:7 147:16 149:1,14 151:11 153:13 154:3 155:2,6 158:13 159:13 160:3.20 161:2 162:3,20 163:2 163:5,18 164:4,6 165:1,8 167:16 169:12 170:16 171:11 173:5 176:10 177:5 177:10,14,19 178:9 178:11 186:13 189:18 191:2,11 192:5,15 193:1 195:16 196:3 197:6 198:4 201:11 201:14 210:8,17 211:2 213:12 214:2,3 214:15 217:3,8 219:2 219:3,9 220:3 231:9 239:9,13,16 245:12 254:16 262:16 263:1 264:1,7 266:7,19 268:5,9 270:7 271:3 273:12 274:10 275:12 277:9 278:20 282:13 283:10 284:8 286:7 286:21 289:20 290:21 295:2,17 296:9,12

297:5 301:9 303:14 304:14 305:13,15 306:7,19 309:20 310:19 312:2,17 314:20 315:11,13 316:1,4 317:21 318:7 318:21 322:2 323:6 326:4 329:20 330:10 330:16 331:18 333:14 333:16 335:7 336:13 336:15,17,18,20 337:2,16,20 341:16 343:9 345:10 348:6 348:16 350:19 351:16 352:19 354:12 355:5 356:5 357:22 358:20 359:3,20 360:1,14,21 363:18 365:4.13 367:5,9 368:2,7,10 370:7 372:5,17 373:16,17 375:10 380:1 **rigor** 175:16 rigorous 175:6 risk 154:20 164:9 230:16.18.21 242:15 290:18 299:16 risks 154:1,17 249:19 250:16 251:15 257:19 258:9 rituals 98:6 **Robert** 2:8,10 10:10 62:4,5 205:9,17,19 207:13 robust 93:8 250:14 275:1,2 320:3 324:21 327:18 329:9 RODRICK 2:12 role 8:5 11:22 49:17 250:6 259:4 roles 125:5 **roll** 8:2 335:15,16,16 337:19 **ROLLET** 1:19 romanettes 248:11 Ron 2:20 241:9 room 4:12 6:21 69:12 71:16 110:22 118:18 130:17 135:9 155:20 193:15 256:5 292:13 372:18 **Ross** 2:10 62:4,5,5 205:9,19,19 207:13 207:15 roughly 62:12 round 21:1 22:9 262:11

299:4

route 224:22 301:1

routes 30:8 **routing** 343:18 **RRC** 171:22 rub 203:3,4 rule 16:13,20 17:2,6 18:7,11 20:7 22:7 23:11,22 25:15,20 48:1,13 49:10,22 55:5 55:7,11 57:7 60:15,21 61:8,10 62:9 78:14 83:11 86:14 100:7 106:5 117:22 118:9 122:16 126:22 137:9 137:16,18 138:7,16 139:3 141:2 142:1 144:1 146:11 147:9 156:14,15,16 177:1 191:21 201:6,10 216:18 221:16 222:6 223:17 225:14 227:20 232:7,13,16 244:1 245:4 251:13 253:20 265:9,10 278:13 288:13,17 298:15 303:5,11 308:10 311:8.20 312:7 313:6 322:17 325:8 332:12 341:21 342:18 346:13 352:18 356:3,16,17 358:6 359:11 360:8 363:5 370:4,13 373:4 rule's 251:8 **rulemaking** 10:4 11:6 11:19,20 43:15 57:8 62:8 73:14 81:20 82:12 83:21 93:3,19 93:20 106:10,18 113:14,16 119:3 148:2 168:1,3 189:22 190:1,5,22 191:9,9,19 191:22 192:2,3 202:12 220:5 223:2 225:8 231:18 242:10 243:15 244:2 245:1 245:19 250:14,17 258:8 273:2 351:7 355:16 356:4 357:16 rulemakings 42:22 rules 6:12 16:1 40:18 203:6 258:2 266:2 280:21 290:2 319:9 345:15 367:16 run 35:13 80:8 120:12 120:15 running 33:17 83:8 215:16 344:9 361:11 runs 5:6 33:18 rural 82:4 118:6 155:3

187:3 208:16,19 213:2 281:10 285:21 **Russia** 307:12

S

safely 241:22 378:11 safer 234:11 250:4 safety 1:3 2:12,15 3:15 4:6 5:3 11:7 20:6,21 24:12,15 25:13 118:12,13,14 121:13 146:13,13 156:16 168:1 170:8,10 174:1 176:18,21 177:19 180:20 183:8 187:11 189:16 190:6 192:11 194:17,18,18 195:12 228:2,9 229:14 230:17 231:17 233:6 235:17 238:10,16 243:14 249:19 250:16 251:2 256:6 257:17 257:19 258:9,9,13 259:17 261:10 275:13 281:9,14 295:15 296:16 327:5 377:11 377:13 378:6,19,20 379:18 **Sam** 9:15 143:15 218:16 335:3 375:6 SAMUEL 1:14 **San** 35:10 136:5 **Sara** 1:19,20 8:15 9:13 44:6,17 67:16 92:19 108:1 109:12.14 111:2 113:5 115:4 127:19 136:12 137:19 139:12 140:15 142:16 143:13 153:14 162:5 163:19 165:9 168:9 168:12 170:2 174:14 176:11 189:19 191:12 192:6 197:19 205:18 206:15,15,16,17 216:15 217:17 218:14 266:10 274:11 290:8 295:22 296:1,7 299:5 303:16 306:8 308:7 310:22 314:13 315:12 318:1 320:14 326:4 329:21 334:4 335:1 347:18 349:3 351:17 358:1 359:9,10 361:2 363:11 365:9,10 367:10,22 368:1 369:16 370:18,21

371:8,13 372:22

373:2 374:7 375:4

Sara's 200:4 364:22 365:3 **satellite** 43:6,7 172:19 satellites 48:12 49:2,4 58:20,22 67:8 89:12 satisfaction 378:4 satisfied 310:1 **satisfy** 104:18 173:16 249:15 287:12 Satterthwaite 2:10 3:3 8:4,6,7,11,13,15,17 8:19,21 9:1,4,6,8,11 9:13,15,17 10:7 142:7 142:12,14,16,18,20 142:22 143:2,4,6,8,11 143:13,15,17 217:8 217:13,15,17,19,21 218:1,3,5,7,9,12,14 218:16,18 333:16,22 334:2,4,6,8,10,12,14 334:16,18,21 335:1,3 335:5 373:20 374:3,5 374:7,9,11,13,15,17 374:19,21 375:2,4,6,8 sausage 98:10 287:6 290:6 sausage-maker-type 125:5 **saw** 30:17 32:15 43:10 61:8 117:9 120:6 152:15 183:4 244:11 244:17 253:6 265:17 **Saxman** 2:18 258:20,21 saying 14:22 49:8 65:20 90:10 96:11 115:11 130:3 138:17 167:15,16 187:9 198:19 199:16,20 202:4,9,20 203:1 212:8 216:13 289:19 309:13 320:2,14 323:13 327:2 331:6 331:15 337:13 355:6 355:18 358:8 359:1 365:5 369:3 370:14 371:2 372:14 **Sayler** 2:9 10:11 18:4,5 26:5,19 32:9 36:17 144:20 145:5 265:14 270:17 304:15 312:3 321:5 Sayler's 32:16 39:4 **says** 60:1 71:14 98:17 102:5 139:17,21 165:15 270:13 288:1 294:13 298:15 311:14

133:11 134:3,6 176:8 281:1,1 283:19 284:1 284:2,4,5 337:7,10 347:4 scaling 100:1 scenario 243:6 schedule 6:9 14:5 227:21 228:4 299:13 scheduled 307:6.21 schedules 12:7 302:4 **School** 1:19 **scope** 65:16 144:12 223:7 243:17 336:2 337:21 screen 26:11 102:14 107:17 114:22 200:22 226:3 287:21 screening 230:4 seared 186:18 seated 210:17 **seats** 3:4 second 28:13 29:11 51:19 72:19 73:11 76:1 78:12,18,18 80:21 115:11,20 123:14 130:1 139:20 142:3 197:13 207:14 217:4 254:6 275:7 289:11,16 293:17 301:11 310:8 314:13 314:18,19 316:8 317:20,22 321:12 324:1 333:13 359:21 365:6 373:17 secondary 237:15 seconded 360:22 371:10 seconds 142:4 217:5 314:14 333:14 359:22 373:18 section 24:17 165:4 220:12 222:22 223:20 224:4,6 225:6 226:8 227:5 228:1 231:1,5 234:5,19 235:3 237:1 240:5 265:11 325:10 343:16 sections 38:1,2 120:13 164:19 238:9 361:15 sector 47:1 118:21 137:22 security 259:4 307:11 see 3:13 4:9 10:16,22 16:8 21:12 22:7 28:21 30:12,15,19,20 31:15 33:17,22 34:2,8,19 35:10,14 36:1,11,20 37:6,6,21 41:5 42:14

344:8 350:3 361:21

scale 31:21 61:2 66:4

	1	1	1
60:3 64:16 66:19	244:16 305:11	278:10 279:5 283:16	141:18 293:6 294:3,6
84:14 87:9,12,12	sensor 57:11 294:5,10	283:18 285:1 286:15	site-specific 263:13
106:3 112:22 113:11	sensors 253:16,17,22	She's 376:7	291:5
114:3 120:17 140:16	294:8	Shell 87:8	sites 31:7,9 263:10
144:2 151:22 152:3	sentence 124:4,18	shift 118:21	
11			sitting 135:16
156:9 167:8 172:6	separate 43:15 52:16	shifting 119:2	situation 64:4 74:14
190:13 191:22 195:9	73:13,14 100:2	ship 293:6	303:7 307:15 329:8
208:15 210:4,21	106:10,18 113:16	short 5:16 92:2 100:1	situational 187:5
212:21 213:6 215:13	124:3 152:19 176:14	273:18	six 89:14 100:20,21
216:2 226:2 244:10	177:4 190:1 191:9	shortening 325:13	234:15
254:20 264:5 268:20	216:8 223:3 232:11	shot 95:2 115:4 354:2	size 33:3 35:4 53:11
304:15 311:5,13	245:1 252:16 267:17	shouldn't 177:1 196:19	70:11 71:8 75:7 76:20
313:2 316:7 321:3,11	326:12	213:20 254:10 269:22	293:2
321:13,14 330:10	separately 6:14 55:10	270:5 326:16	skating 365:18
354:15 358:13	230:21 267:22 268:7	show 30:11,14,19 33:12	skip 219:15
seeing 26:10 33:15	268:11 297:18 298:10	33:22 36:1 256:17	slide 16:2,15 17:3,16
56:19 59:17 102:17	351:6	331:8	19:11 21:18 22:1 24:7
119:4 151:16 169:18	series 339:5	showed 34:12 36:6,18	27:2 28:9 30:19,20
185:5,13 195:16,22	servant 376:14	38:21 63:6 85:5	31:22 32:9 33:11,21
seek 28:11 61:9 303:5	serve 3:18 7:7 246:10	showing 36:17 312:16	34:5,8,12,15 35:6,14
seeking 377:16	served 375:19 379:15	shows 22:1 58:10 85:9	35:15,18,22 36:10,16
SEELEY 2:12	served 373.19 379.13	85:16	37:11 39:6 40:13
seen 3:10 30:14 56:6	service 1:15 204:13	shutdown 344:17	42:21 45:5 138:11
85:4 86:10 107:15	246:12 292:9 295:13	side 16:11 140:12	141:1 161:18 199:2,9
 			203:16 204:3 208:1
110:7,12 160:1	301:2 377:18 379:9	201:18,21 254:18	
181:13 280:8 293:19	services 84:12 246:8	265:1 321:4 323:5	214:18 215:4 220:8
294:12 302:15	session 377:17,19	329:4	253:6 291:11 292:7
segment 38:5 141:16	set 7:21 11:9,16 14:5,10	sidebar 116:22	297:10 337:7 363:16
225:6 325:8 344:7	15:4 17:18 43:2 72:14	sides 7:22 91:14 198:17	slides 11:13 15:9 17:18
346:4	74:3 77:10 78:15 93:7	sift 206:10	17:21 18:1 29:7,15
segments 80:20 132:16	94:1 98:12 108:19	signal 294:4	32:16 37:17 39:7
356:21	112:11 122:18 125:22	significant 21:10 50:11	50:18 144:16,19
Senator 223:6	136:2,4 156:20 166:5	72:3 82:5 94:3 97:3	145:7 311:7
send 4:4 379:8	180:2 181:7 194:17	100:15 133:13,14	slight 262:12
sends 379:3	206:8 248:3 271:14	150:12 260:13 309:4	slightly 251:15
Senior 2:8,8	328:16 330:5 368:8	377:5 378:2	slow 335:15
sense 29:18 36:3 37:13	sets 192:1 199:22 319:9	significantly 134:9	small 21:11 24:7 32:1
43:22 44:3 45:15 46:8	setting 67:12 76:16	153:5	34:2,22 36:12,20 37:4
49:19 56:16 58:9 59:7	133:18 135:21 139:3	siloed 72:22	37:4 38:13,22 45:14
60:13 76:12 77:9 95:9	195:10 275:14 276:20	similar 21:21 191:15	46:10 52:11,13 71:15
96:21 99:12 105:5	277:8 324:2 328:13	225:22 226:19 242:17	71:17 78:7,12 87:16
109:21 110:5 123:19	366:11	244:11,17,19 265:16	87:19 96:16 108:18
157:16 164:7 167:8	Setzer 2:12 10:11	270:19 286:5 305:20	112:7 120:12 183:5
178:11 201:8 204:18	seven 100:11 119:14	313:17 320:14	209:18,22 213:2
209:14 255:14 267:17	120:11 218:19,19	similarly 25:7 264:5	248:6,19 251:22
270:2 280:21 281:20	seven- 135:6	simple 64:7 348:10	261:4 278:9 281:1,4
282:3,5,12 285:5,10	seven-year 115:7 131:5	simplify 107:18 289:15	284:1,4,12,22 285:21
299:2 304:8,13,21	131:8 132:10	simplifying 19:22	286:13 294:17 337:7
318:19 327:13 343:3	shake 145:16	simply 158:16 205:6	337:10
366:7 367:14 368:19	shape 55:9 65:10	242:22 364:16	small- 283:18
368:20 371:11 372:18	shaped 55:10	Simultaneous 140:9	small-scale 277:19
377:15 378:4,5	share 15:11 18:2 132:1	single 32:3 64:3 149:4	278:17 280:3,12
sensed 129:10	137:5 147:1 162:11	192:9 268:21 293:9	281:21 283:15 287:22
sensing 127:14 236:7	309:6 310:21	SIP 328:17 330:8	296:10 313:18 332:22
242:21	shared 84:22	SIPS 330:7	346:21
sensitive 237:20 258:4	shares 158:2	sir 26:13	smaller 31:8 38:10
sensitivity 20:17	sharing 59:17 195:15	sit 73:5 161:5 344:19	75:10 78:17 80:16
221:12 229:17 236:12	shaving 259:1,6 277:20	site 38:7 55:6 58:12	81:4,5 86:1,18,21
221.12 229.17 230.12	Silavilly 203.1,0 277.20	Site 30.7 33.0 30.12	01.4,0 00.1,10,21
II	ı	ı	ı

