

Predicting Remaining Fatigue Life of a Dent with Corrosion Using Advanced Measurements and Modeling

CAAP Presentation December 1, 2021

Hengyu Yang, Laurence J. Jacobs and Jin-Yeon Kim Georgia Institute of Technology College of Engineering



Acknowledgements

Thanks to:

Mark Piazza: <u>Colonial Pipeline</u> Aaron Dinovitzer and Sanjay Tiku: <u>Fleet BMT</u> Zhongquan (ZZ) Zhou, <u>PHMSA</u> Jan Hermann, Christoph Pruell, Simon Walker, Christoph Doerr, Denis Pfeifer and Aurelio Bellotti: <u>Georgia Tech</u>

Supported by:

US DOT Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) Contract Number: 693JK31850006CAAP

Rationale for nonlinear ultrasonics (NLU)



Microstructural evolution drives macroscopic damage

How can we monitor features at lower length scales, before critical damage?

Georgia

Tech



Georgia Tech

NLU and microstructure

Equation of motion (1D)

Nonlinear constitutive relationship

$$\rho \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\partial \sigma_{xx}}{\partial x}$$

$$\sigma = \varepsilon \cdot E_0 \left[1 + \beta \varepsilon + \delta \varepsilon^2 + \alpha \left(\Delta \varepsilon + \varepsilon \cdot \operatorname{sgn}(\dot{\varepsilon}) \right) \right]$$

Microstructural contributions to β :

- Lattice anharmonicity and "bowed out" dislocations (Hikata JAP 1965)
- Dislocation dipoles (Cantrell & Yost, IJF 2001, Cash and Cai, JAP, 2012)
- Dislocation Pinning (Cantrell & Zhang, JAP, 1998)
- Precipitates (Cantrell & Yost APL, 2000)
- Microplasticity (Kim et al, JNDE, 2006)



(Benchmark problems, reliable measurement techniques, standards)

Dislocation/precipitate interaction models

Relation¹ to β : $\Delta\beta \propto \Lambda L^4 |\sigma|$

Georgia Tech

Possible Contribution	Stress	Loop length	Relationship
Dislocations only ¹	$\sigma_{_0}$	$L = L_0$	$\Deltaeta_{_{pd}} \propto \Lambda L_0^4 \sigma_0$
Precipitate- pinned dislocations ²	$\sigma_{p} \ \sigma_{0} << \sigma_{p}$	$L \approx N_p^{1/3}$	$\Delta eta_{ppd} \propto rac{\Lambda r_p^3 \delta_p}{N_p^{1/3}}$
Vacancy- pinned dislocations ³	σ_{v} $\sigma_{v} < \sigma_{p}$	$L \approx N_v^{1/3}$	$\Delta eta_{v} \propto rac{\Lambda r_{v}^{3} \delta_{v}}{N_{v}^{1/3}}$





- σ Stress in material
- L Loop length
- N Number density of defect
- r Defect radius

Georgia Tech Measurement principle: second harmonic generation (SHG)



Determine β , the absolute acoustic nonlinearity parameter.

 Increase input amplitude A₀ and make an absolute measurement of A₁ and A₂



Nonlinear Rayleigh wave measurements

Non-contact detection of Rayleigh surface waves with an air-coupled receiver – material nonlinearity cumulative

Georgia

Tech





Sensitivity: Sensitization 304 SS



Doerr et al *NDT&E (2017)*

Georgia Tech Interaction of dislocations, precipitates and intermetallics

Thermal degradation (650°C) in tempered 9% Cr steel

- Precipitation hardening is the major strengthening mechanism
- High-density dislocations in martensite Laves phase and precipitates of various types would be the major players





Changes	Hardness	β
Dislocation density \downarrow	\downarrow	\downarrow
Coarsening of M23C6, MX (precipitates)	Ļ	↓
Growth of Laves phase	1	↑?

Marino et al, NDT&E Intl (2016)

Georgia Wrought vs Additive 316 and 304 SS



Bellotti et al JASA (2021)





Georgia Sensitivity: Dislocation driven fatigue damage

Fatigue on A36 steel, Ni Superalloy and Aluminum, bulk and guided waves

1.20

Normalized Acoustic Nonlinearity 1.10 1.00

0

Specimen # 1

Specimen #2

Specimen # 3Best fit

0

Δ

Characterization of early stage fatigue damage (before the formation of microcracks) requires the ability to track the densities of dislocation monopoles and dipoles, plus the evolution of persistent slip bands (PSBs).





Legacy X52 pipeline material



Pfeifer et al MSSP (2020)

Microstructure Comparison – Close to OD surface

Grade X-52



Grade X-70





Georgia Monotonic vs. Fatigue loading X70

<u>Group 1: Repeated monotonic loads on 3 or 4 tensile specimens</u>. First make baseline nonlinear ultrasound (NLU) on each specimen. Apply monotonic strains in steps from minimum to maximum (e.g. 1% -8%) bringing it back to zero and then make NLU measurements. Repeat the same procedure on all 3 (or 4) specimens. The objective of these Group 1 experiments is to compare the effect of different magnitude of strains on one specimen (Baseline specimen is the same). Comparing different specimens allows for the evaluation of the effect of strain cycle on measurements, if any as well as variability in measurements.

<u>Group 2: Single monotonic loads on 10 tensile specimens</u>. Make baseline measurements, subject each individual specimen to a single monotonic strain level (0% -8%) only, and then make the NLU measurements. These results will determine the effect of magnitude of one monotonic strain level. Comparison with Group level 1 specimens (of similar strain magnitude) to examine effect of strain cycle.

Georgia Group 1: Repeated monotonic loads X70





- X70 is manufactured with thermo-mechanical controlled processing (TMCP)
- This TMCP procedure first heats the rough steel section (slab) to a temperature regularly used for hot working operations (about 1200°C). The initial hot working ('roughing') is carried out in a normal fashion, but the final hot work reduction or "finishing pass" is carried out at a lower temperature than would be used for older processes.



- Plastic deformation at this lower temperature promotes fine grain sizes and retards precipitation. This procedure is different from the X52 material previously considered, which is a more traditional processing. The TMCP processing creates a dislocation structure thermally instead of mechanically.
- This means that the additional mechanical load on the X70 material changes the microstructure in a different fashion than the X52 material.

Georgia Analysis of heat treatment on β in X70





Repeated monotonic loads on HT X70





 Sensitivity: NLU sensitive to dislocation and precipitate driven damage and material state

Georgia

lech

- NLU is sensitive to changes in the X52 and X70
- Trends between β versus plastic strain are encouraging but high variability in results
- Combine Machine Learning (ML) algorithm with simple physics-based model for interpretation
- Selectivity: NLU measures single parameter, β that is sensitive to multiple material state features
- Prognostics requires integration of multi-physics measurements and physics-based, materials models
- Field acceptance requires development of benchmark problems, reliable measurement techniques and standards





Thank You. Questions?