II			
87:5 101:13 103:18	304:21 305:11 318:17	82:20 152:22 262:7	28:20 42:10,14 61:3
104:20 110:3 111:9	328:9 331:6 342:22	341:4	63:2,22 81:18,20 83:7
113:12 130:21 209:1	343:7 350:21 362:22	spider 31:15 32:7 34:20	83:12,18 85:6 88:2
225:6 229:9 237:22	365:17 366:7,8,9,12	120:7	104:12 105:4,19
279:4 285:16 286:15	368:7	spirit 103:16	109:22 110:18 116:10
290:18 294:3 336:7	sounds 71:15 176:1	spoke 59:13	141:11 148:12 156:22
338:3 356:21 372:9	182:11 317:16	spoken 105:11 263:17	163:3 167:6 171:7
smaller-diameter	source 82:5 165:22	sponsoring 92:20	172:13 186:5 194:18
127:16	230:7 260:14 292:22	Springfield 2:1 191:14	220:5 221:13 222:13
smaller-scale 289:1	339:14,16 340:22	283:13	223:4 228:22 230:22
smallest 343:16	sources 54:13 55:5,8,9	squarely 106:4	232:5 233:6,20
smart 72:11 362:3	59:2 70:20,22 263:14	Squibb 2:1 9:2,3 143:2	235:14 249:16 250:21
smoothly 5:6	South 87:9	143:3 191:12,13,13	250:22 251:21 263:22
SMYS 20:2 172:3	Southern 2:17 252:21	218:3,4 283:12,12	264:12,16 266:16
snuff 273:18	252:21 254:21	334:12,13 374:15,16	272:2,4 273:6,14
social 15:18 16:7,17	Southwest 2:17 246:8,9	Stacy 47:14	275:13,16,21 276:11
17:8 94:15	247:7,20	staff 2:5 336:14	285:16 288:18 322:19
soda 92:16	southwestern 33:16	staffer 376:16	323:2 325:16 328:14
software 184:13	space 37:1 48:3 89:15	stage 11:10,17 14:10	328:16 329:5,6,14
solution 43:5 65:10	96:5 97:14 112:15	15:4 17:6,19 46:18	330:6
203:22 204:6,13	165:5 183:21 189:5	78:12	standby 260:10
solutions 74:22 89:17	267:20 307:1 341:6	staged 49:9	standing 88:6 192:21
305:9	spaceship 257:7	staggered 136:10	standpoint 244:22
solve 150:22 175:7	span 30:5	staging 135:14	start 27:22 30:19 33:22
181:6 302:2	spanning 32:4	stairs 4:11,17	34:4,8 36:4 37:20
solved 165:13	sparked 263:4	stakeholder 93:10	43:17 55:20 64:21,22
solves 204:4	speak 7:19 53:6 54:19	stakeholders 37:14	65:5,19,20 71:7 78:2
solving 262:8	59:13 65:16 112:17	56:3 60:16 147:10	78:4 80:11 92:5
somebody 69:14	182:19 369:17	149:9,10,18 150:3	100:13 105:16 169:20
140:19 309:20,22	speakers 6:16	194:16 233:8 343:6	201:4 326:16 336:21
soon 144:2 300:1,17	speaking 5:7 62:11	377:9	338:4 341:20 343:6
302:3,9 304:4,9 305:6	140:9 155:1	stand 69:6 87:17	378:11
306:15 308:2 309:7	speaks 60:6	148:22 185:10 190:17	started 5:4 7:16 43:9
310:2,14 314:4 333:7 sooner 137:4	Specialist 2:8,9,11,12	202:1 270:13	48:15 77:18,21 378:7
sorry 39:3,7,19 44:17	specific 24:5 40:16 55:6 67:13 116:11	standalone 250:17 standard 13:1 56:18	starting 60:8 70:17 79:17 86:17 96:13
54:20 102:19,22	152:12 164:21 172:2	59:9 66:13 67:3,6,12	100:8 104:10 110:16
113:5 114:2,3 131:21	222:16 224:4 225:14	75:6 105:2 119:5	118:8 125:6 130:4
139:22 170:1 200:5	228:4 231:20 233:4	122:22 123:5,7,11	147:5 148:12 149:12
264:21 271:5 297:1	243:5 258:8 263:6	126:18 161:9 166:14	288:11 342:16 366:6
305:15 314:16 315:9	264:11 296:14 297:6	175:14,15 184:10	starts 137:4,4 290:6
315:17 332:8 354:1	299:18 301:22 350:6	204:16 229:1,16	325:11
360:3 367:20	351:12 355:20 358:15	230:4,17 234:18	state 1:14,15 32:1,2,2
sort 22:4 42:14 55:16	358:16 369:16	235:21 236:19 245:16	33:16 34:22 35:1,4
72:21 77:11 81:13	specifically 25:1	250:11 251:8 254:11	40:14 53:17 70:3,11
83:1 84:1 100:16	156:18 191:18,22	254:13 255:16 264:9	75:15 79:2 93:18
107:4 110:15 114:6	211:8 228:18 240:6	264:18 266:17 272:9	101:17 117:6 130:19
118:19 119:4,8	240:17 246:17 251:1	272:11,15,17 273:3,7	133:19,21 147:14
122:21 123:4 148:10	274:12 284:10 302:20	273:8 276:5,9 279:8	161:6 186:18 205:1
149:3 159:18 163:7	339:10 345:17 355:17	288:20 293:2,14	240:18 244:13,16
168:15 170:20 171:7	specificity 41:3,10	297:19,20 298:3,19	248:5 259:14 269:21
178:3 182:21 190:2	363:21,22 371:16	302:22 303:12,18	270:14 272:20,21
	•		
190:18 192:16 193:3	specifics 350:15 354:9	322:20 323:4 326:1	273:6,7 274:1,13,20
190:18 192:16 193:3 201:4 202:8 213:18	specifics 350:15 354:9 364:14	343:2 356:2	275:1,2 276:21 277:3
190:18 192:16 193:3 201:4 202:8 213:18 213:21 215:15 265:16	specifics 350:15 354:9 364:14 specify 53:2	343:2 356:2 standardizing 160:22	275:1,2 276:21 277:3 281:4 282:8 298:10
190:18 192:16 193:3 201:4 202:8 213:18 213:21 215:15 265:16 272:17 275:18,20	specifics 350:15 354:9 364:14 specify 53:2 spend 108:9 169:17	343:2 356:2 standardizing 160:22 161:7	275:1,2 276:21 277:3 281:4 282:8 298:10 310:17 313:16 314:8
190:18 192:16 193:3 201:4 202:8 213:18 213:21 215:15 265:16 272:17 275:18,20 276:13,19 279:7	specifics 350:15 354:9 364:14 specify 53:2 spend 108:9 169:17 189:9 196:20	343:2 356:2 standardizing 160:22 161:7 standards 10:4 11:6	275:1,2 276:21 277:3 281:4 282:8 298:10 310:17 313:16 314:8 315:17 317:11 320:3
190:18 192:16 193:3 201:4 202:8 213:18 213:21 215:15 265:16 272:17 275:18,20	specifics 350:15 354:9 364:14 specify 53:2 spend 108:9 169:17	343:2 356:2 standardizing 160:22 161:7	275:1,2 276:21 277:3 281:4 282:8 298:10 310:17 313:16 314:8

		040.4	l
323:1,21 324:11	stream 248:20	248:4	86:14 116:8 129:14
325:15 327:18,21	street 5:1,2	subscribe 133:21	272:8 288:17 297:19
328:19 329:8,12,16	strengthen 249:13	subsequent 219:2	308:20
330:3,6,11 333:10	342:13	subset 27:11 32:18	supportive 153:16
378:3	strengthens 163:16	34:6 35:19 38:3 42:12	288:22
stated 40:14 132:12	stress 20:1,3	43:17 44:11 45:17	supports 223:10
227:6 228:22 230:14	strike 289:16,17	46:4,7 47:4 49:16	243:10 260:2 348:2
232:20 233:10 275:11	strikes 46:17,19	63:3 68:9 69:2 86:1	350:18
statement 95:6,20	stringent 274:17	101:16,21 108:3	supposed 157:15
256:9	325:17	115:13 124:12 129:1	158:10 162:22
states 32:8 57:10 65:7	striving 213:21	129:22 130:1 145:12	sure 17:16 40:3 42:4
66:2 79:7 82:16 97:10	strong 350:1	172:4 175:21 209:18	44:9 45:10 46:18 53:8
146:4 236:3 241:16	strongly 153:16 360:16	211:22	57:17 58:4 67:21
246:14 253:2 261:1,3	structure 33:4 36:9	subsets 75:11 88:21	68:14 72:16 75:3
273:14 274:6 275:14	41:8 274:4 322:19	110:2	104:17 116:7 123:20
275:20 328:15 330:7	325:10 330:15	substantial 16:21	137:14 153:10 155:20
349:16	structures 188:15	subsystem 171:21	161:22 170:11,13
static 74:14	struggling 66:6 97:11	successfully 195:1	174:6,12 183:1,21
stating 224:17	106:18 156:8 185:12	288:14	184:2 189:6 194:12
station 265:11 266:1	191:1 362:12	suddenly 99:18	197:22 199:1 205:19
294:17 326:1	stuck 366:13	sufficient 215:18,22	207:1 211:1 244:14
 		1	
stationary 246:18 260:13	studies 24:2 50:15,22	225:19 270:22 272:22 324:22 327:20 350:17	274:14 276:3,16,20
stations 226:20 244:12	51:6 57:6		277:3,7 278:15 282:7
	study 57:8 58:6,7,16	suggest 44:1 91:3	298:12 303:18 305:10
259:22 263:11 264:13	60:1 62:16 63:4 64:3	224:5 253:20 254:5,8	309:17 311:11 317:3
270:20 294:2,3	98:18 132:22 196:14	296:19 298:13 310:3	320:10,16 323:12
320:12 322:17 325:9	243:10,20 244:1	315:21 335:8	327:3 328:8 339:12
325:20 356:13	338:17 345:2 353:10	suggested 45:13 222:5	341:13 345:13 350:21
statistics 69:17	354:2,5 355:6	226:14 228:3,5,10	360:19 362:14 367:11
statute 164:20 194:2	studying 310:5	229:15 231:16 236:10	surgical 212:7
statutes 164:15 193:13	stuff 180:15 202:16,18	238:13 350:7	surgically 212:10
193:15,20,21 194:5	sub 116:12 139:10	suggesting 24:2 116:8	surveillance 24:1 30:7
259:12 260:6	325:12,18 373:14	127:11 281:17 368:21	30:10 31:19 48:11
statutory 25:7 148:19	sub-bullets 268:17	suggestion 198:1,2	63:19
	11 440 00 40 45	0.47 40 004 44	40 04 40 0 07 0
170:22 223:4,18	subject 18:20 19:15	247:16 364:11	survey 18:21 19:2 27:6
170:22 223:4,18 243:19	22:19 27:5,16 32:19	suggestions 200:18	28:15,19 30:10 34:21
170:22 223:4,18 243:19 stay 19:14 367:18 379:7	22:19 27:5,16 32:19 39:10 42:13 44:12	suggestions 200:18 261:13 294:20	28:15,19 30:10 34:21 42:9,13 51:18 52:21
170:22 223:4,18 243:19 stay 19:14 367:18 379:7 step 32:20 35:20 55:18	22:19 27:5,16 32:19 39:10 42:13 44:12 45:4 52:2,4 64:2	suggestions 200:18 261:13 294:20 suited 57:1	28:15,19 30:10 34:21 42:9,13 51:18 52:21 56:1,18 57:13 63:15
170:22 223:4,18 243:19 stay 19:14 367:18 379:7 step 32:20 35:20 55:18 88:7 109:8 178:12	22:19 27:5,16 32:19 39:10 42:13 44:12 45:4 52:2,4 64:2 68:19 69:14 85:6	suggestions 200:18 261:13 294:20 suited 57:1 summarized 18:10	28:15,19 30:10 34:21 42:9,13 51:18 52:21 56:1,18 57:13 63:15 63:18 66:17 69:8,9
170:22 223:4,18 243:19 stay 19:14 367:18 379:7 step 32:20 35:20 55:18 88:7 109:8 178:12 244:5 250:5,13	22:19 27:5,16 32:19 39:10 42:13 44:12 45:4 52:2,4 64:2 68:19 69:14 85:6 103:21 105:18 108:4	suggestions 200:18 261:13 294:20 suited 57:1 summarized 18:10 summary 12:9 19:11	28:15,19 30:10 34:21 42:9,13 51:18 52:21 56:1,18 57:13 63:15 63:18 66:17 69:8,9 80:13,16 81:17,20
170:22 223:4,18 243:19 stay 19:14 367:18 379:7 step 32:20 35:20 55:18 88:7 109:8 178:12 244:5 250:5,13 320:15	22:19 27:5,16 32:19 39:10 42:13 44:12 45:4 52:2,4 64:2 68:19 69:14 85:6 103:21 105:18 108:4 120:2 123:6 128:17	suggestions 200:18 261:13 294:20 suited 57:1 summarized 18:10 summary 12:9 19:11 62:15	28:15,19 30:10 34:21 42:9,13 51:18 52:21 56:1,18 57:13 63:15 63:18 66:17 69:8,9 80:13,16 81:17,20 82:18 83:12,17 85:6
170:22 223:4,18 243:19 stay 19:14 367:18 379:7 step 32:20 35:20 55:18 88:7 109:8 178:12 244:5 250:5,13 320:15 steps 340:1	22:19 27:5,16 32:19 39:10 42:13 44:12 45:4 52:2,4 64:2 68:19 69:14 85:6 103:21 105:18 108:4 120:2 123:6 128:17 131:10 156:12 172:17	suggestions 200:18 261:13 294:20 suited 57:1 summarized 18:10 summary 12:9 19:11 62:15 summer 186:16	28:15,19 30:10 34:21 42:9,13 51:18 52:21 56:1,18 57:13 63:15 63:18 66:17 69:8,9 80:13,16 81:17,20 82:18 83:12,17 85:6 86:3 87:17 88:3 93:6
170:22 223:4,18 243:19 stay 19:14 367:18 379:7 step 32:20 35:20 55:18 88:7 109:8 178:12 244:5 250:5,13 320:15 steps 340:1 Steve 2:1 9:2 143:2	22:19 27:5,16 32:19 39:10 42:13 44:12 45:4 52:2,4 64:2 68:19 69:14 85:6 103:21 105:18 108:4 120:2 123:6 128:17 131:10 156:12 172:17 180:12 227:2 240:4	suggestions 200:18 261:13 294:20 suited 57:1 summarized 18:10 summary 12:9 19:11 62:15 summer 186:16 Supervisory 2:10	28:15,19 30:10 34:21 42:9,13 51:18 52:21 56:1,18 57:13 63:15 63:18 66:17 69:8,9 80:13,16 81:17,20 82:18 83:12,17 85:6 86:3 87:17 88:3 93:6 97:1 100:4,6,9,12,19
170:22 223:4,18 243:19 stay 19:14 367:18 379:7 step 32:20 35:20 55:18 88:7 109:8 178:12 244:5 250:5,13 320:15 steps 340:1 Steve 2:1 9:2 143:2 189:19 191:12,13	22:19 27:5,16 32:19 39:10 42:13 44:12 45:4 52:2,4 64:2 68:19 69:14 85:6 103:21 105:18 108:4 120:2 123:6 128:17 131:10 156:12 172:17 180:12 227:2 240:4 245:11,20 250:10	suggestions 200:18 261:13 294:20 suited 57:1 summarized 18:10 summary 12:9 19:11 62:15 summer 186:16 Supervisory 2:10 supply 259:2,4 280:3	28:15,19 30:10 34:21 42:9,13 51:18 52:21 56:1,18 57:13 63:15 63:18 66:17 69:8,9 80:13,16 81:17,20 82:18 83:12,17 85:6 86:3 87:17 88:3 93:6 97:1 100:4,6,9,12,19 104:11,18 105:4,18
170:22 223:4,18 243:19 stay 19:14 367:18 379:7 step 32:20 35:20 55:18 88:7 109:8 178:12 244:5 250:5,13 320:15 steps 340:1 Steve 2:1 9:2 143:2 189:19 191:12,13 194:7 218:3 283:11	22:19 27:5,16 32:19 39:10 42:13 44:12 45:4 52:2,4 64:2 68:19 69:14 85:6 103:21 105:18 108:4 120:2 123:6 128:17 131:10 156:12 172:17 180:12 227:2 240:4 245:11,20 250:10 251:7 259:10,15	suggestions 200:18 261:13 294:20 suited 57:1 summarized 18:10 summary 12:9 19:11 62:15 summer 186:16 Supervisory 2:10 supply 259:2,4 280:3 support 64:19 90:3	28:15,19 30:10 34:21 42:9,13 51:18 52:21 56:1,18 57:13 63:15 63:18 66:17 69:8,9 80:13,16 81:17,20 82:18 83:12,17 85:6 86:3 87:17 88:3 93:6 97:1 100:4,6,9,12,19 104:11,18 105:4,18 108:2,4 109:19,21
170:22 223:4,18 243:19 stay 19:14 367:18 379:7 step 32:20 35:20 55:18 88:7 109:8 178:12 244:5 250:5,13 320:15 steps 340:1 Steve 2:1 9:2 143:2 189:19 191:12,13 194:7 218:3 283:11 283:12 334:12 374:15	22:19 27:5,16 32:19 39:10 42:13 44:12 45:4 52:2,4 64:2 68:19 69:14 85:6 103:21 105:18 108:4 120:2 123:6 128:17 131:10 156:12 172:17 180:12 227:2 240:4 245:11,20 250:10 251:7 259:10,15 260:2,4 263:22	suggestions 200:18 261:13 294:20 suited 57:1 summarized 18:10 summary 12:9 19:11 62:15 summer 186:16 Supervisory 2:10 supply 259:2,4 280:3 support 64:19 90:3 104:16 108:5,7,14,17	28:15,19 30:10 34:21 42:9,13 51:18 52:21 56:1,18 57:13 63:15 63:18 66:17 69:8,9 80:13,16 81:17,20 82:18 83:12,17 85:6 86:3 87:17 88:3 93:6 97:1 100:4,6,9,12,19 104:11,18 105:4,18 108:2,4 109:19,21 110:3 111:5,6,10,14
170:22 223:4,18 243:19 stay 19:14 367:18 379:7 step 32:20 35:20 55:18 88:7 109:8 178:12 244:5 250:5,13 320:15 steps 340:1 Steve 2:1 9:2 143:2 189:19 191:12,13 194:7 218:3 283:11 283:12 334:12 374:15 stock 366:20 377:2	22:19 27:5,16 32:19 39:10 42:13 44:12 45:4 52:2,4 64:2 68:19 69:14 85:6 103:21 105:18 108:4 120:2 123:6 128:17 131:10 156:12 172:17 180:12 227:2 240:4 245:11,20 250:10 251:7 259:10,15 260:2,4 263:22 265:21 266:4,15	suggestions 200:18 261:13 294:20 suited 57:1 summarized 18:10 summary 12:9 19:11 62:15 summer 186:16 Supervisory 2:10 supply 259:2,4 280:3 support 64:19 90:3 104:16 108:5,7,14,17 114:8 127:12 130:14	28:15,19 30:10 34:21 42:9,13 51:18 52:21 56:1,18 57:13 63:15 63:18 66:17 69:8,9 80:13,16 81:17,20 82:18 83:12,17 85:6 86:3 87:17 88:3 93:6 97:1 100:4,6,9,12,19 104:11,18 105:4,18 108:2,4 109:19,21 110:3 111:5,6,10,14 113:12 115:12,17
170:22 223:4,18 243:19 stay 19:14 367:18 379:7 step 32:20 35:20 55:18 88:7 109:8 178:12 244:5 250:5,13 320:15 steps 340:1 Steve 2:1 9:2 143:2 189:19 191:12,13 194:7 218:3 283:11 283:12 334:12 374:15 stock 366:20 377:2 stop 42:14 44:2 321:4	22:19 27:5,16 32:19 39:10 42:13 44:12 45:4 52:2,4 64:2 68:19 69:14 85:6 103:21 105:18 108:4 120:2 123:6 128:17 131:10 156:12 172:17 180:12 227:2 240:4 245:11,20 250:10 251:7 259:10,15 260:2,4 263:22 265:21 266:4,15 269:21 320:21 321:20	suggestions 200:18 261:13 294:20 suited 57:1 summarized 18:10 summary 12:9 19:11 62:15 summer 186:16 Supervisory 2:10 supply 259:2,4 280:3 support 64:19 90:3 104:16 108:5,7,14,17 114:8 127:12 130:14 149:6 226:10,12	28:15,19 30:10 34:21 42:9,13 51:18 52:21 56:1,18 57:13 63:15 63:18 66:17 69:8,9 80:13,16 81:17,20 82:18 83:12,17 85:6 86:3 87:17 88:3 93:6 97:1 100:4,6,9,12,19 104:11,18 105:4,18 108:2,4 109:19,21 110:3 111:5,6,10,14 113:12 115:12,17 116:9 117:13,22
170:22 223:4,18 243:19 stay 19:14 367:18 379:7 step 32:20 35:20 55:18 88:7 109:8 178:12 244:5 250:5,13 320:15 steps 340:1 Steve 2:1 9:2 143:2 189:19 191:12,13 194:7 218:3 283:11 283:12 334:12 374:15 stock 366:20 377:2 stop 42:14 44:2 321:4 329:18 331:1	22:19 27:5,16 32:19 39:10 42:13 44:12 45:4 52:2,4 64:2 68:19 69:14 85:6 103:21 105:18 108:4 120:2 123:6 128:17 131:10 156:12 172:17 180:12 227:2 240:4 245:11,20 250:10 251:7 259:10,15 260:2,4 263:22 265:21 266:4,15 269:21 320:21 321:20 323:19 324:4,7 327:2	suggestions 200:18 261:13 294:20 suited 57:1 summarized 18:10 summary 12:9 19:11 62:15 summer 186:16 Supervisory 2:10 supply 259:2,4 280:3 support 64:19 90:3 104:16 108:5,7,14,17 114:8 127:12 130:14 149:6 226:10,12 228:3 237:7 249:9	28:15,19 30:10 34:21 42:9,13 51:18 52:21 56:1,18 57:13 63:15 63:18 66:17 69:8,9 80:13,16 81:17,20 82:18 83:12,17 85:6 86:3 87:17 88:3 93:6 97:1 100:4,6,9,12,19 104:11,18 105:4,18 108:2,4 109:19,21 110:3 111:5,6,10,14 113:12 115:12,17 116:9 117:13,22 119:6 122:17 123:1,2
170:22 223:4,18 243:19 stay 19:14 367:18 379:7 step 32:20 35:20 55:18 88:7 109:8 178:12 244:5 250:5,13 320:15 steps 340:1 Steve 2:1 9:2 143:2 189:19 191:12,13 194:7 218:3 283:11 283:12 334:12 374:15 stock 366:20 377:2 stop 42:14 44:2 321:4 329:18 331:1 storage 12:14 220:19	22:19 27:5,16 32:19 39:10 42:13 44:12 45:4 52:2,4 64:2 68:19 69:14 85:6 103:21 105:18 108:4 120:2 123:6 128:17 131:10 156:12 172:17 180:12 227:2 240:4 245:11,20 250:10 251:7 259:10,15 260:2,4 263:22 265:21 266:4,15 269:21 320:21 321:20 323:19 324:4,7 327:2 331:3,12 332:20	suggestions 200:18 261:13 294:20 suited 57:1 summarized 18:10 summary 12:9 19:11 62:15 summer 186:16 Supervisory 2:10 supply 259:2,4 280:3 support 64:19 90:3 104:16 108:5,7,14,17 114:8 127:12 130:14 149:6 226:10,12 228:3 237:7 249:9 251:4 257:2,8 258:6,8	28:15,19 30:10 34:21 42:9,13 51:18 52:21 56:1,18 57:13 63:15 63:18 66:17 69:8,9 80:13,16 81:17,20 82:18 83:12,17 85:6 86:3 87:17 88:3 93:6 97:1 100:4,6,9,12,19 104:11,18 105:4,18 108:2,4 109:19,21 110:3 111:5,6,10,14 113:12 115:12,17 116:9 117:13,22 119:6 122:17 123:1,2 123:7,13 124:11
170:22 223:4,18 243:19 stay 19:14 367:18 379:7 step 32:20 35:20 55:18 88:7 109:8 178:12 244:5 250:5,13 320:15 steps 340:1 Steve 2:1 9:2 143:2 189:19 191:12,13 194:7 218:3 283:11 283:12 334:12 374:15 stock 366:20 377:2 stop 42:14 44:2 321:4 329:18 331:1 storage 12:14 220:19 224:1 279:22 339:8	22:19 27:5,16 32:19 39:10 42:13 44:12 45:4 52:2,4 64:2 68:19 69:14 85:6 103:21 105:18 108:4 120:2 123:6 128:17 131:10 156:12 172:17 180:12 227:2 240:4 245:11,20 250:10 251:7 259:10,15 260:2,4 263:22 265:21 266:4,15 269:21 320:21 321:20 323:19 324:4,7 327:2 331:3,12 332:20 submission 25:14	suggestions 200:18 261:13 294:20 suited 57:1 summarized 18:10 summary 12:9 19:11 62:15 summer 186:16 Supervisory 2:10 supply 259:2,4 280:3 support 64:19 90:3 104:16 108:5,7,14,17 114:8 127:12 130:14 149:6 226:10,12 228:3 237:7 249:9 251:4 257:2,8 258:6,8 258:11 261:21 291:19	28:15,19 30:10 34:21 42:9,13 51:18 52:21 56:1,18 57:13 63:15 63:18 66:17 69:8,9 80:13,16 81:17,20 82:18 83:12,17 85:6 86:3 87:17 88:3 93:6 97:1 100:4,6,9,12,19 104:11,18 105:4,18 108:2,4 109:19,21 110:3 111:5,6,10,14 113:12 115:12,17 116:9 117:13,22 119:6 122:17 123:1,2 123:7,13 124:11 125:12 127:11 128:2
170:22 223:4,18 243:19 stay 19:14 367:18 379:7 step 32:20 35:20 55:18 88:7 109:8 178:12 244:5 250:5,13 320:15 steps 340:1 Steve 2:1 9:2 143:2 189:19 191:12,13 194:7 218:3 283:11 283:12 334:12 374:15 stock 366:20 377:2 stop 42:14 44:2 321:4 329:18 331:1 storage 12:14 220:19 224:1 279:22 339:8 339:11 346:2 347:14	22:19 27:5,16 32:19 39:10 42:13 44:12 45:4 52:2,4 64:2 68:19 69:14 85:6 103:21 105:18 108:4 120:2 123:6 128:17 131:10 156:12 172:17 180:12 227:2 240:4 245:11,20 250:10 251:7 259:10,15 260:2,4 263:22 265:21 266:4,15 269:21 320:21 321:20 323:19 324:4,7 327:2 331:3,12 332:20 submission 25:14 submissions 146:7	suggestions 200:18 261:13 294:20 suited 57:1 summarized 18:10 summary 12:9 19:11 62:15 summer 186:16 Supervisory 2:10 supply 259:2,4 280:3 support 64:19 90:3 104:16 108:5,7,14,17 114:8 127:12 130:14 149:6 226:10,12 228:3 237:7 249:9 251:4 257:2,8 258:6,8 258:11 261:21 291:19 299:2 308:19 361:18	28:15,19 30:10 34:21 42:9,13 51:18 52:21 56:1,18 57:13 63:15 63:18 66:17 69:8,9 80:13,16 81:17,20 82:18 83:12,17 85:6 86:3 87:17 88:3 93:6 97:1 100:4,6,9,12,19 104:11,18 105:4,18 108:2,4 109:19,21 110:3 111:5,6,10,14 113:12 115:12,17 116:9 117:13,22 119:6 122:17 123:1,2 123:7,13 124:11 125:12 127:11 128:2 128:7,17 129:22
170:22 223:4,18 243:19 stay 19:14 367:18 379:7 step 32:20 35:20 55:18 88:7 109:8 178:12 244:5 250:5,13 320:15 steps 340:1 Steve 2:1 9:2 143:2 189:19 191:12,13 194:7 218:3 283:11 283:12 334:12 374:15 stock 366:20 377:2 stop 42:14 44:2 321:4 329:18 331:1 storage 12:14 220:19 224:1 279:22 339:8 339:11 346:2 347:14 store 283:18	22:19 27:5,16 32:19 39:10 42:13 44:12 45:4 52:2,4 64:2 68:19 69:14 85:6 103:21 105:18 108:4 120:2 123:6 128:17 131:10 156:12 172:17 180:12 227:2 240:4 245:11,20 250:10 251:7 259:10,15 260:2,4 263:22 265:21 266:4,15 269:21 320:21 321:20 323:19 324:4,7 327:2 331:3,12 332:20 submission 25:14 submissions 146:7 submit 5:17 25:12	suggestions 200:18 261:13 294:20 suited 57:1 summarized 18:10 summary 12:9 19:11 62:15 summer 186:16 Supervisory 2:10 supply 259:2,4 280:3 support 64:19 90:3 104:16 108:5,7,14,17 114:8 127:12 130:14 149:6 226:10,12 228:3 237:7 249:9 251:4 257:2,8 258:6,8 258:11 261:21 291:19 299:2 308:19 361:18 362:7	28:15,19 30:10 34:21 42:9,13 51:18 52:21 56:1,18 57:13 63:15 63:18 66:17 69:8,9 80:13,16 81:17,20 82:18 83:12,17 85:6 86:3 87:17 88:3 93:6 97:1 100:4,6,9,12,19 104:11,18 105:4,18 108:2,4 109:19,21 110:3 111:5,6,10,14 113:12 115:12,17 116:9 117:13,22 119:6 122:17 123:1,2 123:7,13 124:11 125:12 127:11 128:2 128:7,17 129:22 131:1,17 132:9,13,21
170:22 223:4,18 243:19 stay 19:14 367:18 379:7 step 32:20 35:20 55:18 88:7 109:8 178:12 244:5 250:5,13 320:15 steps 340:1 Steve 2:1 9:2 143:2 189:19 191:12,13 194:7 218:3 283:11 283:12 334:12 374:15 stock 366:20 377:2 stop 42:14 44:2 321:4 329:18 331:1 storage 12:14 220:19 224:1 279:22 339:8 339:11 346:2 347:14 store 283:18 stories 292:18 293:8	22:19 27:5,16 32:19 39:10 42:13 44:12 45:4 52:2,4 64:2 68:19 69:14 85:6 103:21 105:18 108:4 120:2 123:6 128:17 131:10 156:12 172:17 180:12 227:2 240:4 245:11,20 250:10 251:7 259:10,15 260:2,4 263:22 265:21 266:4,15 269:21 320:21 321:20 323:19 324:4,7 327:2 331:3,12 332:20 submission 25:14 submissions 146:7 submit 5:17 25:12 146:19 157:1 164:21	suggestions 200:18 261:13 294:20 suited 57:1 summarized 18:10 summary 12:9 19:11 62:15 summer 186:16 Supervisory 2:10 supply 259:2,4 280:3 support 64:19 90:3 104:16 108:5,7,14,17 114:8 127:12 130:14 149:6 226:10,12 228:3 237:7 249:9 251:4 257:2,8 258:6,8 258:11 261:21 291:19 299:2 308:19 361:18 362:7 supported 59:8 141:3	28:15,19 30:10 34:21 42:9,13 51:18 52:21 56:1,18 57:13 63:15 63:18 66:17 69:8,9 80:13,16 81:17,20 82:18 83:12,17 85:6 86:3 87:17 88:3 93:6 97:1 100:4,6,9,12,19 104:11,18 105:4,18 108:2,4 109:19,21 110:3 111:5,6,10,14 113:12 115:12,17 116:9 117:13,22 119:6 122:17 123:1,2 123:7,13 124:11 125:12 127:11 128:2 128:7,17 129:22 131:1,17 132:9,13,21 132:22 133:21 135:6
170:22 223:4,18 243:19 stay 19:14 367:18 379:7 step 32:20 35:20 55:18 88:7 109:8 178:12 244:5 250:5,13 320:15 steps 340:1 Steve 2:1 9:2 143:2 189:19 191:12,13 194:7 218:3 283:11 283:12 334:12 374:15 stock 366:20 377:2 stop 42:14 44:2 321:4 329:18 331:1 storage 12:14 220:19 224:1 279:22 339:8 339:11 346:2 347:14 store 283:18 stories 292:18 293:8 straightforward 64:7	22:19 27:5,16 32:19 39:10 42:13 44:12 45:4 52:2,4 64:2 68:19 69:14 85:6 103:21 105:18 108:4 120:2 123:6 128:17 131:10 156:12 172:17 180:12 227:2 240:4 245:11,20 250:10 251:7 259:10,15 260:2,4 263:22 265:21 266:4,15 269:21 320:21 321:20 323:19 324:4,7 327:2 331:3,12 332:20 submission 25:14 submissions 146:7 submit 5:17 25:12 146:19 157:1 164:21 165:17 180:4 207:19	suggestions 200:18 261:13 294:20 suited 57:1 summarized 18:10 summary 12:9 19:11 62:15 summer 186:16 Supervisory 2:10 supply 259:2,4 280:3 support 64:19 90:3 104:16 108:5,7,14,17 114:8 127:12 130:14 149:6 226:10,12 228:3 237:7 249:9 251:4 257:2,8 258:6,8 258:11 261:21 291:19 299:2 308:19 361:18 362:7 supported 59:8 141:3 216:19 313:7 332:13	28:15,19 30:10 34:21 42:9,13 51:18 52:21 56:1,18 57:13 63:15 63:18 66:17 69:8,9 80:13,16 81:17,20 82:18 83:12,17 85:6 86:3 87:17 88:3 93:6 97:1 100:4,6,9,12,19 104:11,18 105:4,18 108:2,4 109:19,21 110:3 111:5,6,10,14 113:12 115:12,17 116:9 117:13,22 119:6 122:17 123:1,2 123:7,13 124:11 125:12 127:11 128:2 128:7,17 129:22 131:1,17 132:9,13,21 132:22 133:21 135:6 138:6,15 139:2
170:22 223:4,18 243:19 stay 19:14 367:18 379:7 step 32:20 35:20 55:18 88:7 109:8 178:12 244:5 250:5,13 320:15 steps 340:1 Steve 2:1 9:2 143:2 189:19 191:12,13 194:7 218:3 283:11 283:12 334:12 374:15 stock 366:20 377:2 stop 42:14 44:2 321:4 329:18 331:1 storage 12:14 220:19 224:1 279:22 339:8 339:11 346:2 347:14 store 283:18 stories 292:18 293:8 straightforward 64:7 205:20	22:19 27:5,16 32:19 39:10 42:13 44:12 45:4 52:2,4 64:2 68:19 69:14 85:6 103:21 105:18 108:4 120:2 123:6 128:17 131:10 156:12 172:17 180:12 227:2 240:4 245:11,20 250:10 251:7 259:10,15 260:2,4 263:22 265:21 266:4,15 269:21 320:21 321:20 323:19 324:4,7 327:2 331:3,12 332:20 submission 25:14 submissions 146:7 submit 5:17 25:12 146:19 157:1 164:21 165:17 180:4 207:19 submittal 168:6 188:20	suggestions 200:18 261:13 294:20 suited 57:1 summarized 18:10 summary 12:9 19:11 62:15 summer 186:16 Supervisory 2:10 supply 259:2,4 280:3 support 64:19 90:3 104:16 108:5,7,14,17 114:8 127:12 130:14 149:6 226:10,12 228:3 237:7 249:9 251:4 257:2,8 258:6,8 258:11 261:21 291:19 299:2 308:19 361:18 362:7 supported 59:8 141:3 216:19 313:7 332:13 359:13 373:6	28:15,19 30:10 34:21 42:9,13 51:18 52:21 56:1,18 57:13 63:15 63:18 66:17 69:8,9 80:13,16 81:17,20 82:18 83:12,17 85:6 86:3 87:17 88:3 93:6 97:1 100:4,6,9,12,19 104:11,18 105:4,18 108:2,4 109:19,21 110:3 111:5,6,10,14 113:12 115:12,17 116:9 117:13,22 119:6 122:17 123:1,2 123:7,13 124:11 125:12 127:11 128:2 128:7,17 129:22 131:1,17 132:9,13,21 132:22 133:21 135:6 138:6,15 139:2 141:13,21,22 156:11
170:22 223:4,18 243:19 stay 19:14 367:18 379:7 step 32:20 35:20 55:18 88:7 109:8 178:12 244:5 250:5,13 320:15 steps 340:1 Steve 2:1 9:2 143:2 189:19 191:12,13 194:7 218:3 283:11 283:12 334:12 374:15 stock 366:20 377:2 stop 42:14 44:2 321:4 329:18 331:1 storage 12:14 220:19 224:1 279:22 339:8 339:11 346:2 347:14 store 283:18 stories 292:18 293:8 straightforward 64:7 205:20 strategies 344:21 347:9	22:19 27:5,16 32:19 39:10 42:13 44:12 45:4 52:2,4 64:2 68:19 69:14 85:6 103:21 105:18 108:4 120:2 123:6 128:17 131:10 156:12 172:17 180:12 227:2 240:4 245:11,20 250:10 251:7 259:10,15 260:2,4 263:22 265:21 266:4,15 269:21 320:21 321:20 323:19 324:4,7 327:2 331:3,12 332:20 submission 25:14 submissions 146:7 submit 5:17 25:12 146:19 157:1 164:21 165:17 180:4 207:19 submittal 168:6 188:20 submitted 5:20 23:21	suggestions 200:18 261:13 294:20 suited 57:1 summarized 18:10 summary 12:9 19:11 62:15 summer 186:16 Supervisory 2:10 supply 259:2,4 280:3 support 64:19 90:3 104:16 108:5,7,14,17 114:8 127:12 130:14 149:6 226:10,12 228:3 237:7 249:9 251:4 257:2,8 258:6,8 258:11 261:21 291:19 299:2 308:19 361:18 362:7 supported 59:8 141:3 216:19 313:7 332:13 359:13 373:6 supporters 253:12	28:15,19 30:10 34:21 42:9,13 51:18 52:21 56:1,18 57:13 63:15 63:18 66:17 69:8,9 80:13,16 81:17,20 82:18 83:12,17 85:6 86:3 87:17 88:3 93:6 97:1 100:4,6,9,12,19 104:11,18 105:4,18 108:2,4 109:19,21 110:3 111:5,6,10,14 113:12 115:12,17 116:9 117:13,22 119:6 122:17 123:1,2 123:7,13 124:11 125:12 127:11 128:2 128:7,17 129:22 131:1,17 132:9,13,21 132:22 133:21 135:6 138:6,15 139:2 141:13,21,22 156:11 157:3 166:6 173:2
170:22 223:4,18 243:19 stay 19:14 367:18 379:7 step 32:20 35:20 55:18 88:7 109:8 178:12 244:5 250:5,13 320:15 steps 340:1 Steve 2:1 9:2 143:2 189:19 191:12,13 194:7 218:3 283:11 283:12 334:12 374:15 stock 366:20 377:2 stop 42:14 44:2 321:4 329:18 331:1 storage 12:14 220:19 224:1 279:22 339:8 339:11 346:2 347:14 store 283:18 stories 292:18 293:8 straightforward 64:7 205:20	22:19 27:5,16 32:19 39:10 42:13 44:12 45:4 52:2,4 64:2 68:19 69:14 85:6 103:21 105:18 108:4 120:2 123:6 128:17 131:10 156:12 172:17 180:12 227:2 240:4 245:11,20 250:10 251:7 259:10,15 260:2,4 263:22 265:21 266:4,15 269:21 320:21 321:20 323:19 324:4,7 327:2 331:3,12 332:20 submission 25:14 submissions 146:7 submit 5:17 25:12 146:19 157:1 164:21 165:17 180:4 207:19 submittal 168:6 188:20	suggestions 200:18 261:13 294:20 suited 57:1 summarized 18:10 summary 12:9 19:11 62:15 summer 186:16 Supervisory 2:10 supply 259:2,4 280:3 support 64:19 90:3 104:16 108:5,7,14,17 114:8 127:12 130:14 149:6 226:10,12 228:3 237:7 249:9 251:4 257:2,8 258:6,8 258:11 261:21 291:19 299:2 308:19 361:18 362:7 supported 59:8 141:3 216:19 313:7 332:13 359:13 373:6	28:15,19 30:10 34:21 42:9,13 51:18 52:21 56:1,18 57:13 63:15 63:18 66:17 69:8,9 80:13,16 81:17,20 82:18 83:12,17 85:6 86:3 87:17 88:3 93:6 97:1 100:4,6,9,12,19 104:11,18 105:4,18 108:2,4 109:19,21 110:3 111:5,6,10,14 113:12 115:12,17 116:9 117:13,22 119:6 122:17 123:1,2 123:7,13 124:11 125:12 127:11 128:2 128:7,17 129:22 131:1,17 132:9,13,21 132:22 133:21 135:6 138:6,15 139:2 141:13,21,22 156:11
170:22 223:4,18 243:19 stay 19:14 367:18 379:7 step 32:20 35:20 55:18 88:7 109:8 178:12 244:5 250:5,13 320:15 steps 340:1 Steve 2:1 9:2 143:2 189:19 191:12,13 194:7 218:3 283:11 283:12 334:12 374:15 stock 366:20 377:2 stop 42:14 44:2 321:4 329:18 331:1 storage 12:14 220:19 224:1 279:22 339:8 339:11 346:2 347:14 store 283:18 stories 292:18 293:8 straightforward 64:7 205:20 strategies 344:21 347:9	22:19 27:5,16 32:19 39:10 42:13 44:12 45:4 52:2,4 64:2 68:19 69:14 85:6 103:21 105:18 108:4 120:2 123:6 128:17 131:10 156:12 172:17 180:12 227:2 240:4 245:11,20 250:10 251:7 259:10,15 260:2,4 263:22 265:21 266:4,15 269:21 320:21 321:20 323:19 324:4,7 327:2 331:3,12 332:20 submission 25:14 submissions 146:7 submit 5:17 25:12 146:19 157:1 164:21 165:17 180:4 207:19 submittal 168:6 188:20 submitted 5:20 23:21	suggestions 200:18 261:13 294:20 suited 57:1 summarized 18:10 summary 12:9 19:11 62:15 summer 186:16 Supervisory 2:10 supply 259:2,4 280:3 support 64:19 90:3 104:16 108:5,7,14,17 114:8 127:12 130:14 149:6 226:10,12 228:3 237:7 249:9 251:4 257:2,8 258:6,8 258:11 261:21 291:19 299:2 308:19 361:18 362:7 supported 59:8 141:3 216:19 313:7 332:13 359:13 373:6 supporters 253:12	28:15,19 30:10 34:21 42:9,13 51:18 52:21 56:1,18 57:13 63:15 63:18 66:17 69:8,9 80:13,16 81:17,20 82:18 83:12,17 85:6 86:3 87:17 88:3 93:6 97:1 100:4,6,9,12,19 104:11,18 105:4,18 108:2,4 109:19,21 110:3 111:5,6,10,14 113:12 115:12,17 116:9 117:13,22 119:6 122:17 123:1,2 123:7,13 124:11 125:12 127:11 128:2 128:7,17 129:22 131:1,17 132:9,13,21 132:22 133:21 135:6 138:6,15 139:2 141:13,21,22 156:11 157:3 166:6 173:2

188:12 196:8.13 255:14 257:2.8 73:22 356:4 375:11 359:16 372:11,16 211:15 221:10 222:1 260:20 279:21 283:7 talk 47:13 57:4 61:20 373:9 223:10 226:11 227:7 301:4 339:1 71:3 89:9 92:3 104:19 techniques 349:19 137:14 151:14 169:16 356:12 362:1 227:13,15 229:12 **systems** 23:14 34:19 230:2,8 233:10 247:9 35:2 41:14 43:6,8 179:5 247:2 257:17 technological 74:21 266:13 279:7 357:2 253:19 254:3,7 260:7 53:16,21 54:4,5 63:18 technologies 30:6 264:15 266:15 267:1 talked 32:9 37:16 48:14 48:16 50:1 59:5 66:20 65:6 68:14 89:17 66:21 67:10 98:18 269:9 272:2,8,16 90:10 94:4,14 110:8 49:15 53:18 80:12 276:9 278:1,12 99:7 105:8 152:4 110:14 111:12 135:19 85:1 95:22 108:2 280:16 281:22 283:2 112:3 122:20 153:18 185:11 233:2 234:22 164:5,9 177:3 179:10 283:5,6 284:18 285:8 235:6 242:20 243:13 183:11,15,19 184:1,2 164:3 177:10 181:13 286:8 288:2,18 289:3 184:5 188:1 189:1 189:14 198:9 211:22 243:21 261:18 292:8 289:12 291:16 300:15 229:5 250:8 258:15 253:9 274:6 315:5 293:13 297:15 305:21 313:19 323:1 332:22 260:22 277:21 291:15 342:11 366:21 338:9 341:1 335:22 336:10,22 293:18,22 294:14 talking 38:14 43:16 technology 41:16 43:3 318:5 319:19 344:17 surveying 27:22 28:1 44:10,22 47:9 48:15 43:6,7,9 48:6,17,20 133:6 166:13 236:6 351:8 369:8 54:12 55:2,20 59:9 49:6 55:21,21 57:1 284:21,21 285:2 61:1 63:2,21 64:3 58:13,21 59:9 64:14 **surveys** 12:21 13:12 68:8,10 69:2,7,9 66:12,14 67:3,4,6,12 **T** 1:14 22:16 27:16 44:13 72:17,20 84:1 85:20 67:13 70:3 72:1,9 45:4 51:2 56:4 57:9 table 27:13 29:3 39:22 87:15 88:21 89:16 74:15 75:21 78:22 80:18 101:10 105:16 79:9,11,21 89:9 93:19 42:5 83:17 112:20 93:2 100:9 112:13 117:6 122:18 133:4 140:12 201:16 202:3 119:15 121:4,10 97:1,6,7,12,17,20 123:1 125:3 130:22 135:12 153:5 167:3 307:3 309:18 316:5 99:9,21,22 100:3,17 172:17 188:14 195:14 131:9 133:12,17 101:18 105:14 106:13 331:22 357:15 202:15 220:10 221:1 tables 339:5 134:6 135:8.8.20 107:7 109:3 112:15 221:7,11 223:12 tackle 219:5 137:8,9 152:7 155:2 122:22 172:18 179:4 156:21 166:21,22 226:7,13 227:5,22 Tacoma 310:18 184:3 228:20,21 228:18 229:21 230:4 176:8,15 180:16,16 229:16 241:12 242:15 Tahamtani 10:6 231:5 247:7 249:11 tail 30:16 180:18 184:2,9 188:5 245:2,3 249:16 258:4 250:3 261:21 264:18 tailor 251:13 356:18 188:5 191:15 195:1 298:19 tee 219:20 285:18 267:6,10 273:11 tailored 251:1 299:15 200:8 207:5 209:7 276:5 278:17 290:13 362:8 231:10 269:18 274:16 teed 25:17 293:15 297:11,13 tailoring 362:15 276:22 280:20 284:10 tell 31:2 72:6 90:2 298:16 313:10 321:19 take 3:4 5:9 8:2,5 13:5 291:6 299:7 303:2 151:22 152:13 169:4 323:10 330:14 331:2 14:21 44:12 53:22 305:5 340:15 343:17 214:7 294:9,10 332:15,19 55:18 63:14 88:14 343:18 347:5 356:9 **tells** 361:5 356:21 361:5 364:19 **sustainable** 66:3 76:16 91:3,18 111:18 temporary 227:8,16 swath 57:2 112:22 113:19 114:12 368:8 260:8,9 267:2,4 **switch** 48:9 49:6 315:3 125:21 131:13 136:13 tandem 276:13 335:22 336:11 337:1 **switching** 64:22 231:9 140:16 142:5 143:20 tank 345:21 337:10 tenants 112:19 159:10,12 166:9 tanks 54:5 293:2,3 **sync** 302:6 synchronize 278:3 189:10 197:11,14 **Targa** 87:8,8 tend 80:22 282:9 285:10 target 365:15 366:5,9 Tennessee 79:4 214:21 217:6 219:3 synchronizing 300:13 219:17 224:11 225:1 366:18 379:6 tent 7:22 140:16 169:15 targeted 37:7 168:4 term 220:18 225:7,10 **system** 19:10 30:2,17 247:16 262:9,18 30:21,22 31:14,16,18 266:19 269:8 289:6 211:9 225:16 318:20 355:21 32:4,6 33:14,14 34:3 301:2 307:17 308:16 terminal 293:12 347:17 targeting 70:15 34:9 35:5 63:13 65:19 311:16 314:15 316:2 targets 366:11 369:13 65:21 70:7,21 74:3 318:17 333:15 340:1 tariffs 248:19 terminals 265:10 78:2 152:17 153:6,11 358:16 360:1,18 tax 250:18 277:16 291:2 292:14 157:1,5 158:6 171:14 361:1,1 373:19 **Taylor** 2:19 244:8,8 292:17 293:16,20 171:20 172:19,19 team 10:13 196:7 339:8 344:21 368:19 376:12 377:2 380:4 173:3 178:16 180:11 technical 305:6 351:1 368:21 taken 32:20 39:13 187:15 188:20 191:6 terms 45:6 60:13 73:17 106:16 167:22 168:2 360:16 192:17 201:20 205:15 194:3 244:14 295:13 technicalities 350:15 83:5,7 127:22 132:20 208:16 213:11 237:16 364:12 155:12 165:1 176:15 technically 141:7 217:1 248:7 252:7 255:5,10 takes 10:2 43:18 73:21 298:16 313:11 332:16 176:18,21 192:10,18

193:3 277:2 308:21 258:19 260:14.15 109:4 111:12 113:1 122:21,21 123:15,17 315:7 330:13 342:17 262:13,15 265:6 113:18 114:12 115:17 123:20 127:13 132:20 352:2 266:7 267:13 270:7 121:7,13 122:2,2,8 137:5 138:9 143:20 123:2 126:14 131:14 terrain 67:1 271:3,17 274:10,21 146:13 147:22 149:2 terrible 349:13 276:17 277:9 282:13 131:22 134:8 146:15 156:17 158:21,22 Terry 2:2 8:17 122:11 283:10,19,20 284:13 149:1 151:4,5 152:6 160:3 165:18 168:3 124:21 125:1 127:6 285:11 289:10 295:5 170:5 172:15 175:21 152:19 153:7,22 134:14,15 142:3,18 301:9 309:6 312:2 156:8 159:9 160:14 180:7,15 181:17 201:14 203:20 217:19 314:12 316:2,3 164:16,17 166:16 183:5 189:5 191:19 334:6 356:6,7 359:21 321:18 329:21 330:16 167:5 170:14 171:12 193:3 194:1,1 200:14 361:14 367:2 374:9 335:7,18 336:12 173:6,22 174:6,12,20 201:6 202:12 205:21 376:1 341:16 343:9 345:10 176:4,4 181:18 185:8 215:2 239:16 245:12 **Terry's** 126:12 347:19 352:19 357:5 187:13 188:20 189:5 255:7 260:11 262:7 test 99:2 359:20 370:21 375:10 190:2 194:6 197:1 273:2 276:3 277:4,15 375:17 376:10 378:12 198:16,18 200:17,21 282:7 286:16 291:19 testing 79:18 211:13 293:21 378:21 379:16,17,22 202:7,18 203:3 204:9 294:9,10 298:6 302:1 **Tewabe** 10:12 thanks 26:21 28:4 205:4 208:15 213:2 305:11 315:18 316:7 **Texas** 1:21 62:10 79:3 29:12 42:1,2 44:4,7 214:2,9,18 216:1,9 318:14 328:14 342:6 87:7,9 99:17 151:14 55:14 62:18,21 69:19 239:11 242:11 248:10 342:13,16 343:3 171:11 172:12 182:15 71:9 81:9 88:11,18,19 253:7 254:17 262:10 345:20 346:10 348:1 260:21 292:6 101:1 104:8 111:3 263:15,18 264:19 348:17 350:14 351:14 text 25:7 123:9 193:22 113:22 114:13 116:5 265:3,4 269:3 271:1 357:13 360:14,15 225:9 119:12 122:12 124:22 273:18 274:9 275:12 361:18 364:21 365:1 **Thailand** 241:16 127:5,20 128:13 276:8,10 279:11 365:13 thank 3:4,6,8 7:1,2 9:18 281:14 285:9 286:11 **thereof** 351:9 130:10 132:6 138:20 9:19,22 10:12 11:2,14 140:22 144:1 145:22 288:4 289:18 290:14 they'll 67:11 81:5 310:1 17:9.10.12 18:6 26:5 147:3 150:4 155:8 291:17 293:16 295:7 372:4 26:16 27:20 28:8 29:5 156:5 157:10 159:16 295:10 300:2 302:4,6 they're 4:9 19:4 30:8 34:5 38:11 39:14 42:3 165:11 178:21 182:8 47:11,18,21 49:3 51:1 302:7,13,15 306:16 50:9 53:4 55:12 62:3 183:2 185:15 187:17 307:1,7 308:18 309:3 55:10 56:8 60:19 67:9 64:8,9,10 66:8 67:15 189:17 211:4 260:18 309:13,19 311:18 72:11 89:17 96:13,18 67:17 77:3 80:3 81:6 264:3 266:20 269:15 312:16 318:22 320:11 112:11 164:9 208:20 81:7 88:12 90:19 91:2 273:20 281:15 282:12 320:12 321:15 322:15 214:5 251:6 262:5 91:16 92:21 94:19 284:15 286:3 287:17 323:9 325:2,19 267:5 272:14 276:11 98:5 99:14 100:22 291:8 292:2 295:16 326:15 329:13.19 279:12 307:10 321:12 102:10,11 107:14 298:22 305:13 306:7 331:11 338:14 344:5 324:4,6 326:13,20 306:21 319:3 322:13 113:8 120:18 122:10 344:9 346:16 351:3 327:1 330:9 331:9,12 126:8 127:2,19 138:4 328:6 338:6 341:18 351:18 353:6,19,20 340:3,5 349:18 355:9 142:2 143:19 146:20 343:11 379:8 353:20 354:11 355:16 356:20 146:21 150:5 151:11 that'll 108:13 180:22 356:3,16 360:9 they've 52:2 119:3 361:11 362:7,10 153:13 156:3 157:11 **that's** 5:3,19 12:9 14:10 321:18 357:1 362:22 158:13 161:2,4 162:3 15:6 17:3 33:17 35:3 364:5,6,17 368:21 thing 22:14 46:19 49:10 163:18 165:8 168:9 35:18 36:8 38:10 39:4 369:15,17 370:20 64:16 65:8 68:15 168:11 169:11,12 39:7 41:9,11 42:5 371:5,6 372:1,10 72:19 81:10 87:14 170:16 172:10 174:13 45:4 47:2,4 48:20 theater 263:7 95:5 96:17 121:21 49:7,12 50:2 53:13 theme 269:17 123:2 130:3 158:1 176:10 181:1,2 182:6 185:14 186:13 189:18 54:15 55:7 58:11,16 there'll 270:14 161:12 178:2 182:9 191:11 192:5 194:7 there's 4:13,19 16:21 185:16 215:11 255:2 61:16,21 64:1 65:8 197:6,20 198:13 66:6 67:8 70:17 71:6 22:20 23:1 26:9 28:21 295:8 318:6 326:9 204:20 206:18 210:8 73:15 74:17,17,18,18 33:4 35:10,15 40:18 331:16 332:6 338:8 211:2 212:17 213:11 76:2,9,10,22 77:1 42:7 47:4 51:11 58:19 353:1 357:6 359:2 213:12 214:15 218:21 79:3,19 83:3 87:2 61:16 65:13 66:17 363:10 365:5 378:3 90:6 92:16 94:14 219:5 239:19,20 72:5 75:11,15 78:13 things 16:10 47:5 48:4 241:6,7 244:5,7 245:5 95:11,13,18,20 96:18 80:1 83:16 84:21 48:5 49:14,15,20 245:6 246:4,5 249:4,6 97:3,11,15 98:12,21 86:16 92:10,12 96:1,2 50:15 55:2 66:14 69:9 251:16,18 252:17,19 99:11,20 100:14 97:4 100:11,15 72:15,21 75:9 80:12 101:14 102:3 106:11 110:22 118:12,13,18 83:10 96:2,11 98:14 253:5 255:17,18 256:5,6 257:11,14 106:12 107:9 108:6 118:21 121:1 122:3 103:19 109:4 112:19

113:4 121:13 126:20 151:6 177:4,15 189:6 189:14 191:5 192:7 194:3 263:12 278:2 278:15 292:15 304:22 310:19 353:6 355:9 362:22 365:21 367:5 368:9
think 10:21 16:11 31:21 36:2 37:10,15 38:1 39:4 40:5,11,19 41:13 43:2,13,21 44:3,22 46:9,19,20 48:12,22 49:2,4,8,12,19,21
50:3,17,19,20 51:13 54:10,22 55:1,7,15,17 56:14 58:9,20 59:6,14 59:18 60:5,10,14 62:22 64:5,12,16
65:12,15 66:6 67:18 67:22 68:3,7,15 69:8 69:10,21,22,22 70:14 71:12 72:20,22 73:2 73:19 75:2,12 76:2 77:9,12,16 78:7,9,19
78:22 79:13 80:1,14 81:10 82:22 83:5 86:8 86:19 87:4,14 88:6 89:18,21 90:6 91:9,11 91:13 92:3 93:4,13,20 93:22 94:6,9,13 95:2
95:5,8,13,19 96:18 97:3,13 98:9,12,16,22 99:1,17 100:2 102:1 103:18 104:9,16 105:17,19 106:6.10
108:1,13,16 109:4,17 109:20 110:4,6,22 111:4,7,12,13,22 112:6,9,13,16,18 113:6,10,17,19 114:6 114:7,11 115:5
116:12 117:3,20 118:5 120:2,16,21 121:21 122:6,7,8,14 123:14,18 124:9,15 125:4,14,20 126:5,20
127:7,17 129:20 130:3,13 131:2 132:7 133:10,11,16 134:2,7 134:9,12 135:4 137:1 137:3,14,16 139:8,11 139:14 140:10 145:5
146:2,15 147:5 148:5 148:11,16 149:2,8,17 150:7 152:6 153:18 153:22 154:6,11 156:8,17 159:17,22

```
160:15 161:7,17,19
162:1,11,15 163:9,20
163:21 164:1,5,11,18
165:5,13 167:9,13,18
167:21 168:7,20,22
169:14,16,18,22
170:8,19 173:13
174:3,20,20,22
176:16 177:4,9,15,16
177:17,20,21 178:2,5
178:10,12 183:3,7
186:9 187:10,11
188:21 189:15 190:2
190:3 193:8,13,16
194:5 197:1,11 198:5
198:14,16,21 199:17
199:18 200:21 202:13
202:20,21 203:17,22
204:5,8 205:8,10
206:14,19,21 207:8
208:3,6,9 210:12,13
210:14 211:1 212:6
212:14 213:15 214:4
215:19,21 244:18,20
252:14 253:7 262:16
264:5 268:4 269:19
270:3,18,22 271:7,8
271:11,20 272:7,13
273:1,4,9,16 274:2,9
274:18,18 275:9,12
275:19 276:10,14,19
277:1,5 278:18 279:9
279:19 280:6,16
281:2 282:17 283:21
284:17 285:6.10.19
286:4,14 287:6,7,8,19
288:4,11,14,16,21
289:12,15,18 290:1,1
290:5,12 291:6,17,20
295:7 296:3,8,13
299:2,6,17,18 300:3
300:16,18,20 301:14
301:18 302:1,2,7,12
302:12 303:1,22
304:7,13 305:19
306:10,11,16 307:3,4
307:16 308:5 309:8
309:16,19 310:14
311:1,3,9,13 312:20
313:6 315:2,7,18
316:12 317:13,18
318:2,11,19 319:5,22
320:13 326:19 327:6
327:7 330:12,19,20
331:13,15,16 335:10
336:8 337:6,8 338:19
339:6,14 340:22
341:19 342:13,15
```

```
345:1,2,3,7,18 346:5
  347:20 348:1,5,12,16
  349:12,22 350:2,8,13
  350:16,17,17,20
  351:5,10 352:5,10,16
  352:22 354:4 355:14
  355:21 356:9 357:6
  357:11,14,20 358:8
  358:14,17 361:4,11
  361:12 362:3,14
  363:5,6,8,20 364:11
  364:14,21 365:12,13
  366:6,17,19 367:7,13
  367:19 368:3,9,14,14
  370:11,16,19,19
  371:6,10,14,16,19,20
  372:2,10 377:12
  378:15
thinking 42:6 46:16
  69:2 82:8 85:19 87:20
  93:11 98:15 109:18
  110:15 117:21 118:8
  118:19 122:15 129:21
  132:18 136:3 182:10
  182:14 185:17 194:4
  206:13 213:19 214:10
  216:4 274:22 282:7
  286:5,19 297:13
  298:2 302:20 324:17
  343:4
thinks 291:12
third 37:21 51:20
  198:15 242:3 301:21
  306:10 321:17
thought 26:9 29:7
  34:11 87:3 106:4
  110:7,21 122:13
  125:2 145:5 164:11
  192:19 206:22 207:22
  263:4 279:9 287:14
  291:21 292:1 317:8
  319:6 326:10 327:22
thoughtful 199:3
  379:17
thoughtfully 47:9
thoughts 75:13 109:7
  126:14 162:10 203:12
  269:13 325:1 339:22
thousands 210:18
threat 48:19
threats 48:14
three 6:1 11:14 47:3
  50:15 51:6.8 63:14
  80:18 110:13 119:2,7
  130:4 134:3 228:7
  246:14 268:16,18,19
  269:6 296:17 297:3
  299:19 313:22 341:8
```

345:19 three-to-five- 130:6 threshold 45:16 78:15 119:8 124:16 292:19 292:20 360:14 thresholds 79:12 292:8 throw 201:15 360:4 throwing 71:18,19 Thursday 14:21 tie 218:18 302:3,10 tied 195:3 tier 78:12 tighter 119:21 Tillman 10:8 time 3:10,12 7:19 13:6 13:16 14:5,6,8 17:21 26:9 29:13,13 39:20 41:14 43:17,21 51:17 51:20 54:2 58:20 61:1 61:15 66:11,19 67:5 72:18 76:18 82:14,20 87:17 88:15 89:19 92:3,13 96:19 100:2 102:9 105:13 108:10 108:10 111:10 112:10 112:12 117:11.14 121:5,20 131:12 144:19 152:7 183:18 189:2 196:15 201:22 202:18 223:13 224:21 246:3 281:12 296:16 306:13 310:11 332:9 338:12 342:12 timeframes 259:8 timeline 42:7 76:17 113:12 117:3 193:4 214:11 296:21 297:2 301:16 302:21 303:13 303:19 308:22 309:4 311:10 312:14 timelines 28:18 43:3 50:6 71:17,21 72:6 73:22 93:8,12 106:3 106:15,20 108:20 109:2 122:19 125:19 126:1 137:2 139:4 228:15 238:4 296:12 296:14 299:8,12 300:15 308:10 310:4 311:3 313:22 333:3 timely 110:11 times 53:18 57:17 74:5 110:19 118:4 133:2 234:15 257:20 258:11 286:9,10 timing 60:11 61:1,20 72:18 today 4:1,3 6:2 10:6

II			
14:15 37:12 43:7	240:15	traveling 3:9	turn 4:10,13 9:21 10:14
74:17 89:17,21 106:6	trains 293:8	tread 96:5	10:17,22 15:13 18:4
109:5 125:16 130:19	tranche 99:4 159:4	treated 255:9	26:14 91:15 112:8
148:8 149:21 181:8	Transco 30:2	treating 242:12	189:12 205:17 219:19
181:13 182:3 238:19	transcript 5:21 7:11,20	trending 125:5	239:14
241:21 242:11 246:3	365:2	trends 238:22	turning 288:10
262:3 280:9 301:4	transcripts 7:12	tried 41:2 101:7	Turpin 2:2 8:17,18
349:8 351:21 362:5	transferring 344:6	triggers 305:2	124:22 125:1 134:15
375:15	346:3 352:13	trip 262:11	134:15 142:3,18,19
told 48:18	transmission 1:17	tripled 307:11	201:15 217:19,20
tomorrow 66:15 99:19	12:13 19:1,16 20:21	Tristan 4:2 379:2	334:6,7 356:6,7,7
100:10 219:13,16	21:9 22:11 23:17 30:2	trivial 166:9,16 354:19	359:21 374:9,10
375:12 380:3	30:4,15,17 31:14,17	trouble 283:22	Turpin's 129:15
ton 338:9	33:17,18,20 34:3	truck 262:10 283:17	tweaks 368:17
tonight 336:9	35:11,12 37:1,3 47:1	Truckee 248:7	twice 110:19 118:5
tons 12:2	47:18 49:16 51:5 56:8	true 164:12 175:4	226:16 262:11
tool 146:12 157:6,6,17	58:2,5 59:10 64:18	219:21	two 5:14 6:1 11:13
158:17 179:17,17	68:18,19 69:1,5 70:21	trunk 31:10	26:11 29:1 40:7 47:5
180:6 181:16,18	71:1 95:11 96:12	trust 2:15 187:8 192:9	50:17 63:14 66:10
186:20 187:20 193:22	110:18 118:2 119:19	205:2 228:2 229:14	72:15,21 74:8 79:15
340:13	121:7 128:16 132:15	235:17 238:10 257:17	80:5 107:19 121:11
tools 47:8 50:4 76:10	132:16 151:4,5 157:8	296:16	126:1 137:22 164:19
76:10 78:19 79:22	158:3,5 166:3,11	try 14:4 15:4 29:15	177:4 186:19 192:7
94:6 115:8 120:12	171:17 176:2 179:3,8	37:11,12 65:20 79:16	197:13 198:17 204:7
166:7 181:17 186:4,9	182:1,5 183:14,17	80:2 91:8 107:18	246:15 268:10 276:10
187:22 193:20 204:14	184:1 188:6,8,9 209:9	121:18 125:13 127:8	277:14 278:14 280:19
top 45:8 65:6 108:22	209:19 211:19 212:16	128:14 129:15 136:7	282:5 283:4 286:10
121:4,12 269:9 293:3	225:22 226:5,20	144:18 170:20 187:18	313:18 314:4 318:5
297:10 298:14 315:9	230:2 234:16 236:20	196:9 204:13 255:16	319:8,19 335:20
364:13	238:6 241:17,22	278:3 319:18 354:13	356:10
topic 17:13 170:4	244:18 245:15 254:10	356:11 376:20	tying 254:13
176:14 251:10 259:20	254:12 260:1 265:1	trying 32:12 39:19 41:9	type 18:19 19:3,6,7,8,8
topics 263:16 268:18	269:18 270:20 278:4	42:4 44:1 64:16 65:8	19:18,18,19,20 20:3,5
269:7	278:5,11,13 279:8,11	70:1 75:12 76:5 77:10	20:9,15,16,19 21:2,3
topography 31:16 63:8	279:21 282:1,4,11	77:16 89:12,14 90:8	21:5,5,7,8,9,14,22
total 16:12 22:1 37:5	283:1,5 285:2,7 286:8	95:3 102:8 103:16,20	22:1,6,9,12,15 23:3,7
45:2 52:13 84:10,20	288:3 289:4,14 290:2	105:12 109:16 114:6	24:13 25:6,16,20,21
100:3,14 121:12	298:5 305:21 308:13	121:8 124:10 125:6	26:1 27:5 28:16,20
160:6 247:14 261:1	313:18,21 314:2,10	126:8 129:3,4 150:8	32:18,20,22 34:1,4,7
totally 58:4 90:18 268:4	333:2,5,12 336:5	150:21 155:12 161:8	34:9,11,12 35:15,18
305:16 354:21 365:1	337:8 338:2,11	168:18 173:14 174:11	35:19,21 36:1,11,12
369:21	340:18 341:1,5,12	174:17,18 176:8	38:1,3,14 39:1,4,8
tough 79:20 133:4	343:15 345:4 354:14	181:5 184:16 190:7	40:10,15,17,18,20,22
273:4	356:12 361:16 362:1	190:14 191:7 201:4	41:6 42:8,12 43:17
town 213:2,3 232:10	366:22 367:4,6 369:1	202:6 206:13 209:1	45:2,6,17 50:12,22
toxic 221:18	transmitted 178:18	215:19 264:5 268:20	53:2 56:20 60:2,17
track 49:3 255:12	transparency 166:20	277:6 280:18 282:9	62:13 68:21 71:22
305:16 319:8,19	174:19 181:11,20	284:16 285:13 286:13	81:17,21 82:22 83:3
tracked 214:1	187:13 191:4 192:8	288:12 290:9 300:10	83:13,15,18 84:7,8,9
traction 175:18 176:7	205:2 238:15	300:16 302:5,9	84:13,15,16,16 85:5
trade 234:20 236:3 trades 222:22 224:5,13	transplant 365:22 transport 242:16	304:20 311:8 315:8 319:15 323:12 325:3	85:14,22 86:6,11,12 86:15,22 87:22 88:5
224:19 225:5,8	transportation 1:1,14	327:3,8,11 328:11,22	90:3,3 93:3,14,21
226:17 227:6,9	2:8,9,11,12 3:22 7:6	330:22 347:13 354:12	94:2 95:12 100:6,9,12
228:19 229:10 230:14	237:13	357:2 366:22 367:6	102:5,6 103:1 104:12
230:20 231:2,21	transported 221:19	377:12 378:1,10	104:21 105:6,18
230:20 231:2,21	transporting 233:20	377.12.376.1,10	108:3,11,20 109:19
traditional 183:10	234:2,7 235:2 237:20	Tuesday 14:17	109:22 110:2 115:14
II			

116:3,10,12,15,19,20 123:6,11 127:18 128:20 129:1,22 130:1 132:8 138:22 139:15,16,18,21 140:6 141:6,12,13,20 144:12,13,13,22,22 145:1,10 149:5,7,12 149:13,19 151:22 152:1,1,12 158:1,4 160:3 162:15 163:4,7 163:16 169:9 171:17 172:4 190:5 195:4 197:2,4 209:17 210:14,15,15,22 211:18 214:4,9 216:22,22,22 237:18 265:21 292:7 303:7 308:13 362:11 types 25:2,4 27:3 51:16 53:10 59:16 89:5 164:21 165:2 181:21 211:14 280:20 300:22 339:12 352:16 typically 31:7 56:6 279:10

U

U.S 1:1,20 11:22 195:19 242:4 259:13 301:12 **U.S.C** 24:18 25:5,7 220:14,17 223:5,16 223:21 231:1 **Uh-huh** 325:6 **Ukraine** 307:13 ultimate 43:5 ultimately 113:15 153:8 154:4 164:18 193:17 **unable** 205:7 unanimous 91:12 143:17 197:9 199:5 212:14 375:8 unblended 235:2,7,15 uncertainty 73:9 127:13 325:4 unclear 328:8 uncomfortable 310:8 unconventional 133:16 undecided 129:14 undergoing 355:16 underground 12:14 224:1 279:22 underlying 243:16 underscore 171:9 **understand** 42:5 44:9 44:11 47:7 55:16 60:7 67:22 72:17 74:5,14 94:7 101:9 108:10

116:7 117:20 127:8 128:1,9,10 134:16 137:7 162:19,21 164:19 167:19 174:17 184:11,17 185:21 190:7 198:19 215:12 280:19 299:5 303:18 309:12 317:3 327:11 327:16 330:19 345:2 345:8 346:14 351:8 352:10 353:18 354:22 357:3 Inderstanding 41:11 42:16 68:4 82:2.17

understanding 41:11
42:16 68:4 82:2,17
83:7 113:9,13,17
116:16 148:10 161:8
161:19 177:3,12
185:17 194:20 264:8
264:20 265:18 272:1
279:4 283:22 307:4
310:6 329:11 338:18
343:6 377:13
understands 43:19

understands 43.19 understood 86:19 101:17 122:14 128:3 173:19 309:2 364:9 undertake 250:14

undertaken 185:19 unfortunate 188:7 279:19

unfortunately 64:6 uniformly 229:12 unintended 74:2,6 161:13 242:12

unique 232:18 250:16 251:1 257:18 259:6 303:3 347:21 348:3 348:15,20 350:5 352:7 353:13 355:8 357:7 358:10 359:18 360:6 362:9 363:21 366:10 368:5,17 370:5 373:11 379:12 379:13

unit 2:3 38:8 141:19 United 1:18 32:8 57:10 66:2 79:7 82:16 97:9 208:13 241:16 260:22 261:3 349:16

universally 83:13

244:19 universe 162:9 University 1:14,19,21 99:17 151:14 172:12 292:6

unknown 20:4 unnecessary 168:7 227:7 229:2 254:2 260:4,8 unreasonable 229:3 unregulated 149:13 unsafe 226:15 update 231:7 247:18 upshot 17:4 upstream 265:22 urban 252:8 urge 233:5 249:13 250:7,17 urged 224:13,20 use 15:22 30:6 47:15 48:17 56:4 67:4,9,1

48:17 56:4 67:4,9,11 80:2 89:13 147:10 162:10 166:7 172:22 180:8 225:18 227:12 235:20 238:2 247:17

258:6 306:3 310:3 311:19 318:20 340:11 344:2 349:4

useful 153:8 157:21 243:3

uses 157:19 188:18 225:15

utilities 1:13,16 2:1 3:22 7:6 191:14 279:6 283:13

utility 156:9 195:16 375:20 376:8

٧ vague 225:6 valuable 138:10 279:15 value 78:5 90:19 149:11 175:20 222:15 value-added 234:7 valves 343:20 344:8 vaporization 259:3 variability 183:6 variation 92:22 varies 279:19 variety 181:11 243:1 various 32:19,21 35:21 125:17 259:1 273:5 vast 66:1 101:12 249:21 **vastly** 70:22 vegetation 234:8 velocity 243:6 vendor 231:16 237:13 vented 342:4 venting 12:20 13:12 54:5 224:16 346:2 369:7 verification 211:13 version 192:16 versus 52:18 171:19,20 173:22 189:22 191:9

252:13,17 253:9

281:1 284:12 286:14 352:9 vertical 113:2 **vessel** 346:2 vetted 108:8 view 40:11 145:15 205:13 272:13 273:19 318:12 350:9 356:2 Viewer 154:5 158:22 viewing 171:14 182:12 vigorous 273:14 viii 248:11 violate 98:6 Virginia 1:11 vital 259:4 voices 378:16 volume 33:7 34:7 36:7 37:5 52:1 54:14 70:3 70:12,19 71:8 81:1 133:13 209:8 224:22 336:7 338:3 342:8 344:14,22

volumes 81:5 103:20 345:21

voluntarily 85:7,12 86:3 vote 13:11,11,17 14:2 17:21 26:11 90:5 99:2 126:10 127:18 130:12 131:13 136:14 141:1 142:5 167:10 197:9 197:11,12,15 200:3,3 200:4,15,16,22 201:8 206:1,5,7,13,19 207:20 208:10 214:21 215:10,14,15,18,22 216:2,5 217:6 218:18 268:19,22 308:16 314:15 316:9 333:15 338:16 360:2,18,18 361:1 362:12 365:8 366:13,15 368:13,18 370:1,2,11,16,22 371:15 372:13,13 373:19

voted 124:15 212:11 216:6,14 317:13 319:13

votes 138:22 199:5,22 212:14 268:10 270:4 voting 76:7 91:5 92:18 138:11 159:8 161:18 199:2,9 200:1 205:22 208:1 215:13 360:20

W

361:17

W 1:20 wade 148:17 149:21

wading 307:1
wait 103:15 143:20
287:15 waiting 92:9 313:1
walk 29:8 55:18 92:14
178:4
walking 56:15 149:11
walks 47:15 104:1 wand 293:1,7,10
want 15:3 18:2 30:11
32:10 33:9 36:18
49:21,22 50:13 53:6
54:19 57:4 60:10 62:1 63:1 64:11 67:14,21
68:1 72:14 73:9 75:3
77:21 78:2,2 80:1,5
83:15 85:3 88:20 89:7
90:9 92:15 93:13,16 95:7,9,17 98:2,6,10
100:16 108:6 109:8,9
113:15 120:5 121:3
123:20 136:13,21
144:17 149:21,22 151:8 153:9,10 154:8
155:4 161:20 162:18
162:21 163:11 164:4
164:8 165:12 168:19
169:14 171:6 172:7 176:12 179:5 186:15
187:3,4 194:11 195:6
201:15 202:2 205:15
206:3 207:1,13 209:11 211:6 214:19
215:6,10,11 216:8,10
240:1,5,10 244:13
252:9 256:5,8 257:17
258:16 270:10,11 274:13 276:2,14,15
276:20 277:12 278:18
281:7.8.12 283:14
287:7 292:9 295:9 297:17,18 301:11
303:17 305:1,10
307:6 309:3 311:19
318:5,17 321:13 324:5 327:11 328:8
324:5 327:11 328:8 335:17 336:21 338:4
338:8 339:4,11 340:6
340:8 345:13 347:16
348:4 358:2,9 367:11
369:5 371:16 375:16 376:10,12 378:12
wanted 44:8 45:9 48:17
59:12 81:21 83:22
86:16 126:15 128:1 181:4 197:22 204:18
245:11 246:1 247:2
253:5,11 254:18

275:22 295:5 303:2 304:19 320:10,15 328:3 351:20 377:18 wanting 279:7 358:6 wants 173:7,8 297:15 warming 257:20 warrant 146:14 242:18 **Washington** 1:13 3:9 3:22 7:6 186:15,18 281:4 379:7 wasn't 103:10 125:3 134:11 157:13 311:11 waste 254:2 wasteful 234:10 waterfront 310:18 way 32:12 33:19 36:17 46:16 53:16 75:7,21 76:21 80:14 89:3 90:11,18 102:8,8 119:21 120:14 123:8 124:3,7 125:1 126:14 129:4 130:20 135:17 135:22 136:11 154:10 176:19 186:2 190:18 192:17 193:14 195:2 199:21 200:14.16 201:1 202:16 205:20 208:5 213:9,22 246:9 255:6 276:22 282:20 302:2 303:10 322:17 330:2 346:11 347:7 349:19 352:6 353:20 369:14,22 371:10 375:18 377:15 379:11 ways 74:13 132:9 192:16 242:17 251:14 342:14 we'll 8:2,8 11:10 13:5 18:2 67:3 71:3 91:18 114:15 117:7 159:9 197:17 214:21 220:8 231:7 380:2 we're 11:10 14:22 15:2 17:13,15 18:12 22:22 26:6,8,10 29:17 30:13 31:21 35:2 37:2 44:22 46:18 48:18,22 49:1,5 53:14,22 54:12 55:9 55:20 60:22 63:2,21 64:3,16 65:8,12 66:6 68:9 69:3,4,7,9,13 70:1,17 71:6,6 72:17 72:19 75:4 76:13 77:9 79:8,16 84:1 86:17

87:15 88:14 89:11,12

89:14,16 90:8,10,17

91:11 92:1,9,18 95:3

96:11 97:12,16 98:15

102:5,7 106:2,3,17 109:6 111:8 114:7,20 117:11 119:15 120:3 121:17,18 123:1 124:10,13 125:4,6,9 126:7,8,9 127:10,22 128:9,10,18 129:3,4 130:13,22 131:2,9 133:12,17,18,20 134:6 135:7,20 136:3 136:5,7 137:7,9,14 139:17 150:8,21 155:2 156:21 157:2 161:8,21 164:14 166:20,22 168:18 169:6 173:14 174:4,5 174:11,17 175:13,22 176:8 177:6 178:13 180:15,16,18 181:5 184:17 188:5,5 189:4 189:7,8 194:4 195:16 195:21 199:2,13,20 200:8 202:6 203:10 203:16 204:11,12 205:10 208:9 216:1 220:5 247:8 248:1 264:7 266:13 270:13 277:4,17 280:20 281:13 283:21 285:19 288:10 289:18 290:1 290:15 291:6 295:13 297:19 299:6 300:16 301:6 303:1,2 305:5 305:21 311:7 317:2 317:18 318:2.4.8 323:12,13 324:8 327:7,8 330:20,22 331:16 335:10,15 338:10 340:15 341:9 341:14 343:17,17 347:4,9,11,12,13 348:12 349:19 353:16 354:11,20 356:9 357:2 361:5 362:2,4,5 365:12,17,22 366:1 366:20 367:6 368:9 370:10,10,12 371:7 371:10 378:15 380:2 we've 12:10 13:10,20 14:3 18:13 21:1 27:14 27:18,19 32:11,19 41:2 43:8 47:8 49:15 61:3 63:12 64:5 66:4 70:4 75:20,20 82:16 85:4 86:10 89:11 90:10 92:4 93:2,8 95:22 101:7 103:15 110:7,12 121:4,10

125:14 128:8 136:2 146:12 152:7 153:18 159:10,11 160:1 165:19 166:2,5,6 175:4,10 177:9,10 181:13,13 182:1 185:3,3 187:21 188:9 188:10 194:22 198:8 207:5 257:8 263:17 274:2 280:8 286:18 290:7 312:20 315:6 319:15 345:4 348:6 348:21 351:9 357:11 web 31:15 32:7 34:20 120:7 webpage 6:3 website 7:14 180:7 Wednesday 14:18 15:1 weeds 372:1 week 4:5 14:11 15:6 126:7 253:10 377:20 378.1 weeks 6:1 63:14 121:11 weigh 126:15 145:14 186:15 weighing 111:17 weird 370:9 Weisker 2:3 8:19,20 142:20,21 169:22 170:1 217:21,22 282:15,15 305:18,18 334:8,9 337:5,5,14,17 372:6,6 374:11,12 welcome 11:7 welcomed 261:12 welcomes 244:4 WELDEMICAEL 2:13 well-developed 242:22 well-established 182:2 well-informed 96:6 well-suited 30:9 wells 154:14,16 went 62:10 91:21 114:18 144:4 166:12 196:15 219:7 281:5 340:9 380:6 weren't 58:3 78:1 137:21 west 33:19 Westin 1:10 what's 55:22 56:3 71:5 76:5 101:9 107:4 111:11 122:22 146:17 158:18 173:14 201:9 255:2 265:12,13 280:6,14 285:20,21 285:21 287:20 288:8

324:8 341:20 342:17

314:5 333:7 343:7 346:13 356:16 346:15 353:20 wrong 44:22 144:21 years 49:11 51:8 54:8 356:17 370:3 371:4,5 words 72:5 285:18 wheel 263:9 363:16 364:22 317:19 63:12 70:7 74:18,19 Where's 174:19 wordsmith 348:11 Wyoming 33:15,16 34:1 78:14 79:19 80:18,19 Whew 103:15 wordsmithing 289:5 82:12 100:7,11,20 X who's 10:9 110:6,9,12,13 111:15 wordy 325:19 wide 118:17 129:4 118:22 119:2,7,15,19 work 10:13 12:19 13:3 Υ widely 56:4,10 13:4,8,8,10 14:9,19 119:20 120:12 128:11 wildly 366:2 15:5 37:11,13 40:6 yard 162:20 130:4 131:9,12 133:7 **WILLIAM** 1:18 47:10 59:22 66:15,15 134:2,20 135:3,6,16 yeah 11:8 26:21 27:12 Williams 2:4,19 29:6,19 72:9 75:17 79:3,4,12 137:4,8,9,17,22 161:6 29:11 41:22 42:18,19 30:22 32:5 33:14 86:7 90:4 93:5 94:10 44:19,19,19 62:21 172:18 181:7 186:15 34:18 40:4 45:13 53:9 97:8 101:21,22 102:2 188:10 189:9 225:1 67:17 69:20 92:9,17 62:22 69:20 88:18 102:8 112:15 114:7 92:21 101:5 102:16 242:1 256:16,18 101:2 102:21 107:14 117:13 134:3 135:14 102:19,21,21 107:17 257:3,5,21 281:4 114:1,11 119:13 135:18 152:13 153:10 111:3 114:1 124:6,17 341:4,8 376:15 yep 34:14 155:21 265:7 127:5 128:14 130:11 154:6 162:16 165:6 124:20 131:22 135:2 135:2 137:13 139:8 168:4 198:3 254:13 136:17 137:12,20 289:17,18 146:1 156:6 165:11 256:4 271:1 278:5 yesterday 66:15 138:5,9 139:8,13 yield 114:4 125:14 178:22 183:2 187:18 282:10 285:6 290:5 151:9 153:15 157:12 211:5 239:20,22 293:19 294:14 300:13 162:6 163:20 185:15 196:3 269:16 273:21 277:12 304:2 336:9 338:9 York 1:15 79:6 223:10 192:7 193:11 198:14 279:18 281:16 284:15 345:4,7 347:6 354:16 226:12 232:8 237:10 199:1 206:12 207:15 287:18 291:9 299:1 369:1 375:17 376:1,2 286:17 295:8 377:7 209:13 210:3 212:20 306:22 317:1 319:4 376:11 379:19 you'll 3:12 30:19 33:21 215:9 216:4 220:5 322:7 338:7 343:12 workable 112:20 35:14 36:1,10 135:13 239:11,12 263:15,18 you're 4:12 5:6 17:16 346:19 349:2 361:10 worked 304:8 341:8 265:15 266:21 269:16 27:21 33:15 38:14 willing 113:11 287:21 working 10:19 54:1 270:21,21 276:18 335:9,14 359:8 75:14 108:6,12 240:8 44:10,11,14 46:6 279:17,18 284:12,14 Wills 2:20 241:8,9 254:22 256:6 257:12 286:4 287:18 288:6.7 56:19 58:10,13 74:5 76:11 90:1 97:5 107:2 Wilson 10:11 340:3,5 343:7 347:9 289:9 291:19 295:4 362:2 377:3,8 378:6 wind 190:18 297:8,12 298:12 116:7 120:9 132:4 window 377:4 378:19 299:1 300:2,6,10,16 135:15 138:17 182:11 winner 375:22 works 72:9 90:7 198:6 302:22 303:21 304:20 184:2,9 187:9 193:21 wintertime 277:22 309:22 330:2 306:9 308:4,6,8 198:19 202:14.15 wise 157:15 world 94:16 241:15,18 310:12,16 315:18 203:8 210:13,20 wish 8:1 379:20,21 243:4 261:16 277:18 316:2,15,22 317:1 254:6,13 255:4,10 wishes 4:1,4 219:1 world's 241:13 318:2 319:4 320:1 278:9,11 285:2,9 withdraw 372:22 worlds 277:14 287:11 302:5,8 321:18 322:6 323:17 withdrawing 373:3 worried 164:3 175:22 324:10,13 325:2 309:12 320:2,3 women 376:4 184:18 290:11 317:2 326:7 327:15 329:18 327:16 330:3 337:13 Women's 377:21 360:8 347:2 358:8 362:14 329:22 332:8 335:19 won't 16:13 130:3 **worries** 132:6 337:15 340:7 343:12 362:17 366:6 379:13 173:12 205:22 342:15 worry 275:17 324:15 346:18 347:19 349:1 379:14 worrying 67:13 you've 36:6 91:9 106:16 wonder 92:5 130:5 350:3,13 351:16 137:5 199:11 200:15 worth 107:9 108:12 355:5,13 357:20 203:21 254:11,15 285:14 311:19 330:22 129:19 189:7 214:8 360:11 361:3,9,10 255:15 256:15,16 346:10 350:10 worthy 146:15 309:9,10 368:8 371:3 368:12 370:8 wondered 27:1 would've 17:5 18:20 375:17 376:11 379:19 year 28:1 61:4,14 74:17 70:8,12 307:14 wonderful 3:10 young 257:13 78:18 110:19,19 wouldn't 44:2 96:17 Yu 57:5 132:21 196:4 wondering 118:18 116:19 118:4,5,6,17 158:7 172:14 182:19 202:21 209:15 273:12 130:7 131:17 132:15 Ζ 193:2 205:5 346:16 287:13 134:18 135:7,15 351:19 wow 3:5 367:1 **Zamarin** 2:4 9:4,5 29:4 141:21 160:9 171:22 wrench 360:5 word 76:14 108:19 226:17 234:16 247:11 29:5,6,12 38:15,17 214:2 321:6 353:2 write 125:7 284:17 286:9.10 39:3,12 40:3,3 42:18 written 5:17 125:2 worded 352:6 304:7 306:12 308:3 45:12,12 53:8,9 62:20 wording 125:3 206:8 167:15 248:10 255:6 62:21,22 69:18,19,20 309:10,11 310:10

			424
	l	I	l
88:17,17 101:1,2,5	219:3 230:3 292:18	2	29th 5:20
102:16,19,21 103:9	293:13 298:2,19	2 15:22 16:6,18 19:19	
103:14 107:10,13,13	300:14 306:5 369:20	76:7 81:11 83:3 90:2	3
113:22 114:1,4,10,10	10-kilogram-per-hour	92:22 102:20 107:9	3 19:19 76:7 83:3 103:3
115:2,3,21 116:1,18	115:16 126:19	107:21 148:22 222:11	103:10 106:19 107:3
119:12,13 124:9,19	10-minute 92:2,14		107:17,21 222:9
127:4,4 128:13,14	120:22	237:5,7 249:3 286:10	237:9 252:12 255:4
130:9,10,11 131:18	10-year 131:6,8 377:20	298:8 304:4 308:12	258:12 286:11 308:13
131:20 135:1,1	10,000 57:14 133:1	308:22 309:4 311:14	312:14,14
137:12,13 138:3	10:05 91:21	312:15 314:4 333:6	3:04 219:8
139:7,7 140:18,21,22	10:44 91:22	2.2 246:11	30 84:11,19 136:6,6
143:4,5 145:22 146:1	10.44 91.22 100 52:11 252:10,13	2.6 261:2	257:20 308:15
• •	293:3	2:37 219:7	
156:5,6 165:10,10		20 20:1 68:7 74:19	300 16:22
178:21,22 183:1,1	100,000 99:19	79:19 91:18 100:13	31905 339:4
187:16,17,18 209:13	11 23:18 161:6 238:9	189:9 257:21 369:4	31912 62:8
211:4,5 218:5,6	11.6 247:15	20,000 27:5,15 44:12	31974 322:15 325:11
269:14,15,16 271:5	11:11 114:18	45:5,16 52:17 127:12	325 21:5
272:19 273:20,21	11:32 114:19	211:22	37 52:8
277:11,11 279:17,18	113 222:22 231:1 240:5	20,000-mile 27:11	378 20:14
281:15,16 284:14,14	114 24:17 220:12	200 54:8 262:11	38 84:9
287:17,18 289:7,9,11	223:20	2002 170:8	3s 255:7
291:8,9 298:22 299:1	12 135:10	2013 376:21	
300:6,10 303:21	12.75 23:4	2014 376:21	4
306:21,22 308:4	12:02 144:4	2017 379:11	4 19:19 76:8 286:9
309:16 316:22 317:1	125 20:3,4	2019 57:10 133:3	290:14 292:18 308:14
319:3,4 320:5 322:6,7	13 256:22	2020 11:22 21:19	373:15
334:14,15 338:6,7	14 84:19 280:9,10	220:13	4,300 85:8
340:7 343:11,12	14,200 45:1,8	2021 57:10 61:8,10	4.4 253:2
346:18,19 349:1,1	142 21:7	133:3	40 210:19 242:1 325:12
361:9,10 368:12	15 210:19 301:3	2021-year 23:15	325:18 369:5
374:17,18	15- 219:3	2022 22:13 57:5 84:4,18	427 22:12
Zandaroski 2:20 251:19	15-minute 91:3,18	85:8 132:21 196:4	43 84:8
251:20	150 262:11	233:14 239:7	466 85:14
zero 233:13	150,000 253:3	2023 11:17 12:17,18	49 24:18 25:5,7 220:14
zoom 6:3,6 30:13	16 38:4,5 41:7 104:3,4	23:16	220:17 223:4,16,21
	115:14 116:13 141:15	2024 1:8 5:21 23:5	231:1 232:4
0	141:16	238:20	
0.5 12:2	16-inch 33:1 118:11		5
0085 239:7	165 247:12	2025 100:8,14	5 12:8 16:12 103:13
	17 238:9	2026 100:14	107:1 221:12 229:1,2
1	17th 23:5 28:1	2030 100:10	230:6,6,9 235:11
1 12:2 37:16 40:9 43:14	18 52:7 68:5	205 21:6	252:4 261:19 292:19
76:7 102:20 103:1,4,5	1800 1:10	21 27:15 28:2	293:1,7,10,10 297:20
116:19 153:2 222:10	18th 11:17	22,000 65:16 112:16	306:2,6
224:7,7 230:6 285:1	191 238:9,14	121:5	5:02 335:8
286:10 296:18 297:3	192 12:4 20:12 25:11	23 258:22	5:48 380:6
308:11 314:3 333:5	62:13,16 221:13,17	24 307:15	50 131:12 188:10
373:14	229:18 232:4 233:15	24/7 247:1 301:13 307:9	256:18 342:8 344:15
1,000 21:17 28:2 68:6	234:1,5,19 236:15,22	25 1:8 186:15 236:12	344:22 349:6 361:19
1,400 246:12	248:3,11	261:16	362:5 364:1 365:15
1,400 246.12 1,600 261:1	1929 19:5	250 21:14	
1,600 261.1 1.50 92:15	1929 19.5 193 220:20 224:4 226:8	2523 224:4,6	366:1,5,18 367:12
1:15 143:22	246:17 250:6 259:20	2523(a) 373:14	369:18 371:22 50,000 50:20
	260:3 267:3 312:11	255 23:19	•
1:20 144:5	373:14	25th 186:16 238:20	500 21:15 22:2 37:6
10 52:10,12 59:10 63:12		260 365:20	44:15 45:6 78:15,16
67:6 70:7 74:18 82:12	193.2624 231:5	2624 226:9 227:5 228:1	78:17 86:22 87:6,12
114:15,15 123:17	1970 256:21 1st 12:18 28:11 378:11	27th 12:17	500-mile 45:15
124:13 135:5 189:9	131 12.10 20.11 3/0.11	28 376:15	500-plus 209:21
II	ı	ı	ı

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Gas Pipeline Advisory Committee

Before: US DOT/PHMSA

Date: 03-25-24

Place: Arlington, VA

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate complete record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near Nous &